

# Rethinking Purity and Diversity in Multi-Behavior Sequential Recommendation from the Frequency Perspective

Yongqiang Han<sup>1</sup>, Kai Cheng<sup>1</sup>, Kefan Wang<sup>1</sup>, and Enhong Chen<sup>1</sup>(✉)

State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Intelligence, University of Science and Technology  
of China, Hefei, China

**Abstract.** In recommendation systems, users often exhibit multiple behaviors, such as browsing, clicking, and purchasing. Multi-behavior sequential recommendation (MBSR) aims to consider these different behaviors in an integrated manner to improve the recommendation performance of the target behavior. However, some behavior data will also bring inevitable noise to the modeling of user interests. Some research efforts focus on data denoising from the frequency domain perspective to improve the accuracy of user preference prediction. These studies indicate that low-frequency information tends to be valuable and reliable, while high-frequency information is often associated with noise. In this paper, we argue that high-frequency information is by no means insignificant. Further experimental results highlight that low frequency corresponds to the purity of user interests, while high frequency corresponds to the diversity of user interests. Building upon this finding, we proposed our model PDB4Rec, which efficiently extracts information across various frequency bands and their relationships, and introduces Bootstrapping Balancer mechanism to balance their contributions for improved recommendation performance. Sufficient experiments on real-world datasets demonstrate the effectiveness and efficiency of our model.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

With the rapid development of the Internet, recommendation systems are extensively used across online services. Within this domain, sequential recommendation (SR), which involves forecasting a user’s next item by treating their past interactions as sequences in time, has garnered significant interest from both academia and industry [13,3]. In reality, users exhibit multiple behaviors when interacting with items, which reflect their multidimensional preferences. For instance, on e-commerce platforms, users can engage with items through various behaviors such as clicking, tagging as favorites, and making purchases. These diverse behaviors represent users’ preferences across different dimensions and can serve as auxiliary knowledge to enrich information and enhance the accuracy of recommendation for the target behavior. Recent studies [30,26,28] have explored the field of multi-behavior sequential recommendation which incorporate multi-behavior information into user representations by utilizing transformer or graph neural networks to model different user behaviors.

Although the incorporation of multi-behavior information can further effectively explore user behavior patterns and multidimensional user interest, some



Fig. 1: The impact of different frequency components in user behavior sequences on recommendation results.

behavior data will also bring inevitable noise to the modeling of user interests. Some of the user’s clicks may be accidental clicks or unintentional browsing. For example, a woman may have mistakenly clicked on a man’s shoe. These noise behaviors bring challenges to the representation of users’ interests. Some studies have focused on denoising techniques [31,5] to improve the accuracy of user preference prediction from the frequency domain perspective. These studies tend to transform user behavior sequences into the frequency domain, such as through the Fast Fourier Transform [14], to obtain a frequency domain representation of user behaviors. Their findings indicate that low-frequency information tends to be valuable while high-frequency information is noise. Therefore, these studies designed filter modules to denoise the user behavior sequences.

In this paper, we argue high-frequency information is by no means insignificant. As illustrated in Figure 1, **low frequency corresponds to the purity of user interests, and high frequency corresponds to the diversity of user interests.** To validate our thoughts, we perform extensive ablation studies of different frequency components. The experimental results highlight the importance of high-frequency signals, which are crucial for capturing the subtle and dynamic aspects of user interests. These signals are not only essential for accurately reflecting the diversity of user preferences but also key to ensuring the diversity of recommendation results.

In light of the analysis of the efficacy of high-frequency information, we model user sequences within the frequency domain. Instead of ignoring the high frequency, we consider both low and high frequency. However, in the context of multi-behavior sequential recommendation, the interrelationships between user behaviors are complex. Conventional global spectrum methods may lead to the mixing of different frequency components [6,5], making it challenging to effectively distinguish and decouple these components. As a result, such methods often fail to fully explore and characterize user behavior patterns and interest points at various time granularities. Moreover, the inadequate decoupling of different components further leads to insufficient modeling of their relationships, thus struggling to accurately capture the diversity of user interests across different time scales and the complex relationships among multiple interests.

To address the aforementioned issues, we propose our model, PDB4Rec. Initially, we mine local insights from distinct frequency bands, ensuring comprehen-

sive integration of data within each band. Subsequently, we explore the interconnections among these bands by employing the frequency-domain attention and the Bootstrapping Balancer mechanism, which dynamically balance the contribution of high-frequency and low-frequency information. Moreover, to enhance the link between the target behavior and various other user behaviors, we apply contrastive learning to both multi-behavior sequence representations and those of the target behavior. This process is then co-optimized with the task of prediction, ensuring an end-to-end approach to user sequence modeling. To summarize, the contributions of this article are as follows:

- We investigated the limitations of frequency-domain-based information denoising methods in the context of multi-behavior sequential recommendation, with a focus on the usefulness of the high-frequency information that is often overlooked.
- From a frequency domain perspective, we elucidate the impact of both high and low-frequency information on recommendation outcomes. Specifically, we find that low-frequency information correlates with the purity of interests, while high-frequency information signals the diversity of interests.
- We propose PDB4Rec, a model that dynamically balances the contributions of high-frequency and low-frequency information, capable of reconciling the purity and diversity of user interests during the denoising process.
- We conducted comprehensive experiments on three real-world datasets. The experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of PDB4Rec, and complexity analysis proves its efficiency.

## 2 PROBLEM DEFINITION

Multi-behavior sequential recommendation models complex user interactions (clicks, favorites, purchases) to predict and recommend the next item accurately.

**DEFINITION 1. (*Multi-Behavior Sequential Recommendation*)** Given the sets of users  $U$ , items  $V$ , and types of behavior  $B$ , for a user  $u$  ( $u \in U$ ), his/her behavior-aware interaction sequence  $S_u$  consists of individual triples  $(v, b, p)$  which are ordered by time. Each triple represents the interacted item  $v$  under the behavior type  $b$  at position  $p$  in the sequence. Thus, the input of the problem is the behavior-aware interaction sequence  $S_u = [(v_1, b_1, p_1), (v_2, b_2, p_2), \dots, (v_L, b_L, p_L)]$  of the user  $u$  and the output  $(v_{L+1}, b_t, p_{L+1})$  is the predicted next item  $v_{L+1}$  of the targeted behavior  $b_t$  at next position  $L + 1$ .

In recent years, an increasing number of studies have started to explore user behavior modeling from the perspective of the frequency domain [31,6]. Frequency domain analysis is capable of uncovering hidden patterns and periodicities in user behavior data, which may not be directly observable in the time domain, enhancing model’s comprehension of the intricate relationships between user interests at various time granularities. We formalize the problem as follows:

**DEFINITION 2. (*Frequency-Based Multi-Behavior Sequential Recommendation*)** Given a set of behavior-aware interaction sequences  $S$ , we apply the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) along the length dimension of each sequence to obtain a complex-valued frequency representation  $X = \mathcal{F}(S) \in \mathbb{C}^{(\lceil L/2 \rceil + 1) \times d}$ .

Subsequently, we perform pattern mining on the frequency representation to derive  $\tilde{X} = f(X)$ . Finally, we apply the Inverse Fourier Transform (IFFT) to  $\tilde{X}$  to recover the processed sequence in the time domain,  $\tilde{S} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\tilde{X}) \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times d}$ , where  $\mathbb{C}$  signifies the complex number space.  $L$  indicates the length of the sequence and  $d$  represents the size of the embeddings.

However, previous research [31,5] efforts in modeling user interests in the frequency domain often disregard high-frequency information, regarding it as noise. In this paper, we argue for the significance of high-frequency information in multi-behavior sequential recommendation and investigate its role in depth.

### 3 ABLATION ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENT FREQUENCY DOMAIN COMPONENTS

In our study, we emphasize the importance of high-frequency information. So in this section, we investigated how various frequency components shape the representation of users' interests specifically focusing on the relationship between purity and diversity.

#### 3.1 Analysis Setup

To conduct empirical study, we selected the CIKM and Taobao multi-behavior datasets. The model consists of four parts: the embedding layer, the frequency filtering layer, the sequence encoder layer, and the prediction layer. We draw on previous work [31] to perform frequency domain transformation and filter operations on specific frequency band information. Specifically, we transform the user behavior sequence  $S$  into the frequency domain ( $X$ ) with the help of Fast Fourier Transform algorithm (FFT) [14]. We then categorize them into eight types based on their frequencies, ranging from low to high. Subsequently, we sequentially utilize only one frequency signal at a time, setting the values of all other frequency signals to zero. Finally, the sequence representation  $\tilde{S}$  is obtained by inverse transformation. The specific process is at *DEFINITION 2*. We adopt both *GRU4Rec* (RNN) [9] and *SASRec* (Transformer) [11] as the sequence encoding structures. In addition, to assess the diversity of user interests, we propose using the reciprocal of the average cosine similarity among items to evaluate diversity. Specifically, on one hand, we calculate the *internal diversity* using the set of items from the recommendation results. On the other hand, we compute the *external diversity* by comparing the items in the recommendation results with the items from the user's behavioral history.

#### 3.2 Results and Findings

From our experiments, several conclusions can be drawn: ***Low-High Frequency Signals Reflecting Interest Purity***. Recommendations based on medium and low-frequency information exhibit higher accuracy, while those based on high-frequency information show lower accuracy. Medium and low-frequency components are effective signals, while high-frequency information is often regarded as noise, which can be denoised through learnable filters [31]. ***Highlighting***




Fig. 2: The purity and diversity of recommendation results across different frequency bands.

**the Importance of High-Frequency Information.** High-frequency information is not insignificant. Recommendations based on high-frequency data demonstrate diversity, capturing nuanced and dynamic aspects of user interests. This ensures recommendation diversity and accurately reflects user preferences. **Low-Pass Filter Trait in Many Models.** The low-pass filter characteristic of attention modules in models like SASRec results in lower diversity compared to GRU4Rec. Similarly, GCNs also exhibit low-pass filter properties, inadequately mining high-frequency information. This can lead to suboptimal local solutions and loss of diverse user interest. To address these issues, we propose a frequency-based multi-behavior sequential recommendation technique that unifies user interest purity and diversity from a frequency-domain perspective, using various frequency-domain modeling strategies to enhance recommendation effectiveness.

## 4 METHODOLOGY

Following the analysis of the effectiveness of high-frequency information, in this paper, we introduce a new model named PDB4Rec, designed to model user sequences in the frequency domain. Instead of ignoring the high frequency, we consider both low and high frequency. To address the aforementioned issues, we propose our model, PDB4Rec. Initially, we mine local insights from distinct frequency bands, ensuring comprehensive integration of data within each band. Subsequently, we explore the interconnections among these bands by employing a frequency-domain attention mechanism, which dynamically balances the contribution of high-frequency and low-frequency information. Moreover, to enhance the link between the targeted behavior and various other user behaviors, we apply contrastive learning to both multi-behavior sequence representations and those of the target behavior. This process is then co-optimized with the task of prediction, ensuring an end-to-end approach to user sequence modeling.

### 4.1 Local Spectral Modeling

Firstly, according to the *Definition 2*, we obtain the frequency domain representation of the user sequence,

$$X = \mathcal{F}(S) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times d}, \quad M = \lfloor L/2 \rfloor + 1 \quad (1)$$



we design a Compactness Regularization method for sparsifying the frequency tokens in the frequency domain. The specific process is as follows:

**Spectrum-Aware Fusion.** In ESM, the first improvement involves utilizing matrix multiplication instead of the traditional dot product operation, i.e.,  $H \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times d \times d}$  instead of  $W \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times d}$ . This approach allows for the effective fusion of user behavioral information across different frequency bands. By considering long and short-term user interests in multiple time scales, ESM allows for more efficient mining of user behavior patterns.

**Blockwise Diagonal Strategy.** To address the issue of increasing matrix parameters as user sequences grow, ESM introduces a blockwise diagonal mechanism for complex weight matrices. The weight matrix  $H \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times d \times d}$  is decomposed into  $k$  shared weight matrices  $H^n \in \mathbb{C}^{d/k \times d/k}$  ( $n = 1, \dots, k$ ), each with reduced dimensions. This decomposition into  $k$  smaller diagonal weight matrices denoted as  $H^n$ , is somewhat interpretable, similar to  $k$ -head attention while enabling computational parallelization. So we get  $\tilde{x}_i^n = H^n x_i^n$ , where  $x_i^n$  represents the  $n$ -th block of the  $i$ -th frequency token ( $i \in [1, M/(d/k)]$ ). Specifically, we employ a double-layer MLP structure as  $W^n$ . The formula is as follows:

$$\tilde{x}_i^n = \text{MLP}(x_i^n) = H_2^n \sigma(H_1^n x_i^n + b_1^n) + b_2^n, \quad (2)$$

where the weights  $H^n$  and  $b^n$  are shared are all frequency bands, and thus the parameter count can be significantly reduced.

**Frequency Uniformity Constraint.** User behavior is easily concentrated in a certain frequency band [29], resulting in behavioral features not being adequately fused in the frequency domain, and the model can easily overfit local information, so ESM incorporates a regularization loss that promotes the sparsity of frequency band in the frequency domain. Compactness is a desired trait of intra-factor representations and its opposite is what we expect for inter-factor representations. ReduNet [1] proposed to measure compactness of representation with rate-distortion  $R(z, \epsilon)$ , which determines the minimal number of bits to encode a random variable  $z$  subject to a decoding error upper bounded by  $\epsilon$ . Inspired by this, we design a compactness regularization loss function to control the diversity of the token space. The specific formula is as follows:

$$R(\mathcal{X}, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \log \det \left( I + \frac{d}{L\epsilon^2} \mathcal{X} \mathcal{X}^T \right), \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{compactness}} = \sum_{u_i \in U} R(\mathcal{X}_i, \epsilon) \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{M/(d/k) \times d}$  is the token matrix and the rest are hyperparameters.  $\log \det$  means the logarithm of the determinant of a matrix and  $I$  is the identity matrix. By introducing a representation compactness metric and a corresponding regularized loss, frequency bands are sparsified during the training process to control their spatial diversity for better fusion of behavioral features.

In conclusion, our proposed Efficient Spectrum Miner can both capture complex user patterns adequately and maintain model simplicity through the utilization of Spectrum-Aware Fusion, the Blockwise Diagonal Strategy, and Frequency

Uniformity Constraint. With ESM, noise in the data is effectively reduced, yielding purer item embeddings. Similar to SASRec [11], we incorporate skip connections, layer normalization, and dropout to alleviate gradient vanishing and unstable training issues.

## 4.2 Global Spectral Modeling

We have conducted an efficient and thorough exploration of the information in each frequency band through Local Spectral Modeling. To accurately capture the diversity of user interests across different time scales and the complex relationships among multiple interests. In this section, we proceed to model the relationships among the various frequency bands for Global Spectral Modeling.

**Measuring the Importance of Frequency Features.** After thoroughly mining the local aspects of the spectrum, we proceed to capture the relational information between different frequency bands. In particular, we employ frequency domain self-attention to capture the information within each band. The specific formulas are as follows:

$$Q = W_Q \cdot \text{Re}(\tilde{Z}), \quad K = W_K \cdot \text{Re}(\tilde{Z}), \quad V = W_V \cdot (\text{Re}(\tilde{Z}) + i \cdot \text{Im}(\tilde{Z})), \quad (4)$$

where  $\tilde{Z} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times d}$  is a complex matrix representing the locally mined information from each frequency band after mean pooling along the length dimension.  $W_Q$ ,  $W_K$ , and  $W_V$  are the learned weight matrices for transforming the real and imaginary parts of  $\tilde{Z}$  into Q, K, and V, respectively. This method captures the complex relationships within the frequency domain, with the real part being more reflective of user behavior and interest, resulting in a parameter-efficient attention mechanism.

$$A = \text{Softmax} \left( \frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d}} \right) \quad (5)$$

**Bootstrapping Balancer.** Due to the observation in our experiments that the model tends to discard too many high-frequency components at the early stages of training, potentially leading to local optima and resulting in homogenized modeling of user interests, we introduce the Bootstrapping Balancer. This mechanism tunes the model to amplify the weight of high-frequency information during the initial training phase.

$$O = (A + I)V, \quad I_n = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha(n-N/2)}}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a hyperparameter that governs the distribution of weights between high and low frequencies, and it decreases with each training epoch, similar to how the learning rate decays. Based on the analysis of the diversity of user interests as indicated by high-frequency information, this module enhances the model’s ability to capture the diversity of user interests.

### 4.3 Target-behavior Contrastive learning

Due to the large number of auxiliary behaviors and the significant noise in the data. To strengthen the connection between target behaviors and users' other behaviors and further enhance the robustness of the model, we adopt Target-behavior Contrastive learning. Specifically, we obtain representations of multi-behavioral sequences  $\tilde{S}_i^a$  and target behavioral sequences  $\tilde{S}_i^t$ , respectively, through local spectral modeling and global spectral modeling. Subsequently, we use a contrastive learning strategy, defining the two representations from the same user as positive samples, and those from different users as negative samples. In addition, we use the InfoNCE Loss [4] to distinguish the augmented representations of the same item from others. The specific formula is as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{CL} = - \sum_{u_i \in U} \left[ \log \frac{\exp(\mathbf{sim}(\tilde{S}_i^a, \tilde{S}_i^t) / \tau)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N_{neg}} \exp(\mathbf{sim}(\tilde{S}_i^a, \tilde{S}_j^a) / \tau)} \right], \quad (7)$$

Here,  $\mathbf{sim}(\cdot)$  is the cosine similarity function,  $(\tilde{S}_i^a, \tilde{S}_i^t)$  is a positive sample pair and  $(\tilde{S}_i^a, \tilde{S}_j^a)$  is a negative sample pair. In particular, temperature coefficient  $\tau$  is a hyper-parameter, which controls the impact of the diversity of negative samples on the model. By performing contrastive learning with the target behavior sequence, the model can more effectively identify the underlying associations between auxiliary behaviors and target behaviors, enhancing the model's robustness under high levels of data noise, and thus more accurately capturing users' behavioral preferences.

### 4.4 Multi-Task Learning

With the main prediction task and contrastive learning task, we jointly optimize them in this section. The Binary Cross Entropy (BCE) loss is implemented for the prediction task. These two losses are formulated as:

$$\hat{y}_{u_i, v} = \tilde{S}_i^a * e_v, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Pred} = - \sum_{u, v} [y_{u, v} \ln(\hat{y}_{u, v}) + (1 - y_{u, v}) \ln(1 - \hat{y}_{u, v})]. \quad (9)$$

where  $\tilde{S}_i^a$  is the representation of the multi-behavior sequence for user  $u_i$  after spectrum modeling,  $\hat{y}_{u_i, v}$  represents the prediction for user  $u_i$  and item  $v$  and  $e_v$  is the embedding of item  $v$ .

Therefore, the final objective function of PDB4Rec is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Total} = \theta_1 \mathcal{L}_{Pred} + \theta_2 \mathcal{L}_{camp} + \theta_3 \mathcal{L}_{CL} + \theta_4 \|\Theta\|_2, \quad (10)$$

where  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$  are hyper-parameters,  $\mathcal{L}_{camp}$  is compactness regularization loss is ESM, and  $\theta_4$  is the  $L_2$  regularization parameter to prevent over-fitting. The symbol  $\Theta$  denotes all learnable parameters in the model and they are computed by gradient descent with back-propagation. The integration of the main prediction task with contrastive learning allows for a more robust and accurate model by filtering out noise and strengthening the link between target behaviors and other user behaviors, resulting in improved recommendation effectiveness.

## 5 EXPERIMENT

### 5.1 Experimental Setting

**Datasets** In order to evaluate the performance of PDB4Rec, we conduct experiments on three large-scale real-world recommendation datasets. These datasets contain various user interaction behaviors, including *clicking*, *adding to favorites*, *adding to cart*, and *purchasing*. In the preprocessing stage, we conducted basic data cleaning and data filtering. We follow a similar approach to previous studies (e.g., [11]) by removing users and items with fewer than 20 interaction records, which ensures that the users and items in the dataset had sufficient interaction data to accurately capture their preferences and behaviors. The detailed statistical information of processed datasets is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Statistical information of experimental datasets.

Dataset	CIKM	IJCAI	Taobao
#users	254,356	324,859	279,052
#items	521,900	331,064	731,517
#interactions	18,824,670	46,694,666	32,758,555
#Density	1.4e-4	4.3e-4	1.6e-4
#Avg-length	74.01	143.74	117.39

**Comparison Baselines** To evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed method PDB4Rec, we conduct a comprehensive comparison with several state-of-the-art baselines in four aspects.

**General Sequential Recommendation Methods.** SASRec [11] uses a transformer-based encoder to learn sequence and item representations. BERT-4Rec [16] employs a bidirectional transformer encoder to model sequential information, optimized using the Cloze objective. CL4SRec [25] combines contrastive SSL with a Transformer-based model, using crop, mask augmentations.

**Multi-Behavior Recommendation Methods.** MB-GCN [10] is a graph-based model addressing data sparsity in multi-typed user behavior data through graph convolution. KHGT [24] uses a transformer-based approach for multi-behavior modeling, focusing on temporal information and auxiliary knowledge, with graph attention networks. CML [20] integrates contrastive learning into multi-behavior recommendation via meta-contrastive coding to learn personalized behavioral features. DyMuS [26] independently encodes each behavioral sequence and then uses dynamic routing to combine the encoded sequences based on their correlations.

**Denoising Methods for Recommendation.** RGCF [17] reduces noise impact through a graph denoising module and diversity maintenance. CLEA [15] uses a discriminator to divide baskets into positive and negative sub-baskets based on noise detection. DPT [29] introduces a three-stage paradigm involving de-noising and prompt fine-tuning to mitigate noise impact.

**Frequency Methods for Recommendation.** FMLP-Rec [31] uses FFT and inverse FFT to reduce noise and improve sequence representations. SLIME-

Table 2: Overall performance comparison of all methods in terms of HR@K and NDCG@K (K=10, 20). (p-value &lt; 0.05)

Datasets	CIKM				Taobao				IJCAI			
	H@10	N@10	H@20	N@20	H@10	N@10	H@20	N@20	H@10	N@10	H@20	N@20
SASRec	0.3055	0.1861	0.3981	0.2285	0.2995	0.1766	0.3834	0.2129	0.3505	0.1878	0.4553	0.2346
Bert4Rec	0.3350	0.2147	0.4235	0.2337	0.2856	0.1670	0.3679	0.1991	0.3748	0.2060	0.4890	0.2658
CL4Rec	0.3422	0.2140	0.4392	0.2472	0.3132	0.1840	0.4022	0.2206	0.3877	0.2104	0.5047	0.2672
MBGCN	0.3889	0.2136	0.4951	0.2894	0.3628	0.2016	0.4510	0.2498	0.3627	0.1969	0.4721	0.2502
KHGT	0.4014	0.2305	0.5160	0.2993	0.3824	0.2181	0.4815	0.2670	0.4104	0.2214	0.5337	0.2791
CML	0.4234	0.2466	0.5400	0.3060	0.4212	<u>0.2420</u>	0.5311	0.2942	0.4344	0.2366	0.5658	0.3018
DyMuS	0.4344	0.2494	0.5584	0.3239	0.3587	0.2070	0.4563	0.2503	0.4441	0.2397	<u>0.5769</u>	0.3051
RGCF	0.4314	0.2429	0.5522	0.3214	0.3769	0.2136	0.4742	0.2613	0.4238	0.2293	0.5510	0.2916
CLEA	0.4278	0.2349	0.5446	0.3184	0.3990	0.2218	0.4961	0.2747	0.3989	0.2166	0.5194	0.2752
DPT	<u>0.4431</u>	<u>0.2545</u>	<u>0.5697</u>	<u>0.3304</u>	<u>0.4221</u>	0.2408	<u>0.5315</u>	<u>0.2947</u>	<u>0.4531</u>	<u>0.2445</u>	0.5709	<u>0.3082</u>
FMLP	0.3764	0.2354	0.4831	0.2719	0.3446	0.2024	0.4424	0.2427	0.4265	0.2315	0.5552	0.2940
SLIME4Rec	0.3888	0.2428	0.4989	0.2809	0.3559	0.2090	0.4569	0.2507	0.4398	0.2387	0.5725	0.3032
FEARec	0.4174	0.2431	0.5354	0.3088	0.3923	0.2244	0.4952	0.2738	0.4314	0.2334	0.5613	0.2952
<b>PDB4Rec</b>	<b>0.4811</b>	<b>0.2768</b>	<b>0.6151</b>	<b>0.3553</b>	<b>0.4486</b>	<b>0.2546</b>	<b>0.5642</b>	<b>0.3117</b>	<b>0.4845</b>	<b>0.2626</b>	<b>0.6200</b>	<b>0.3331</b>
Improvement	8.56%	8.77%	7.98%	7.53%	6.28%	5.23%	6.16%	5.79%	6.92%	7.42%	7.47%	8.06%

**4Rec** [5] introduces a frequency-domain sliding filter to capture and analyze frequency patterns, enhancing sequential recommendation performance. **FEARec** [6] improves time-domain self-attention in the frequency domain using a ramp structure to learn low- and high-frequency information.

In line with previous studies [27,22], we incorporate behavioral types into the input embedding to ensure a fair comparison using these denoising and frequency baselines in the multi-behavior setting.

**Evaluation Metrics & Implementation Details** In this study, we assess the performance of comparison methods for the top-K recommendation using two evaluation metrics: Hit Ratio (HR@K) and Normalized Discounted Cumulative Gain (NDCG@K). For the parameter setting of PDB4Rec, we search the ratio of the compactness loss  $\alpha$  is tuned among  $\{1e-4, 1e-3, 1e-2, 1e-1\}$ , and the value of  $\epsilon^2$  is tuned among  $\{0.5, 1, 5\}$ . For the baseline models, we follow the hyperparameter setting from their original papers.

## 5.2 Overall Performances

**Effectiveness Analysis** In Table 2, we present a concise performance comparison across various datasets, leading to several key observations. Firstly, multi-behavioral approaches generally outperform standard sequential recommendation methods, highlighting the importance of leveraging diverse user behaviors to improve recommendation accuracy. Secondly, partial denoising techniques further enhance performance by effectively reducing noise in multi-behavioral sequences, aligning with our research objective. Moreover, frequency domain-based methods like FMLP and SLIME4Rec focus excessively on low-frequency patterns, overlooking high-frequency details, which limits their ability to capture the full spectrum of user interests. While FEARec attempts to address this by considering all frequency bands, its reliance on global modeling fails to properly distinguish and integrate information from different frequency bands, resulting in subpar performance. Lastly, PDB4Rec demonstrates superior performance across all datasets, thanks to its innovative approach that combines local and

global frequency-domain modeling with contrastive learning from multi-behavior data, achieving a balanced representation of purity and diversity within the frequency domain.

Table 3: Analysis of Module Efficiency.

Dataset	Ours(sec/epoch)	Self-Attention(sec/epoch)
CIKM	55.87	166.08
Taobao	82.29	239.31
IJCAI	85.01	255.98

**Efficiency Analysis** In the experiment, we compare the training time of our model excluding the contrastive learning component and using two transformer encoder layers. The setup includes a Linux operating system, cudatoolkit version 10.1, and a TITAN V GPU with 12GB VRAM. The batch size is set to 4096. As shown in Table 3, our method significantly reduces the training time per epoch by approximately 66% across three datasets compared to a model with two transformer encoder layers. Our model ensures high effectiveness and efficiency through methods like the Blockwise Diagonal Strategy, making it a practical choice for large-scale applications.

Table 4: Analysis of diversity of model results.

Datasets	CIKM		Taobao		IJCAI	
	In	Ex	In	Ex	In	Ex
DPT	11.99	17.91	8.72	16.76	8.71	15.95
FMLP	6.43	10.16	5.24	9.42	5.37	8.94
SLIME4Rec	7.84	11.16	5.64	11.36	5.53	10.83
FEARec	14.38	21.49	10.46	20.11	9.53	19.14
PDB4Rec	12.90	19.16	10.24	19.55	13.25	21.60

**Diversity Analysis** In measuring user interest diversity, we use the inverse of the average cosine similarity to represent internal diversity within recommended results and external diversity between recommendations and users’ historical behaviors. As shown in Table 4, our approach outperforms all baseline models on the IJCAI dataset in both internal and external diversity. It also surpasses most models on the other two datasets, achieving near-optimal results. This demonstrates our model’s effectiveness in providing diverse recommendations and addressing the complexity of user interests.

### 5.3 Ablation Study

To investigate the effectiveness of each component, we introduce the following variants of PDB4Rec: *w/o lsm* discards the Efficient Spectrum Miner, *w/o camp* omits the compactness regularization loss, *w/o gsm* removes the Frequency-Band Self-Attention and Bostrapping Balancer modules, *w/o bb* eliminates the Bostrapping Balancer module, and *w/o cl* does not use the contrastive loss functions during training.

The comparison results in Table 5 show that the *w/o lsm* and *w/o gsm* variants highlight the effectiveness of combining Local Spectral Modeling and Global Spectral Modeling, achieving better results together. The *w/o camp* variant demonstrates the importance of compactness regularization in controlling frequency domain token sparsity and preventing overfitting to local information. The *w/o cl* variant confirms the effectiveness of Target-behavior Contrastive Learning in strengthening the connection between target behaviors and other user behaviors. Finally, the *w/o bb* variant shows the importance of the Bootstrapping Balancer, which modulates the model to prioritize high-frequency information early in training, avoiding suboptimal local solutions and achieving better performance.

Table 5: Ablation study with key modules in PDB4Rec.

Datasets	CIKM		Taobao		IJCAI	
	H@10	N@10	H@10	N@10	H@10	N@10
<i>w/o lsm</i>	0.3860	0.2257	0.3569	0.2036	0.3962	0.2151
<i>w/o camp</i>	0.4459	0.2512	0.4158	0.2338	0.4337	0.2353
<i>w/o gsm</i>	0.4318	0.2409	0.4027	0.2254	0.4134	0.2243
<i>w/o bb</i>	0.4529	0.2563	0.4224	0.2379	0.4439	0.2408
<i>w/o cl</i>	0.4646	0.2644	0.4330	0.2466	0.4657	0.2517
<b>PDB4Rec</b>	<b>0.4787</b>	<b>0.2754</b>	<b>0.4464</b>	<b>0.2533</b>	<b>0.4821</b>	<b>0.2613</b>

#### 5.4 Hyper-parameter Analysis

To investigate the effects of hyper-parameters in PHB4Rec, we perform experiments with different hyper-parameter configurations and present results in Fig 4, we can conclude as follows: (1) *Hyperparameter that governs the distribution of weights between high and low frequencies*  $\alpha$ . we find that  $\alpha$  values ranging from 0.4 to 0.6 yield optimal results. If the coefficients of high-frequency information are too low, it can lead to premature loss of this information. Conversely, if the coefficients are too high, it can introduce noise into the model and prevent the achievement of a balance between low-frequency and high-frequency information. (2) *Number of frequency bands*  $N$ . we find that  $N$  values ranging from 8 to 12 yield optimal results. Too few frequency bands may not adequately decouple different frequencies, while an excessive number of bands can lead to a low dimension of the block matrix, resulting in insufficient exploration. (3) *Compactness regularization parameter*  $\theta_2$ . We observe that an appropriate range of values for  $\theta_2$ , between 0.01 and 0.001, achieves better performance. It suggests that excessive regularization loss can negatively impact the normal representation of vectors, while insufficient regularization may not adequately constrain the sparse representation of frequency domain tokens.

## 6 RELATED WORK

**Multi-Behavior Sequential Recommendation** In the domain of recommendation systems, two primary approaches have emerged for processing multi-behavior data. The first approach uses multi-task frameworks to improve performance. For example, the NMTR model [7] models the cascade relationship




Fig. 4: Hyper-parameter study of the PHB4Rec.

among behaviors, while the DIPN model [8] classifies behaviors into distinct tasks using hierarchical attention mechanisms. The second approach integrates multiple behaviors to enhance recommendations for target behavior. These techniques use additional behaviors as auxiliary signals to better understand user patterns and interests. Methods like attention mechanisms [21], graph neural networks [2], and others are employed. For instance, MATN [23] captures behavior interplay using transformers and gated networks, while CML [20] uses multi-behavior contrastive learning to refine behavior representations. KMCLR [26] combines comparative learning tasks with functional modules to leverage diverse user behavior signals. However, including certain behaviors, such as random clicks, can introduce noise, challenging accurate user interest modeling.

**Denoising in Recommendation** Earlier studies used explicit user feedback to bridge the gap between implicit feedback and user preferences [12]. However, the scarcity of explicit feedback has led to the adoption of unsupervised denoising methods, which can be categorized into hard and soft denoising. Hard denoising removes discrete noise by eliminating specific items from user behavior sequences. For example, CLEA [15] separates items into positive and negative sub-baskets, while RAP [18] uses a policy network to decide item removal. ADT [19] reduces noise adaptively during early training stages. Soft denoising removes continuous noise at the embedding or feature level. FMLP-Rec [31] applies FFT and learnable filters to improve sequence representations, and DPT [29] uses a three-stage paradigm for denoising and fine-tuning. However, current denoising research often overlooks the trade-off between preference accuracy and diversity, which brings the challenge of balancing personalized relevance and the diversity of their interests.

## 7 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we explored the importance of high-frequency information in multi-behavior sequential recommendation (MBSR). Unlike traditional views that treat high-frequency signals as noise, our research shows that these signals are essential for capturing user preference diversity. Based on this insight, we introduced PDB4Rec, a novel MBSR model that efficiently extracts information from various frequency bands and their relationships, while balancing

their contributions through a Bootstrapping Balancer mechanism. Extensive experiments on real-world datasets demonstrate the effectiveness and efficiency of our approach. Future work will focus on advancing techniques for modeling user interests in the frequency domain.

## References

1. Chan, K.H.R., Yu, Y., You, C., Qi, H., Wright, J., Ma, Y.: Redunet: A white-box deep network from the principle of maximizing rate reduction. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* **23**(1), 4907–5009 (2022)
2. Chen, C., Zhang, M., Zhang, Y., Ma, W., Liu, Y., Ma, S.: Efficient heterogeneous collaborative filtering without negative sampling for recommendation. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. vol. 34, pp. 19–26 (2020)
3. Chen, T., Wong, R.C.W.: Handling information loss of graph neural networks for session-based recommendation. In: *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*. pp. 1172–1180 (2020)
4. Chen, T., Kornblith, S., Norouzi, M., Hinton, G.: A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. In: *International conference on machine learning*. pp. 1597–1607. PMLR (2020)
5. Du, X., Yuan, H., Zhao, P., Fang, J., Liu, G., Liu, Y., Sheng, V.S., Zhou, X.: Contrastive enhanced slide filter mixer for sequential recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.04322* (2023)
6. Du, X., Yuan, H., Zhao, P., Qu, J., Zhuang, F., Liu, G., Liu, Y., Sheng, V.S.: Frequency enhanced hybrid attention network for sequential recommendation. In: *Proceedings of the 46th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. pp. 78–88 (2023)
7. Gao, C., He, X., Gan, D., Chen, X., Feng, F., Li, Y., Chua, T.S., Jin, D.: Neural multi-task recommendation from multi-behavior data. In: *2019 IEEE 35th international conference on data engineering (ICDE)*. pp. 1554–1557. IEEE (2019)
8. Guo, L., Hua, L., Jia, R., Zhao, B., Wang, X., Cui, B.: Buying or browsing?: Predicting real-time purchasing intent using attention-based deep network with multiple behavior. In: *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*. pp. 1984–1992 (2019)
9. Hidasi, B., Karatzoglou, A., Baltrunas, L., Tikk, D.: Session-based recommendations with recurrent neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06939* (2015)
10. Jin, B., Gao, C., He, X., Jin, D., Li, Y.: Multi-behavior recommendation with graph convolutional networks. In: *Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. pp. 659–668 (2020)
11. Kang, W.C., McAuley, J.: Self-attentive sequential recommendation. In: *2018 IEEE international conference on data mining (ICDM)*. pp. 197–206. IEEE (2018)
12. Kim, Y., Hassan, A., White, R.W., Zitouni, I.: Modeling dwell time to predict click-level satisfaction. In: *Proceedings of the 7th ACM international conference on Web search and data mining*. pp. 193–202 (2014)
13. Li, J., Wang, Y., McAuley, J.: Time interval aware self-attention for sequential recommendation. In: *Proceedings of the 13th international conference on web search and data mining*. pp. 322–330 (2020)
14. Nussbaumer, H.J., Nussbaumer, H.J.: *The fast Fourier transform*. Springer (1982)

15. Qin, Y., Wang, P., Li, C.: The world is binary: Contrastive learning for denoising next basket recommendation. In: Proceedings of the 44th international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval. pp. 859–868 (2021)
16. Sun, F., Liu, J., Wu, J., Pei, C., Lin, X., Ou, W., Jiang, P.: Bert4rec: Sequential recommendation with bidirectional encoder representations from transformer. In: Proceedings of the 28th ACM international conference on information and knowledge management. pp. 1441–1450 (2019)
17. Tian, C., Xie, Y., Li, Y., Yang, N., Zhao, W.X.: Learning to denoise unreliable interactions for graph collaborative filtering. In: Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. pp. 122–132 (2022)
18. Tong, X., Wang, P., Li, C., Xia, L., Niu, S.: Pattern-enhanced contrastive policy learning network for sequential recommendation. In: IJCAI. pp. 1593–1599 (2021)
19. Wang, W., Feng, F., He, X., Nie, L., Chua, T.S.: Denoising implicit feedback for recommendation. In: Proceedings of the 14th ACM international conference on web search and data mining. pp. 373–381 (2021)
20. Wei, W., Huang, C., Xia, L., Xu, Y., Zhao, J., Yin, D.: Contrastive meta learning with behavior multiplicity for recommendation. In: Proceedings of the fifteenth ACM international conference on web search and data mining. pp. 1120–1128 (2022)
21. Wu, C., Wu, F., Qi, T., Liu, Q., Tian, X., Li, J., He, W., Huang, Y., Xie, X.: Feedrec: News feed recommendation with various user feedbacks. In: Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2022. pp. 2088–2097 (2022)
22. Wu, Y., Xie, R., Zhu, Y., Zhuang, F., Zhang, X., Lin, L., He, Q.: Personalized prompts for sequential recommendation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.09666 (2022)
23. Xia, L., Huang, C., Xu, Y., Dai, P., Zhang, B., Bo, L.: Multiplex behavioral relation learning for recommendation via memory augmented transformer network. In: Proceedings of the 43rd international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval. pp. 2397–2406 (2020)
24. Xia, L., Huang, C., Xu, Y., Dai, P., Zhang, X., Yang, H., Pei, J., Bo, L.: Knowledge-enhanced hierarchical graph transformer network for multi-behavior recommendation. In: Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence. vol. 35, pp. 4486–4493 (2021)
25. Xie, X., Sun, F., Liu, Z., Wu, S., Gao, J., Zhang, J., Ding, B., Cui, B.: Contrastive learning for sequential recommendation. In: 2022 IEEE 38th international conference on data engineering (ICDE). pp. 1259–1273. IEEE (2022)
26. Xuan, H., Liu, Y., Li, B., Yin, H.: Knowledge enhancement for contrastive multi-behavior recommendation. In: Proceedings of the Sixteenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. pp. 195–203 (2023)
27. Yang, Y., Huang, C., Xia, L., Liang, Y., Yu, Y., Li, C.: Multi-behavior hypergraph-enhanced transformer for sequential recommendation. In: Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD conference on knowledge discovery and data mining. pp. 2263–2274 (2022)
28. Yuan, E., Guo, W., He, Z., Guo, H., Liu, C., Tang, R.: Multi-behavior sequential transformer recommender. In: Proceedings of the 45th international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval. pp. 1642–1652 (2022)
29. Zhang, C., Chen, R., Zhao, X., Han, Q., Li, L.: Denoising and prompt-tuning for multi-behavior recommendation. In: Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2023. pp. 1355–1363 (2023)

30. Zhao, Z., Cheng, Z., Hong, L., Chi, E.H.: Improving user topic interest profiles by behavior factorization. In: Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web. pp. 1406–1416 (2015)
31. Zhou, K., Yu, H., Zhao, W.X., Wen, J.R.: Filter-enhanced mlp is all you need for sequential recommendation. In: Proceedings of the ACM web conference 2022. pp. 2388–2399 (2022)