
Learning Equivariant Functions via Quadratic Forms

Pavan Karjol¹

Vivek V Kashyap¹

Rohan Kashyap²

Prathosh A P¹

¹Department of Electrical Communication Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, Karnataka

²Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

Abstract

In this study, we introduce a method for learning group (known or unknown) equivariant functions by learning the associated quadratic form $x^T Ax$ corresponding to the group from the data. Certain groups, known as orthogonal groups, preserve a specific quadratic form, and we leverage this property to uncover the underlying symmetry group under the assumption that it is orthogonal. By utilizing the corresponding unique symmetric matrix and its inherent diagonal form, we incorporate suitable inductive biases into the neural network architecture, leading to models that are both simplified and efficient. Our approach results in an invariant model that preserves norms, while the equivariant model is represented as a product of a norm-invariant model and a scale-invariant model, where the “product” refers to the group action.

Moreover, we extend our framework to a more general setting where the function acts on tuples of input vectors via a diagonal (or product) group action. In this extension, the equivariant function is decomposed into an angular component extracted solely from the normalized first vector and a scale-invariant component that depends on the full Gram matrix of the tuple. This decomposition captures the inter-dependencies between multiple inputs while preserving the underlying group symmetry.

We assess the effectiveness of our framework across multiple tasks, including polynomial regression, top quark tagging, and moment of

inertia matrix prediction. Comparative analysis with baseline methods demonstrates that our model consistently excels in both discovering the underlying symmetry and efficiently learning the corresponding equivariant function.

1 Introduction

Symmetry serves as a fundamental principle in modern deep learning, providing powerful inductive biases that enhance model generalization and sample efficiency Cohen [2013], Cohen and Welling [2014], Finzi et al. [2021], Dehmamy et al. [2021]. When the symmetry group underlying a system is known a priori, this symmetry can be explicitly encoded into the neural network architecture through invariant or equivariant operations. This architectural integration ensures the model maintains equivariance with respect to the predefined group transformations present in the data manifold. The classical example is the Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), which maintain translational equivariance through weight sharing across spatial dimensions.

Recent works have explored two primary approaches to incorporating symmetries: augmenting training data using symmetry structures [Benton et al., 2020] and designing architectures with intrinsic symmetry properties [Zaheer et al., 2017, Kicki et al., 2020]. [Benton et al., 2020] propose a data augmentation approach by parameterizing the data distribution while [Zhou et al., 2020] introduce a meta-learning framework through reparameterization of the network layers. In our work, we present a framework for learning group-equivariant functions through quadratic forms, emphasizing applications to orthogonal groups. Our analysis proves that these functions admit a canonical decomposition into two fundamental components: scale-invariant and norm-invariant terms.

Although substantial progress has been achieved in harnessing symmetries across various do-

arXiv:2509.22184v1 [cs.LG] 26 Sep 2025

mains—including images [Anselmi et al., 2019, Kondor and Trivedi, 2018], graphs [Han et al., 2022], and dynamical systems such as partial differential equations (PDEs) [Horie and Mitsume, 2023]—significant challenges remain. A central difficulty in integrating these group symmetries within model architectures is the often-required explicit, a priori knowledge of the underlying symmetry. Furthermore, existing models frequently suffer from high computational demands, stemming from requirements such as null-space computations and implementation of exponential maps. This complexity limits their scalability and flexibility. Additionally, in many practical applications, the group action on the data may not be immediately apparent, making it difficult to effectively leverage these symmetries in current group-equivariant models.

Consequently, existing models are constrained in their ability to effectively manage complex group symmetries, especially within real-world data contexts. This limitation is similarly observed in dynamical systems, where simple symmetries in a low-dimensional state space can appear as highly non-linear transformations when projected into high-dimensional measurements. In this work, we propose a novel framework for learning equivariant functions, which integrates two invariant functions and is applicable regardless of whether the underlying symmetry group is known or unknown, given certain constraints.

Our approach focuses on orthogonal groups and their inherent geometric properties. Specifically, we are motivated by a fundamental property of the standard orthogonal group $O(n)$: its preservation of the Euclidean norm, which leads to orbits defined by distinct norm values. In addition, we also observe scale invariance in the case $O(n)$ -equivariant functions. We demonstrate that this characteristic extends to other orthogonal groups preserving an arbitrary quadratic form, $x^\top Ax$, and we exploit these geometric correspondences to construct a systematic framework for symmetry discovery via neural networks. Furthermore, we explore the Lorentz group, which preserves the Minkowski norm and embodies the essential symmetry underlying the spacetime structure in physics. In localized regions of spacetime, where gravitational effects are negligible, physical laws exhibit Lorentz invariance in a manner consistent with special relativity.

In Section 4, we provide a comprehensive mathematical framework for our proposed method, particularly with respect to orthogonal groups, establishing its scalability and efficiency as a model for real-world applications.

1.1 Contributions

- We establish that any G -equivariant function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, with $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and G being the orthogonal group preserving the quadratic form $x^\top Ax$, can be represented as the product of two invariant functions—one that is norm-invariant and another that is scale-invariant—with respect to the underlying quadratic form. Here, the product is to be understood in the sense of the group action.
- We extend this representation to functions defined on tuples of input vectors via a diagonal (product) group action on the product space $X_p = X \times \dots \times X$. In this extended setting, the equivariant function is decomposed into an angular component—extracted solely from the normalized first vector—and a scale-invariant component that depends on the full Gram matrix of the tuple.
- Leveraging this canonical decomposition into scale- and norm-invariant functions, we introduce a framework for symmetry discovery in cases where the underlying symmetry group is unknown. In this framework, uncovering the symmetry in the data corresponds to learning the appropriate quadratic form, which enables us to adaptively identify the symmetries present within a given dataset.
- We validate our proposed method across several tasks that leverage invariance and equivariance properties. In particular, we demonstrate its effectiveness on polynomial regression, top quark tagging classification (which exploits Lorentz invariance), and the prediction of moment of inertia matrices (an $O(n)$ -equivariant task).

2 Related Work

2.1 Group Equivariance

Significant progress has been made both theoretically and practically in symmetry learning for deep learning architectures [Kondor et al., 2018, Bekkers, 2021, Finzi et al., 2021, Bronstein et al., 2021, Dehmamy et al., 2021]. The classical example is the G -equivariant neural networks (G-CNNs) [Cohen and Welling, 2014] which extends CNN’s to accommodate a broader class of symmetry groups beyond translations. Building on this, [Kondor and Trivedi, 2018] developed convolution formulations for arbitrary compact group actions, while [Cohen et al., 2019] further extended G-CNNs to homogeneous spaces through equivariant linear mappings.

Equivariant multi-layer perceptrons (EMLPs) for general groups were introduced by [Finzi et al., 2021],

leveraging null space computations to achieve equivariance; however, scalability challenges persist, especially for higher-order groups and also requires explicit knowledge of the group to encode the group representations. Similarly, [Otto et al., 2023] proposed a linear-algebraic approach that enforces symmetries through linear constraints and convex penalties, though this approach also encounters scalability limitations.

In related work, [Park et al., 2022] employed symmetric embedding networks to learn equivariant features for downstream tasks, although their method requires prior knowledge of the symmetry group. Contrastive learning methods were also developed by [Shakerinava et al., 2022, Dangovski et al., 2022] for self-supervised equivariant representations. Symmetry learning has found practical applications in domains such as protein structure prediction, where molecular properties require invariance for 3D rotations [Yim et al., 2023].

2.2 Automatic Symmetry Discovery

Advances have also been made in the area of automatic symmetry discovery for neural networks. [Dehmamy et al., 2021] introduced Lie algebra convolution networks (L-Conv), achieving equivariance to continuous groups by leveraging Lie algebras. Complementary to this, [Benton et al., 2020] developed parameterized distributions over training data augmentations, while [Zhou et al., 2020] proposed a meta-learning approach that reparameterizes network layers to address symmetry adaptivity. Focusing on invariant representations, [Anselmi et al., 2019] explored regularization techniques for unsupervised orbit learning. [Yang et al., 2023] introduced LieGAN, a generative adversarial framework for symmetry discovery that leverages the principle of distribution preservation under group transformations.

More recently, [Yang et al., 2024] proposed Latent LieGAN, which approximates non-linear symmetries via non-linear group actions, particularly suited for high-dimensional dynamical systems. Additionally, [Karjol et al., 2023] introduced a structural decomposition approach for identifying locally symmetric, dihedral, and cyclic subgroups through multi-armed bandit algorithms.

3 Preliminaries

Definition 3.1 (Group). A group (G, \cdot) is a set G equipped with a binary operation $\cdot : G \times G \rightarrow G$ satisfying the following properties:

- *Closure:* For all $a, b \in G$, $a \cdot b \in G$.
- *Associativity:* For all $a, b, c \in G$, $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$.

- *Identity element:* There exists an element $e \in G$ such that for every $a \in G$, $e \cdot a = a \cdot e = a$.
- *Inverse element:* For each $a \in G$, there exists an element $b \in G$ (often denoted a^{-1}) such that $a \cdot b = b \cdot a = e$.

Definition 3.2 (Group Action). A group action of a group G on a set X is a function $G \times X \rightarrow X$, $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$, such that for all $g, h \in G$ and $x \in X$:

- *Identity:* $e \cdot x = x$, where e is the identity element of G .
- *Compatibility:* $(g \cdot h) \cdot x = g \cdot (h \cdot x)$.

Definition 3.3 (Orbit). Given a group action of G on a set X the orbit of an element $x \in X$ under G is the set:

$$G \cdot x = \{g \cdot x \mid g \in G\}. \quad (1)$$

Definition 3.4 (General Linear Group). The general linear group $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is the group of all $n \times n$ invertible matrices with entries from real numbers with group operation given by matrix multiplication.

Definition 3.5 (Invariant Function). An invariant function under a group action is a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ that remains unchanged when the group acts on its input. Formally, if G acts on X , then f is invariant if $f(g \cdot x) = f(x)$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in X$. Invariance often signifies that f depends only on certain properties that are preserved by the group action.

Definition 3.6 (Equivariant Function). An equivariant function between two sets with group actions is a function that respects the group action. If a group G acts on both sets X and Y , then a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is equivariant if $f(g \cdot x) = g \cdot f(x)$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in X$. Equivariance means f “commutes” with the group action and transforms in a manner consistent with the structure of G . Invariant function is a special case of Equivariant Function where $g \cdot f(x) = f(x)$, $\forall g \in G$.

4 Proposed Method

We present a general framework for learning group-equivariant functions by embedding appropriate quadratic forms within our network architecture. Specifically, we focus on groups that preserve quadratic forms of the type “ $x^T A x$ ”, known as orthogonal groups. Our framework supports two cases: when the underlying group is known and when it is unknown. In the first case, we fix the quadratic form within the architecture. In the second case, we allow the quadratic form to be learned. We begin with a brief overview of quadratic forms before presenting our main contributions.




Figure 1: Schematic representation of the proposed method. ϕ_n and ϕ_s denote neural networks, where the norm-invariant network takes input norms, and the scale-invariant network takes normalized inputs where $\|x\|_A = \text{sign}(x^T Ax) \sqrt{|x^T Ax|}$.

4.1 Quadratic form

Quadratic form is a type of mathematical expression in which a function of several variables involves terms of degree two (or order two). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an $n \times n$ matrix and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In general, a quadratic form in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be written as,

$$q(x; A) := x^T Ax \quad (2)$$

Each quadratic form defined on \mathbb{R}^n is uniquely determined by a symmetric matrix. This is because, we can write,

$$q(x; A) = x^T Ax = \frac{1}{2} [x^T (A + A^T) x], \quad \forall x \in X \quad (3)$$

Here, the $\frac{1}{2}(A + A^T)$ is the unique symmetric matrix for the quadratic form $q(\cdot; A)$. Hence, we can simply assume that A is symmetric matrix in any quadratic form $q(x; A)$.

4.1.1 Diagonalizing the Quadratic Form

Given a quadratic form $q(x; A) = x^T Ax$, where A is an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix, we aim to simplify this form by transforming it into a **diagonal form**.

Since A is symmetric, it can be diagonalized by an orthogonal matrix U . This means there exists an orthogonal matrix U such that:

$$A = U^T D U, \quad (4)$$

where D is a diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues of A .

Now, we can express the quadratic form in terms of this diagonalization as follows:

$$q(x; A) = x^T (U^T D U) x = (Ux)^T D (Ux) = y^T D y, \quad (5)$$

where $y = Ux$. Thus, learning the quadratic form $q(x; A)$ reduces to learning an orthogonal matrix U and a diagonal matrix D .

4.2 Orthogonal Group: $O(n; q)$

Consider the set,

$$G := \{U \in GL(n; \mathbb{R}) : U^T A U = A\}, \quad (6)$$

which can easily be shown to be a Lie subgroup of $GL(n; \mathbb{R})$. Such groups are called orthogonal groups, denoted as $O(n; q)$, and they preserve the quadratic form $q(x; A) = x^T Ax$, meaning that $q(x; A) = q(Rx; A)$ for all $R \in O(n; q)$.

Examples of orthogonal groups include the standard orthogonal group that preserves the Euclidean norm $x^T x$, and the Lorentz group, which preserves the Minkowski norm $x^T \eta x$ where $\eta = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$, among others.

In this work, we focus on learning a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, where f is equivariant under an orthogonal group G that preserves a given or unknown quadratic form $q(x; A)$. In other words, A may be known or unknown.

We now state our first result in the following theorem, which characterizes the set of orbits under the action of an orthogonal group G . Specifically, each G -orbit corresponds to a unique value of the quadratic form.

Theorem 4.1. *Let A be a non-zero $n \times n$ matrix, and define $U := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^T Ax = 0\}$. Let G be an orthogonal group that preserves the quadratic form $x^T Ax$, and consider the action of G on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus U$. For a given $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, define the set $\mathcal{O}_c := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^T Ax = c\}$. Then, the set of orbits under the action of G is given by*

$$\mathcal{O}(G) = \{\mathcal{O}_c : c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \ \& \ \mathcal{O}_c \neq \emptyset\}. \quad (7)$$

Using the above result, we show that any G -equivariant function can be expressed in a canonical form that combines a norm-invariant (equivalently, G -invariant) function and a scale-invariant function. This formulation is formalized in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let A be a non-zero $n \times n$ matrix, and define $U := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^T Ax = 0\}$. Any G -equivariant function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, where G is an orthogonal group that preserves the quadratic form $x^T Ax$, can be*

expressed as,

$$f(x) = \phi_s \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_A} \right) \cdot \phi_n(\|x\|_A), \quad (8)$$

for some functions $\phi_s : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow G$ and $\phi_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. Here, $\|z\|_A$ denotes the norm associated with the quadratic form A , defined by $\|z\|_A = \sqrt{\text{sign}(z^T A z)} \sqrt{|z^T A z|}$.

The scale invariance part of the function decomposition of (8) is illustrated in Figure 2.

Remark 4.1. The scale invariance function ϕ_s in (8) is required to be G -equivariant. However, because its input is normalized with respect to the quadratic form defined by A , ϕ_s effectively operates on a single orbit, unlike the original function f in (8) which acts on multiple orbits. Consequently, one could incorporate an additional inductive bias toward G -equivariance for ϕ_s (for example, by introducing a regularizer) beyond the inherent scale invariance. In our experiments, however, we observed that the built-in scale invariance suffices.




Figure 2: For an $O(2)$ -equivariant function f , we have $f(x) = f(R(\theta)r_1e_1) = R(\theta)f(r_1e_1)$. Similarly, $f(y) = R(\theta)f(r_2e_1)$; $f(z) = R(\theta)f(r_3e_1)$. Hence, $\phi_s(x) = \phi_s(y) = \phi_s(z) = R(\theta)$. This property holds for general orthogonal groups as well.

Remark 4.2. In Theorem 4.2, the set U has measure zero in \mathbb{R}^n . Therefore, including this set U in our experiments has a negligible impact on the results, as it represents an insignificant portion of the space.

Next, we analyze the continuity and differentiability of the G -equivariant function as follows:

Proposition 4.1. Consider Theorem 4.2. Suppose A is either a positive or a negative definite matrix. Then, ϕ_n and ϕ_s are smooth (C^∞) whenever f is smooth

(C^∞). If A is neither a positive nor a negative definite matrix, then ϕ_n and ϕ_s are continuous (C^0) whenever f is continuous (C^0).

Please refer to the appendix for the proofs of all the Theorems and propositions.

4.3 Diagonal group action

We now generalize our approach to the setting where the function acts on tuples of vectors rather than on individual vectors. Specifically, let X be a G -invariant subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus U$, where

$$U := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^T A x = 0\},$$

and define the product space

$$X_p := \underbrace{X \times X \times \dots \times X}_p \text{ times}$$

On X_p , the group G acts diagonally, that is,

$$g \cdot (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = (g \cdot x_1, g \cdot x_2, \dots, g \cdot x_p).$$

We recall the standard result (see Appendix, Classification of p -tuples up to the A -orthogonal group) which states that any two p -tuples of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n that share the same Gram matrix (with respect to a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form) are related by an isometry [Jacobson, 1985]. Building upon this well-established fact, we derive a new decomposition theorem for functions equivariant under the diagonal G -action on tuples. This new result, formalized in the following theorem, extends our previous method to a broader and more general setting.

Theorem 4.3 (Extended Decomposition for G -Equivariant Functions on Tuples). Let A be a non-singular $n \times n$ real matrix and define Let

$$G := \{g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) : g^T A g = A\}$$

be the orthogonal group preserving the quadratic form $x^T A x$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a G -invariant set. For any positive integer p , consider the diagonal action of G on

$$X_p := \underbrace{X \times X \times \dots \times X}_p \text{ times}$$

defined by

$$g \cdot (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = (g \cdot x_1, g \cdot x_2, \dots, g \cdot x_p).$$

Then every G -equivariant function

$$f : X_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

can be decomposed as

$$f(x) = \phi_s \left(\frac{x_1}{\|x_1\|_A} \right) \cdot \phi_n(\text{Gram}(x)), \quad (9)$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$ and Gram matrix

$$\text{Gram}(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^T A x_1 & \cdots & x_1^T A x_p \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_p^T A x_1 & \cdots & x_p^T A x_p \end{pmatrix},$$

encodes all pairwise inner products with respect to the quadratic form defined by A .

Remark 4.3. When A is non-singular, Theorem 4.2 is a special case of Theorem 4.3. Notably, Theorem 4.2 remains applicable even when A is singular. In contrast, Theorem 4.3 would require further modifications to accommodate the singular case, and we leave these extensions for future work.

4.4 Learning Equivariant Functions

Given data $\{(x_i, y_i = f(x_i))\}_{i \in [m]}$, where f is a G -equivariant function for some known or unknown orthogonal group G that preserves the quadratic form $x^T A_0 x$, we implement the functions ϕ_s and ϕ_n through neural networks. We denote these networks by the same notation, with ϕ_s and ϕ_n representing the scale-invariant and norm-invariant components of the function, respectively.

4.4.1 G is Known

When the group G is known, we fix the corresponding quadratic form in our network architecture, specifically fixing the matrix A . In this case, we aim to minimize the following loss function:

$$\arg \min_{\theta} \sum_i \mathcal{L} \left(y_i, \phi_s \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_A} \right) \cdot \phi_n(\|x\|_A) \right) \quad (10)$$

where $\theta = \{\theta_s, \theta_n\}$ are the weights of the networks corresponding to ϕ_s and ϕ_n , respectively.

4.4.2 G is Unknown: Symmetry Discovery Framework

In this case, the goal is to discover the underlying symmetry group G by learning the appropriate matrix A . We seek to minimize the following loss function:

$$\arg \min_{A, \theta} \sum_i \mathcal{L} \left(y_i, \phi_s \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_A} \right) \cdot \phi_n(\|x\|_A) \right), \quad (11)$$

Thus, discovering the underlying group G corresponds to learning the appropriate symmetric matrix A . However, as discussed earlier, this problem reduces to learning the appropriate orthonormal matrix U and diagonal matrix D due to the diagonalization of the

Method	Orthogonal	Lorentz	Arbitrary
G -Ortho-Nets	1.12×10^{-6}	8.72×10^{-7}	6.64×10^{-7}
EMLP	6.64×10^{-2}	4.66×10^{-2}	N/A [†]
Simple MLP	7.83×10^{-5}	1.83×10^{-5}	2.41×10^{-5}

Table 1: *MSE on validation dataset using G -Ortho Nets is evaluated for Orthogonal $O(n)$, Lorentz $O(1, 3)$, and Arbitrary Group for invariance task. To ensure a rigorous comparative analysis, all models are designed with an identical parameter count and trained for the same number of epochs, ensuring a fair and consistent evaluation. Note: EMLP failed to converge for the Arbitrary Group.*

Method	Moment of Inertia	Top Tagging	
	Val Loss	Accuracy	AUROC
G -Ortho-Nets	1.16×10^{-5}	0.915	0.969
EMLP	1.36×10^{-3}	N/A [†]	N/A [†]
LieGNN	N/A [†]	0.938	0.984

Table 2: *Performance Comparison on Moment of Inertia Prediction and Top Tagging Classification Tasks: The table shows that while G -Ortho Nets achieves slightly lower accuracy than LieGNN, it unifies symmetry discovery and classification in a single network. In contrast, LieGNN employs a two-stage approach using LieGAN for symmetry discovery followed by a separate GNN for classification.*

symmetric matrix A . Therefore, we can rewrite the objective function in Eq. (11) as:

$$\arg \min_{U, D, \theta} \sum_i \mathcal{L} \left(y_i, \phi_s \left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\|\hat{x}\|_D} \right) \cdot \phi_n(\|\hat{x}\|_D) \right), \quad (12)$$

where $\hat{x} = Ux$, $U \in O(n)$ and D is a diagonal matrix. In this formulation, U captures the orthogonal transformation and D scales the components of the input x_i , thereby enabling the discovery of the underlying symmetry group.

For invariance tasks, we use only the ϕ_n network and omit ϕ_s . When the group acts on the input domain via a product action, we adopt the function decomposition from (9). This decomposition is applied both when the group is explicitly known—where we incorporate it into (10)—and when the group is unknown, in which case it is used in (11).

5 Experiments and Results

We evaluate our proposed framework on both G -invariant and G -equivariant tasks, where G is an orthogonal group as defined in Eq. (6). To assess its performance, we consider three distinct types of groups:

Task	G-Ortho-Nets	LieGAN
G-Invariant Polynomial Regression	9.99	9.99
Top Tagging	9.99	9.99
Equivariant Polynomial Regression	9.99	9.99
Moment of Inertia	9.99	9.99

Table 3: Comparison of cosine similarity between G-Ortho-Nets and LieGAN across multiple tasks.




Figure 3: Comparison of ground truth and learned matrices. (Top) Top quark tagging: the ground truth matrix $A = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ is compared with matrices learned by LieGAN and G-Ortho-Nets. (Bottom) Invariant polynomial regression with an arbitrary matrix A : the ground truth is compared with matrices recovered by LieGAN and G-Ortho-Nets. Both methods accurately recover the underlying matrix structure.

the standard orthogonal group, the Lorentz group, and a group that preserves a random quadratic form $x^T Ax$, where A is a randomly generated symmetric matrix. Across all experiments, we compare the following model architectures:

- *G-Ortho-Nets*: Our proposed method, which incorporates the product of a norm-invariant and a scale-invariant function.
- *EMLP*: EMLP architecture with G-equivariant linear and bilinear layers as discussed in [Finzi et al., 2021].
- *LieGAN*: Generative Adversarial Symmetry Discovery proposed by [Yang et al., 2023]
- *LieGNN*: LieGAN integrated with a graph neural network.
- *Simple MLP*: A standard feed-forward multilayer perceptron.

The experimental setup is detailed in the subsequent subsections.




Figure 4: Comparison of the ground truth matrix A (first plot) with matrices learned by LieGAN (second plot) and G-Ortho-Nets (third plot) for the G-Equivariant Polynomial Regression task.

5.1 G-Invariant Polynomial Regression

We conduct a series of experiments to evaluate our method’s ability to learn invariant polynomial functions of the form:

$$f(x) = \sin^2(x^T Ax) + \sin(x^T Ax) \quad (13)$$

where the invariance structure is determined by the matrix A . We examine three distinct settings, each characterized by a different choice of A , to demonstrate the versatility and robustness of our approach.

Experimental Setting. We evaluate our method on three group-invariant polynomial regression tasks. For the orthogonal group, we set $A = I_4$ to construct an $O(4)$ -invariant task. For the Lorentz group, we employ $A = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$, corresponding to the Minkowski metric. For the arbitrary group case, we use a randomly chosen matrix A to demonstrate the methods ability to generalize beyond well-studied groups in the literature. All tasks use the same target polynomial function.

Results. Table ?? shows that G-Ortho Nets achieves lower MSE on the validation dataset compared to EMLP and Simple MLP baselines. Figures ??, 6, and ?? illustrate that our method successfully recovers the underlying invariant structure, with learned matrices closely matching the ground truth across all three group settings. These results demonstrate the effectiveness of our method in both structure discovery and function approximation tasks.

5.2 Lorentz Invariance: Top Tagging

Experimental Setting. We evaluate our method on Lorentz-invariant top tagging task using the Top Quark Jet Dataset [Kasieczka et al., 2019]. The task requires classifying jets originating from top quarks versus those from lighter quarks while preserving Lorentz symmetry. The underlying Lorentz metric $A = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ governs the symmetry structure, which our method discovers jointly with the classification task.

Results. G -Ortho Nets achieves comparable performance to LieGAN on the top tagging task, as shown in Table 2, with similar accuracy and AUROC scores. Additionally, Figure 6 demonstrates that our method successfully recovers the underlying Lorentz metric structure $A = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$.

5.3 Equivariant Polynomial Regression

Experimental Setting. We evaluate our method on G -equivariant polynomial regression task with arbitrary group structure defined by matrix A . The target polynomial is

$$f(x) = 9(xx^T A)^2 + 2(xx^T A), \quad (14)$$

which is equivariant with respect to G , since $f(Ux) = Uf(x)U^{-1}$, $\forall U \in G$.

Results. Figure ?? compares the ground truth matrix A with reconstructions from LieGAN and G -Ortho Nets. Table ?? shows that both methods accurately recover the underlying group structure based on cosine similarity. However, G -Ortho Nets integrates symmetry discovery with equivariant function learning in a single framework, whereas LieGAN performs only structure discovery. This enables our method to simultaneously recover the group structure and learn the target polynomial function.

5.4 $O(3)$ -Equivariance: Moment of Inertia

Experimental Setting. We evaluate our method on predicting the moment of inertia \mathcal{I} from particle masses and positions $p = \{(x_i, m_i)\}_{i \in [M]}$. The moment of inertia is computed as

$$\mathcal{I} = \sum_i m_i (x_i^T x_i I - x_i x_i^T), \quad (15)$$

which is $O(3)$ -equivariant. We compare our method against EMLP [Finzi et al., 2021], which requires known group structure, and the symmetry discovery method from [Yang et al., 2023].

Results. Figure ?? compares the ground truth matrix $A = I_3$ (normalized) with reconstructions from LieGAN and G -Ortho-Nets. Both methods successfully recover the $O(3)$ -equivariant structure. Table 2 shows that G -Ortho-Nets achieves lower MSE than EMLP on the prediction task. Table ?? reports cosine similarity of 0.99 for both LieGAN and G -Ortho-Nets, indicating accurate structure recovery.

5.5 Limitations

Our method is currently restricted to generalized orthogonal groups and supports only standard group actions and diagonal (product) group actions. In future

work, we aim to overcome these limitations by extending our framework to accommodate a broader range of symmetry groups and group actions.

6 Conclusion

In this work, we introduced a framework for learning group-equivariant functions using quadratic forms, with a focus on orthogonal groups. We showed that such functions can be expressed in a canonical form, incorporating both scale-invariant and norm-invariant components. Additionally, we extended our approach to a more general setting where the function acts on tuples of input vectors under a diagonal (or product) group action. In this extension, the equivariant function is decomposed into an angular component derived from the normalized first vector and a scale-invariant component based on the full Gram matrix of the tuple. This decomposition effectively captures interdependencies between multiple inputs while maintaining group symmetry.

Through extensive experiments, we demonstrated the ability of our method to discover underlying symmetries and efficiently learn equivariant functions across various tasks. In future work, we aim to extend our approach to broader symmetry groups beyond the general orthogonal group and explore a wider range of group actions.

References

- Fabio Anselmi, Georgios Evangelopoulos, Lorenzo Rosasco, and Tomaso Poggio. Symmetry-adapted representation learning. *Pattern Recognition*, 86: 201–208, 2019.
- Erik J Bekkers. B-spline cnns on lie groups, 2021. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.12057>.
- Gregory Benton, Marc Finzi, Pavel Izmailov, and Andrew Gordon Wilson. Learning invariances in neural networks, 2020.
- Michael M Bronstein, Joan Bruna, Taco Cohen, and Petar Veličković. Geometric deep learning: Grids, groups, graphs, geodesics, and gauges. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.13478*, 2021.
- Taco Cohen. *Learning transformation groups and their invariants*. PhD thesis, PhD thesis, University of Amsterdam, 2013.
- Taco Cohen and Max Welling. Learning the irreducible representations of commutative lie groups, 2014.
- Taco S Cohen, Mario Geiger, and Maurice Weiler. A general theory of equivariant cnns on homogeneous spaces. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019.

- Rumen Dangovski, Li Jing, Charlotte Loh, Seungwook Han, Akash Srivastava, Brian Cheung, Pulkit Agrawal, and Marin Soljačić. Equivariant contrastive learning, 2022. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.00899>.
- Nima Dehmamy, Robin Walters, Yanchen Liu, Dashun Wang, and Rose Yu. Automatic symmetry discovery with lie algebra convolutional network. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:2503–2515, 2021.
- Marc Finzi, Max Welling, and Andrew Gordon Wilson. A practical method for constructing equivariant multilayer perceptrons for arbitrary matrix groups. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 3318–3328. PMLR, 2021.
- Jiaqi Han, Wenbing Huang, Tingyang Xu, and Yu Rong. Equivariant graph hierarchy-based neural networks, 2022. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.10643>.
- Masanobu Horie and Naoto Mitsume. Physics-embedded neural networks: Graph neural pde solvers with mixed boundary conditions, 2023. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.11912>.
- Nathan Jacobson. *Basic Algebra I*. W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 2 edition, 1985.
- Pavan Karjol, Rohan Kashyap, Aditya Gopalan, and Prathosh A. P. A unified framework for discovering discrete symmetries, 2023. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.02898>.
- Gregor Kasieczka, Tilman Plehn, Jennifer Thompson, and Michael Russel. Top quark tagging reference dataset. (*No Title*), 2019.
- Piotr Kicki, Mete Ozay, and Piotr Skrzypczyński. A computationally efficient neural network invariant to the action of symmetry subgroups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.07528*, 2020.
- Risi Kondor and Shubhendu Trivedi. On the generalization of equivariance and convolution in neural networks to the action of compact groups. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 2747–2755. PMLR, 2018.
- Risi Kondor, Zhen Lin, and Shubhendu Trivedi. Clebsch–gordan nets: a fully fourier space spherical convolutional neural network. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 31, 2018.
- Samuel E Otto, Nicholas Zolman, J Nathan Kutz, and Steven L Brunton. A unified framework to enforce, discover, and promote symmetry in machine learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.00212*, 2023.
- Jung Yeon Park, Ondrej Biza, Linfeng Zhao, Jan Willem van de Meent, and Robin Walters. Learning symmetric embeddings for equivariant world models, 2022. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.11371>.
- Mehran Shakerinava, Arnab Kumar Mondal, and Siamak Ravanbakhsh. Structuring representations using group invariants. In S. Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, D. Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 35, pages 34162–34174. Curran Associates, Inc., 2022.
- Jianke Yang, Robin Walters, Nima Dehmamy, and Rose Yu. Generative adversarial symmetry discovery. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 39488–39508. PMLR, 2023.
- Jianke Yang, Nima Dehmamy, Robin Walters, and Rose Yu. Latent space symmetry discovery, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.00105>.
- Jason Yim, Brian L. Trippe, Valentin De Bortoli, Emile Mathieu, Arnaud Doucet, Regina Barzilay, and Tommi Jaakkola. Se(3) diffusion model with application to protein backbone generation, 2023. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.02277>.
- Manzil Zaheer, Satwik Kottur, Siamak Ravanbakhsh, Barnabas Poczos, Russ R Salakhutdinov, and Alexander J Smola. Deep sets. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- Allan Zhou, Tom Knowles, and Chelsea Finn. Meta-learning symmetries by reparameterization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.02933*, 2020.

A Appendix




Figure 5: *Comparison of ground truth and learned matrices. Top $O(4)$ -Invariant Polynomial Regression: The ground truth matrix $A = I_4$ is compared with matrices learned by LieGAN and G-Ortho-Nets. Both methods accurately recover A (matching up to the first decimal) while modeling the polynomial $\sin^2(x^T Ax) + \sin(x^T Ax)$. Bottom $O(3)$ -Equivariant Moment of Inertia: The ground truth matrix $A = I_3$ is compared with matrices learned by LieGAN and G-Ortho-Nets.*




Figure 6: *Lorentz-Invariant Polynomial Regression: Ground truth $A = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ (first plot) is compared with matrices learned by LieGAN (second plot) and G-Ortho-Nets (third plot). Both methods approximately recover A and model the polynomial $\sin^2(x^T Ax) + \sin(x^T Ax)$.*

You may include other additional sections here.

B Additional Results and Complete Proofs

Additional theoretical results and the complete proof of Theorem. 4.1 are provided here including supporting Lemmas.

Lemma B.1. *Let $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a diagonal matrix defined as:*

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i E_{i,i}, \quad (16)$$

where $d_1, \dots, d_n > 0$, and $E_{i,j} = e_i e_j^T$ with e_i denoting the standard basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let G be the orthogonal group preserving the quadratic form $x^T D x$. Then, there exists $A \in G$ such that:

$$Ax = \alpha e_1, \quad (17)$$

where α is an appropriate scaling factor such that $x^T D x = x^T A^T D A x$.

Proof. Define $S = \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{d_i} e_i e_i^T$. Then, we have:

$$S^{-1} D S^{-1} = I, \quad (18)$$

where I is the identity matrix.

Consider the standard orthogonal group:

$$O(n) = \left\{ R \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) : R^T R = I \right\}.$$

We know that if $y^T y = z^T z$ for any $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then there exists $R \in O(n)$ such that:

$$Ry = z.$$

Applying this result, we find $R \in O(n)$ such that:

$$RSx = \beta e_1, \quad (19)$$

where β is a scaling factor ensuring that the Euclidean norms of Sx and βe_1 are equal.

Now, define $A = S^{-1}RS$. Since S^{-1} is also a diagonal matrix, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= S^{-1}RSx \\ &= S^{-1}\beta e_1 \\ &= \alpha e_1, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{d_1}}$ is an appropriate scaling factor.

Next, we show that $A \in G$. Consider $A^T D A$:

$$\begin{aligned} A^T D A &= (S^{-1}RS)^T D (S^{-1}RS) \\ &= S^T R^T (S^{-1} D S^{-1}) RS \\ &= S^T R^T I RS \\ &= S^T I S \\ &= D. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Thus, $A \in G$, as required. □

Remark B.1. *The Lemma. B.1 is also applicable when $d_i < 0$, $\forall i \in [n]$. We just need to appropriately modify the matrix S .*

Lemma B.2. *Let $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a diagonal matrix defined as:*

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d_i E_{i,i} \quad : \quad (22)$$

where $d_1, \dots, d_{k-1} > 0$, and $E_{i,j} = e_i e_j^T$ with e_i denoting the standard basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Consider a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form:

$$y = a e_1 + b e_k,$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $q(x; D) = x^T D x$ be the quadratic form associated with D , and let $G = O(n, q)$ denote the orthogonal group that preserves this quadratic form, i.e.,

$$G = \{ R \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) : R^T D R = D \}.$$

Then, there exists a matrix $A \in G$ such that:

$$Ay = a e_1. \quad (23)$$

Proof. We construct A explicitly and verify the required properties. Define $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ as:

$$R = \sqrt{d_1}ab E_{k,1} - d_1a^2 E_{k,k} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1, i \neq k} E_{i,i}. \quad (24)$$

Define $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ as:

$$S = \sqrt{d_1}E_{1,1} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1} E_{i,i}. \quad (25)$$

Then:

$$S^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_1}}E_{1,1} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1} E_{i,i}.$$

Let $A = S^{-1}RS$. We claim that $A^TDA = D$ and $Ay = ae_1$

Step 1: Show $A^TDA = D$. Using $A = S^{-1}RS$, we compute:

$$A^TDA = SR^T S^{-1}DS^{-1}RS$$

First, compute $S^{-1}DS^{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} S^{-1}DS^{-1} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_1}}E_{1,1} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1} E_{i,i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d_i E_{i,i} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_1}}E_{1,1} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1} E_{i,i} \right) \\ &= E_{1,1} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} d_i E_{i,i}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Consider $RS = (SR^T)^T$,

$$\begin{aligned} RS &= \left(\sqrt{d_1}ab E_{k,1} - d_1a^2 E_{k,k} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq k} E_{i,i} \right) \left(\sqrt{d_1}E_{1,1} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1} E_{i,i} \right) \\ &= d_1ab E_{k,1} + \sqrt{d_1}E_{1,1} - d_1a^2 E_{k,k} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1, i \neq k} E_{i,i}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Thus, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} A^TDA &= (SR^T)(S^{-1}DS^{-1})(RS) \\ &= \left(d_1ab E_{1,k} + \sqrt{d_1}E_{1,1} - d_1a^2 E_{k,k} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1, i \neq k} E_{i,i} \right) \left(E_{1,1} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} d_i E_{i,i} \right) (RS) \\ &= \left(\sqrt{d_1}E_{1,1} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} d_i E_{i,i} \right) (RS) \\ &= \left(\sqrt{d_1}E_{1,1} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} d_i E_{i,i} \right) \left(d_1ab E_{k,1} + \sqrt{d_1}E_{1,1} - d_1a^2 E_{k,k} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1, i \neq k} E_{i,i} \right) \\ &= d_1E_{1,1} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} d_i E_{i,i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d_i E_{i,i} \\ &= D \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Consider $A = S^{-1}RS$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= S^{-1}(RS) \\
 &= S^{-1} \left(d_1 ab E_{k,1} + \sqrt{d_1} E_{1,1} - d_1 a^2 E_{k,k} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1, i \neq k} E_{i,i} \right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_1}} E_{1,1} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1} E_{i,i} \right) \left(d_1 ab E_{k,1} + \sqrt{d_1} E_{1,1} - d_1 a^2 E_{k,k} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1, i \neq k} E_{i,i} \right) \\
 &= E_{1,1} + d_1 ab E_{k,1} - d_1 a^2 E_{k,k} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1, i \neq k} E_{i,i}. \tag{29}
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Verify $Ay = ae_1$. Substituting $y = ae_1 + be_k$ into Ay , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Ay &= \left(E_{1,1} + d_1 ab E_{k,1} - d_1 a^2 E_{k,k} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1, i \neq k} E_{i,i} \right) (ae_1 + be_k) \\
 &= ae_1 + d_1 a^2 be_k - d_1 a^2 be_k \\
 &= ae_1. \tag{30}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Ay = ae_1$, as required. Conclusion: We have constructed $A \in G$ such that $Ay = ae_1$. Therefore, the lemma is proved. \square

Remark B.2. *The Lemma. B.2 is also applicable when $d_i < 0, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. We just need to appropriately modify the matrices S and R .*

Lemma B.3. *Let $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a diagonal matrix defined as:*

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d_i E_{i,i} - \sum_{i=k}^l d_i E_{i,i}, \tag{31}$$

where $d_1, \dots, d_l > 0, k < l$, and e_i denotes the standard basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $x = ae_1 + be_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let G be the orthogonal group preserving the quadratic form $x^T D x$. Then, there exists $A \in G$ such that:

$$Ax = \begin{cases} \alpha e_1, & x^T D x > 0, \\ \alpha e_k, & x^T D x < 0, \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

where α is an appropriate scaling factor such that $x^T D x = x^T A^T D A x$.

Proof. We will prove the result for the case $x^T D x > 0$. Similar steps follow for the other case. The value of $x^T D x$ is:

$$x^T D x = d_1 a^2 - d_k b^2. \tag{33}$$

Since $d_1 a^2 - d_k b^2 > 0$, define the scalar β as:

$$\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_1 a^2 - d_k b^2}}. \tag{34}$$

Define an $n \times n$ matrix S as:

$$S = \sqrt{d_1} E_{1,1} + \sqrt{d_k} E_{k,k} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1, k} E_{i,i}. \tag{35}$$

Now define another $n \times n$ matrix R as:

$$R = \beta \left(\sqrt{d_1} a E_{1,1} - \sqrt{d_k} b E_{1,k} - \sqrt{d_k} b E_{k,1} + \sqrt{d_1} a E_{k,k} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1, k} E_{i,i} \right). \tag{36}$$

Set $A = S^{-1}RS$. Our goal is to show that $A^T D A = D$ and $Ax = \alpha e_1$.

Step 1: Show that $A^T DA = D$. Consider $A^T DA$:

$$\begin{aligned} A^T DA &= (SR^T S^{-1})D(S^{-1}RS) \\ &= (RS)^T (S^{-1}DS^{-1})(RS). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

We note that:

$$S^{-1}DS^{-1} = E_{1,1} - E_{k,k} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} d_i E_{i,i} - \sum_{i=k+1}^l d_i E_{i,i}, \quad (38)$$

and:

$$RS = \beta \left(d_1 a E_{1,1} - d_k b E_{1,k} - \sqrt{d_1 d_k} b E_{k,1} + \sqrt{d_1 d_k} a E_{k,k} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1, k} E_{i,i} \right). \quad (39)$$

Substituting (38) and (39) into (37), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} A^T DA &= \beta^2 \left[\frac{1}{\beta^2} d_1 E_{1,1} - \frac{1}{\beta^2} d_k E_{k,k} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} d_i E_{i,i} - \sum_{i=k+1}^l d_i E_{i,i} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d_i E_{i,i} - \sum_{i=k}^l d_i E_{i,i} \\ &= D. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Step 2: Show that $Ax = \alpha e_1$. From (39) and (35), we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= S^{-1}RS \\ &= \beta \left(\sqrt{d_1} a E_{1,1} - \frac{d_k}{\sqrt{d_1}} b E_{1,k} - \sqrt{d_1} b E_{k,1} + \sqrt{d_1} a E_{k,k} + \sum_{i \in [n], i \neq 1, k} E_{i,i} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Thus, the value of Ax is:

$$\begin{aligned} Ax &= \beta \left[\left(\sqrt{d_1} a^2 - \frac{d_k}{\sqrt{d_1}} b^2 \right) e_1 \right] \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{d_1}} \left[(d_1 a^2 - d_k b^2) e_1 \right] \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{d_1}} \left[\frac{1}{\beta^2} e_1 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta \sqrt{d_1}} e_1. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Hence, $Ax = \alpha e_1$, where $\alpha = \frac{1}{\beta \sqrt{d_1}} = \frac{\sqrt{d_1 a^2 - d_k b^2}}{\sqrt{d_1}}$. \square

Using the Lemma. B.1, B.2 and B.3, we provide the complete proof of Theorem. 4.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let A be a non-zero $n \times n$ matrix, and define $U := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^T Ax = 0\}$. Let G be an orthogonal group that preserves the quadratic form $x^T Ax$, and consider the action of G on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus U$. For a given $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, define the set $\mathcal{O}_c := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^T Ax = c\}$. Then, the set of orbits under the action of G is given by*

$$\mathcal{O}(G) = \{\mathcal{O}_c : c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \ \& \ \mathcal{O}_c \neq \emptyset\}. \quad (7)$$

Proof. We have to show that each set \mathcal{O}_c is a unique orbit. Suppose $x \in \mathcal{O}_c$, then by definition of orbit under the action of given orthogonal group, the orbif of x is subset of \mathcal{O}_c , i.e., $G \cdot x \subset \mathcal{O}_c$. Now, we need to show the subset relation in reverse direction, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_c \subset G \cdot x$. This is equivalent to proving : if $x^T Ax = y^T Ay$, then x and y must lie in the same orbit.

To achieve this, we first diagonalize the symmetric matrix A . Let $UAU^T = D$, where D is a diagonal matrix. By selecting an appropriate permutation matrix P , we further transform D into a canonical form which partitions D into the positive, negative, and zero eigenvalues of A . Without loss of generality, we assume this structure for A and proceed with the proof.

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We partition the diagonal matrix D into positive, negative, and zero components:

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} D_p & & \\ & D_n & \\ & & D_z \end{bmatrix},$$

where $D_z = \mathbf{0}$ (zero matrix). Correspondingly, partition x as:

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_p \\ x_n \\ x_z \end{bmatrix},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_D^2 &= (x^T D x) \\ &= (x_p^T D_p x_p) + (x_n^T D_n x_n) + (x_z^T D_z x_z) \\ &= \|x_p\|_{D_p}^2 + \|x_n\|_{D_n}^2 + \|x_z\|_{D_z}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Since $\|x_z\|_{D_z}^2 = 0$ because $D_z = \mathbf{0}$, we have $(x_z^T D_z x_z) = 0$.

Step 1: Positive and Negative diagonal entries

Apply Lemma B.1 to (D_p, x_p) and (D_n, x_n) , yielding matrices A_p and A_n such that:

$$A_p^T D_p A_p = D_p, \quad A_p x_p = \alpha e_1,$$

and

$$A_n^T D_n A_n = D_n, \quad A_n x_n = \beta_1 e_1.$$

Step 2: Zero diagonal entries

Since $\|x_z\|_{D_z}^2 = 0$, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k-l}$, we can find an orthonormal matrix A_z (i.e., $A_z^T A_z = I$) such that:

$$A_z^T D_z A_z = D_z = \mathbf{0}, \quad A_z x_z = \beta_2 e_1.$$

Define A_1 as:

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A_p & & \\ & A_n & \\ & & A_z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $A_1^T D A_1 = D$, we have $A_1 \in G$. Moreover,

$$A_1 x = \begin{bmatrix} A_p & & \\ & A_n & \\ & & A_z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_p \\ x_n \\ x_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_p x_p \\ A_n x_n \\ A_z x_z \end{bmatrix} = \alpha e_1 + \beta_1 e_{k+1} + \beta_2 e_{l+1}.$$

Step 3: Combining Negative and Zero Components

Concatenate x_n and x_z :

$$x_{nz} = \beta_1 e_1 + \beta_2 e_{l-k+1}.$$

Combine D_n and D_z as:

$$D_{nz} = \begin{bmatrix} D_n & \\ & D_z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Applying Lemma B.2 to (D_{nz}, x_{nz}) , we find A_{nz} such that:

$$A_{nz}^T D_{nz} A_{nz} = D_{nz}, \quad A_{nz} x_{nz} = \beta e_1.$$

Define A_2 as:

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} I & \\ & A_{nz} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $A_2^T D A_2 = D$, we have $A_2 \in G$. Now:

$$A_2 A_1 x = \alpha e_1 + \beta e_{k+1}.$$

Step 4: Aligning with Canonical Basis

Applying Lemma B.3 to $(D, A_2 A_1 x)$ (for the case of $x^T D x > 0$), we find A_3 such that:

$$A_3^T D A_3 = D, \quad A_3(A_2 A_1 x) = \gamma e_1. \quad (44)$$

Similar steps hold in the case of $x^T D x < 0$, i.e., we can find A_3 such that $A_3^T D A_3 = D$ & $A_3(A_2 A_1 x) = \gamma e_{k+1}$. Since $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in G$, their product $A_3 A_2 A_1 \in G$. Let, $W = A_3 A_2 A_1$. Then, we can write,

$$W^T D W = D, \quad W x = \gamma e_1. \quad (45)$$

Conclusion

Since, the Eq. (45) holds for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ having the same value for $\|x\|_D$, we can conclude that $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ lie in the same orbit if $(\|x\|_D) = (\|y\|_D)$. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let A be a non-zero $n \times n$ matrix, and define $U := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^T A x = 0\}$. Any G -equivariant function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, where G is an orthogonal group that preserves the quadratic form $x^T A x$, can be expressed as,*

$$f(x) = \phi_s \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_A} \right) \cdot \phi_n(\|x\|_A), \quad (8)$$

for some functions $\phi_s : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow G$ and $\phi_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. Here, $\|z\|_A$ denotes the norm associated with the quadratic form A , defined by $\|z\|_A = \text{sign}(z^T A z) \sqrt{|z^T A z|}$.

Proof. Since $f(x)$ is equivariant under the action of G , we have

$$f(g \cdot x) = g \cdot f(x), \quad \forall g \in G, x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (46)$$

This can be rewritten in terms of a canonical form as,

$$f(y) = \phi_1(y) \cdot \phi_2(r(y)), \quad (47)$$

where,

$$y = \phi_1(y) \cdot r(y). \quad (48)$$

Here, $r(y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U$ is a representative element chosen from the entire orbit of y , denoted by $G \cdot y$. The function $\phi_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U \rightarrow G$ maps each point y to an element of G that aligns y with its orbit representative $r(y)$.

Since, $r(y)$ is the same for every element in the orbit of y , it follows that $\phi_2 \circ r$ is G -invariant. Therefore, applying Theorem 4.1, we can express this as

$$(\phi_2 \circ r)(x) = \phi_n(\|x\|_A), \quad (49)$$

for some function ϕ_n .

Next, we select a representative element $r(y)$ such that it satisfies the homogeneity condition $r(\alpha y) = \alpha r(y)$ for any scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha > 0$. This can be achieved by setting $r(y) = \alpha e_i$, where $\alpha = \|y\|_A$ represents the norm

of y with respect to the matrix A , and the choice of the standard basis vector e_i depends on the sign of $\|y\|_A$, denoted by $\text{sign}(\|y\|_A)$.

This specific selection of $r(y)$ implies that if we define,

$$\phi_1(\alpha y) = \phi_1(y), \quad (50)$$

then the following two equations, derived from Eq. (48) and the chosen form of $r(y)$, will hold consistently:

$$\alpha y = \alpha(\phi_1(y) \cdot r(y)) = \phi_1(y) \cdot \alpha r(y), \quad (51)$$

$$\alpha y = \phi_1((\alpha y)) \cdot \alpha r(y). \quad (52)$$

Thus, the Eq. (50) shows that ϕ_1 is scale-invariant. Hence, we can write,

$$\phi_1(x) = \phi_s \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_A} \right), \quad (53)$$

for some function ϕ_s .

Finally, substituting Eq. (49) and (53) into Eq. (47), we obtain,

$$f(x) = \phi_s \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_A} \right) \cdot \phi_n(\|x\|_A).$$

□

Proposition 4.1. *Consider Theorem 4.2. Suppose A is either a positive or a negative definite matrix. Then, ϕ_n and ϕ_s are smooth (C^∞) whenever f is smooth (C^∞). If A is neither a positive nor a negative definite matrix, then ϕ_n and ϕ_s are continuous (C^0) whenever f is continuous (C^0).*

Proof. From Theorem 4.2, we know that $\phi_s(\cdot)$ belongs to the group G . Thus, we can take the inverse (modulo the stabilizer subgroup of x) of ϕ_s and express the equation as follows:

$$\left(\phi_s \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_A} \right) \right)^{-1} f(x) = \phi_n(\|x\|_A) \quad (54)$$

If A is either a positive definite or a negative definite matrix, the map $x \mapsto \frac{x}{\|x\|_A}$ is smooth on the domain $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus U$. Since the group action is smooth, we conclude that the map $x \mapsto \left(\phi_s \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_A} \right) \right)^{-1}$ is smooth. Therefore, if f is smooth, ϕ_n must also be smooth.

In the case where A is neither positive definite nor negative definite, the map $x \mapsto \frac{x}{\|x\|_A}$ is not smooth, but it is continuous. Hence, if f is continuous, ϕ_n is continuous as well. □

Theorem B.4 (Classification of p -tuples up to the A -orthogonal group, cf. [Jacobson, 1985, Chapter 6]). *Let A be a non-degenerate real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix, and consider the bilinear form $\langle x, y \rangle_A = x^\top A y$ on \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose (x_1, \dots, x_p) and (y_1, \dots, y_p) are two ordered p -tuples in \mathbb{R}^n . Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *They have the same A -Gram matrix, i.e.*

$$x_i^\top A x_j = y_i^\top A y_j \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq p.$$

2. *There exists an invertible linear map $Q \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$Q^\top A Q = A \quad \text{and} \quad Q x_i = y_i \quad \text{for all } i.$$

Equivalently, (x_1, \dots, x_p) and (y_1, \dots, y_p) lie in the same orbit under the action of the group

$$G = \{ Q \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) : Q^\top A Q = A \}.$$

Theorem 4.3 (Extended Decomposition for G -Equivariant Functions on Tuples). *Let A be a non-singular $n \times n$ real matrix and define Let*

$$G := \{g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) : g^T A g = A\}$$

be the orthogonal group preserving the quadratic form $x^T A x$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a G -invariant set. For any positive integer p , consider the diagonal action of G on

$$X_p := \underbrace{X \times X \times \cdots \times X}_p,$$

defined by

$$g \cdot (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = (g \cdot x_1, g \cdot x_2, \dots, g \cdot x_p).$$

Then every G -equivariant function

$$f : X_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

can be decomposed as

$$f(x) = \phi_s \left(\frac{x_1}{\|x_1\|_A} \right) \cdot \phi_n(\text{Gram}(x)), \tag{9}$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$ and Gram matrix

$$\text{Gram}(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^T A x_1 & \cdots & x_1^T A x_p \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_p^T A x_1 & \cdots & x_p^T A x_p \end{pmatrix},$$

encodes all pairwise inner products with respect to the quadratic form defined by A .

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 4.2, we utilized the orbit correspondence result (Theorem 4.1) to establish the norm invariance part (ϕ_n) of the function decomposition. In the setting of the diagonal action on tuples, the invariance part (ϕ_n) of the decomposition follows immediately from Theorem B.4. The remainder of the proof proceeds analogously to that of Theorem 4.2. □