

BEV-VLM: TRAJECTORY PLANNING VIA UNIFIED BEV ABSTRACTION

Guancheng Chen^{1†}, Sheng Yang^{1†}, Tong Zhan¹, and Jian Wang¹

¹School of Data Science, Fudan University, Shanghai, China
 {gcchen25, sheng_yang21}@m.fudan.edu.cn
 {tongzhan, jian_wang}@fudan.edu.cn

ABSTRACT

This paper introduces BEV-VLM, a novel framework for trajectory planning in autonomous driving that leverages Vision-Language Models (VLMs) with Bird’s-Eye View (BEV) feature maps as visual inputs. Unlike conventional approaches that rely solely on raw visual data such as camera images, our method utilizes highly compressed and informative BEV representations, which are generated by fusing multi-modal sensor data (e.g., camera and LiDAR) and aligning them with HD Maps. This unified BEV-HD Map format provides a geometrically consistent and rich scene description, enabling VLMs to perform accurate trajectory planning. Experimental results on the nuScenes dataset demonstrate 44.8% improvements in planning accuracy and *complete collision avoidance*. Our work highlights that VLMs can effectively interpret processed visual representations like BEV features, expanding their applicability beyond raw images in trajectory planning.

Index Terms— Autonomous Driving, Vision-Language Model, Multi-Modal Learning

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the pursuit of advanced autonomous driving (AD) has attracted extensive attention, with Vision-Language Models (VLMs) emerging as a promising pathway, owing to their inherent cognitive capabilities from pre-training that enable effective application in real-world scenarios. While existing research has demonstrated the feasibility and reliability of using VLMs for path planning by feeding visual camera images, these approaches suffer from two key limitations: they rely solely on camera data and thus lack integration with other modalities, such as LiDAR point clouds, and they fail to explore VLMs’ potential for planning based on Bird’s-Eye View (BEV) features.

To address these gaps, this work avoids the direct use of raw visual signals (e.g., camera images) as VLM inputs. Instead, we leverage pre-trained sensor-to-BEV feature models (e.g., BEVFusion [1], LSS [2]) and standard feature map generation techniques to preprocess multi-modal sensor data. This process produces highly compressed yet information-dense BEV feature maps, which offer three critical advan-

tages: they condense key driving environment information into a single representation, reducing computational overhead; provide a unified space for multi-sensor fusion that accommodates sensors with different intrinsic and extrinsic parameters; and exhibit geometric consistency with readily available high-definition maps (HD Maps). After aligning BEV features with HD Maps based on local position, we render the latter onto the former to form a unified BEV-HD Map, and this integration incorporates road topological structure information, enabling VLMs to perform more accurate trajectory planning. We formulate our contributions as follows:

- We present a novel perspective for applying VLMs to AD, in which preprocessed BEV feature maps are visualized and fed into the model, serving as a summary of current driving scenarios. Beyond raw visual images (such as camera images), BEV feature maps can also serve as visual inputs for VLMs.
- Based on this highly compressed yet powerful scene representation, we enable compatibility with diverse sensor configurations while achieving fusion with local HD Maps, and this is accomplished without compromising the inference performance of the downstream planning module.

2. RELATED WORKS

BEV features have fully demonstrated their capability in representing spatiotemporal scenes. Works such as BEVFusion and BEVFormer [3] derive BEV features by extracting information from multi-sensor data, achieving strong performance in perception tasks. BEVerse [4] further extends the application of BEV features to trajectory planning, validating their applicability in this domain.

In end-to-end autonomous driving methods, the BEV representation remains widely adopted in numerous works. ST-P3 [5] addresses tasks like depth estimation and BEV segmentation by leveraging enhanced spatiotemporal BEV features. UniAD [6] employs a unified BEV representation to support multiple downstream tasks, including object detection and trajectory prediction. VAD [7, 8], by contrast, converts BEV features into structured vectorized representations




Fig. 1. Overall Architecture of BEV-VLM BEV-VLM encompasses two core modules. First, it acquires BEV features via pre-trained models, then fuses these BEV features with HD Maps to produce detailed scene descriptions. Second, the framework feeds these generated scene descriptions into VLM, leveraging the capabilities of model to execute trajectory prediction tasks.

via a Transformer decoder. This enables BEV information to directly contribute to planning, with vectorization constraints further enhancing safety.

Large models, with their excellent reasoning capabilities and rich commonsense, are gradually playing an increasingly important role in autonomous driving tasks. Drive-with-LLMs [9] generates future trajectory plans by feeding perception information in the latent space to large models. DriveGPT4 [10] obtains trajectory predictions by feeding video information from the foreground perspective to large models. Senna [11], on the other hand, feeds image information from the surrounding surround-view cameras to large models. All the above and other examples have proven the reliability of large models in trajectory prediction when provided with the aforementioned types of information. However, there is still a lack of research directly targeting BEV features for trajectory prediction.

3. METHODOLOGY

This work leverages VLM for trajectory planning based on the BEV feature representation of autonomous driving scenarios, demonstrating that VLM can utilize the rich perceptual information in BEV features for trajectory planning; the whole process is shown in Figure 1. First, we obtain BEV features using pre-trained BEV perception models, then combine the visualized BEV feature map with HD Map to form a description of the environment around the ego-vehicle. Next, the combined maps are directly fed into the VLM, which is used to generate trajectory planning.

3.1. Scenario Description

In existing methods that use VLMs for trajectory planning, visual inputs are mostly raw data such as images or videos. However, these raw data contain excessive irrelevant information for driving tasks. Furthermore, inputting data from

multiple perspectives, such as the multi-camera views commonly adopted in autonomous driving systems, increases the computational overhead of VLMs, as the model must additionally process and align visual signals from distinct angles. In contrast, this paper uses maps formed by combining visualized BEV feature maps and HD Maps as an efficient scenario description for input.

Regarding the generation of the BEV-HD Map, first, we use the pre-trained BEVFusion [1] model to extract image and point cloud features from the input raw camera images and LiDAR point clouds of the current frame. These features are then fused via a feature fusion module to produce the BEV fusion feature. Then we employ PCA dimensionality reduction to obtain visualized BEV feature maps. Next, the HD Map is cropped based on the ego-vehicle’s position in the current frame, yielding an aligned HD Map centered at the ego-vehicle and sharing the same spatial extent and orientation as the BEV fusion feature. Finally, the HD Map is superimposed onto the BEV fusion feature to generate the BEV-HD Map.

As a comprehensive description of the surrounding driving scene, BEV features preserve key information about the driving environment while filtering out data irrelevant to driving tasks. This characteristic not only significantly reduces information dimensionality but also improves subsequent computational efficiency. Meanwhile, the BEV representation serves as a unified fusion space for multimodal data, which not only addresses the limitation that VLMs cannot directly leverage information from sources like LiDAR but also enables VLMs to achieve direct fusion of diverse modalities such as multi-sensor data and HD maps. Therefore, this paper selects visualized BEV features as core inputs for VLM.

3.2. Trajectory Planning

The BEV scene description is first integrated into the prompt for trajectory planning. Since the visualized BEV features already contain sufficient driving-related perception information, our driving-specific prompt does not include additional



Fig. 2. Cross-Column Visualization of Trajectories Several different scenarios are displayed, including *turn left*, *go straight*, *turn right*, and more driving actions. Green denotes the predicted trajectories, while orange denotes the ground truth trajectories.

descriptions of the driving scenario or complex driving instructions. Its core function is twofold: it merely informs the VLM that the input data is a BEV-HD Map, and explicitly instructs the VLM to generate the trajectory for the upcoming moment based on this BEV-HD Map input.

When predicting the trajectory for the next step, we use the actual trajectory of the next moment in the physical world as the supervision signal to ensure prediction accuracy. The trajectory prediction process is designed as follows: we first convert the future trajectory prediction task into the prediction of future driving waypoint tokens; then, we autoregressively generate waypoint tokens corresponding to future steps; finally, we decode these waypoint tokens into a coordinate format via the decoder to obtain the final trajectory coordinates. Specifically, we design the model to predict the next six waypoint tokens, where each token maps to a $0.5s$ time step, and collectively these tokens correspond to a prediction horizon spanning $3s$.

4. EXPERIMENTS

4.1. Experimental Settings

Datasets. In this work, we train our model on the `train` split of the nuScenes [12] dataset and evaluate it on the `val` split. The whole dataset, comprising over 1,000 driving scenes each lasting approximately 20 seconds, provides a diverse range of sensor data, including surround-view imagery, LiDAR point clouds, and more. It also offers rich annotations, such as 3D bounding boxes, ego-vehicle trajectories, and road topology maps, all of which support comprehensive model training and evaluation for autonomous driving tasks.




Fig. 3. From left to right, this figure show the visualized BEV Feature Map, the spatially aligned HD Map, and the BEV-HD Map with precise overlay rendering.

Foundation Model. We adopt `Qwen2.5-VL-3B/7B` [13] from the Qwen-family as the foundation model for this work.

Preprocessing. Data preprocessing, including prompt design and ground truth trajectory extraction, proceeds as follows: LiDAR data, images, and fused BEV features, which cover a spatial range of $\pm 50m$ in both x, y directions, are extracted from nuScenes through the pretrained BEVFusion, and then converted to the visualized RGB format through dimensionality reduction. VLM prompts include these images and predefined driving-related questions. Ground truth trajectories for training and evaluation are derived from nuScenes by computing ego-vehicle position differences between the current and subsequent frames.

Metrics. For trajectory planning, we employ mainstream $L2$ displacement error and collision rate as evaluation metrics. $L2_{avg}$ refers to displacement error under the ST-P3 standard, while $L2_{max}$ refers to displacement error under the UniAD standard.

4.2. Main Results

Table 1 presents the performance of BEV-VLM in trajectory planning, compared with baseline methods. It can be observed that among all competitors, BEV-VLM, based on `Qwen2.5-VL-7B` achieves the best performance, and it also ranks as the top performer among our two models. The first-person perspective visualization results are presented in Figure 2. We attribute this performance advantage to the core VLM’s ability to fully understand the information contained in the BEV-HD Map. We also note that models with larger parameter sizes seem to have a higher upper limit for understanding BEV feature maps.

Compared with the *state-of-the-art* vision-only model, the results of our proposed BEV-VLM have achieved a 44.8% decrease in terms of displacement error. Under the ST-P3 [5] standard, we achieved *complete collision avoidance* for all $3s$ future time horizons. These results indicate that the VLM can well comprehend the scene information contained in the BEV-HD Map, thus verifying the effectiveness and reliability of our method.

A notable finding in our best-performing model is the absence of significant error accumulation as the time horizon increases. In some cases, we even observe a decrease in error at later time steps. We hypothesize that this robustness stems

Table 1. Main results. Note that our inputs include surround-view vision, LiDAR, and HD Maps. Pre-trained weights are obtained from ModelScope Platform. The Avg. column denotes the average of the first three seconds. In the following table, EMMA+ leverages large-scale additional internal datasets for pre-training. In contrast to the other models that rely solely on pure visual information, our approach incorporates fused LiDAR-camera BEV features along with HD Maps.

Model		$L2_{avg}$ (m) ↓				$L2_{max}$ (m) ↓				Collision rate (%) ↓			
		1s	2s	3s	Avg.	1s	2s	3s	Avg.	1s	2s	3s	Avg.
	ST-P3 [5]	1.33	2.11	2.90	2.11	–	–	–	–	0.44	1.08	3.01	1.51
	UniAD [6]	0.42	0.64	0.91	0.66	0.48	0.96	1.65	1.03	0.05	0.17	0.71	0.31
	VAD [7]	0.41	0.70	1.05	0.72	–	–	–	–	0.07	0.17	0.41	0.22
	Senna [11]	0.26	0.42	0.61	0.43	–	–	–	–	0.04	0.08	0.13	0.08
	EMMA [14]	0.14	0.29	0.54	0.32	–	–	–	–	-	-	-	-
	EMMA+ [14]	0.13	0.27	0.48	0.29	–	–	–	–	-	-	-	-
BEV-VLM	Qwen2.5-VL-3B	0.14	0.44	0.50	0.36	0.24	0.65	0.99	0.63	0.02	0.04	0.10	0.05
	Qwen2.5-VL-7B	0.13	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.13	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

from the fundamental advantages of BEV representation.

Unlike sequential, first-person view predictions where errors can compound autoregressively, the BEV format provides a holistic, top-down view of the surrounding environment. This transforms the planning task from a step-by-step prediction into a more globally-aware path selection problem. By conditioning the entire trajectory on a unified spatial context, the BEV representation effectively decouples the waypoints in time to some extent. Each waypoint is thus generated not just based on the previous one, but as part of a coherent, globally optimal trajectory. This inherent decoupling is crucial for mitigating the compounding error problem that typically plagues sequential forecasting models.

4.3. Ablation Study

We further explored the results of rendering additional elements on the BEV feature map, including the bounding boxes of other traffic participants that are projected onto BEV maps, as well as the results obtained without HD Maps.

Table 2 presents the results of the ablation experiments. It can be observed that the BEV feature map conveys substantial information about driving scenarios and plays a crucial role. Additionally, HD Maps provide detailed information pertaining to the drivable area in the current scenario, such as lane line data, which further improves trajectory planning performance. However, rendering additional bounding boxes on the BEV feature map does not yield further performance improvements. We attribute this, in part, to the small pixel resolution of the BEV feature map. In this work, the BEV feature map has dimensions of 180×180 . When bounding boxes are rendered onto the BEV feature map, they become distorted and fail to preserve their original spatial structure, thereby introducing erroneous accumulation.

5. FUTURE WORK

While this study has verified the effectiveness of our BEV-HD Map and VLM-based trajectory planning framework, there is still room for further optimization. Future research may focus on the following key directions:

- We plan to incorporate more accessible datasets into the training process to obtain richer expert driving trajectories. This will help the model learn more comprehensive driving patterns and improve its generalization to diverse real-world scenarios.
- In the current work, we employ BEVFusion as the BEV encoder. In future work, we intend to adopt the *state-of-the-art* BEV encoding architectures, aiming to acquire more robust global representations of sensor data.
- We will attempt to develop a unified VLM tailored to the BEV features. Given that different vehicle models are equipped with varying sensor combinations, we believe this design can avoid the need to retrain the model when dealing with different combinations of BEV-related modalities, which in turn will simplify the deployment of the model and thus improve its overall deployability and adaptability.

6. CONCLUSION

In this work, we explore a novel trajectory planning approach that leverages the reasoning capabilities of VLMs using comprehensive BEV representations, and demonstrate that it outperforms the *state-of-the-art* vision-only methods. This approach offers two key advantages: First, it inherently aligns with the sequential nature of autoregressive waypoint generation in driving scenarios, and thereby achieves excellent performance. Second, it utilizes a unified representation to

Table 2. Ablations of different visual input. This table presents a comparison of different visual input modalities including visualized BEV features, BEV-HD Map, and BEV feature map with bounding boxes using Qwen2.5-VL-7B.

Input Features			$L2_{avg}(m) \downarrow$				Collision (%) \downarrow			
BEV feature map	HD map	Bounding Box	1s	2s	3s	Avg.	1s	2s	3s	Avg.
✓			0.10	0.27	0.45	0.27	0.02	0.06	0.20	0.09
✓	✓		0.13	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
✓		✓	0.48	0.60	0.81	0.63	0.02	0.12	0.34	0.16

seamlessly integrate the available multimodal input, including camera, LiDAR, and HD Maps data.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Zhijian Liu, Haotian Tang, Alexander Amini, Xingyu Yang, Huizi Mao, Daniela Rus, and Song Han, “Bevfusion: Multi-task multi-sensor fusion with unified bird’s-eye view representation,” in *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2023.
- [2] Jonah Philion and Sanja Fidler, “Lift, splat, shoot: Encoding images from arbitrary camera rigs by implicitly unprojecting to 3d,” in *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*, 2020.
- [3] Zhiqi Li, Wenhai Wang, Hongyang Li, Enze Xie, Chonghao Sima, Tong Lu, Yu Qiao, and Jifeng Dai, “Bevformer: Learning bird’s-eye-view representation from multi-camera images via spatiotemporal transformers,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.17270*, 2022.
- [4] Yunpeng Zhang, Zheng Zhu, Wenzhao Zheng, Junjie Huang, Guan Huang, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu, “Be-verse: Unified perception and prediction in birds-eye-view for vision-centric autonomous driving,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.09743*, 2022.
- [5] Shengchao Hu, Li Chen, Penghao Wu, Hongyang Li, Junchi Yan, and Dacheng Tao, “St-p3: End-to-end vision-based autonomous driving via spatial-temporal feature learning,” in *European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 2022.
- [6] Yihan Hu, Jiazhi Yang, Li Chen, Keyu Li, Chonghao Sima, Xizhou Zhu, Siqi Chai, Senyao Du, Tianwei Lin, Wenhai Wang, Lewei Lu, Xiaosong Jia, Qiang Liu, Jifeng Dai, Yu Qiao, and Hongyang Li, “Planning-oriented autonomous driving,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2023.
- [7] Bo Jiang, Shaoyu Chen, Qing Xu, Bencheng Liao, Jiajie Chen, Helong Zhou, Qian Zhang, Wenyu Liu, Chang Huang, and Xinggang Wang, “Vad: Vectorized scene representation for efficient autonomous driving,” *ICCV*, 2023.

- [8] Shaoyu Chen, Bo Jiang, Hao Gao, Bencheng Liao, Qing Xu, Qian Zhang, Chang Huang, Wenyu Liu, and Xinggong Wang, “Vadv2: End-to-end vectorized autonomous driving via probabilistic planning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.13243*, 2024.
- [9] Long Chen, Oleg Sinavski, Jan Hünermann, Alice Karnsund, Andrew James Willmott, Danny Birch, Daniel Maund, and Jamie Shotton, “Driving with llms: Fusing object-level vector modality for explainable autonomous driving,” in *2024 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2024.
- [10] Zhenhua Xu, Yujia Zhang, Enze Xie, Zhen Zhao, Yong Guo, Kwan-Yee K Wong, Zhenguang Li, and Hengshuang Zhao, “Drivegpt4: Interpretable end-to-end autonomous driving via large language model,” *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 2024.
- [11] Bo Jiang, Shaoyu Chen, Bencheng Liao, Xingyu Zhang, Wei Yin, Qian Zhang, Chang Huang, Wenyu Liu, and Xinggong Wang, “Senna: Bridging large vision-language models and end-to-end autonomous driving,” 2024.
- [12] Holger Caesar, Varun Bankiti, Alex H. Lang, Sourabh Vora, Venice Erin Liong, Qiang Xu, Anush Krishnan, Yu Pan, Giancarlo Baldan, and Oscar Beijbom, “nusenes: A multimodal dataset for autonomous driving,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.11027*, 2019.
- [13] Shuai Bai, Keqin Chen, Xuejing Liu, Jialin Wang, Wenbin Ge, Sibao Song, Kai Dang, Peng Wang, Shijie Wang, Jun Tang, Humen Zhong, Yuanzhi Zhu, Mingkun Yang, Zhaohai Li, Jianqiang Wan, Pengfei Wang, Wei Ding, Zheren Fu, Yiheng Xu, Jiabo Ye, Xi Zhang, Tianbao Xie, Zesen Cheng, Hang Zhang, Zhibo Yang, Haiyang Xu, and Junyang Lin, “Qwen2.5-vl technical report,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.13923*, 2025.
- [14] Jyh-Jing Hwang, Runsheng Xu, Hubert Lin, Wei-Chih Hung, Jingwei Ji, Kristy Choi, Di Huang, Tong He, Paul Covington, Benjamin Sapp, James Guo, Drago Anguelov, and Mingxing Tan, “Emma: End-to-end multimodal model for autonomous driving,” *Trans. Mach. Learn. Res.*, vol. 2025, 2024.