

Sense of Self and Time in Borderline Personality A Comparative Robustness Study with Generative AI

20 AUGUST 2025

Marcin Moskalewicz*^{1,2,3} Anna Sterna² Marek Pokropski⁴ Paula Flores⁵

¹ Institute IDEAS, Warsaw ² Poznań University of Medical Sciences ³ Maria Curie-Skłodowska University in Lublin ⁴ University of Warsaw ⁵ Zmiany.Psychoterapia

* marcin.moskalewicz@ideas.edu.pl

Abstract This study examines the capacity of large language models (LLMs) to support phenomenological qualitative analysis of first-person experience in Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD), understood as a disorder of temporality and selfhood. Building on a prior human-led thematic analysis of 24 inpatients' life-story interviews, we compared three LLMs (OpenAI GPT-4o, Google Gemini 2.5 Pro, Anthropic Claude Opus 4) prompted to mimic the interpretative style of the original investigators. The models were evaluated through a mixed procedure involving blinded and non-blinded expert judges in phenomenology and clinical psychology. Assessments included semantic congruence, Jaccard coefficients, and multidimensional validity ratings concerning credibility, coherence, substantiveness of results, and groundness in qualitative data. Results revealed variable semantic overlap with the human analysis, ranging from 0 in GPT to 42% in Claude and 58% in Gemini, and with a rather low Jaccard Coefficient (.21-.28); however, the models spotted some themes originally omitted by humans. Gemini's output most closely resembled human analysis, achieving comparable validity scores, both significantly higher than GPT and Claude ($p < .0001$), and was judged as human by blinded experts. All scores strongly correlated ($R > .78$) with the quantity of text and number of words per theme. These findings highlight both the variability and potential of AI-augmented thematic analysis to mitigate human interpretative bias.

Keywords: computational phenomenology, phenomenological psychopathology, AI-augmented qualitative analysis, human-AI collaboration, large language models, meta-prompting, interpretative bias, borderline personality disorder

1. Introduction

1.1. Borderline personality as a disorder of temporality

Phenomenological psychopathology conceptualizes Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) as a disorder of temporality. The experience of oneself in BPD is considered present-focused, disconnected from a sense of historicity (Faggioli et al., 2024; Fuchs, 2007; Stanghellini, 2023; Stanghellini & Mancini, 2019), overidentified with present stimuli (Schmidt, 2020), with a diminished sense of futurity (Sterna et al., 2024; Sterna & Moskalewicz, 2022) and imprecise future descriptions (Spodenkiewicz et al. 2013), and repetitive past-present experiential loops (Sterna et al., 2025). These concepts resonate with non-phenomenological research on BPD that underlines the cyclic character of internal conflicts (Agnew et al., 2016), the negative bias in autobiographical memories (Jørgensen et al., 2012), the anticipation of future as painful and repetitive of past struggles (Perseus et al., 2005), a sense of unpredictability and emotional instability (Moltu et al., 2023), disintegrated and broken self-image (Jørgensen & Bøye, 2022), and a fundamental insecurity in the perception of others (Dammann et al., 2011; Moltu et al., 2023).

2.2. The Sense of Self and Interpersonal Functioning in BPD

Our previous qualitative study (Sterna et al. 2025b) explored the BPD self-disturbance in a relational context; its aim was to empirically extract BPD-distinctive features of narrative identity and temporality, broadly conceptualized in phenomenological literature (Fuchs, 2007; Schmidt & Fuchs, 2021). 24 participants were interviewed at the early stage of long-term inpatient treatment program for personality disorders (range: 2-20 weeks, mean = 5.6, SD = 3.9); all met the ICD-10 criteria for BPD (World Health Organization, 2004). Interview-based diagnosis was supported by standardized tools for assessing personality disorder severity: Polish version of the LPFS-BF 2.0 (Łakuta et al., 2023) distinguished four categories: mild (20.8%), moderate (25.0%), severe (37.5%) and extreme (16.7%). Exclusion criteria were suicidal crisis, psychotic episode, or active substance use within the past three months. All participants were white and mostly female (N = 20), aged 18-29. The interview was based on section A (a description of one's life chapters) of McAdams Life Story Interview (1988), well-grounded for its utility in narrative identity exploration; it was supplemented with two questions addressing self-experience and temporality directly, namely a description of oneself and one's changes over time. A phenomenological variant of thematic analysis was used. Two researchers independently reviewed and re-read the transcripts. Meaningful units were identified, organized into patterns, and then developed into themes (Sundler et al., 2019). The process followed a hermeneutic cycle—moving between whole and part—to capture not only surface meanings but also underlying, latent content (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Table 1 summarizes the findings of the study in terms of major themes and their description. All themes have to do with the temporal intertwining of the self.

Table 1 BPD sense of self: summary of results of Sterna et. al. 2025b

Theme	Description
Harmful historicity	<p>Life story narratives predominantly comprised negative experiences. Sense of historicity was constructed from a collection of adverse life events, narrated as experientially consistent and sequential. Successive instances of being harmed, neglected, or abused were described in a way that conveyed a sense of painful continuity. Memories contrasting to the harmful storyline, were often blurred or entirely absent. Some participants commented on it directly, while others introduced subsequent wounding experiences as if nothing else existed in their lives. Participants emphasized feeling profoundly affected or even marked by early, harmful experiences, underscoring a sense of continuity between these experiences and their current emotional functioning: Participants ascribed meaning almost exclusively to adverse life events. The selective focus on these negative experiences, the internal consistency among them, and the belief that such events have fundamentally shaped their lives reflect a narrative identity dominated by harm. This form of harmful meaningfulness is critical to understanding participants' sense of identity. The predominance of a highly selective, one-dimensional life narrative—where adverse experiences are foregrounded and other potentially formative events are dismissed—results in a sense of historical continuity that is rigid and painfully lacking in plurality.</p>
Hindered self-development	<p>Participants reported that even though they are reflectively aware that years have passed since their most harmful experiences, they continue to struggle with their consequences. They expressed a sense that their past experiences restrict them so significantly that they are unable to progress or develop. Some reflected on specific episodes, such as moments of abandonment, which they revisited repeatedly throughout their lives, while others spoke of a generalized feeling of being immobilized by their past: This feeling of being stuck was partly attributed to the intensity of participants' emotional reactions to these experiences. Many described an inability to forgive those who had wronged them, often expressing a continued desire for revenge. Such emotional entanglement reflects a persistent nonacceptance of past events: Moreover, being bonded to one's past also refers to the felt sense that nothing significantly changed in one's interpretative patterns, emotional regulation, and self-image content. We argue that the sense of reliving a harmful experience, being restricted, and feeling stuck partially refers to an implicit sense of victimization, positioning individuals as passive re-experiencers of a particular sequence. However, given that one stays emotionally trapped in a non-progressing past, where self-transformation seems impossible, self-experience stays locked and fixed. It lacks open-mindedness and the potential to be revised. Consequently, individuals may struggle to absorb new experiences, remaining heavily influenced by past interpretations and emotional responses. Although time passes, they remain incapable of developing further.</p>

<p>Fluctuating self-esteem</p>	<p>Interviewees described alternating, dichotomous changes in their self-worth throughout their lives. They portrayed themselves in a contradictory manner, revealing internal opposites in their perceived value. These contradictions were not merely understood as ambivalence but as shifting identifications with radically different assessments of self-worth. At any given time, self-esteem oscillated between overestimation and underestimation. It seems that these fluctuations can be grasped reflectively only ex-post. Some participants were aware of their previous fluctuations and could report these felt opposites as they narrated their life stories, while others performed these contradictions spontaneously during the interviews. Regardless of the extent to which participants could articulate their experiences, changes were perceived as dichotomous, as if only two possible identifications existed. Participants reflectively recognized the unpredictability of their self-judgment, and felt subject to its fluctuations. They also doubted their capability for an accurate self-assessment. Implicitly, however, these fluctuations in self-assessment appear somewhat predictable, as the values they take are dichotomous rather than dispersed. Given that self-esteem takes extreme values, this secondarily provokes a sense of self-fragmentation. Additionally, changes in self-esteem seem relational, suggesting that individuals identify themselves as worthless or valuable in relation to others who are perceived compatibly or complementarily.</p>
<p>Disconnected episodic identifications</p>	<p>Participants reported experiencing significant internal variability throughout their lives. Their preferences, beliefs, behavioral patterns, and values changed dramatically based on their present affective state. The intensity of their emotional arousal felt so overwhelming that their self-experience was reduced to that of a passive agent subjected to rapid emotional changes. These changes were characterized not only by their rapidity but also by their unpredictability as if decontextualized and uncontrollable. Undergoing such intense changes was also secondarily thought-provoking in terms of self-image. Participants reported attempts to establish which of their performed states was closer to their core self-experience: We argue that the sense of affect-driven changeability is informative of the internal heterogeneity of self-experience. However, the range and dispersion of present identifications suggest that self-experience is not only heterogeneous but also fragmented. An explicitly expressed sense of passivity and unpredictability of changes in self-experience suggests one lacks the implicit mediating structure that integrates and provides continuity for every (even contradictory) experience. Instead, one feels like the sum of moments of immediate and total adjustment to the present affective state.</p>
<p>Blurred self-concept</p>	<p>This topic refers to the difficulty participants faced in self-definition. When asked directly, most struggled to describe themselves. Their self-descriptions were overly general and lacking in personal characteristics, while biographical facts failed to convey individuality: The problem with defining one's identity did not seem to stem from a lack of reflexivity. Rather, participants found it difficult to synthesize their changeability and extract stable, cross-contextual features: Participants also highlighted a diminished sense of belonging and self-directedness, which they believed would provide greater stability: Felt, implicit,</p>

	<p>discontinuous changeability appears to have explicit repercussions—namely, participants struggled to describe themselves and recapitulate their identities. Although they indirectly expressed their self-experience throughout the interviews, they appeared incapable of synthesizing it in a coherent, pointwise manner. Their self-experience seemed easier to perform than to reflect upon, making it difficult to track. Direct reflection on their characteristics appeared uncertain, leading to imprecise and blurred descriptions.</p>
Projected self-unpredictability	<p>Participants reported that their changeability was not limited to the past but remained an ongoing issue affecting their future content. They described how easily their future plans could change: This experience, however, was not restricted to mere changes in plans. Instead, it encompassed the entire self-experience. Rapid and contradictory changes in identity and self-image seemed to render participants unsure of their future selves. They prospectively described their changeability as if predicting their own unpredictability: Consequently, participants described their experience as being foreshortened and limited to the present, with the future appearing distant and unpredictable: The aforementioned sense of changeability and feeling of being lost in oneself are not merely retrospective reflections derived from past life events. Rather, self-experience is marked by ongoing unpredictability, which extends into the future. In this sense, it is not only future events that appear unpredictable but also future self-experience, including behavior, identification, attitudes, and more. This dynamic seems to undermine the sense of agency and self-directedness, as well as projected continuity. A paradoxical, implicit structure emerges here: I do predict my unpredictability; therefore, my future is as unpredictable as I am.</p>
Other as recurringly hurting	<p>Participants reported their lives being marked by significant enmity from others. They described their interpersonal relationships as painful and irrationally hostile. Regardless of the social context to which they were attributed, others were perceived as rejecting, harsh, violent, and abandoning. These experiences often were thought of as originating in the early family environment, but soon after continued at school and later on at work. Subsequent figures were introduced as harmful, again forming an experiential series. Past interpersonal wounds were described as continuously affecting participants' present self-experience: We argue that interpersonal dynamics is experienced as repetitive, forming a consistent, recurring pattern. The representation of the other forms a globalized view of hostility, leaving participants with a sense of being repeatedly victimized. In this sense, every interpersonal situation seems to lack actuality and is perceived in a quality of implicit sameness.</p>
Lack of belonging	<p>Participants reported that they feel separated from others across various social situations. Some attributed this internally: Others externally: The interviewees framed this lack of belonging differently – as isolation, loneliness, separation, detachment, and lack of connection. However, they all reported explicit difficulties with integrating with others. A shared aspect was an inability not only to connect (since connection happened accidentally) or integrate but rather to identify with the others,</p>

	and therefore to belong.
Other as defining self	Participants frequently described others as powerful figures capable of significantly shaping their sense of self. Throughout their harmful life narratives, the role of others as definers of identity was emphasized. Many participants reported feeling restricted and locked into the narratives imposed upon them by influential others, particularly caregivers, during their formative years. Some explicitly expressed that they identified with how others had defined them: Others expressed this more indirectly, first reporting caregivers' diminishing descriptions and soon after using the same phrases in their self-descriptions. For example, participants recalled harmful phrases, such as "too stupid" or "good for nothing," which resurfaced in moments of personal failure or disappointment, highlighting the lasting impact of these external evaluations: While the degree of internalization varied, a shared quality of these experiences was a sense of being determined by the hostile other. For some, these external narratives became fully internalized, shaping their enduring self-perceptions. For others, the narratives were recognized as external but continued to exert a powerful influence, particularly in moments of vulnerability. This dynamic underscores the relational aspect of self-experience in which participants felt shaped (usually constrained) by the powerful external force of others' judgments and definitions.
Self recreated in relation to other	Not only were past relationships perceived as influential on the sense of self, but present relationships were felt to be ongoingly influential. The presence of others was described as continually reshaping participants' characteristics and preferences. Participants observed that while in social contexts, it was difficult for them to maintain stability in their beliefs: In this respect, this social context-dependency was perceived to operate in a copy-paste manner. One becomes immediately identified with a certain facet of the self but soon has difficulty attributing that characteristic as truly belonging to oneself. One participant linked these changes to an inner sense of emptiness regarding the sense of having cross-situationally stable characteristics. Adopting external beliefs and preferences might have served as a coping mechanism for diffuse and unstable identity: Beyond affect-driven changeability, being overly attuned to the interpersonal atmosphere and therefore prone to recreation heavily influenced participants' sense of self. This provoked momentary identification with externally positioned characteristics and beliefs, leaving participants uncertain about their core identity.

<p>Stuck in over-absorbing intimacy</p>	<p>Participants reported that their close relationships provoked overly intense emotional reactions. Their descriptions of intimacy were marked by cycles of conflict and relational instability: For some, relational conflicts were perceived as resulting from their own affective instability: Others felt victimized by these conflicts, attributing their causes externally: Some participants reflected upon their life stories with a sense of having lost time, feeling stuck in the overintensity of relationships, unable to progress or develop: Intimacy was implicitly experienced as overintense and close-cycled, inherently marked by ups and downs. This dynamic persisted regardless of whether participants attributed the cause internally (to themselves) or externally (to others). Close relationships were described as simultaneously over-absorbing and repetitive in terms of patterns organizing them, fostering a sense of being caught in a cycle of instability. It suggests that the intense fluctuations within relationships contributed to a broader sense of repetitiveness between relationships, creating a paradoxical umbrella experience of stagnation. This stagnation was explicitly expressed as a sense of wasted or lost time, underscoring the unproductive or unevolving nature of relational patterns.</p>
<p>Anticipation of hostility</p>	<p>Participants reported that previous harmful relationships and the breakdowns of social integration began to influence their expectations of others. At some point they started anticipating hostility and rejection, similar to what they had experienced in the past. Some expressed this anticipation explicitly: Others rather lived that out, manifesting symptoms such as social phobia or staying overly anxious in social situations. Participants reported that, at some point, their interpersonal predictions became consistent, as if nothing new could be expected apart from previously experienced detachment and hostility: A hidden aspect of this experience appears to be that previous harmful interactions became generalized. Not only did they influence participants' views of others from the past, but they also began shaping future expectations. Once a certain interpersonal scenario was established, it was felt as inevitably repeating itself, leaving participants trapped in anticipation of rejection and hostility. Prediction based on felt relational wounds were globalized. This also highlights that the other lacked autonomy.</p>

1.3. AI-augmented qualitative analysis

Taking the previous study results as a point of departure, this project contributes to the emerging field of empirically grounded phenomenology by testing the ability of generative artificial intelligence (AI) models to reproduce nuances and overly abstract and partly philosophical insights concerning the lived temporal experience in BPD. Inductive thematic analysis of healthcare qualitative interviews using large language models was already tested (Mathis et al., 2024). LLMs' analysis of human-generated narratives has recently proved the capacity to effectively predict the level of psychopathology (Ringwald et al., 2025). AI-analyzed life narratives were also used to predict personality traits (Oltmanns et al., 2025). Generative AI has appeared useful at various stages of qualitative data analysis, including generating codes, clusters, and

themes, increasing the analysis's general trustworthiness (Nguyen-Trung, 2025). One obvious advantage, if treated as subsidiarily, and not as primary interpretative agent, is that LLMs may perform alternative thematic grouping and enhance research quality via addressing human limitations (e.g., fatigue, difficulties synthesizing while being overloaded with the data (Valenzuela-Moguillansky & V.squez-Rosati, 2019). Human-led thematic analysis may thus be challenged and critically compared with the AI-enhanced one to reduce potential interpretative bias and increase the reliability of outcomes. Hypothetically, both approaches have their advantages and disadvantages. While human-led analysis may be prone to data overload and, therefore, limited in terms of effective material synthesis, LLMs may more effectively engage in pattern-seeking. Nevertheless, the outcomes may be restricted by overreliance on face-value meanings.

The challenge of the current research is that the original study involved several, potentially difficult to AI-reproduce strategies, such as 1) ongoing self-reflection upon emerging analytical biases (the phenomenological principle of epoché applied in qualitative research); 2) focus on latent meanings as opposed to synthesis of superficial linguistic meanings; 3) imaginative operations attempting to reconstruct participants' "lifeworld" from the text (Sterna et al., 2025b). The aim of this study, therefore, was to validate the accuracy of AI analysis of the same data. We employed a mixed mode involving both blinded and non-blinded expert judges in phenomenology and clinical psychology, and used both a set of predefined criteria for quantitatively rating congruence and open qualitative assessments.

2. Methods

2.1 AI analysis

The same set of instructions was applied to three language models, Open AI GPT 4o, Google Gemini 2.5 Pro, and Anthropic Claude Opus 4, all with default hyperparameter settings.

- a) To lay the ground for further comparisons between human-led and AI-assisted analysis, the models were first prompted to mimic the specific interpretative style of the primary human investigator of the original study [A.S.]. This was done by an advanced persona prompting guided by the actual, predefined professional characteristics of A.S. (background, experience, courses in qualitative research, interpretative biases). This strategy is particularly relevant in tasks requiring high openness, such as qualitative analysis (Olea et al., 2025).
- b) To elicit optimal and relevant responses, the prompting structure followed the CO-STAR model (Teo, 2023) comprising: context, objective, style, tone, audience and response. As part of the context provision, models were familiarized with the published meta-synthesis of research on temporal experience in BPD (Sterna et. al. 2025a) that was written by the authors of the original qualitative study, and affected their background knowledge of the research issue (a bias to be reproduced in the AI analysis).

- c) The models were also familiarized with a concrete textbook instruction regarding thematic analysis of qualitative data that was used in the original study.
- d) The models were given the same demographic background information on the patients as the researchers as well as the original research questions, to which the later provided data was an answer.
- e) The models were asked to perform thematic analysis in a style aligning with the previously sketched persona and with the responses intended for scientific, phenomenological-psychopathological audience. Three iterative rounds were conducted for each model to ensure internal consistency.
- f) The models were prompted to integrate the best elements of all three analyses and develop a refined thematic synthesis that addresses any flaws and better honors the phenomenological-experiential data. The refined analysis based on iterations' synthesis for each model organized the results into final themes that constituted the final dataset for the next stage of research.

2.2. Non-blinded congruence evaluation

Two principal investigators and both co-authors of the original study, a phenomenological philosopher and a phenomenological psychologist, evaluated the AI outputs for congruence with the human analysis, taken as referential for models' evaluation. The final, refined themes from each of the models were compared one by one to human themes and coded categorically as either covering or not covering the referential meanings. Given that the number of AI- and human-generated themes differed, the researchers evaluated congruence also cross-thematically, meaning that if constituents of a human-generated theme were split into several AI-generated themes, such a theme was assessed as congruent with the AI. The assessment was done consensually and dialogically, with very high initial agreement; any minor differences in coding were cleared out naturally.

Secondly, the researchers calculated the Jaccard coefficient for each of the models to provide a quantified overview of the thematic overlap. This time, the unit of comparison was the semantics of each theme as a whole, judged as congruent and calculated into the interaction units when the semantic overlap was consensually assessed as over 50%.

The limitation of both techniques was that any novel insights given by the AI would be, in principle, treated as false positives, even if these could be manually proven to be insightful and missing from the human analysis. Therefore, incongruent themes subsequently underwent manual verification against the original data and were interpreted as either nonsensical artifacts or novel contributions.

2.3. Non-blinded evaluation of validity

To comparatively assess the more nuanced qualitative aspects of all analyses, we developed a set of criteria based on previous research on qualitative assessments, first

screened for their applicability to both human and AI outputs, and then synthesized into distinct eight categories. These were: substantiveness of contribution, groundness in the data, conceptual coherence, richness and complexity, theoretical integration, multivocality, practical usefulness, and credibility. Table 2 lists all the criteria and their descriptions referenced to previous research. All four interpretations were evaluated independently by the two researchers, with each criterion applied to the whole analysis (all themes *en bloc*) and assessed on a five-point Likert scale (with five representing a strong agreement).

Table 2 Quality rating criteria for comparatively assessing human and AI interpretative outputs

Criterion name	Criterion description
Substantiveness of contribution	The analysis problematizes previous theoretical assumptions, deepen understanding and therefore contribute meaningfully to understanding phenomenon (Tracy, 2010).
Groundness in the data	Findings are clearly derived from raw data, analysis include categories with definitions (Charmaz, 2006) and themes are sufficiently supported by the quotes (Rocco, 2010).
Conceptual coherence	Structure of an analysis is easy to follow, guiding a reader through narrative (Spencer, 2003). Themes are logically structured and clearly related, exhibiting high power of description (Yardley, 2000).
Richness and complexity	The analysis extends surface-level reporting, unpack the nuances and subtlety in the data by referring to both: explicit and implicit layer (Spencer, 2003). Number of patterns is accurate to the complexity and length of the given material and rich, descriptive story about the data is provided (Braun & Clarke, 2025). The analyses complete, by covering all the necessary aspects to report (Yardley, 2000).
Theoretical integration	Analysis enriches the understanding of the phenomenon under investigation. It refers to preexisting theoretical insights by linking, developing or challenging it (Charmaz, 2006; Yardley, 2000)
Multivocality	The analysis provides a space for variety by including divergent viewpoints and alternative positions. Diversity of content is well-explored (Spencer, 2003).
Practical usefulness	Findings are practically useful in terms of their clinical relevance (Spencer et al., 2003; Yardley, 2000).
Credibility	Themes descriptions are thick, so cover both: specificity and circumstantiality of the data (Tracy, 2010). “Building blocks” of themes’ descriptions are evident (Spencer, 2003).

2.3. Blinded external evaluation

In the second stage of analysis, two external expert evaluators, whose profiles mirrored those of the original interpreters, were involved. MP is a philosopher specializing in phenomenology, philosophy of mind, and philosophy of cognitive science, with a particular focus on consciousness, psychopathology, and the methodological integration of qualitative and quantitative research methods. PF is a systemic-psychoanalytic therapist and clinical psychologist with additional training in psychotraumatology and sexology, with a particular focus on psychosomatic and post-traumatic disorders. Both experts were asked to familiarize themselves with the original raw interview data (ca. 100k words) and then given all four thematic analyses without the information that some were not human-made. They were only informed that four distinct methods of qualitative analysis were employed and that their task is to assess their validity. Otherwise, the instruction and criteria were exactly the same as in the non-blinded evaluation of validity (eight categories on a five-point Likert scale), but in addition the experts were asked to justify each decision by a qualitative description. When the task was completed, it was revealed that some of the interpretations were AI-made, and the evaluators were asked to order the four outputs from the most to the least AI-probable. Finally, the source of each analysis was revealed, and the experts were asked to comment on the differences between the validity of human and AI analysis in a non-blinded mode.

2.4. Summary comparative analysis

Krippendorff's Alpha (Krippendorff, 2019) was employed to assess the inter-rater reliability of all four coders since it is particularly suited for studies with multiple raters and different levels of measurement, using K-Alpha Calculator (Marzi et al., 2024). The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for comparing validity scores of the four groups, and the Dunn-Bonferroni test for *post hoc* analysis. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to quantify the relationship between the number of words and summary scores for each mode of analysis. The qualitative outcomes were summarized by all researchers.

3. Results

3.1. Quality

The results of the AI-augmented analysis are presented in Table 3. The models' outputs varied in terms of the number of themes and words. GPT distinguished 5 themes with 220 words (M=44 per theme), Gemini 7 themes with 681 words (M=97.3 per theme), and Claude 11 themes with 412 words (M=37.5 words per theme). The original analysis was 12 themes and 2031 words (M=169 words per theme).

Table 3 Qualitative results of AI-augmented analysis

GPT 4o	
Theme	Description
<i>Temporal Dislocation and Discontinuity</i>	Participants described profound disruptions in how time is experienced, often as disjointed, stagnant, or emotionally recursive. Past events were either lost, overly present, or reinterpreted by current affective states. Future possibilities felt blurred, uncertain, or inaccessible, pointing to a lived temporal dislocation rather than a linear narrative.
<i>Selfhood in Flux: Instability of Identity Over Time</i>	A recurring pattern was the unstable experience of self across time. Participants narrated an inability to recognize themselves in past or future projections, with shifting traits, values, and even memories. Selfhood emerged as something episodic, relationally entangled, and difficult to anchor across lived time.
<i>Relational Imprint and Emotional Infiltration</i>	Early and significant relationships particularly with caregivers appeared as emotionally saturating and difficult to differentiate from the self. Participants often spoke of carrying others' voices within them or being unable to tell where the other ended and they began. These relationships structured their experience of who they are, especially in conflict or absence.
<i>Withdrawal from Shared Temporality and Belonging</i>	Participants described social time as inaccessible or anxiety-inducing. Feeling 'out of sync' with others or 'not from this world' was common. There was a difficulty in inhabiting intersubjective rhythms, contributing to isolation and confusion in social roles and identities.
<i>Fragile Moments of Coherence and Future Orientation</i>	Amid fragmentation, some participants described glimpses of narrative clarity or hope. Often tied to treatment, learning, or relationships, these moments reflected efforts to reclaim ownership over time and self, even if temporarily fragile or aspirational in nature.
Gemini 2.5 Pro	
Theme	Description
<i>The Enduring Shadow of an Adverse Early Environment</i>	This theme highlights the profound and pervasively felt impact of early life experiences, which were frequently described as characterized by various forms of trauma, neglect, sustained parental conflict, or a fundamental lack of emotional safety and consistent nurturance. For these individuals, the past is not a static backdrop but an active, intrusive, and often overwhelmingly negative force that shapes their present experiencing of themselves, others, and the world. It's a "shadow" that is lived as an ongoing burden, coloring current perceptions and contributing to a felt sense of a disrupted or contaminated life trajectory. This lived past often underpins a difficulty in establishing a secure base from which to navigate present challenges and future possibilities.

<p><i>The Unstable and Fragmented Experience of Self</i></p>	<p>This theme speaks to a core identity disturbance characterized by a painful and confusing lack of a stable, cohesive sense of self. Participants frequently voiced an inability to answer "who am I?", describing an internal experience of emptiness, of being "nikim" (nobody), or of being like a chameleon, compelled to adopt different personas to fit external contexts without a felt sense of an authentic, enduring core. This fragmented self-experience is lived as a distressing instability, making it difficult to form a consistent life narrative, maintain stable interests or values, and often leading to a profound sense of being lost or unreal.</p>
<p><i>Overwhelmed by the Immediacy and Intensity of Emotional Life</i></p>	<p>This theme captures the experience of emotions as exceptionally intense, overwhelming, rapidly fluctuating, and profoundly difficult to modulate. Emotions are often lived with a raw, unbearable immediacy, appearing suddenly and engulfing the individual, thereby disrupting any sense of ongoing agency or smooth temporal flow. This can lead to a state of feeling constantly on edge, at the mercy of unpredictable emotional storms (including anger, profound sadness, terror, and emptiness), which in turn fuels impulsive attempts to escape or manage these unbearable states.</p>
<p><i>The Turmoil of Connection: Instability and Fear in Interpersonal Relationships</i></p>	<p>Interpersonal relationships are consistently portrayed as a central domain of intense longing and profound suffering. This theme highlights the lived experience of relationships as inherently unstable, fraught with intense fear (of abandonment, rejection, engulfment, or betrayal), and often characterized by chaotic patterns. A deep desire for closeness and understanding often coexists with a fundamental mistrust and an anticipation of being hurt, leading to approach-avoidance dynamics. These patterns often seem to be a re-experiencing or re-enactment of early, insecure, or traumatic relational templates, where the individual feels caught in painful, repetitive interpersonal cycles.</p>
<p><i>Life Lived in a Disrupted Temporality: A Disjointed Past, an Overwhelming Present, and a Foreshortened Future</i></p>	<p>This theme reflects a fundamental disturbance in the lived experience of time, profoundly impacting the sense of self and life narrative. The past is often experienced not as an integrated history but as intrusive fragments, raw unassimilated traumas that bleed into the present, or as a confusing, inaccessible void. The present is frequently lived as a series of disconnected, overwhelming moments, dominated by immediate affective intensity, making it difficult to establish a sense of continuity or broader perspective. Consequently, the future is often un-graspable—experienced as foreshortened, blurred, threatening, or lacking personal investment ("mine-less"). This disruption hinders the capacity to construct a coherent life story and to project oneself meaningfully into the future.</p>
<p><i>Coping Through Self-Destructive Avenues</i></p>	<p>This theme describes the array of often desperate and damaging behaviors employed as attempts to manage overwhelming internal states. Self-harm, substance abuse, impulsive acts, and disordered eating are frequently narrated not merely as "symptoms" but as subjectively meaningful (though ultimately destructive) efforts to regulate unbearable emotions, to escape an intolerable sense of self or reality, to feel something in the face of emptiness, or to exert some control over an otherwise chaotic internal world. These actions, while providing momentary perceived relief, typically reinforce cycles of suffering, shame, and instability.</p>

<i>The Persistent Sense of Otherness and a Yearning for Normalcy</i>	This theme highlights a pervasive and painful felt sense of being fundamentally different, alien, flawed, or an outcast in relation to others and to the perceived social world. This experience of "otherness" is often deeply ingrained, contributing to feelings of isolation, shame, and inadequacy. It coexists with, and is often intensified by, a powerful and poignant yearning for a life that feels "normal"—a life characterized by stability, genuine connection, acceptance, a sense of purpose, and inner peace. This desired "normalcy" frequently appears as an idealized and tragically unattainable state.
Claude Opus 4	
Theme	Description
<i>Temporal Fragmentation and Narrative Discontinuity</i>	Participants consistently experience profound disruption in temporal continuity, where past, present, and future lose coherent connection. Life is experienced as disconnected fragments rather than a coherent narrative, with individuals feeling "stuck" in repetitive cycles or trapped in perpetual immediacy without historical continuity or future projection.
<i>Relational Trauma and Attachment Chaos</i>	Relationships emerge as both desperately needed and fundamentally threatening. The core experience involves overwhelming fear of abandonment coupled with terror of engulfment, creating patterns of intense, unstable relationships characterized by cycles of idealization and devaluation, often rooted in early attachment disruptions.
<i>Identity Diffusion and Authentic Self-Loss</i>	Participants express profound uncertainty about their core identity, describing themselves as having multiple, contradictory selves or as being "nobody." The authentic self becomes lost beneath chameleon-like adaptations to different social contexts, creating existential confusion about who they truly are.
<i>Affective Extremes and Embodied Emotional Overwhelm</i>	Emotional experience is characterized by intense, immediate, and somatic manifestations that feel uncontrollable and all-consuming. Participants describe living between extremes with no stable middle ground - rapid shifts between euphoria and despair, idealization and devaluation, creating an exhausting inner landscape where emotions are experienced as physical forces that completely overtake consciousness.
<i>The Absent or Distorted Childhood</i>	A pervasive theme involves childhood experiences marked by absence - either literal absence of nurturing relationships or psychological absence of developmental needs being met. Many describe never truly having a childhood, being forced into premature adulthood, or experiencing childhood as a period of survival rather than growth.
<i>Systemic Invalidation and Developmental Trauma</i>	Participants describe pervasive invalidation from family systems, institutions, and society that fundamentally disrupts normal developmental processes. This creates cumulative trauma where the individual's reality, needs, and boundaries are consistently negated or violated.
<i>The Body as Battleground</i>	Self-harm, eating disorders, and somatic symptoms feature prominently as ways participants attempt to manage overwhelming internal states. The body becomes a site of both self-punishment and paradoxical self-care, offering concrete control when psychological control feels impossible.

<i>Existential Isolation Despite Desperate Connection-Seeking</i>	A profound sense of not belonging permeates participants' accounts - feeling alien in the world while simultaneously desperately seeking connection. This creates a painful paradox where isolation feels safer yet unbearable.
<i>Repetition Compulsion and Cyclical Existence</i>	Life is experienced as an endless repetition of the same painful patterns, relationships, and failures. Participants describe feeling trapped in cycles they can recognize but feel powerless to break, contributing to a sense of temporal stasis.
<i>The Search for External Validation of Internal Experience</i>	Participants consistently seek external confirmation of their worth, reality, and right to exist. This reflects fundamental uncertainty about the validity of their own perceptions and feelings, requiring constant external mirroring.
<i>Ambivalent Relationship with Care and Therapy</i>	The therapeutic relationship emerges as both desperately needed and deeply threatening. Participants describe simultaneous longing for help and terror of vulnerability, creating complex dynamics around receiving care.

3.2. Congruence

The cross-thematic congruence of GPT was nonexistent; the outputs of Claude were assessed as covering 5 of the 12 (58%) original themes, and the outputs of Gemini as covering 7 of the 12 original themes (42%). The Jaccard coefficient was 0.21 for GPT, 0.27 for Gemini, and 0.28 for Claude, which is at the verge of low and moderate. The discrepancy between those two measures stems from the difference between the methods. The assumption of the overlap measure was the full semantic coverage, but at the subthematic level, with the possibility of a split between the AI-generated themes. The assumption of the Jaccard measure was semantic overlap of >50% but only at the main thematic level, treated as a single unit. Hence, the value of absolute overlap could be higher than Jaccard for a given model when original meanings were more scattered between particular AI themes, and the value of Jaccard could be higher than absolute overlap when the predominant congruence at the main theme level was not backed up by the full congruence when looked at the scattered subthematic details theme by theme. In this sense, the Jaccard coefficient lacks sensitivity and misses possible intersections at the subthematic level.

Despite the seemingly erroneous GPT output, one of the produced themes appeared significant as it helped us notice a deficit-oriented bias in the original theme formation. While human researchers of the original study stayed focused on the temporal fragmentation of self, GPT detected “fragile moments of coherence” in one of its themes, described as moments that “reflected efforts to reclaim ownership over time and self, even if temporarily fragile or aspirational in nature”. Similarly, Claude’s analysis helped to identify significant aspects of bodily manifestations of the distress (described in the theme “The Body as Battleground”) as well as the aspects of relational trauma and premature adulthood (described in the theme "The absent or distorted childhood"), which were incorrectly neglected in the original interpretation. Gemini

Pro, in turn, brought the topics of substance abuse (presented in the theme “Coping through self-destructive avenues”) in the proper context of emotion regulation to a closer inspection. Subsequent verification against the original data confirmed the importance of incorporating those themes into the initial analysis, with the AI-generated content proving insightful *despite its absence in the human interpretation*. As such, the results are promising in terms of a potential advantage of AI analysis in targeting omissions and thus increasing the sensitivity of human qualitative insights.

3.3. Validity

Krippendorff’s Alpha, indicating the extent of agreement among raters beyond chance, reached a satisfactory level of ≥ 0.80 as suggested by Krippendorff (2019) for Claude (.081) and Gemini (.84), was acceptable for GPT for tentative conclusions (.69), but low for human analysis (.55). This was likely due to skewed distribution of human analysis, with coders varying between high-end scores of 4 and 5, which still counted as disagreement. The rating of 5 dominated, indicating a strong coder tendency to assign the highest score. It was likely due to the bias of original evaluators toward their own interpretation, to which they have given 5 in all criteria except multivocality, which scored 4. The latter was due to the realization of the qualitative false negatives brought to their attention by the AI analysis. Also, the authors of the original study consistently gave higher ratings than blinded coders, with identical means for the pairs, which signifies the bias (4.875 vs 4.25). Nevertheless, the near-agreement within ± 1 point between coders was approximately 85.71%. For these reasons, to mitigate bias without settling the discrepancies, we treated the scores as independent values and averaged the data from all coders.

Human analysis reached the highest mean score of 4.56 ($Me = 4$; $Min-Max = 3-5$), second was Gemini with 4.21 ($Me = 4$; $Min-Max = 3-5$), third Claude with 3.68 ($Me = 4$; $Min-Max = 2-5$) and fourth GPT with 2.65 ($Me = 3$; $Min-Max = 1-4$). Kruskal-Wallis test showed significant differences between groups ($H = 60.2645$, $p < .00001$) with a mean rank for human analysis 93.47, Gemini 2.5 Pro 79.33, Claude Opus 4 59.53, and GPT 4o 25.67. Post-hoc comparisons using Dunn-Bonferroni test indicated that mean rank scoring for both human analysis and Gemini 2.5 Pro were significantly higher than for GPT 4o ($p < .0001$ both) and Claude Opus 4 ($p < .0001$ both). There was no significant difference between the human and Gemini 2.5 Pro analysis.

Interestingly, both the quantity of text produced (from 220 words of GPT to 2031 of humans) and the number of words per theme (from 44 in GPT to 169 in humans) correlated strongly (Pearson’s $R = .78$ and $.80$ respectively) with the scores, suggesting that the latter could be higher if the models were explicitly prompted to generate more themes and illustrations, although the amount of text might as well not converge into qualitative nuance. These results are, however, not significant due to the small sample size. Table 4 summarizes the results.

Table 4 Summary of results

	Human	GPT 4o	Gemini Pro 2.5	Claude Opus 4
Words/themes/ words per theme‡	2031/12/169	220/5/44	681/7/97.3	412/11/37.5
Congruence/ Jaccard coefficient	-	0/.21	42%/.27	58%/.28
Validity score M(Me)Min- Max‡	4.56(4)3-5*†	2.65(3)1-4*	4.21(4)3-5*†	3.68(4)2-5*
Recognized as AI	-	Yes	No	Yes

* Kruskal-Wallis $H = 60.2645$, $p < .00001$

† Dunn-Bonferroni Human vs GPT & Claude $p < .00001$; Gemini vs GPT & Claude $p < .00001$

‡ Pearson's R no. words to validity score .78; words per theme to validity score .80

3.4. Justification

The second task of external experts was to determine the probability of the analysis being based on generative AI. MP recognized, with strong confidence, GPT and Claude as artificial, while PF equally strongly indicated only GPT, although also seriously considered Claude. Consequently, neither recognized Gemini as artificial, which aligned with its highest overlap of 0.58 as given by original interpreters, and both correctly identified human analysis as human, which was also the one with the highest overall score of 4.25. On the other hand, the recognition and justification of GPT artificiality did not presuppose an overall negative assessment of its capabilities, since their overall score was merely close to neutral ($M=2.88$). In contrast, Gemini, with its overall assessment score of 4.0 (4.21 in all coders - the highest among the models), was falsely recognized as human by both external evaluators. Their qualitative explanation of GPT artificiality thus brought nuance to the correct identification, with the experts indicating complementary characteristics. In terms of the substantiveness of contribution, they noticed that exclusive focus on temporality limits and does not deepen understanding. Similarly, in terms of groundness in the data, the themes appeared theoretically and not empirically grounded, with schematic and superficially related quotations. In terms of conceptual coherence, the structure was clear even if rigid, but the topics sounded textbook-like, were not sufficiently diverse, and did not fully cover the data. In terms of richness and complexity, the analysis was again found to be overly focused on temporality, insufficiently interpretative, and overlooking the nuance of data. In terms of theoretical integration, the results appeared correct as far as BPD is concerned, but at the same time seemed to reproduce and not develop the theory. In terms of multivocality, the perspective of temporality was overlooking other possible positions. In terms of practical usefulness, the analysis appeared superficial, even though it was accurate in localizing key clinical issues. In terms of credibility, the

themes did not cover the whole data, and even if clinically aligned with the knowledge of BPD, they appeared smoothed. Overall, GPT analysis was considered overdetermined theoretically and with too rigid a focus on temporality.

In contrast, the qualities of Gemini analysis recognized as human had to do with interpretative nuance and deepening clinical insight. In terms of the substantiveness of contribution, the analysis revealed significant connections between various factors, enhanced understanding of the qualitative data, and effectively highlighted destructive coping patterns. In terms of groundness in the data, the quotes have been selected with precision, aptly illustrating the key phenomena. In terms of conceptual coherence, the themes interlocked and formed a consistent narrative. In terms of richness and complexity, the analysis went beyond surface-level description and captured the emotional and behavioral dimensions of self-destructiveness. In terms of theoretical integration, there was a clear reference to existing theory and DSM classifications and a simultaneous demonstration of novelty regarding the link between identity and temporality. Multivocality was considered neutral, but in terms of practical usefulness, the findings provided a solid foundation for formulating therapeutic goals. Finally, in terms of credibility, the diverse categories both covered the material and aligned with clinical experience.

In the non-blinded mode, however, the same experts indicated that AI analyses were overall more superficial than those conducted by human experts. They merely described the data rather than identified relationships between aspects of the phenomena under study. The experts noticed that identifying such relationships—particularly causal or functional ones—is essential for an analysis to have clinical relevance and explanatory power. In other words, recognizing these relations enables the formulation of explanatory hypotheses or the revision of the theory behind treatment and psychotherapy. This recognition was clearly evident in the analyses conducted by human experts. Another issue with the AI analyses noticed in the non-blinded mode was the strong impression that the descriptive categories were biased and applied in a top-down manner, rather than being derived from the data. As a result, they corresponded only weakly with the data and failed to capture the complexity of the phenomena.

4. Discussion

This study demonstrated the efficacy of three mainstream LLMs in conducting thematic analysis of BPD narratives concerning the self in time that partly mirrors the results of human consensual interpretation. The clear advantage of AI-augmented qualitative analysis is its speed, whereas the question of accuracy remains debatable. The top performance of Gemini, which showed no significant differences in scoring with the human analysis, was reflected by its recognition as human, which had to do with interpretative nuance and deepening clinical insight. The worst performance by GPT was due to theoretical overdetermination and too rigid a focus on temporality, which was recognized as artificial. Still, human analysis was not only a priori referential in terms of validity, but it was also assessed as the best by blinded experts.

Despite the human-like performance of Gemini, the stability of results was low, with a significant degree of variability in the outputs of different AI models. While variability is commonly found in human qualitative studies, the difference in variability requires empirical verification through systematic comparisons of multiple AI- and human-generated research outputs. Another issue is the limited methodological transparency of generative AI. While human self-reflection also does not guarantee complete transparency, it enables the identification of personal biases (either individually or in collaboration with the research team). In contrast, the latent AI biases are more difficult to control and address. At the same time, all models successfully identified omitted themes, which indicates the limits of human researchers facing large datasets, particularly in synthesizing all the relevant issues. AI-generated analyses were likely effective in this respect due to the lack of cognitive fatigue.

In the original study, the results of thematic analysis were further refined in the phenomenological mode to identify invariant structures of experience. Whether the studied LLMs are capable of producing such a complex and integrated structure remains uncertain. We must bear in mind that while the AI-generated thematic outputs appeared cohesive, it was largely due to the interpretive efforts of human evaluators, who judged these outputs in terms of meaningful wholes. The LLMs themselves provided only a constellation of thematically related elements. Nevertheless, it is conceivable that even minor changes in prompting could improve the results. Differing prompting techniques between the models might also render their results more relevant. In the current study, the prompting had to be consistent across all models for comparison, which leaves space for improvement in real research situations.

3.3. Conclusion

This study showed that AI-augmented analysis may be indistinguishable by experts from human-led analysis, not just superficially in terms of comparing the outcomes, but when confronted with the data in the blinded mode. It also proves that constructive meta-prompting techniques, which do not require fine-tuning the models, render qualitative analysis of first-person data feasible in many respects, although with significant degree of variability. While thematic congruence between the results of human and AI analysis varies from low to medium, the models have the capacity to spot the themes omitted by humans and thus increase the sensitivity of qualitative insights. As such, generative AI may be used as an interpretative bias mitigation tool, given it is subjected to manual scrutiny of results by human interpreters. Although evidence of the true potential for qualitative phenomenology remains elusive, large language models can safely play a supportive role in the analysis of first-person data.

Author contributions Conceptualization: AS&MM, Data curation: AS&MM, Formal analysis: All authors, Funding acquisition: AS&MM, Investigation: All authors, Methodology: AS&MM, Writing – original draft: AS&MM, Writing – review and editing: All authors

Funding sources: The initial research was funded by the National Science Center, Poland [No. 2021/42/E/HS1/00106] and complemented by the Institute IDEAS, Warsaw. Anna Sterna is a participant in STER Internationalization of Doctoral Schools Program from NAWA Polish National Agency for Academic Exchange No. PPI/STE/2020/1/00014/DEC/02. NAWA is only a founder of the doctoral scholarship and has no role either in data collection or paper preparation. The initial research was also co-financed by a large research grant from statutory funding for young researchers for 2022.

References

- Agnew, G., Shannon, C., Ryan, T., Storey, L., & McDonnell, C. (2016). Self and identity in women with symptoms of borderline personality: A qualitative study. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-Being*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3402/qhw.v11.30490>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706QP063OA>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2025). Reporting guidelines for qualitative research: A values-based approach. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 22(2), 399–438.
- Charmaz, K. (2006). *Constructing Grounded Theory: A Practical Guide through Qualitative Analysis*. Sage.
- Cieciuch, J., Łakuta, P., Strus, W., Oltmanns, J. R., & Widiger, T. A. (2022). Assessment of Personality Disorder in the ICD-11 Diagnostic System: Polish Validation of the Personality Inventory for ICD-11. *Psychiatria Polska*, 56(6), 1185–1202. <https://doi.org/10.12740/pp/onlinefirst/138563>
- Dammann, G., Hügli, C., Selinger, J., Gremaud-Heitz, D., Sollberger, D., Wiesbeck, G. A., Küchenhoff, J., & Walter, M. (2011). The Self-image in Borderline Personality Disorder: an In-depth Qualitative Research Study. *Journal of Personality Disorders*, 25(4), 517–527.
- Faggioli, I., Esposito, C. M., & Stanghellini, G. (2024). Identity and Temporal Fragmentation in Borderline Personality Disorder: A Systematic Review. *Brain Sciences* 2024, Vol. 14, Page 1221, 14(12), 1221. <https://doi.org/10.3390/BRAINSCI14121221>
- Fuchs, T. (2007). Fragmented selves: temporality and identity in borderline personality disorder. *Psychopathology*, 40(6), 379–387. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000106468>
- Jørgensen, C. R., Berntsen, D., Bech, M., Kjølbye, M., Bennedsen, B. E., & Ramsgaard, S. B. (2012). Identity-related autobiographical memories and cultural life scripts in patients with Borderline Personality Disorder. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 21(2), 788–798. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CONCOG.2012.01.010>
- Jørgensen, C. R., & Bøye, R. (2022a). How Does It Feel to Have a Disturbed Identity? The Phenomenology of Identity Diffusion in Patients With Borderline Personality Disorder: A Qualitative Study. *Journal: Journal of Personality Disorders*, 36(1), 40–69. <https://doi.org/10.1521/pedi.2021.35.526>
- Krippendorff, K. (2019). *Content Analysis: An Introduction to Its Methodology* (4th Ed.). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781071878781>

- Lakuta, P., Ciecuch, J., Strus, W., & Hutsebaut, J. (2023). Level of Personality Functioning Scale-Brief Form 2.0: Validity and reliability of the Polish adaptation. *Psychiatria Polska*, 57(2), 247–260. <https://doi.org/10.12740/PP/OnlineFirst/145912>
- Marzi, G., Balzano, M., & Marchiori, D. (2024). K-Alpha Calculator —Krippendorff's Alpha Calculator: A User-Friendly Tool for Computing Krippendorff's Alpha Inter-Rater Reliability Coefficient. *MethodsX*, 12, 102545. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2023.102545>
- Mathis, W. S., Zhao, S., Pratt, N., Weleff, J., & De Paoli, S. (2024). Inductive thematic analysis of healthcare qualitative interviews using open-source large language models: How does it compare to traditional methods? *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 255, 108356. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CMPB.2024.108356>
- McAdams, D. P. (1988). *Power, Intimacy, and the Life Story: Personological Inquiries Into Identity*. Guilford Press.
- Moltu, C., Kverme, B., Veseth, M., & Natvik, E. (2023). How people diagnosed with borderline personality disorder experience relationships to oneself and to others. A qualitative in-depth study. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-Being*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17482631.2022.2152220>
- Nguyen-Trung, K. (2025). ChatGPT in Thematic Analysis: Can AI become a research assistant in qualitative research?. *Quality & Quantity*, 1-34.
- Olea, C., Tucker, H., Phelan, J., Pattison, C., Zhang, S., Lieb, M., Schmidt, D., & White, J. (2025). Evaluating Persona Prompting for Question Answering Tasks. *Proceedings of the 2025 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 131.
- Oltmanns, J. R., Khandelwal, R., Ma, J., Brickman, J., Do, T., Hussain, R., Gupta, M., & Louis, I. S. (2025). Language-Based AI Modeling of Personality Traits and Pathology from Life Narrative Interviews. In *Reviews*.
- Perseus, K. I., Ekdahl, S., Åsberg, M., & Samuelsson, M. (2005). To Tame a Volcano: Patients with Borderline Personality Disorder and Their Perceptions of Suffering. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing*, 19(4), 160–168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APNU.2005.05.001>
- Ringwald, W. R., Norel, R., Bauer, B., Cecchi, G., & Kotov, R. (2025). Uncovering the structure of psychopathology through large-scale text analysis. DOI: [10.31234/osf.io/bx4pd_v1](https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/bx4pd_v1)
- Rocco, T. S. (2010). Criteria for evaluating qualitative studies. *Human Resource Development International*, 13(4), 375–378 <https://doi.org/10.1080/13678868.2010.501959>
- Schmidt, P. (2020). Nobody? Disturbed Self-Experience in Borderline Personality Disorder and Four Kinds of Instability. In C. Tewes & G. Stanghellini (Eds.), *Time and Body: Phenomenological and Psychopathological Approaches* (pp. 206–229). Cambridge University Press.
- Schmidt, P., & Fuchs, T. (2021). The Unbearable Dispersion of Being: Narrativity and Personal Identity in Borderline Personality Disorder. *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences*, 20(2), 321–340. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11097-020-09712-Z/METRICS>
- Spencer, L., Ritchie, J., Lewis, J., & Dillon, L. (2003). *Quality in Qualitative Evaluation: A framework for assessing research evidence*, National Centre for Social Research, ISBN: 07715 04465 8.
- Spodenkiewicz, M., Speranza, M., Taïeb, O., Pham-Scottez, A., Corcos, M., & Révah-Levy, A. (2013). Living from Day to Day – Qualitative Study on Borderline Personality Disorder in Adolescence. *Journal of the Canadian Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 22(4), 282. [/pmc/articles/PMC3825468/](https://pmc/articles/PMC3825468/)

- Stanghellini, G. (2023). Homo dissipans; Excess and Expenditure as Keys for Understanding the Borderline Condition? *Psychopathology*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000529130>
- Stanghellini, G., & Mancini, M. (2019). The Life-World of Persons with Borderline Personality Disorder. *The Oxford Handbook of Phenomenological Psychopathology, October*, 664–681. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198803157.013.67>
- Sterna, A., & Moskalewicz, M. (2022). “As If There Are Two of Us”: The Battle of Borderline Personality Disorder Diagnosis in Lived Time. *Psychopathology*, 56(4), 239–250. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000527028>
- Sterna, A., Moskalewicz, M., Schmidt, P., & Fuchs, T. (2024). The Inflexible Self and Lived Time-A Phenomenological Approach to Personality Disorders. In *Novel and Conceptual Approaches to Personality Disorders*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/sykxa>
- Sterna, A., Moskalewicz, M., & Fuchs, T. (2025a). Borderline Personality as a Disorder of Temporality: A Phenomenological Meta-Synthesis. *Human Studies*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s10746-025-09784-3>
- Sterna, A., Fuchs, T., Moskalewicz, M. (2025b). The Sense of Self and Interpersonal Functioning in Borderline Personality Disorder. *Toward Qualitative Evidence-Based Phenomenological Conceptualization, Qualitative Health Research*, forthcoming.
- Sundler, A. J., Lindberg, E., Nilsson, C., & Palmér, L. (2019). Qualitative thematic analysis based on descriptive phenomenology. *Nursing Open*, 6(3), 733–739. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.275>
- Teo, S. (2023). How I Won Singapore’s GPT-4 Prompt Engineering Competition <https://towardsdatascience.com/how-i-won-singapores-gpt-4-prompt-engineering-competition-34c195a93d41/>
- Tracy, S. J. (2010). Qualitative quality: Eight "big-tent" criteria for excellent qualitative research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 16(10), 837–851. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800410383121>
- World Health Organization. (2004). *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems - Tenth Revision (ICD-10)*. World Health Organization.
- Valenzuela-Moguillansky C. & Vásquez-Rosati A. (2019). An analysis procedure for the microphenomenological interview. *Constructivist Foundations* 14(2): 123–145. <https://constructivist.info/14/2/123>
- Yardley, L. (2000). Dilemmas in qualitative health research. *Psychology and Health*, 15(2), 215–228. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08870440008400302>