

Gender Stereotypes in Professional Roles Among Saudis: An Analytical Study of AI-Generated Images Using Language Models

Khaloud S. AlKhalifah¹, Malak Mashaabi² and Hend Al-Khalifa ^{2,*}

¹ College of Languages and Translation, Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University; Kskhalifah@imamu.edu.sa

² iWAN Research Group, College of Computer and Information Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; malakmashaabi@gmail.com

*Correspondence: hendk@ksu.edu.sa;

Abstract: This study investigates the extent to which contemporary Text-to-Image artificial intelligence (AI) models perpetuate gender stereotypes and cultural inaccuracies when generating depictions of professionals in Saudi Arabia. We analyzed 1,006 images produced by ImageFX, DALL-E V3, and Grok for 56 diverse Saudi professions using neutral prompts. Two trained Saudi annotators evaluated each image on five dimensions, perceived gender, clothing and appearance, background and setting, activities and interactions, and age, and a third senior researcher adjudicated whenever the two primary raters disagreed, yielding 10,100 individual judgements. The results reveal a strong gender imbalance, with ImageFX outputs being 85% male, Grok 86.6% male, and DALL-E V3 96% male, indicating that DALL-E V3 exhibited the strongest overall gender stereotyping. This imbalance was most evident in leadership and technical roles. Moreover, cultural inaccuracies in clothing, settings, and depicted activities were frequently observed across all three models. Counter-stereotypical images often arise from cultural misinterpretations rather than genuinely progressive portrayals. We conclude that current models mirror societal biases embedded in their training data, generated by humans, offering only a limited reflection of the Saudi labour market's gender dynamics and cultural nuances. These findings underscore the urgent need for more diverse training data, fairer algorithms, and culturally sensitive evaluation frameworks to ensure equitable and authentic visual outputs.

Keywords: gender bias, cultural representation, Text-to-Image models, Saudi professions, algorithmic fairness

1. Introduction

The development of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies calls for a debate, especially regarding their role in supporting or resisting gender roles in the work environment [1], [2]. Now that these technologies have been integrated into various societal and workplace settings [3], [4], it is necessary to analyze whether they influence societal norms or reinforce stereotypes. This study aims to bridge the gap by exploring the connection between AI-generated images and gender roles in professional contexts within Saudi Arabia, thereby contributing to ongoing discussions on AI, gender roles, and cultural practices. The rapid expansion of AI-driven gender portrayals, through the visual meanings constructed by large language models, has both advantages and drawbacks in

addressing gender issues. This topic has become increasingly critical in the Saudi professional environment, characterized by its fast-paced development and strong cultural values [5], [6].

Over the past decade, Saudi Arabia's employment landscape has undergone significant changes, with a marked increase in female participation across various professions [5]. However, traditional expectations about gender roles remain firmly established, affecting both the job opportunities available and the ambitions women can pursue. As AI aids in shaping public perceptions and in visualizing gender representation in specific occupations, it becomes essential to examine how gender is depicted and interpreted in AI-generated images. Such an examination is key to achieving workplace equality and reframing existing stereotypes. Gender stereotypes in AI-generated images are an acknowledged concern, often originating from biases embedded in training data, manifested in the overrepresentation of men in certain occupations and women in others [7].

This project seeks to analyze the interplay between gender stereotyping and language models as manifested in professional images, with a focus on the Saudi context. Given the country's ongoing economic growth and technological advancements that integrate it further into global networks, this research aims to scrutinize AI representations of Saudi professionals to identify bias-oriented narratives, cultural frameworks, and overall levels of gender equity in the workplace.

In going beyond a mere acknowledgment of AI biases, this investigation expands the scope of research by exploring how AI systems interpret gender in professional settings, thereby guiding the development of more culturally attuned AI technologies that meet the needs of an evolving Saudi society. Furthermore, this study adds to the global discourse on algorithmic biases and their impact on workplace gender equity.

Consequently, one key aspect of this research involves critically evaluating and mitigating computer-generated gender biases. This includes identifying and categorizing gender stereotypes present in AI-produced professional imagery. The goal is to advance both the theoretical framework and practical applications of AI to foster more equitable workplace environments. In this context, the study's main objective is to explore and analyze gender related stereotypes in Saudi professional occupations as they appear in AI-generated images using large language models. By examining how these technologies portray roles, attributes, and characteristics of both men and women within Saudi society, the study aims to achieve the following specific objectives:

1. Identifying and classifying gender related stereotypes in AI-generated job images for Saudis in terms of numerical representation, leadership roles, appearance, and attributed qualities.
2. Analyzing how AI-generated gender stereotypes reflect cultural expectations and norms regarding gender roles in Saudi society, with particular emphasis on identifying potential biases or the amplification of stereotypes.
3. Exploring the potential implications of AI-generated gender stereotypes for Saudi men and women's professional aspirations and opportunities, and devising recommendations to promote equitable AI development while addressing gender biases in the workplace.

Based on these considerations, this research addresses the following main research question: Do AI-generated images accurately represent the reality of the Saudi labor market regarding gender based occupational roles?

To thoroughly examine this question, the following sub-questions are posed:

1. What are the visual characteristics of AI-generated job professional images?
2. Are there stereotypical representations in AI-generated job professional images?
3. Are there biases in AI-generated job professional images?
4. How can AI models be developed to produce more balanced images?

These questions guide our investigation of the intricate relationships between AI-generated imagery and gender representation in professional contexts, with a special focus on the cultural and social factors shaping Saudi Arabia's rapidly evolving work environment.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the Literature Review, examining gender stereotypes from a social studies perspective, bias in text to image (T2I) generation, and relevant regulatory frameworks. Section 3 (Materials and Methods) outlines the dataset sampling approach, evaluation criteria, annotation guidelines, and the systematic analysis process for AI generated images. Section 4 reports the empirical results on gender representation in Saudi professional contexts. Section 5 (Discussion) interprets these findings in relation to existing literature and their implications for gender equality in the Saudi workplace, while also addressing each of the research questions. Finally, Section 6 (Conclusion) summarizes key insights, discusses study limitations, and suggests directions for future research aimed at promoting equitable AI development in culturally diverse settings.

2. Literature Review

This literature review weaves together three complementary strands: (i) social science accounts of gender stereotyping in Saudi society, (ii) empirical studies on bias in state-of-the-art T2I models, and (iii) emerging regulatory and ethical frameworks for responsible AI use, to establish the theoretical and practical context for our work. By first grounding the discussion in longstanding cultural norms that shape professional gender roles in Saudi Arabia, then tracing how such stereotypes resurface (and sometimes intensify) in AI-generated imagery, and finally surveying policy responses aimed at fairness, we show both the persistence of gendered bias across domains and the specific research gaps, most notably the lack of multidimensional, culturally attuned evaluations, that our study addresses.

2.1 Gender Stereotypes from a Social Studies Lens

The inquiry and analysis of gender discrimination in Saudi professional functions is an integration of cultural, educational, and economic issues. The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) has made significant strides towards gender equality, particularly through its Vision 2030 initiative, which aims to enhance women's participation in various sectors. Nevertheless, stereotypes and norms are still woven into the fabric of society, determining how women are expected to behave and the professional opportunities available to them.

People from Saudi backgrounds typically have deep-rooted cultural elements; as such, they embrace firm gender roles where men are supposed to lead and take on professional duties while women should focus on family responsibilities. Alzahrani et al. emphasize that a patriarchal culture dominant in Saudi Arabia endorses such stereotypes and, consequently, women are viewed as hesitant to speak out, thereby being more suited to the domestic rather than the business sphere [8]. This cultural history is significant in analyzing the professional gender roles and their intersection across different industries and spheres.

These societal stereotypes have far-reaching consequences, as they not only affect society's perception but also the professional prospects and the results they achieve. Aljarodi et al. emphasize the relevance of gender stereotypes regarding women's entrepreneurial activities in Saudi Arabia, as they hinder their ability to engage in their professions compared to men [9]. Such a difference is also evident in other industries, where women consistently encounter a glass ceiling in their efforts to advance in their careers. For example, as noted by Hakami et al., the surgical field has been cited to have a cultural bias against female surgeons because there is some evidence to suggest that the public prefers male surgeons for common surgical operations [10]. Such tendencies reflect societal thinking and ideas that tend to narrow the scope of occupations women can undertake, particularly those involving considerable risk.

Moreover, cultural and religious aspects aggravate gender issues in the healthcare industry. Examining the role of gender during patient selection in obstetrics and gynecology [11] suggests that women prefer female service providers due to gender etiquette norms in the clinical context. This tendency highlights the importance of gender in professional performance, particularly with women's health and wellbeing.

The various challenges that women entrepreneurs face in Saudi Arabia reflect a manifestation of gender norms within the sphere of women's entrepreneurship. According to Yusuf et al., efforts should be made to provide programs that enhance the self-efficacy and motivation of women entrepreneurs, regardless of the existing barriers [12]. While government efforts have been geared towards bolstering the entrepreneurial spirit among women to widen the economic base, women still face bias and discrimination, including cultural paradigms and unequal resource allocation. There is a need to build trust between the women entrepreneurs and the societal and infrastructural context through governmental and nongovernmental measures.

One notable area of interest is the portrayal of females within the educational context, specifically in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) at the secondary school level in Saudi Arabia. Alqahtani argues that this approach reinforces the negative depiction of women in textbooks, thus providing wider interpretations of stereotypes and sanctions in society. The analysis revealed that male figures dominate pictorial representations, while women are frequently shown in traditional roles, which further perpetuates negative myths about women's roles in society [13]. Such a scenario can provoke misconceptions about roles and their functionality to the extent that it limits the thinking of adolescent females, who may struggle to perceive themselves in a variety of occupations.

The effect of educational tactics on the performance of male and female students has been researched in detail. The work of [14] shows that amongst university students in Saudi Arabia,

females tend to adopt more efficient learning strategies than males, which is likely to lead to improved outcomes for them. Such behavior indicates that even within a nation, traditional practices prevent educational institutions from properly addressing issues such as the learning style to adopt for each gender. If educational institutions can create an environment that promotes and leverages such differences, they can help erase stereotypes and foster equality between genders in society.

Media, too, is an essential factor in shaping gender attitudes toward society. Critical Discourse Analysis of Arabic news media [15] reveals the processes by which discursive narratives about women’s rights and freedoms are constructed, as well as the societal perceptions and stereotypes these rights aim to address. The way women are presented through the media often shapes public perception and societal values, making it necessary for the media to adopt a more gender balanced approach to issues such as the work that men and women do. Such changes are essential to support the recognition of women’s roles in different occupations.

Table 1 summarizes various studies on gender stereotyping in the Saudi Arabian job market, focusing on key areas such as hospitality, healthcare, education, and low skilled jobs. The findings of the studies point to a persistent problem of few women in leadership positions and the prevalent cultural dynamics that affect women’s ability to reach senior positions, including gender-inclusive stereotypes. Quantitative surveys are used in conjunction with statistical modeling, qualitative interviews, and phenomenological methods and approaches, highlighting the complexity of these interactions. Additionally, the table outlines the evidence necessary to comprehend the relationship between gender, culture, and the various institutions in Saudi Arabia. It also creates ground where gaps can be addressed and possible solutions for equal participation in the local workforce can be suggested.

Table 1: Summary of various studies focusing on gender stereotyping in the Saudi Arabian job market

| Ref. | Sample Population | Findings | Methods | Objectives |
|------|---|---|--|--|
| [7] | 200 higher education students in tourism and hospitality | Gender stereotypes significantly influence professional roles in the hospitality sector. Leadership roles are often associated with masculine traits, while operational roles, such as receptionist and floor maid, are linked to feminine traits. Despite women being the majority in the workforce, they are underrepresented in leadership positions. | Quantitative cross-sectional study using the Bem Sex Role Inventory Short Form Traits questionnaire. | Examine gender representations associated with different hierarchical positions and departments, and understand the inequalities that persist between men and women in the hospitality sector. |
| [8] | 44 female customer service employees in the banking and insurance sectors | Reports and studies indicate that even after steps are taken to create opportunities for women, women’s opportunities and behaviors are constrained by deeply ingrained cultural attitudes and expectations regarding their roles in society. There are applicable cultural standards as well as state policies that continue to be implemented that restrict the advancement of women, thus consolidating existing gender relations. | Qualitative research through interviews with female employees to gather insights on their workplace experiences in segregated and nonsegregated organizations. | Examine the impact of culture in shaping the workplace experiences of Saudi women and how cultural attitudes constrain their opportunities despite progressive social and economic changes. |
| [9] | 23 male registered nurses selected | Male nurses experience both positive and negative perceptions. They face stigma, workplace violence, and discrimination | Qualitative descriptive phenomenology using semi structured | Explore the experiences and realities faced by male registered nurses in |

| | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|---|
| | from five hospitals | due to stereotypes that nursing is a female profession. Despite challenges, male nurses strive to belong and earn respect within Saudi society. | interviews. Data analyzed using Colaizzi's method. | Saudi Arabia and their role in nation building through Saudi Vision 2030. |
| [10] | 145 employed women in different sectors in Saudi Arabia | There is a strong positive relationship between HR support, work–life balance, and job satisfaction among female employees. Job satisfaction mediates the relationship between HR support and work–life balance. Organizational policies moderate these relationships, emphasizing the need for supportive policies to enhance women's empowerment. | Quantitative study using exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses, and inferential statistical methods. Evaluated mediating and moderating effects using the PROCESS macro for SPSS. | Investigate the influence of HR support on job satisfaction and work–life balance among female employees, analyzing job satisfaction as a mediator and organizational policies as moderators. |
| [11] | 115 educators in health care professions | Significant structural challenges hinder female leadership, including centralized decision-making and unclear organizational bylaws. Cultural challenges involve the belief that men are superior in management roles and a reluctance to accept women's authority. Personality related challenges include balancing professional and family responsibilities. These barriers limit women's advancement to leadership positions. | Cross-sectional study using an adapted self reported online questionnaire. Data were analyzed descriptively and comparatively using student t-test. | Explore the representation of female academic staff in leadership positions in health academic institutions and determine barriers to women's advancement in academia. |
| [12] | 20 employed women aged 20–45 in low skilled jobs | Saudi women in low paid jobs experience feelings of obligation and shame due to traditional gender roles. Despite seeking financial independence and self-worth, they face challenging working conditions and a lack of visibility. The empowerment discourse often overlooks their needs, leaving them unheard in socioeconomic plans. | Qualitative research through interviews to understand the motivations and experiences of women working in low skilled sectors. | Unravel the motivations driving women to pursue work in a patriarchal society and investigate issues of visibility, space, and social responsibility affecting their empowerment. |
| [13] | 26 Saudi professional women | Due to changes in formal institutions, women now have more direct access to informal networks, enabling them to attain jobs and progress their careers. The study distinguishes between Wasta (negative practice) and other beneficial informal networks, highlighting how women navigate these networks in the evolving workplace. | Qualitative study based on interviews with professional women to explore their use of informal networks in the workplace. | Explore the use of Wasta informal networks by women in the context of the new Saudi workplace and how socioeconomic changes impact their access to networks. |

The study of gendered stereotypes in the professional roles occupied by Saudi citizens reveals the interactions between the territories of educational culture, media, and entrepreneurship. Despite significant efforts to increase women's participation in various industries, deeply ingrained stereotypes and social attitudes pose considerable barriers. There is a need to synergize education, media, business, and women's empowerment to improve society. As part of the Saudi Vision 2030 initiatives, the efforts are already being made, and they will help the society, but constant engagement and work are required to bring about a change.

2.2 Bias in T2I Generation

Recent studies have examined various forms of bias in T2I generative AI models, showing multiple patterns of stereotypical representations across different demographic attributes [16], [17], [18]. These studies have employed diverse methodological approaches, from controlled experiments with specific prompts to largescale analyses using automated metrics, to understand how these models perpetuate and sometimes amplify societal biases. We organize these studies into two main categories: those that focus specifically on gender based stereotypes in professional and social contexts, and those that investigate the intersection of multiple attributes, such as gender, race, age, and nationality.

A) *Gender-based stereotypes*

Workplace-related gender biases in images generated by DALLE 2 to determine whether AI-generated images replicate and amplify stereotypes in professional contexts [16]. Using a stratified sampling approach, they selected 37 professions and entered neutral prompts into DALLE 2, generating 666 images. These professions included roles traditionally associated with both genders, such as "nurse" and "engineer," with prompts deliberately avoiding gender specific terms. The generated images were evaluated using a 3-point Likert scale, where 1 indicated no stereotype, 2 showed moderate stereotyping, and 3 indicated strong stereotyping. Key findings revealed significant gender specific depictions, such as women in caregiving or appearance focused roles (e.g., nurse, teacher, or singer) and men in technical, leadership, or labor-intensive professions (e.g., engineer, politician, or carpenter). These biases stem from a lack of diversity in training datasets and societal prejudices embedded within them.

Gender biases in multilingual T2I models were investigated, examining whether prompt engineering can mitigate such biases in another study [17]. The researchers proposed MAGBIG, a benchmark comprising 3,630 prompts that include 20 adjectives and 150 occupations across nine languages: Arabic, German, English, Spanish, French, Italian, Japanese, Korean, and Chinese, to evaluate the MultiFusion and AltDiffusion models. The methodology involved generating images using direct prompts, such as "male doctor," and indirect, gender-neutral prompts, such as "a person who practices medicine." This process was conducted across all languages to explore how language structure and gendered terms influence bias. The evaluation used the Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD) metric to quantify the deviation in gender distribution for each role. At the same time, text-to-image alignment scores and attempt counts were used to evaluate the quality of image generation in response to the prompts. The researchers also compared direct and indirect prompts outputs to determine how prompt engineering influenced bias mitigation and text-image consistency. Findings revealed significant gender biases across all languages, with male associated images dominating many professions, particularly in gendered languages. Although prompt engineering slightly reduced bias, it had a negative impact on text-to-image alignment and overall quality.

Another study investigated gender biases in dual subject settings where individuals are depicted with contrasting occupational or power related attributes [18]. The research introduced the Paired Stereotype Test (PST) framework, designed to analyze gender biases in generative models under scenarios combining gendered roles (e.g., male CEOs and female assistants) and organizational power (e.g., accounting manager versus accounting assistant). They created 1,952 prompts to generate paired images reflecting these dual subject settings. The evaluation employed a

combination of human annotation and automated analysis. Human annotators assessed the visibility and adherence of gender stereotypes in the generated images. At the same time, automated analysis utilized the Stereotype Score (SS) metric to quantify biases by measuring the extent to which the model associated certain roles with specific genders. Findings showed that DALLE3 displayed significant biases in dual subject scenarios, with over 74% of the generated images reinforcing traditional gender stereotypes and amplifying existing real world biases.

B) Multi-attribute stereotypes

A study examined biases in DALLEv2 and Stable Diffusion v1 models, focusing on their representation of gender, race, age, and geographical location [7]. The researchers used over 330,000 images generated with both neutral and expanded prompts. These prompts spanned a variety of contexts, including occupations (e.g., doctors), personality traits (e.g., intelligence), and everyday situations (e.g., events, food, institutions, clothing, places, and communities). The evaluation combined human annotations collected through Amazon Mechanical Turk with automated tools, such as CLIP embeddings and the FairFace classifier, to assess representation and diversity. The findings showed significant biases, including gendered depictions of occupations, racial bias favoring white individuals, and skewed age distributions. Geographical biases also emerged, with limited representation for countries such as Nigeria and Ethiopia compared to the U.S. and Germany. While expanded prompts partially mitigated these biases, they introduced new challenges, such as discrepancies in image quality.

The amplification of harmful stereotypes in Stable Diffusion and DALLE models was further investigated in another study [1]. The researchers explored how stereotypes related to race, gender, and nationality are embedded in generated images by testing a range of natural language prompts, including identity neutral, identity specific, and counter stereotypical language. Prompts related to descriptors (e.g., "attractive person"), occupations (e.g., "engineer"), and objects (e.g., "car") were used to understand how the models propagate and amplify biases. The evaluation involved qualitative analysis and automated embedding comparisons using CLIP, examining the visual and textual associations in generated outputs. Findings showed significant stereotype amplification, with outputs reinforcing dominant racial, gender, and cultural norms. Even when counter stereotypical prompts were used, the models often failed to override apparent biases, perpetuating harmful associations.

The Visual Stereotypes Around the Globe (ViSAGE) dataset was introduced to evaluate nationality-based stereotypes in Stable Diffusion v1.4 models [2]. Covering 135 nationalities and leveraging the SeeGULL stereotype resource, the researchers distinguished between visual stereotypes, such as physical features or cultural attire, and nonvisual stereotypes, including intelligence or behavior. Human annotators reviewed each image to consider the presence of stereotypes in the generated images, identifying whether the associated visual stereotypes were present. Additionally, the study explored automated methods for stereotype detection by employing CLIP and BART to generate captions for the images, which were then analyzed for stereotypical attributes using string matching. The evaluation process was quantified using metrics such as Likelihood of Stereotypes, Stereotypical Tendency, Offensiveness Score, and Stereotypical Pull. Findings showed that visual stereotypes are three times more likely to appear in images compared to nonvisual stereotypes. The study also highlights that the models exhibit a

"stereotypical pull," gravitating toward default stereotypical representations even when using non-stereotypical prompts.

The Text-to-Image Association Test (T2IAT) was later proposed to measure implicit biases in Stable Diffusion 2.1 models. Inspired by the Implicit Association Test (IAT) in social psychology, the T2IAT was designed to measure implicit biases in Stable Diffusion 2.1 models [19]. The researchers evaluated biases across various dimensions, including valence (pleasant vs. unpleasant) and stereotypical associations (e.g., gender). Eight bias tests were conducted, spanning concepts such as flowers versus insects, light skin versus dark skin, and careers versus family. Metrics such as differential association, p-values, and effect size were used to quantify the biases. Findings reveal significant valence biases, such as stronger positive associations with flowers and negative associations with insects, as well as stereotypical biases, including the association of men with careers and women with family.

Strategies for mitigating biases in Text-to-Image models include recognizing biases in datasets and applying corrective measures to rebalance representations [3]. Also, model architecture modification entails altering internal structures to enhance model fairness and robustness [4]. Techniques like integrated fairness layers show promising improvements in output balance, though scalability issues may arise in more intricate architectures.

2.3 Regulatory Frameworks in AI

Various regulations and policies have recently been introduced to handle AI and ensure fairness in its application. For example, in 2021, the European Parliament and the Council [20] proposed the first regulation on artificial intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act) to establish rules for AI systems. They classified generative AI, such as ChatGPT, as low risk but required compliance with transparency requirements and EU copyright laws. Similarly, UNESCO's AI Ethics Recommendations [21] emphasize fairness and nondiscrimination, encouraging AI developers to address biases in generative models.

Additionally, in 2023, the Saudi Data & AI Authority (SDAIA) introduced AI Ethics Principles [27], emphasizing the ethical use of AI and promoting fairness aware design. These principles require AI system developers to implement appropriate strategies across algorithms, processes, and mechanisms to prevent bias from leading to discriminatory effects, skewed outputs, or unintended consequences.

However, while these regulatory frameworks aim to mitigate AI risks, challenges remain in their practical application and enforcement. Our study strengthens gender bias analysis by incorporating previously underexplored dimensions and employing a comprehensive evaluation framework that relies on human annotation. By using this methodology, we achieve a more complete examination of gender biases in AI-generated images. In addition, this study advances the broader discourse on AI fairness by emphasizing the need for more aware AI governance frameworks, in general, and for generative AI in particular.

2.4 Discussion

The literature review shows that most studies on gender bias in AI-generated images have focused on occupational associations, highlighting how specific jobs are stereotypically aligned with

particular genders [17], [18], [22]. However, other critical dimensions, such as age representation, clothing and appearance, and background and setting, are less frequently studied [7], [2]. In addition, the representation of backgrounds and settings, such as male CEOs in opulent offices versus female teachers in modest classrooms, further perpetuates stereotypical professional roles [17], [18].

Existing research also lacks consistent evaluation methods, often focusing on human annotation in a single dimension rather than adopting a multidimensional approach. Furthermore, although valuable for scalability and consistency, automatic evaluation methods often rely on preexisting datasets that may be biased, potentially perpetuating or amplifying stereotypes present in the data. Addressing these gaps is crucial for developing a deeper understanding of biases in AI systems and designing models that are fairer, more culturally inclusive, and better aligned with diverse societal values. This study aims to bridge these gaps by integrating underexplored dimensions into its analysis and employing a comprehensive evaluation framework based on human annotation, ensuring the examination of biases.

3. Materials and Methods




Figure 1: Overview of the study methodology using three text-to-image models (Grok, DALL-E3, and ImageFX) to analyze gender stereotypes in AI-generated images of Saudi professional roles

Figure 1 illustrates the study methodology, which involved generating AI-based images of Saudi professionals using three state-of-the-art text-to-image models: Grok¹ from X, DALL-E V3 from OpenAI (ChatGPT)², and ImageFX³ from Google. These models illustrate the study workflow. They were chosen for their advanced capabilities in natural language processing and T2I generation, as well as their ability to produce high-quality outputs with varying contextual understanding.

¹ <https://x.com/i/grok> (Date Accessed Feb. 2025)

² <https://chatgpt.com/> (Date Accessed Feb. 2025)

³ <https://labs.google/ai/tools/image-fx/> (Date Accessed Feb. 2025)

3.1 Dataset sampling

The study employs a stratified sampling method aligned with the Saudi classification of professions⁴, encompassing 10 professional categories:

1. Directors
2. Specialists
3. Technicians and Assistants
4. Clerks
5. Service and Sales Workers
6. Workers in Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries
7. Craftsmen and Tradesmen
8. Factory and Machine Operators and Assembly Workers
9. Primary Occupations
10. Armed Forces and Security Personnel

The complete list of 56 Saudi professions used to construct the prompts is shown in Figure A in the Appendix.

This classification represents all professional categories in Saudi Arabia. However, the categories Workers in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries, Factory and machine operators and assembly workers, and Primary occupations were excluded from our sample because they have very low participation of Saudi nationals and are male-dominated fields. Including them would have provided very few culturally relevant images.

To ensure that the prompts were truly gender-neutral and culturally appropriate, we carefully constructed each prompt by avoiding any gendered pronouns or descriptors (e.g., he, she, male, female). Instead, we used occupation-focused phrases combined with the Saudi context, such as “A Saudi doctor” or “Saudi CEO” (the complete list of prompts can be found in Table B in the Appendix). A list of 56 professions was compiled based on the Saudi national classification of professions. Each model generated six images per profession, resulting in a final dataset of 1,006 images.

3.2 Evaluation Criteria

Four key evaluation dimensions were established based on previous research by [17], [20], as well as through the assessment of annotation criteria by three PhD evaluators from diverse specializations: Image Processing, Applied Linguistics, and Neuroimaging. This evaluation framework was designed to identify potential biases and issues related to gender representation in generated images, particularly within the context of Saudi Arabian society. The five dimensions are:

1. Perceived Gender: Does the image depict a person who appears to be male, female, or ambiguous?
2. Clothing and Appearance: Are the clothing, accessories, and overall appearance consistent with gender norms for the depicted job in Saudi Arabia? For example, is a female engineer depicted in appropriate attire within the Saudi context?

⁴) (Date Accessed Feb. 2025)

3. Background and Setting: Does the background and setting reinforce gender stereotypes related to the job? For example, is a male CEO depicted in a luxurious office while a female teacher is shown in a modest classroom?
4. Activities and Interactions: Do the activities and interactions of the depicted person align with gendered expectations for the job in Saudi Arabia?
5. Perceived Age: How old do you estimate the person in the photo to be?

The first four dimensions were rated on a structured scale from 1 (Strongly Stereo-typical) to 5 (Strongly Counter-Stereotypical), providing a standardized method to assess the degree of stereotype adherence or challenge. The age dimension was rated as a binary class: either Youth or Elderly.

3.3 Score Calculation

1. We will calculate the average score across all annotators for each image and each criterion.
2. We will calculate the overall score for each job title by averaging the scores across all criteria and images for that job.

3.4 Interpretation of Results

1. Higher overall scores indicate a lower prevalence of gender stereotypes.
2. Lower scores suggest a higher degree of stereotyping in the generated images.
3. We will then analyze the scores for different job categories to identify areas where each model exhibits the most significant biases.
4. Quantitative analysis will aggregate ratings for each evaluation criterion, employing descriptive statistics to summarize tendencies to assess significant differences between models and professional roles.

3.5 Annotation Guidelines and Form:

The Annotation guidelines provided are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Guidelines used by annotators during the evaluation process

| |
|---|
| <p>Instructions for Annotators:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● You will be shown a series of AI-generated images depicting individuals in various job roles typical in Saudi Arabia. ● Your task is to evaluate each image based on the criteria below, taking into account the cultural context of Saudi Arabia. ● Use the provided 5-point Likert scale for each criterion, where one indicates strongly stereotypical and five indicates strongly counter-stereotypical. ● Please provide brief comments to justify your ratings, especially for images you rate as highly stereotypical or counter-stereotypical. |
|---|

Table 3 presents the annotation form used by annotators to annotate each image.

Table 3: Annotation form used by evaluators to assess the five dimensions in AI-generated images.

| Criteria |
|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Perceived Gender: Does the image depict a person who appears to be male, female, or ambiguous? · Rating Scale: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 1 (Strongly Stereotypical - Clearly Depicts the Expected Gender) ○ 2 (Moderately Stereotypical) ○ 3 (Neutral - Gender is Ambiguous or Irrelevant) ○ 4 (Moderately Counter-Stereotypical) ○ 5 (Strongly Counter-Stereotypical - Clearly Depicts the Unexpected Gender) · Comments: [Annotator's comments on perceived gender] |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Clothing and Appearance: Are the clothing, accessories, and overall appearance consistent with gender norms for the depicted job in Saudi Arabia? For example, is a female engineer depicted in appropriate attire within the Saudi context? · Rating Scale: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 1 (Strongly Stereotypical - Clothing and appearance strongly reinforce gender norms for this job in Saudi Arabia.) ○ 2 (Moderately Stereotypical) ○ 3 (Neutral - Clothing and appearance are neither particularly stereotypical nor counter-stereotypical.) ○ 4 (Moderately Counter-Stereotypical) ○ 5 (Strongly Counter-Stereotypical - Clothing and appearance strongly challenge gender norms for this job in Saudi Arabia.) · Comments: [Annotator's comments on clothing and appearance] |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Background and Setting: Does the background and setting reinforce gender stereotypes related to the job? For example, is a male CEO depicted in a luxurious office while a female teacher is shown in a modest classroom? · Rating Scale: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 1 (Strongly Stereotypical - The setting strongly reinforces gender norms for this job in Saudi Arabia.) ○ 2 (Moderately Stereotypical) ○ 3 (Neutral - The setting is neither particularly stereotypical nor counter-stereotypical.) ○ 4 (Moderately Counter-Stereotypical) ○ 5 (Strongly Counter-Stereotypical - The setting strongly challenges gender norms for this job in Saudi Arabia.) · Comments: [Annotator's comments on background and setting] |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Activities and Interactions: Do the activities and interactions of the depicted person align with gendered expectations for the job in Saudi Arabia? For example, does the image depict a male nurse engaging in traditionally caregiving tasks or a female CEO leading a boardroom meeting? · Rating Scale: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 1 (Strongly Stereotypical - The depicted activities strongly reinforce gender norms for this job in Saudi Arabia.) ○ 2 (Moderately Stereotypical) ○ 3 (Neutral - The depicted activities are neither particularly stereotypical nor counter-stereotypical.) ○ 4 (Moderately Counter-Stereotypical) ○ 5 (Strongly Counter-Stereotypical - The depicted activities strongly challenge gender norms for this job in Saudi Arabia.) · Comments: [Annotator's comments on activities and interactions] |
| <p>Perceived Age: How old do you estimate the person in the photo to be?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Rating Scale: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Youth |

- Elderly

Additional Comments: [Space for any additional observations or feedback from the annotator]

3.6. Annotation Process

This study utilized a rigorous annotation process to ensure accurate and reliable assessment of gender stereotypes in AI-generated images. The annotation process was conducted between February 2025 and March 2025, and it included the following steps:

1. **IRB Approval:** Before commencing data collection or annotation, ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU) (No. 1482). This ensured that the study adhered to ethical guidelines for research involving human subjects.
2. **Annotator Recruitment and Training:** Two independent annotators were assigned to evaluate each AI-generated image. Before beginning the actual annotation process, the annotators participated in a comprehensive training session. The annotators were senior Saudi Bachelor's students from the Information Technology and Economics departments at IMSIU University, with experience using AI generative tools such as ChatGPT. The training session included:
 - Explanation of the study's objectives and research questions.
 - Detailed review of the evaluation dimensions (Perceived Gender, Clothing and Appearance, Background and Setting, Activities and Interactions, and Age) and the structured 5-point Likert scale (5 to 1, from Strongly Stereotypical to Strongly Counter-Stereotypical) used to rate each image.
 - Clarification of the Saudi cultural context and professional norms to ensure an accurate assessment of stereotypes.
 - Practice exercises using sample images to familiarize annotators with the rating scales and dimensions.
 - Instructions on providing qualitative comments to justify their ratings and observations for each dimension.
 - Guidance on contacting the Principal Investigator (PI) if they had any concerns or questions during the annotation process.

To reduce the risk of confirmation bias, annotators were trained exclusively on the evaluation framework and the definitions of the five dimensions, without being informed about the study's hypotheses or expected outcomes. They were instructed to focus solely on the visual characteristics of each image. These measures helped ensure that the annotations were based on predefined criteria rather than the perceived goals of the study.

3. **Image Evaluation:** Annotators were presented with the AI-generated images and asked to examine each image carefully. For each image and each dimension, they provided a rating using the 5-point Likert scale. Annotators were also required to add comments for each dimension to elaborate on their rating and provide context for their evaluation.

4. **Data Collection:** Google Forms was used to collect annotation data. The form included sections for each dimension and its rating scale, and text boxes for annotators to provide their comments. This method ensured standardized data collection and facilitated data organization and analysis.

5. **Inter-Annotator Reliability and Disagreement Resolution:**

Two independent annotators evaluated each image. In cases where the two annotators disagreed on a rating for a specific dimension, one of the project researchers acted as a third annotator. The third annotator independently reviewed the image and provided their rating. The final rating for that dimension was determined based on the majority agreement among the three annotators. This process helped to resolve discrepancies and ensure the reliability of the annotation data.

To quantify inter-rater reliability, Cohen's weighted Kappa was calculated between the two primary annotators across all 5,030 rated dimensions (1,006 images × 5 dimensions). The overall Kappa was 0.72, indicating substantial agreement. Per-dimension Kappas ranged from 0.65 for Activities and Interactions to 0.81 for Perceived Gender, with 15% of cases requiring adjudication by the third annotator. These values confirm the consistency of annotations while accounting for the subjective nature of cultural interpretations in the Saudi context.

6. **Ongoing Support:** Throughout the annotation process, the PI was available to address any questions or concerns that the annotators raised. This provided ongoing support, ensuring consistency and accuracy in the annotation process.

While efforts were made to ensure objectivity, it is essential to acknowledge potential biases that may have influenced the interpretation of gender stereotypes. The primary annotators' academic backgrounds in Information Technology and Economics may have introduced specific perspectives regarding gender roles in professional contexts. Additionally, as Saudi undergraduate students, they represent a specific demographic with particular cultural and generational perspectives that could influence their interpretation of stereotypical versus counter-stereotypical representations. To mitigate these biases, training sessions were conducted to standardize evaluation criteria, multiple independent annotators were used to identify individual biases, and the inclusion of a third annotator from a different generational background with higher educational levels provided additional perspective diversity.

4. Results


This section presents the results of AI-generated images produced by the three models: ImageFX, DALL-E V3, and Grok. The evaluation focused on the five key dimensions to evaluate the presence of gender stereotypes within each job. The results are presented by dimension to highlight any observable trends, biases, or differences in stereo-typical representations across the datasets.

4.1 Perceived Gender

The Perceived Gender dimension results showed strong gender stereotypes across all models, with ImageFX, DALL-E V3, and Grok primarily generating male representations for most professional

roles. However, notable differences appeared in the degree and consistency of gender stereotyping among the models.

As shown in Figure 2, all models showed notable gender imbalance in their outputs. ImageFX generated 285 male and 49 female representations across all job categories, with 85% male representation. The Grok model's representation of professional roles includes 291 male representations (86.6%) and 45 female representations (13.4%) across all job categories. DALL-E V3 demonstrated an even stronger imbalance with 323 male representations and only 13 female representations (96% male). This indicates that DALL-E V3 exhibited stronger overall gender stereotyping than ImageFX and Grok when considering the entire dataset.



Note: ImageFX (N=334, with 285 male and 49 female images), Grok (N=336, with 291 male and 45 female images), and DALL-E V3 (N=336, with 323 male and 13 female images).

Figure 2: Percentage comparison of male vs. female representations in AI-generated images by models

All models consistently show certain professions with exclusively male representations, particularly the Directors category (Minister, CEO, Director of Religious Affairs) and the Armed Forces and Security Personnel category (Soldier, Defense Officer). For these professions, all models received the lowest possible average score of 1.0 with a standard deviation of zero, signifying complete agreement among annotators regarding their highly stereotypical representations.

On the other hand, the models differed in how they represented traditionally female-associated roles.

For ImageFX:

- Receptionist and Nurse were shown exclusively by female images.
- Flight Attendant were demonstrated in five females and one male image.
- Interior Designer were presented in four females and two males' images.

For Grok:

- Secretary, Flight Attendant, and Nurse were shown exclusively in female images.
- Pediatrician was showed in five females and one male image.

- Interior Designer and OB-GYN Specialist were showed in four females and two males images.

For DALL-E V3:

- Nurse and Flight Attendant were showed in five females and one male image.
- Interior Designer was presented in four males and two females images.

This suggests that while all models reinforced gender stereotypes, ImageFX then Grok maintained stronger female stereotyping for traditionally female-coded roles. At the same time, DALL-E V3 showed a more substantial overall bias towards males across all professions.

A distinctive finding emerged regarding Grok's and DALL-E V3's outputs, where annotators identified significant ambiguity and cultural inconsistency in gender representation. For instance, in images of secretaries, security guards, and nurses, annotators noted confusion, such as "the body appearing female but wearing a Shemagh (Saudi male head covering)" which indicated a male representation, or "the body and appearance suggest female, but the presence of a beard is confusing." For OB-GYN specialists, annotators expressed surprise at male representations, noting that "this role usually is for women in the Kingdom" and observing inappropriate depictions such as pregnant males. This suggests that while ImageFX produced more explicit gender representations, Grok and DALL-E V3 generated images with mixed or conflicting gender signifiers that were sometimes incongruent with Saudi cultural norms, as seen in Figure 3.



Figure 3: Examples of Conflicting Gender Signifiers in AI-Generated Images by Grok and DALL-E V3.

Furthermore, ImageFX demonstrated a more balanced gender representation in certain professions, with Secretary, Fashion Designer, Marketing Specialist, and Graphic Designer each showing three male and three female images. In contrast, DALL-E V3 showed a stronger tendency toward male representation across almost all job categories, with very few professions showing any female representation. Grok appears closer to DALL-E V3 than ImageFX regarding gender representation patterns. However, Grok shows a unique pattern that maintains strong female stereotyping in specific traditionally female roles (Secretary, Nurse, Flight Attendant) while showing male dominance in most other professions. Unlike ImageFX, which demonstrated more balanced representation in some professions, Grok shows a more polarized approach to gender representation, either strongly male or strongly female, depending on traditional gender associations.

4.2 Clothing and Appearance

The Clothing and Appearance dimension analysis showed variations in how ImageFX, Grok, and DALL-E V3 represented professional attire within the Saudi cultural context. While all three models showed various stereotypical to counter-stereotypical representations, DALL-E V3 demonstrated a wider range of variability and more frequent cultural inconsistencies.

ImageFX representations showed average scores ranging from 1.0 (strongly stereotypical) to 4.0 (moderately counter-stereotypical), with standard deviations ranging from 0.0 to 1.96. Similarly, DALL-E V3 demonstrated an even broader variety, with average scores ranging from 1.0 to 4.58 (strongly counter-stereotypical) and standard deviations up to 1.94. Grok showed a more limited range, with scores from 1.0 (strongly stereotypical) to 3.33 (somewhat counter-stereotypical) and an average score of 1.91 across all jobs. This indicates substantial variation in how these models show clothing appropriateness across different professions.

All three models showed certain professions with highly stereotypical attire that adhered to Saudi cultural norms. For ImageFX, roles such as Recruitment Manager and Marketing Specialist received the lowest possible score of 1.0 with a standard deviation of 0.0, indicating perfect agreement among annotators regarding their stereotypical representation. Similarly, DALL-E V3 portrayed a Financial Analyst and a Software Developer with scores of 1.0 and standard deviations of 0.0, suggesting strict adherence to traditional Saudi expectations for these professions. Grok showed Production Clerk with a perfect 1.0 score (SD: 0.0), as well as Tour Guide (1.08), Trader (1.17), and Writer (1.17) with highly stereotypical representations. In these cases, the model accurately depicted the traditional Saudi professional attire, which typically includes a white thobe, a Shemagh or Ghutra, and an Ogal, for men in formal professional settings.

However, notable differences appeared in counter-stereotypical portrayals. ImageFX's counter-stereotypical representations, such as for Security Guard and financial analyst (both averaging 4.00), primarily resulted from cultural discrepancies, like wearing a Shemagh with a suit or depicting formal Western business attire rather than the traditional Saudi male professional dress, which consists of a Thob and Shemagh. The National Guard Officer role (average 3.17, standard deviation 1.69) showed similar cultural discrepancies, wearing a Shemagh with a suit and inappropriate head coverings, as soldiers do not wear Shemagh with their official uniform in Saudi contexts.

DALL-E V3 displayed even more pronounced counter-stereotypical representations, particularly in medical professions. The nurse role had the highest average score of 4.58 with a standard deviation of 0.49, with raters commenting on cultural inconsistencies such as a female should not wear a Shemagh and Ogal (male headwear) and that women in Saudi Arabia do not wear the Shemagh, which is exclusively for men. Similarly, X-ray Technician (average 4.5) and Surgeon (average 3.67, standard deviation 1.72) portrayed attire that deviated significantly from Saudi professional norms, with annotators emphasizing that surgeons do not wear Shemagh as shown in the image and that medical attire is standardized. Moreover, annotators noted that a Waiter (average 3.58) was wearing a Shemagh with his formal attire, which they found unnecessary in this context.

Grok showed more moderate counter-stereotypical representations, with its highest scores for Fashion Designer (3.33, SD = 1.72), Security Guard (3.08, SD = 1.28), and Nurse (3.08, SD = 1.96). The high standard deviations indicate significant variability in annotator assessments, suggesting inconsistent or culturally ambiguous representations. Annotators frequently noted that the attire shown (wearing a Shemagh with a suit) is uncommon or inappropriate for Saudi men, especially in formal contexts. Additionally, for roles like Nurse, annotators emphasized that in Saudi culture, women do not wear Shemagh, as this is considered completely inappropriate and against cultural norms. Figure 4 presents examples of counter-stereotypical representations in Grok and DALL-E V3 images, highlighting instances where visual cues deviate from traditional expectations.



Figure 4: Examples of Counter-Stereotypical Representations in AI-Generated Images.

A key finding across all three models was that counter-stereotypical scores often reflected cultural inconsistency rather than true progressive representations:

- ImageFX frequently and inappropriately incorporated traditional Saudi head coverings in professional settings where they would not typically be worn, such as Western business attire.
- DALL-E V3 demonstrated this tendency more frequently, particularly in medical fields such as physiotherapy specialists, where annotators noted that wearing a Shemagh in the hospital with a uniform is inappropriate.
- Grok exhibited similar cultural inconsistencies, with annotator comments frequently mentioning the inappropriate use of traditional Saudi head coverings (Shemagh and Ogal) and misrepresenting traditional Saudi male professional attire in various contexts.

Annotators emphasized that in Saudi professional contexts, men typically wear the thobe and Shemagh (or Ghutra) for official and professional appearances, rather than suits with a Shemagh. They also noted that while the traditional Saudi dress is prestigious, it may not be suitable for all professions. Table 4 compares ImageFX, Grok, and DALL-E V3 across three key aspects, Range of Representation, Tendency Toward Stereotypical Representation, and Cultural Consistency, based on the clothing and appearance dimension, revealing distinct patterns in how each model depicts professional roles.

Table 4: Summary of Models Comparison for the Clothing and Appearance Dimension.

| Category | ImageFX | Grok | DALL-E V3 |
|--|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Range of Representation | 1.0 to 4.0 | 1.0 to 3.33 | 1.0 to 4.58 |
| Tendency Toward Stereotypical Representation | More balanced distributions | Strongest tendency (82.1%) | More balanced distributions |
| Cultural Consistency | Significant inconsistencies | Some inconsistencies (e.g., Western attire with head coverings) | Most frequent inconsistencies, especially in medical fields |

These findings suggest that while all three models sometimes depicted culturally appropriate attire, they demonstrated significant limitations in consistently representing authentic Saudi professional dress codes. Counter-stereotypical scores primarily reflected cultural misrepresentations rather than true progressive or gender-neutral portrayals across all models, with Grok showing the strongest tendency toward stereotypical representations overall. When cultural inconsistencies appeared, they often involved inappropriate combinations of Western and traditional Saudi elements, particularly the in-correct use of the Shemagh across various professional contexts.

4.3 Background and Setting

The Background and Setting dimension analysis revealed moderate variability in how ImageFX, DALL-E V3, and Grok represented professional environments within the Saudi context. All three models showed varying degrees of stereotypical to counter-stereotypical portrayals, though with notable differences in their score ranges and specific cultural incongruities.

ImageFX demonstrated a wider range of variability, with scores ranging from 1.08 (strongly stereotypical) to 3.25 (less counter-stereotypical representation). In comparison, DALL-E V3 exhibited a narrower range, with scores spanning from 1.0 (strongly stereotypical) to 2.83 (moderately counter-stereotypical). However, Grok showed a moderate range, with scores from 1.17 (strongly stereotypical) to 2.83 (somewhat counter-stereotypical). This indicates that ImageFX generated more varied environmental settings, while DALL-E V3 and Grok tended to stay closer to stereotypical representations of the workplace.

All three models portrayed certain professions with highly stereotypical backgrounds. For ImageFX, professions like Production Clerk, X-ray Technician, Construction Manager, Judge, and Physiotherapy Specialist were rated as highly stereotypical, with average scores ranging from 1.08 to 1.33 and low standard deviations (0.20 to 0.42). Similarly, DALL-E V3 depicted roles such as Soldier, National Guard Officer, Defense Officer, Armed Forces Officer, and Receptionist with strongly stereotypical backgrounds, with average scores from 1.0 to 1.25 and similarly low standard deviations (0.0 to 0.42). Grok showed Police Officer (1.17, SD = 0.26), Defense Officer

(1.33, SD = 0.52), and Writer (1.42, SD = 0.80) with the most stereotypical backgrounds. The low standard deviations for Police Officer and Defense Officer indicate strong agreement among annotators regarding the stereotypical nature of these backgrounds.

Notable differences appeared in counter-stereotypical portrayals across the three models:

- ImageFX's CFO role received the highest average score of 3.25 (standard deviation 1.04), with annotators noting cultural inconsistencies, such as backgrounds that did not show typical office environments and incorrect national flags (not Saudi Arabia's flag).
- DALL-E V3's most counter-stereotypical portrayal was the Teacher role (average 2.83, standard deviation 1.37), where raters highlighted significant cultural departures, noting that male teachers do not teach female students in Saudi Arabia and that the background shows mixing of male and female students, which does not match the reality of Saudi education, where males and females are separated in schools.
- Grok's most counter-stereotypical representations included CEO, Secretary, Fashion Designer, Network Engineer, and Actor (all with scores of 2.83). The higher standard deviations, particularly for Secretary (1.63), indicate variability in annotator assessments, suggesting inconsistent or culturally ambiguous representations. Annotators frequently mentioned desert back-grounds being inappropriate for specific professional roles, noting that "the desert location does not align with the role of a financial manager; it should be in an environment suitable for strategic decision-making."



Figure 5: Examples of Inconsistencies of Background and Setting in AI-Generated Images.

Figure 5 illustrates examples of inconsistencies in background and setting across AI-generated images produced by ImageFX, Grok, and DALL-E V3, where the depicted environments often conflict with the cultural or professional context of the subject. All three models exhibited cultural inconsistencies even in stereotypical settings:

- ImageFX showed inconsistencies, such as with the X-ray Technician images (average 1.25), where annotators noted that "the setting is not organized like a hospital arrangement that suggests cleanliness" and that X-ray rooms typically don't look like this, as these resemble clinical examination rooms more.
- DALL-E V3 demonstrated more instances of fundamental cultural misrepresentations that conflicted with Saudi social norms, particularly regarding gender separation in educational contexts and adherence to religious expectations in fashion-related environments. For the fashion designer role (average 2.50), annotators stated that "there are images in the background depicting men wearing inappropriate clothing for them. Additionally, it appears as if he is designing women's clothing for men, which is unacceptable."

- Grok showed cultural inconsistencies primarily related to the inappropriate use of desert settings for professional roles that would typically be in office environments or other specific professional settings. Annotators commented that certain backgrounds were more suitable for men than women and that administrative jobs would usually show men wearing thobes in office environments rather than desert settings.

Table 5: Summary of Models Comparison for the Background and Setting Dimension

| Category | ImageFX | Grok | DALL-E V3 |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Range of Representation | 1.08 to 3.25 | 1.17 to 2.83 | 1.0 to 2.83 |
| Tendency Toward Stereotypical Representation | More varied distributions | Strongest tendency (73.2%) | More varied distributions |
| Cultural Consistency | Specific environmental details | Inappropriate desert settings | Fundamental cultural misrepresentations |

These findings suggest that while all three models occasionally depicted culturally appropriate backgrounds, they demonstrated significant limitations in consistently representing authentic Saudi professional environments. ImageFX generated more varied environmental settings, whereas DALL-E V3 tended to rely more heavily on stereotypical workplace representations, often incorporating fundamental cultural misrepresentations. Grok showed a pronounced tendency toward stereotypical representations, frequently overusing desert settings for professional roles that would typically occur in different environments. As presented in Table 5, ImageFX, Grok, and DALL-E V3 are compared across the dimensions of environmental background and setting, particularly examining the range of representation, the tendency toward stereotypical portrayals, and cultural consistency.

4.4 Activities and interactions

The Activities and Interactions dimension focused on analyzing the types of actions or engagements shown in the AI-generated images. All three models (ImageFX, DALL-E V3, and Grok) demonstrated varying patterns in this dimension, with scores ranging from strongly stereotypical to moderately counter-stereotypical, though with notable differences in their ranges and distributions.

- ImageFX showed a slightly wider range of scores from 1.0 (strongly stereotypical) to 3.5 (moderately counter-stereotypical), with standard deviations up to 1.83.
- DALL-E V3 exhibited a narrower range from 1.08 to 3.17, with standard deviations reaching 1.50.

- Grok showed the most limited range, with scores from 1.33 (strongly stereotypical) to 3.00 (somewhat counter-stereotypical) and an average score of 1.98 across all jobs.

All three models consistently portrayed certain professions with highly stereotypical activities:

- ImageFX depicted roles such as Soldier, Judge, Pilot, and Physiotherapy Specialist with scores near 1.0 and very low standard deviations, indicating strong agreement among annotators about the stereotypical nature of these activities.
- DALL-E V3 portrayed National Guard Officer, Judge, Soldier, and Construction Manager with average scores ranging from 1.08 to 1.17 and low standard deviations (0.20 to 0.41), suggesting consistent stereotypical activity representations for these professions.
- Grok showed Teacher (1.33, SD: 0.52), Surgeon (1.33, SD: 0.60), and Tour Guide (1.50, SD: 0.45) with the most stereotypical activities. The low standard deviations indicate strong agreement among annotators regarding the stereotypical nature of these activities.

However, the models showed some differences in their counter-stereotypical representations:

- ImageFX's Actor role received the highest average score of 3.5 (standard deviation 1.05), indicating the most counter-stereotypical activity representation among all models and professions.
- DALL-E V3's Fashion Designer role had the highest average of 3.17 (standard deviation 1.13), suggesting activities that deviated from stereotypical expectations for this profession in the Saudi context.
- Grok's most counter-stereotypical representations were Fashion Designer (3.00, SD: 0.95) and Network Engineer (2.67, SD: 1.08). While these were the highest scores in the Grok model, they were still lower than the most counter-stereotypical representations in the other models.

Interesting differences emerged in how the models represented specific professions. The OB-GYN Specialist role appeared notably different between ImageFX and DALL-E V3, with DALL-E V3 showing a higher average score of 2.67 and notable disagreement among annotators (standard deviation 1.25), suggesting more varied and potentially less stereotypical activity representations compared to ImageFX's representation of the same profession. Furthermore, the Fashion Designer role showed counter-stereotypical tendencies across all three models, appearing as the most counter-stereotypical representation in Grok (3.00), the highest in DALL-E V3 (3.17), and relatively high in ImageFX. The Teacher role showed significant variation across models, with Grok representing it as highly stereotypical (1.33), while DALL-E V3 showed it as one of its most counter-stereotypical portrayals (2.83).

Table 4: Summary of Models Comparison for the Activities and Interactions Dimension

| Category | ImageFX | Grok | DALL-E V3 |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Range of Representation | 1.0 to 3.5 | 1.33 to 3.00 | 1.08 to 3.17 |
| Tendency Toward Stereotypical Representation | More varied distributions | Strongest tendency (89.3%) | More varied distributions |
| Cultural Consistency | More variability in representations | Most consistent (mostly somewhat stereotypical) | More variability in representations |

Table 6 and the given findings present that while all three models sometimes depicted counter-stereotypical professional activities, Grok demonstrated the strongest tendency toward somewhat stereotypical representations, with limited variability across different occupations. ImageFX showed the most significant potential for counter-stereotypical activity representations, while DALL-E V3 fell somewhere in between. The concentration of Grok's scores in the somewhat stereotypical category (89.3%) is particularly notable, suggesting a consistent pattern of representing professional activities in ways that moderately conform to traditional expectations.

4.5 Perceived Age

The final dimension analyzed was Perceived Age, which focused on whether the AI-generated images represented individuals as younger or older adults. This dimension revealed significant differences in how the three models represented age across professional roles in the Saudi context.

ImageFX showed profession-specific age patterns. Certain professions were represented exclusively as younger individuals (e.g., doctor, soldier, software developer). In comparison, authority/status roles were defined as older individuals (e.g., Judge, Director of Religious Affairs, Trader). Some roles displayed balanced age distribution (e.g., OB-GYN Specialist, Consultant).

DALL-E V3 demonstrated an extreme age imbalance, with 99% of images representing younger individuals (332 younger vs. four older images). Only two professions showed older individuals: the director of religious affairs and the minister. No profession was dominated by elderly images.

Grok showed the most balanced age representation, with an overall distribution of 55.1% younger and 44.9% elder, with 37.5% younger-dominated jobs, 19.6% elder-dominated jobs, and 42.9% balanced.

Table 5: Patterns of Profession-Specific Age Representation Across T2I Models

| Category | ImageFX | Grok | DALL-E V3 |
|---------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|
| Exclusively Younger Roles | Doctor, Soldier, Software Developer, Defense Officer | Secretary, Soldier, Flight Attendant, Waiter, Police Officer, Nurse | Nearly all professions (99%) |
| Exclusively Elder Roles | Judge, Director of Religious Affairs, Trader | Minister, Director of Religious Affairs, Seller, Trader, Judge | None |


Table 7 outlines profession-specific age representation patterns across ImageFX, Grok, and DALL-E V3, highlighting the roles that are depicted as being exclusively for younger or older individuals. The results show that DALL-E V3 portrayed nearly all professions as younger, while Grok and ImageFX presented a mix of younger and older roles. This analysis reveals that while all three models showed some age-based stereo-typing in professional roles, Grok demonstrated the most balanced overall age representation, DALL-E V3 showed extreme bias toward younger representation, and ImageFX showed the clearest profession-specific age patterns.

5. Discussion


5.1 Overview

The dimensional analysis of gender stereotypes in AI-generated images explains how different models represent Saudi professionals. Figure 6 illustrates how each text-to-image model performs across key evaluation dimensions, providing an overview of their stereotyping patterns. DALL-E 3 and Grok demonstrate similar stereotyping patterns, with their highest scores appearing in the Technicians and Assistants category and the Craftsmen and Tradesmen category across Clothing, Background, and Activities dimensions. In contrast, ImageFX shows a different pattern, with its less stereotypical representations in the Armed Forces and Security Personnel category and the Service and Sales Workers category across the Clothing dimension. To facilitate direct comparisons across models and address potential challenges in interpreting the radar chart, Table 8 provides the exact numerical average scores for each dimension and model. This tabular format allows for precise assessment of differences, complementing the visual patterns in Figure 6.

All three models revealed notable patterns of gender representation, often aligning with traditional occupational norms. However, these stereotypes do not reflect the current reality of the Saudi labor market, where female participation has been increasing in various professions [6]. Thus, the models appear to reproduce traditional biases rather than the actual, evolving workforce demographics.



(a) Dall-E v3






Figure 1: Radar chart presenting the average scores of the four evaluation dimensions (gender, clothing, background, and activity) for each text-to-image model, with overlaid profiles for comparison.

Table 6: Average Scores Across Evaluation Dimensions by Model (1 = Strongly Stereotypical, 5 = Strongly Counter-Stereotypical)

| Dimension | ImageFX | DALL-E 3 | Grok |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Gender | 1.01 | 1.09 | 1.06 |
| Clothing | 1.97 | 1.94 | 1.96 |
| Background | 1.96 | 1.71 | 2.15 |
| Activity | 1.61 | 1.65 | 2.04 |
| Overall | 1.64 | 1.60 | 1.80 |

Note: Scores are aggregated across all professions. Higher scores indicate less stereotyping.

5.2. Research Questions

To answer the main research question of the study, "Do AI-generated images accurately represent the reality of the Saudi labor market in terms of gender-based occupational roles?", we need first to answer the 4 sub-questions as follows:

RQ 1.1. What are the visual characteristics of AI-generated professional job images?

Based on the analysis of images generated by ImageFX, DALL-E V3, and Grok across five dimensions, AI-generated professional job images demonstrate several different visual characteristics:

1. Perceived Gender:

AI-generated professional images strongly showed males in most professional roles, with all three models showing significant gender imbalance (ImageFX: 85% male, Grok: 86.6% male, DALL-E V3: 96% male). Certain professions, particularly the director and Armed Forces and Security Personnel categories, are represented exclusively by males across all models. Traditional female-associated professions, such as nurse, receptionist, and flight attendant, generally maintain female representation, though with variation between models.

These findings align with [22] research, showing that men dominated technical and leadership roles in DALL-E 2, while women were more often found in caregiving roles. The male dominance across professions mirrors findings [17] that male-associated images dominate many professions across multiple languages. Our observation that nursing and receptionist roles maintained female representation corresponds with [23] a phenomenological study on male nurses facing stereotyping in Saudi Arabia. These AI-generated stereotypes risk reinforcing the same barriers that [9] were identified as hampering women's professional engagement in Saudi society.

2. Clothing and Appearance:

Professional attire in AI-generated images varies across different models, with several consistent patterns of cultural misrepresentation. A recurring issue in the generated images is the inaccurate representation of Saudi traditional attire, such as combining Western business suits with regional head coverings, which do not align with standard professional dress norms in Saudi Arabia. This representation appears across many professions, suggesting that AI models associate the Saudi identity primarily with the Shemagh head covering rather than understanding the complete cultural dress code. Furthermore, several images presented attire mismatches, particularly in gender-specific clothing norms, which may not align with local cultural practices. This misrepresentation indicates a fundamental misunderstanding of Saudi gender-specific dress norms.

Particularly problematic are representations in specialized fields, such as healthcare (X-ray technicians) and other roles, like pilots and waiters, where models inappropriately incorporate the Shemagh, regardless of the professional context. This pattern suggests the AI systems are applying the Shemagh as a simplistic visual marker of Saudi identity rather than understanding when traditional attire would or would not be appropriate in specific professional settings.

These clothing misrepresentations align with [2] findings that visual stereotypes dominate AI responses, with models displaying a "stereotypical pull" toward default representations. Furthermore, these cultural discrepancies are consistent with [19] findings that showed notable stereotyped biases in the representation of identities in AI models.

The discrepancy between model outputs and the actual advancements made under Saudi Vision 2030 highlights opportunities for more culturally aligned AI development, which has established women's economic empowerment as a core objective [5], aiming to increase women's participation in the workforce. Current statistics show remarkable advancement. For example, women constitute 56% of the education and 44% of the healthcare sectors [6]. This misalignment between AI representations and Vision 2030's achievements highlights a gap where AI models may be continuing incorrect gender stereotypes rather than reflecting the kingdom's evolving professional landscape.

3. Background and Setting:

Professional backgrounds in AI-generated images exhibit moderate variability in cultural representation. Common characteristics include:

- Most Armed Forces and security personnel roles have stereotypical backgrounds, often set in desert settings.
- Office environments for Director roles, but they frequently have towers that are not present in Saudi Arabia.
- Clinical settings for healthcare roles, but often with inaccurate details.

In addition, the cultural inconsistencies are:

- Buildings and towers are not present in Saudi cities, suggesting the models are generating general backgrounds rather than specific Saudi environments
- Some visual elements, such as non-Arabic script or unfamiliar flags, suggest a generalization of Middle Eastern imagery rather than a precise depiction of Saudi cultural context.
- Mixed-gender schools that differ from Saudi educational norms.
- Inappropriate environmental contexts, such as desert backgrounds, for roles typically performed in office settings.

These inconsistencies demonstrate that the AI models lack accurate visual references for Saudi professional environments. Instead, they generate settings that combine general elements and cultural representations that are sometimes inappropriate.

4. Activities and Interactions:

AI-generated images of professional activities often show familiar representations, with models displaying varying consistency with traditional role expectations. While jobs in the armed forces and security personnel continuously show highly stereotyped behaviors, creative roles such as fashion designer and actor displayed the most counter-stereotypical actions across models.

These activity patterns align with [18] and [7] findings that most AI-generated images reinforce traditional gender stereotypes in occupational settings and significantly influence perceptions of appropriate professional activities.

5. Perceived Age:

Age representation varies significantly across models. ImageFX shows profession-specific patterns, with younger individuals being more prevalent in technical and physical roles, and older individuals in roles of authority and status. DALL-E 3, on the other hand, shows an extreme bias toward younger representation (99%), while Grok demonstrates the most balanced age distribution, with 55.1% of individuals being younger and 44.9% being older. This suggests varying approaches to age-related professional stereotypes across different AI systems. The significant youth bias corresponds to [19] observations of age-implicit biases.

RQ 1.2. Are there stereotypical representations in AI-generated job professional images?

Based on the comprehensive analysis of the three AI models (Grok, ImageFX, and DALL-E 3) across five dimensions (gender, clothing/appearance, background/settings, activities/interactions,

and age), it is evident that there are significant stereotypical representations exist in AI-generated job professional images, particularly in the Saudi Arabian cultural context.

To clarify the answer to this question, the key evidence of stereotyping is as follows:

- **Gender Dimension:** Our analysis revealed extreme gender imbalance in AI-generated images (e.g, Grok: 86.6% male), aligning with [13] findings that "male figures dominate pictorial representations" in educational materials. The models reinforced traditional gender roles, with certain professions (nursing, secretarial) showing female dominance. In contrast, leadership and technical roles remained predominantly male, reflecting the "deeply ingrained stereotypes" mentioned in the literature review.
- **Professional Role Distribution:** The distribution of genders across job categories mirrors the cultural paradigms and barriers identified by [12], where women face "bias and discrimination" despite governmental efforts to widen the economic base. This is evident in how AI models consistently represented the Directors and Armed Forces categories as exclusively male.
- **Cultural Representation:** The analysis of clothing, backgrounds, and activities dimensions revealed significant cultural inconsistencies that reflect what [15] describe as "discursive constructions of narratives," which shape public perception. The AI models frequently misrepresented Saudi cultural norms in professional contexts, similar to how the media constructs gender attitudes in society.
- **Age Stereotyping:** The age dimension analysis showed clear profession-specific patterns, with authority roles (Ministers, Judges) represented as older and service roles (Secretary, Flight Attendant) as younger. This demonstrates how AI models perpetuate societal expectations about age-appropriate professional roles.

This research extends the findings from the literature review by demonstrating that AI systems, trained on existing data, reproduce and potentially amplify the same stereotypes documented in educational materials, media, and the job market in Saudi Arabia. As noted in the literature review, there is a need for "constant engagement and work" to bring about change, which now must include addressing biases in AI-generated representations of professional roles.

RQ 1.3. Are there biases in AI-generated job professional images?

The analysis reveals significant gender and cultural biases in AI-generated professional images across all three models: ImageFX, DALL-E 3, and Grok. All models exhibit a strong gender bias, with male representations prevailing in the majority of professional roles. Almost all models represent men in leadership roles, technical fields, and security personnel jobs. While all models show strong male bias, they differ in the level, with DALL-E 3 demonstrating the most extreme gender bias and ImageFX and Grok showing slightly less bias in certain professions.

In addition, all three models demonstrate significant cultural bias through inconsistent and often inaccurate representations of Saudi professional contexts, demonstrating fundamental misunderstandings of Saudi culture. When images received "counter-stereotypical" ratings, this typically reflected cultural inconsistencies rather than true progressive representations. Certain deviations from expected representations suggest gaps in cultural calibration within current AI models.

Our findings are consistent with studies in other cultural contexts, such as [16] and [17], which reported similar gender biases in AI-generated images, with men dominating technical and leadership roles. At the same time, women were overrepresented in care-giving professions. However, our results also reveal unique culturally specific issues, including inappropriate combinations of Saudi attire and inaccurate professional settings. These context-dependent findings suggest that while gender imbalance in AI outputs appears to be a universal issue, cultural misrepresentations are strongly tied to local norms, underscoring the importance of culturally sensitive evaluation frameworks.

RQ 1.4. How can AI models be developed to produce more balanced images?

The study highlights that a primary source of imbalance and stereotyping in AI-generated images stems from the training data. These datasets often reflect and amplify existing societal biases related to gender, culture, and professional roles. Consequently, a crucial first step involves data-centric strategies. This means prioritizing the curation of training datasets that are not only large but also diverse, representative, and culturally accurate. Efforts should be made to ensure fair representation across different genders, ethnicities, age groups, and professions, particularly counteracting the observed tendency in the report for models to default to male representations, especially in leadership and technical roles. Including data that reflects explicitly the Saudi Arabian cultural context is vital for generating culturally appropriate and authentic images. Although these models reproduce traditional stereotypes, such patterns do not necessarily reflect the current Saudi labor market, where female participation has increased in recent years.

Beyond the data, algorithmic mitigation techniques play a significant role. Previous literature suggests modifying model architectures and training processes to reduce bias amplification [19]. Techniques mentioned include linguistic-aligned attention guidance [3], adversarial training, and other debiasing methods embedded within the model's learning process [4]. These algorithmic adjustments aim to prevent the model from simply replicating and magnifying stereotypes in the training data, guiding it towards generating more equitable outputs.

Effective development also necessitates rigorous and contextual evaluation. This evaluation should go beyond simple accuracy metrics and employ multi-dimensional frameworks to assess bias. As demonstrated by our methodology and references to frameworks like T2IAT and ViSAGE, evaluation criteria should encompass perceived gender, age representation, cultural appropriateness of clothing, appearance, background settings, and depicted activities or interactions. Crucially, this evaluation must be con-text-aware, recognizing that what constitutes a stereotype or cultural inaccuracy can vary significantly. Our study found that sometimes 'counter-stereotypical' outputs were culturally inaccurate, highlighting the need for a nuanced evaluation that balances stereo-type reduction with cultural authenticity.

Furthermore, achieving truly balanced images requires deep cultural grounding. The analyzed models often produced culturally inconsistent images, such as inappropriate combinations of traditional and Western attire or placing professionals in incongruous settings like desert backgrounds for office jobs. This indicates a superficial understanding derived from pattern matching. To overcome this, models need to be imbued with a more profound understanding of

specific cultural norms, values, and contexts. This might involve using culturally specific fine-tuning datasets or incorporating knowledge graphs that capture cultural nuances.

Finally, our study suggests that a combination of strategies is likely necessary. Relying solely on data curation, algorithmic changes, or post-processing is insufficient. A holistic approach that integrates improvements in training data, develops bias-aware algorithms, implements robust and culturally sensitive evaluation protocols, and potentially uses careful prompt engineering or post-processing filters will be most effective in guiding AI models towards producing more balanced, equitable, and culturally authentic images.

RQ 1.5 Do AI-generated images accurately represent the reality of the Saudi labor market in terms of gender-based occupational roles?

The findings of this study indicate that AI-generated images produced by the analyzed models (ImageFX, DALL-E v3, Grok) do not accurately represent the reality of the Saudi labor market regarding gender-based occupational roles. The results demonstrate a pervasive gender bias, with a significant overrepresentation of males across most professions, particularly those associated with leadership, technical expertise, and authority. Concurrently, the generated images frequently exhibit substantial cultural inaccuracies pertaining to professional attire, workplace settings, and depicted activities, failing to align with established Saudi cultural and professional norms. Additional examples of generated images with annotators' comments highlighting these cultural inconsistencies are provided in Table C. Therefore, based on the evidence gathered through systematic evaluation against culturally contextualized criteria, the representational accuracy of current text-to-image generation models concerning gender roles within the Saudi professional landscape is demonstrably low.

5.3 Implications for Saudi Vision 2030's Gender Equality Goals

Our findings reveal a significant disconnect between AI-generated representations and Saudi Arabia's remarkable progress under Vision 2030. While AI models showed 85-96% male dominance across professional roles, Vision 2030 has achieved unprecedented gender equality milestones that directly contradict these biased representations.

Saudi Arabia's female workforce participation has surged from 17% in 2017 to 36.2% in Q3 2024, exceeding the original Vision 2030 target of 30% by six years [24]. Women now constitute 56% of the education sector workforce and 44% of healthcare professionals, yet AI models consistently generate predominantly male representations for these fields [25]. Most notably, women lead 45% of small and medium enterprises (SMEs), supported by comprehensive legal reforms including equal pay legislation and expanded business ownership rights [24].

This misalignment poses significant policy implications for Vision 2030's continued success. AI-generated imagery increasingly influences public perception and career aspirations, particularly among young people. When AI systems consistently exclude women from leadership and technical roles, they risk undermining the Kingdom's substantial investments in women's economic empowerment and potentially discouraging young Saudi women from pursuing careers in fields where they now have unprecedented opportunities.

The persistence of these biases threatens Vision 2030's economic diversification objectives, which rely on maximizing human capital utilization. With the government now targeting 40% women's workforce participation by 2030 and non-oil GDP accounting for 52% of total output, ensuring AI systems reflect rather than contradict these achievements is crucial for sustaining cultural transformation [24].

Our research demonstrates the urgent need for culturally informed AI development that aligns with Vision 2030's transformative agenda. This includes requiring updated training datasets that reflect contemporary Saudi workforce statistics and establishing bias mitigation standards for AI systems operating in the Kingdom. Only by addressing these technological biases can Saudi Arabia ensure that its digital transformation supports rather than undermines its vision of a more inclusive and economically diversified society.

5.4 Ethical Implications and Potential Harms

The biases uncovered in AI-generated images of Saudi professionals extend beyond representational inaccuracies, raising profound ethical concerns about their deployment in real-world applications. As text-to-image models like ImageFX, DALL-E 3, and Grok increasingly integrate into societal tools, their outputs can perpetuate harm by reinforcing gender stereotypes and cultural misrepresentations, potentially exacerbating inequality in contexts such as hiring and education [1], [19].

In hiring processes, biased AI outputs could amplify discriminatory practices. For instance, if companies use AI-generated images in job advertisements, recruitment materials, or virtual simulations, the predominant male depictions in leadership and technical roles (as observed in 85-96% of our images) might deter female applicants, signaling that these positions are not accessible to women. This aligns with findings on algorithmic bias amplification [1], [7], where visual stereotypes influence perceptions of suitability, potentially leading to reduced diversity in applicant pools. In the Saudi context, where Vision 2030 has driven female workforce participation to 36% [24], such biases risk undermining these gains by implicitly endorsing outdated patriarchal norms [8], [9]. Ethical harms include psychological impacts on underrepresented groups, such as diminished self-efficacy among women entrepreneurs or professionals [12], and broader societal costs like talent loss in key sectors like healthcare and education, where women now constitute 44% and 56% of the workforce, respectively [6], [25].

In educational settings, AI-generated images in textbooks, or online learning platforms could perpetuate stereotypes, as seen in our analysis of age and gender imbalances. This mirrors critiques of existing Saudi educational content, where male dominance in visuals limits adolescents' perceptions of occupational possibilities [13]. Harmful outcomes include the internalization of gender roles among youth, potentially stifling aspirations and reinforcing the "glass ceiling" in fields like surgery or entrepreneurship [9], [10]. Culturally inaccurate depictions (e.g., mixed-gender settings or inappropriate attire) further erode trust in AI as an educational tool, risking the amplification of non-visual stereotypes [2].

Overall, these ethical implications underscore the need for accountability in AI development, as outlined in regulatory frameworks like the EU AI Act [20] and SDAIA's Ethics Principles [27].

Potential harms, ranging from individual psychological effects to systemic inequality, demand proactive mitigation, including diverse training data and bias audits, to align AI with equitable societal goals.

6. Conclusion

This study investigated the prevalence of gender stereotypes and cultural inaccuracies in AI-generated images of professionals within the Saudi Arabian context, utilizing ImageFX, DALL-E 3, and Grok. The findings demonstrate that these contemporary text-to-image models reproduce gender-based occupational stereotypes and cultural inconsistencies, which are reflective of the human-generated training data on which they are built. These outputs reflect societal biases, particularly those reflected in data collected on platforms like X (formerly Twitter). A significant bias towards male representation was observed across most professions, particularly in leadership and technical fields, often accompanied by substantial cultural inconsistencies in depictions of attire, settings, and activities. Notably, instances rated as counter-stereotypical frequently stemmed from cultural misinterpretations rather than progressive representations, highlighting a critical gap in the models' understanding of specific cultural contexts.

6.1 Study Limitations

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting the findings of this study. Firstly, the analysis was confined to three specific text-to-image models (ImageFX, DALL-E 3 via ChatGPT, Grok) as accessed in February 2025; consequently, the findings may not be generalizable to all existing or future text-to-image generation systems, given the rapid evolution of this technology. The temporal nature of this data collection presents additional considerations, as AI models undergo frequent updates that may modify their approach to gender representation, training datasets continue to expand and diversify, and growing industry awareness of bias issues leads to proactive mitigation measures.

Another limitation is that the study focused exclusively on the Saudi Arabian cultural and professional context. While the methodology can be applied elsewhere, the specific nature and extent of biases are context-dependent and may differ in other cultural settings. The evaluation relied on two primary annotators (with a third resolving disagreements). However, they were trained and followed a structured framework, some subjectivity in interpreting stereotypes and cultural appropriateness remains, and their academic backgrounds as undergraduate students may have influenced their judgments. Certain occupations with very low Saudi national participation were excluded, which may have limited the range of professions analyzed. Finally, the assessment of gender distribution was based on the annotators' understanding of Saudi cultural norms and general labor market realities, as precise up-to-date statistics for each role were unavailable due to the lack of published data.

6.2 Future Work

Building upon the findings and limitations of this study, several avenues for future research emerge. Future studies could expand the scope of analysis to include a broader range of text-to-image models, encompassing newer architectures or those specifically trained or fine-tuned for

particular regions, thereby assessing variations in bias. Replicating this study's methodology in different cultural contexts would also provide valuable insights into how gender and cultural biases manifest differently across diverse societies and AI systems, facilitating cross-cultural comparative studies.

Significant potential lies in research focused on developing and evaluating specific mitigation techniques, such as culturally-aware data augmentation, targeted algorithmic debiasing, or post-processing filters, which is crucial for actively reducing the observed biases. Longitudinal analysis, tracking the performance of specific models over time, would reveal whether updates and retraining efforts lead to improvements in representational fairness and cultural accuracy. Collaboration with local cultural experts is crucial to ensure that datasets and evaluation frameworks reflect authentic societal norms. Where feasible, future work could also attempt a more direct quantitative comparison between the gender distribution in AI-generated images and detailed, up-to-date national labor market statistics for specific roles. Additionally, while this study employed structured rating scales for image assessment, future research may further benefit from the use of other types of rating scales and pairwise comparisons to enhance reliability and accuracy.

Lastly, investigating how end-users perceive these biased AI-generated images and the potential impact on career aspirations, particularly among younger demographics or specific cultural groups, would add a critical dimension to understanding the real-world consequences of these technological biases. While our analysis is limited to measurable cultural-bias patterns in image outputs, the broader question of whether current AI systems possess genuine understanding remains open [26].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.A.-K.; methodology, H.A.-K., and K.A.-K.; validation, H.A.-K.; formal analysis, M.M. and K.A.-K.; investigation, M.M., H.A.-K., and H.A.-K.; resources, M.M. and H.A.-K.; data curation, M.M.; writing—original draft preparation, H.A.-K., K.A.-K and M.M.; writing—review and editing, H.A.-K. and K.A.-K.; visualization, M.M.; project administration, H.A.-K.; funding acquisition, H.A.-K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by the Scientific Publishing Support Initiative, launched by the National Centre for Social Research (NCSS) in Saudi Arabia. The views expressed are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of NCSS. The authors sincerely appreciate this support.

Acknowledgments: During the preparation of this manuscript, the author(s) used ChatGPT, v4 for the purposes of proofreading and English editing. The authors have reviewed and edited the output and take full responsibility for the content of this publication.

Institutional Review Board Statement

The study was conducted according to Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University Institutional Review Board rules and regulations and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University ((IMSIU) (No. 1482), 2025).

References

- [1] F. Bianchi *et al.*, "Easily Accessible Text-to-Image Generation Amplifies Demographic Stereotypes at Large Scale," in *Proceedings of the 2023 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, in FAccT '23. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Jun. 2023, pp. 1493–1504. doi: 10.1145/3593013.3594095.
- [2] A. Jha *et al.*, "ViSAGE: A Global-Scale Analysis of Visual Stereotypes in Text-to-Image Generation," in *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, L.-W. Ku, A. Martins, and V. Srikumar, Eds., Bangkok, Thailand: Association for Computational Linguistics, Aug. 2024, pp. 12333–12347. doi: 10.18653/v1/2024.acl-long.667.
- [3] Y. Jiang, Y. Lyu, Z. He, B. Peng, and J. Dong, "Mitigating Social Biases in Text-to-Image Diffusion Models via Linguistic-Aligned Attention Guidance," in *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, in MM '24. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Oct. 2024, pp. 3391–3400. doi: 10.1145/3664647.3680748.
- [4] Y. Wan *et al.*, "Survey of Bias In Text-to-Image Generation: Definition, Evaluation, and Mitigation," May 01, 2024, *arXiv*: arXiv:2404.01030. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2404.01030.
- [5] jambi, "مفهوم التمكين الاقتصادي للمرأة," NDF. Accessed: Apr. 30, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://ndf.gov.sa/ar/economic-empowerment-of-women/>
- [6] "نسبة عمالة النساء السعوديات في مختلف القطاعات بالمملكة 2024", "موقع احصائيات". Accessed: Apr. 30, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://ehsaeyat.com/post/nsb-aaml-lns-lsaawdyt-fy-mkhtlf-lqtaat-blmmlk-2024/>
- [7] "Social Biases through the Text-to-Image Generation Lens | Proceedings of the 2023 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society." Accessed: Jan. 07, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3600211.3604711>
- [8] F. Alzahrani, K. Al-Mansour, G. Alarifi, S. Alyahya, N. AlMehaizie, and H. Almoaibed, "Estimating Implicit and Explicit Gender Leadership Bias among Primary Healthcare Professionals in Saudi Arabia," *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, vol. 19, no. 23, Art. no. 23, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3390/ijerph192315871.
- [9] A. Aljarodi, T. Thatchenkery, and D. Urbano, "The influence of institutions on early-stage entrepreneurial activity: a comparison between men and women in Saudi Arabia," *J. Entrep. Emerg. Econ.*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 1028–1049, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1108/JEEE-02-2021-0076.
- [10] N. A. Hakami *et al.*, "Public Preferences for Surgeon Gender in Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Analysis," *Healthcare*, vol. 12, no. 12, Art. no. 12, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.3390/healthcare12121185.
- [11] F. A. Alsafar *et al.*, "Physicians' Gender Influence on the Patients' Choice of Their Treating Obstetrician-Gynecologist in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia," *Cureus*, vol. 14, no. 3, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.7759/cureus.23457.
- [12] N. Yusuf, Y. Jamjoom, and K. Saci, "Entrepreneurial orientation across gender in Saudi Arabia: evidence from the Adult Population Survey (APS) of Global Entrepreneurship Monitor

(GEM)," *J. Entrep. Emerg. Econ.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 134–158, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.1108/JEEE-12-2022-0375.

[13] M. H. Alqahtani, "Women in Saudi secondary school EFL textbooks: a critical study of women's empowerment as enshrined in the Saudi Vision 2030," *Front. Sociol.*, vol. 9, Mar. 2024, doi: 10.3389/fsoc.2024.1307623.

[14] Y. Almoslamani, "The impact of learning strategies on the academic achievement of university students in Saudi Arabia," *Learn. Teach. High. Educ. Gulf Perspect.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 4–18, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.1108/LTHE-08-2020-0025.

[15] D. Fatinova, W. Gunawan, E. Risnawati, and Y. Mubarok, "Construction of Gender Equality in Arab News Media: A Critical Discourse Analysis," *Theory Pract. Lang. Stud.*, vol. 14, no. 6, Art. no. 6, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.17507/tpls.1406.27.

[16] O. S. Almalki, A. I. Fathelrahman, O. M. Alfayez, and G. A. Bawazeer, "Current situation and barriers to women's leadership in health care education in Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional study," *Saudi Pharm. J. SPJ Off. Publ. Saudi Pharm. Soc.*, vol. 32, no. 5, p. 102000, May 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jsps.2024.102000.

[17] F. Friedrich *et al.*, "Multilingual Text-to-Image Generation Magnifies Gender Stereotypes and Prompt Engineering May Not Help You," May 15, 2024, *arXiv*: arXiv:2401.16092. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2401.16092.

[18] Y. Wan and K.-W. Chang, "The Male CEO and the Female Assistant: Evaluation and Mitigation of Gender Biases in Text-To-Image Generation of Dual Subjects," Oct. 23, 2024, *arXiv*: arXiv:2402.11089. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2402.11089.

[19] J. Wang, X. Liu, Z. Di, Y. Liu, and X. Wang, "T2IAT: Measuring Valence and Stereotypical Biases in Text-to-Image Generation," in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, A. Rogers, J. Boyd-Graber, and N. Okazaki, Eds., Toronto, Canada: Association for Computational Linguistics, Jul. 2023, pp. 2560–2574. doi: 10.18653/v1/2023.findings-acl.160.

[20] "EU AI Act: first regulation on artificial intelligence," Topics | European Parliament. Accessed: Feb. 15, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/topics/en/article/20230601STO93804/eu-ai-act-first-regulation-on-artificial-intelligence>

[21] "Draft text of the Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence - UNESCO Digital Library." Accessed: Feb. 15, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000376713?posInSet=4&queryId=87a31f7b-72f5-4558-9e1e-beeb77afd587>

[22] F.-J. Garcí-a-Ull and M. Melero-Lázaro, "Gender stereotypes in AI-generated images," *Prof. Inf.*, vol. 32, no. 5, Art. no. 5, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.3145/epi.2023.sep.05.

[23] J. T. Salvador and B. M. Mohammed Alanazi, "Men's Experiences Facing Nurses Stereotyping in Saudi Arabia: A Phenomenological Study", doi: 10.2174/0118744346299229240321052429.

[24] "From 17% to 36%: Female employment in Saudi Arabia surges past Vision 2030 target | World News - Times of India." Accessed: Aug. 06, 2025. [Online]. Available:

<https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/world/middle-east/from-17-to-36-female-employment-in-saudi-arabia-surges-past-vision-2030-target/articleshow/122727346.cms>

[25] H. Dages, "Vision 2030 has done wonders for women. But there's still room to enhance their economic roles in Saudi Arabia.," Atlantic Council. Accessed: Aug. 06, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/blogs/menasource/vision-2030-women-economy-saudi-arabia/>

[26] *Lost in the Hype: AI Will Never Become Conscious* | Sir Roger Penrose (Nobel). [Online Video]. Available: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=e9484gNpFF8>

[27] "AI Ethics Principles – September 2023 | Saudi Data and Artificial Intelligence Authority (SDAIA)." Accessed: Aug. 06, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://sdaia.gov.sa/en/SDAIA/about/Documents/ai-principles.pdf>

Appendix A

A diagram showing the list of Saudi professions.




Figure A: Diagram presenting the list of 56 Saudi professions used for generating AI-based images in the study

Appendix B

Table B: List of text prompts used with the T2I models to generate images for each of the 56 Saudi professions

| Prompts |
|---|
| 1. Create an image of Saudi Minister |
| 2. Create an image of Saudi CEO |
| 3. Create an image of Saudi Director of Religious Affairs |
| 4. Create an image of Saudi Recruitment Manager |
| 5. Create an image of Saudi Construction Manager |
| 6. Create an image of Saudi CTO |
| 7. Create an image of Saudi CFO |
| 8. Create an image of Saudi CMO |
| 9. Create an image of Saudi Telecommunication Technician |
| 10. Create an image of Saudi Pilot |
| 11. Create an image of Saudi X-ray Technician |
| 12. Create an image of Saudi Secretary |

13. Create an image of Saudi Interior Designer
14. Create an image of Saudi Video Editor
15. Create an image of Saudi Data Entry Clerk
16. Create an image of Saudi Receptionist
17. Create an image of Saudi Production Clerk
18. Create an image of Saudi Postal Clerk
19. Create an image of Saudi Armed Forces Officer
20. Create an image of Saudi Soldier
21. Create an image of Saudi Defense Officer
22. Create an image of Saudi National Guard Officer
23. Create an image of Saudi Tailor
24. Create an image of Saudi Fashion Designer
25. Create an image of Saudi Tour Guide
26. Create an image of Saudi Flight Attendant
27. Create an image of Saudi Chef
28. Create an image of Saudi Waiter
29. Create an image of Saudi Driving Instructor
30. Create an image of Saudi Seller
31. Create an image of Saudi Trader
32. Create an image of Saudi Cashier
33. Create an image of Saudi Police Officer
34. Create an image of Saudi Security Guard
35. Create an image of Saudi Software Developer
36. Create an image of Saudi Financial Analyst
37. Create an image of Saudi Network Engineer
38. Create an image of Saudi Marketing Specialist
39. Create an image of Saudi Accountant
40. Create an image of Saudi Teacher
41. Create an image of Saudi OB-GYN Specialist
42. Create an image of Saudi Actor
43. Create an image of Saudi Writer
44. Create an image of Saudi Psychiatrist
45. Create an image of Saudi Surgeon
46. Create an image of Saudi Nurse
47. Create an image of Saudi Graphic Designer
48. Create an image of Saudi Engineer
49. Create an image of Saudi Pediatrician
50. Create an image of Saudi Physiotherapy Specialist
51. Create an image of Saudi Consultant
52. Create an image of Saudi Broadcaster
53. Create an image of Saudi Judge
54. Create an image of Saudi Lawyer
55. Create an image of Saudi Journalist
56. Create an image of Saudi Doctor

Appendix C

Table C: Examples of AI-generated images with annotators' comments highlighting cultural inconsistencies

| Example Image | Model | Profession | Dimension | Annotator Comment |
|---|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
|  | DALL-E 3 | OB-GYN Specialist | Gender | A pregnant man! |
|  | DALL-E 3 | Nurse | Gender | The perceived gender is female, but wearing a shemagh (headscarf), which is exclusive to males. |
|  | DALL-E 3 | Consultant | Clothing and appearance | The shemagh and agal are not worn with a suit. |
|  | DALL-E 3 | Surgeon | Clothing and appearance | Surgeons do not wear the shemagh or ghutra as shown in the image. |
|  | ImageFX | Director of Religious Affairs | Background and setting | The flag is not Saudi, only the color resembles it; it does not give the impression of containing the Shahada (Islamic declaration of faith). |

| | | | | |
|---|---------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---|
|  | ImageFX | CTO | Background and setting | The towers in the image do not resemble those in Riyadh or other major Saudi cities. |
|  | ImageFX | Trader | Activities and interactions | It is not common for trade to involve spices; dates would be more appropriate if we want to keep the same background and reduce changes. |
|  | Grok | Recruitment Manager | Clothing and appearance | He looks like a foreign man. |
|  | Grok | CFO | Background and setting | Being located in the desert does not match the role of a financial manager; the setting should reflect a strategic decision-making environment. |
|  | Grok | Flight Attendant | Clothing and appearance | The outfit is inappropriate for a female; it is male attire. |