

Unlocking Financial Insights: An advanced Multimodal Summarization with Multimodal Output Framework for Financial Advisory Videos

Sarmistha Das*

sarmistha_2221cs21@iitp.ac.in
Indian Institute of Technology Patna
Patna, India

Sriparna Saha

sriparna.saha@gmail.com
Indian Institute of Technology Patna
Patna, India

R. E. Zera Marveen Lyngkhoi *

zera.marveen.lyngkhoi@gmail.com
Indian Institute of Technology Patna
Patna, India

Alka Maurya

CRISIL LTD
Mumbai, India

Abstract

The dynamic propagation of social media has broadened the reach of financial advisory content through podcast videos, yet extracting insights from lengthy, multimodal segments (30–40 minutes) remains challenging. We introduce *FASTER* (Financial Advisory Summariser with Textual Embedded Relevant images), a modular framework that tackles three key challenges: (1) extracting modality-specific features, (2) producing optimized, concise summaries, and (3) aligning visual keyframes with associated textual points. *FASTER* employs *BLIP-2* for semantic visual descriptions, *OCR* for textual patterns, and Whisper-based transcription with *Speaker* diarization as BOS features. A modified Direct Preference Optimization (DPO)-based loss function, equipped with BOS-specific fact-checking, ensures precision, relevance, and factual consistency against the human-aligned summary. A ranker-based retrieval mechanism further aligns keyframes with summarized content, enhancing interpretability and cross-modal coherence. To acknowledge data resource scarcity, we introduce *Fin-APT*, a dataset comprising 470 publicly accessible financial advisory pep-talk videos for robust multimodal research. Comprehensive cross-domain experiments confirm *FASTER*'s strong performance, robustness, and generalizability when compared to Large Language Models (LLMs) and Vision-Language Models (VLMs). By establishing a new standard for multimodal summarization, *FASTER* makes financial advisory content more accessible and actionable, thereby opening new avenues for research¹.

CCS Concepts

• **Computing methodologies** → **Discourse, dialogue and pragmatics; Artificial intelligence; Natural language processing.**

*The first two authors contributed equally to this work and are jointly the first authors.

¹The dataset and code are available at: <https://github.com/sarmistha-D/FASTER>



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. *MM '25, Dublin, Ireland*

© 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-2035-2/2025/10

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3746027.3754557>

Keywords

Multi-Modality, Summary Generation, Financial Advisory Videos, Multimodal Output

ACM Reference Format:

Sarmistha Das, R. E. Zera Marveen Lyngkhoi, Sriparna Saha, and Alka Maurya. 2025. Unlocking Financial Insights: An advanced Multimodal Summarization with Multimodal Output Framework for Financial Advisory Videos. In *Proceedings of the 33rd ACM International Conference on Multimedia (MM '25)*, October 27–31, 2025, Dublin, Ireland. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 10 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3746027.3754557>

1 Introduction

In the FinTech-3.5 era, financial advisory podcasts have emerged as a vital channel for delivering wealth management strategies to teenage and young adult demographics [31]. In the United States, 46% of Gen Xers fear inadequate retirement reserves; research indicates that professional guidance on retirement planning, asset allocation, and risk mitigation drives an annual 7.5% increase in household savings and demonstrably lowers financial stress. Leading financial podcast platforms (Spotify, Apple Music, YouTube) deliver concise (40-minute) episodes featuring experts like Warren Buffett, Dave Ramsey, and Robert Kiyosaki. These broadcasts integrate real-time market data, tax strategies, mature investment perspectives, and cutting-edge technology to elevate financial literacy, bolster entrepreneurial acumen, and foster sustainable wealth creation. Occasionally, lengthy video content can often become monotonous for young entrepreneurs with limited financial exposure, making it challenging for them to grasp financial wisdom. Summarizing financial podcast videos enables users to quickly capture key insights on marketing strategies, emerging trends, and savings frameworks without needing to watch the entire content, as illustrated in Figure 1. However, summarizing multimodal content, non-linear video elements (e.g., flashbacks and discussion cuts), and critical financial data (e.g., stock prices) embedded in textual and visual formats plays a pivotal role in delivering concise, context-aware briefings tailored to user-specific needs. Often multimodal output helps to select the most relevant keyframe while condensing textual content. LLMs have redefined NLP, showcasing potential for artificial general intelligence in finance [3, 39]. Models like BloombergGPT [41], FinGPT [24], and FinMA [42]




Figure 1: Comparison of Summarisation Approaches: Video-Based, Text-Based, and Multimodal Methods with Multimodal Output Generation

enhance financial decision-making by summarizing complex data but are constrained by unimodal design and restricted access to large-parameter architectures.

Motivation: Condensing financial videos with LLM models is complex due to the integration of multimodal data, particularly in scenarios like financial podcasts where static visuals provide minimal context [46]. Current models fail to utilize visual cues effectively, missing key insights for accurate summarization. Furthermore, there is a lack of accessible datasets featuring expert-driven financial advisory content. To address these challenges, we propose *FASTER*, a modular framework that combines visual (BLIP-2, OCR), acoustic, and textual features. Utilizing a curriculum learning embedded modified Direct Preference Optimization (DPO) [33] and a ranking algorithm, *FASTER* ensures coherent multimodal summaries by aligning critical textual information with relevant visual keyframes, enhancing both accuracy and context.

Contributions: The major contributions introduced to our summary generation model are given below:

- 1) Introducing *Fin-APT*, a pioneering multimodal dataset of 470 meticulously annotated financial advisory videos, categorized by domains and voice tones. As the first of its kind, it bridges critical gaps in multimodal financial research, enabling advanced discourse analysis and innovation in communication modeling.
- 2) We introduce *FASTER*, a modular summarization model leveraging visual, acoustic, and textual modalities via BLIP-2, OCR, and speaker identification as BOS features. Employing an enhanced DPO framework with fact score, *FASTER* produces precise, high-quality multimodal summaries, seamlessly aligning textual insights with key visual frames.

2 Background Information

Finance in the LLM Era: Social media platforms like Twitter have amplified the visibility of sustainable startups, increasing demand for financial advisory services [1, 14, 30]. Advanced domain-specific




Figure 2: The construction of the proposed Fin-APT dataset is guided by both research objectives and practical application requirements.

models like FinBERT [50] and FinConnect [4], have revolutionized financial text analysis, While tools like InvestLLM [49], leveraging instruction tuning, and AI agents like FinRobot [47] demonstrate AI’s role in executing complex financial strategies.

Financial Summarization: Summarizing actionable insights is essential in finance [15]. Multi-document summarization, established in 2015 [53], enabled tailored models like Pan et al.’s trend forecasting [27] and statistical approaches for marketing strategies. Shared tasks like FNS [51] and FinLLM [43] further illustrate LLMs’ potential. Yet, summarizing non-textual financial content, such as videos and audio, remains an unmet need.

Multimodality in Finance: Multimodal approaches integrate text, audio, numerical, and visual data to enhance predictive accuracy

[21, 35]. Evolving from clustering-based methods [40] to unsupervised deep learning [53], these techniques have shown efficacy in risk forecasting and market volatility prediction [5]. Visual data also boosts engagement, with pictorial summaries increasing satisfaction by 12.4% [54]. However, despite advancements in video summarization and visual language models [38, 45], financial multimodal content remains underexplored.

Our Research Position: The increasing reliance on LLMs and multimodal frameworks highlights the need for factually aligned, privacy-focused summaries in finance. Multimodal summarization with multimodal output is largely unexplored in this domain [17, 36]. Addressing this gap, we aim to deliver optimized, fact-checked multimodal summaries using DPO and robust verification mechanisms to ensure ethical and reliable solutions.

3 Development of the Resource

YouTube, with its 2.9 billion global users, has emerged as a pivotal platform for individuals seeking financial guidance, offering extensive content on wealth accumulation, investment strategies, money management, and passive income generation. Our initial objective was to identify key domains where users predominantly seek credible financial advice and quantify these trends through systematic data collection. A comprehensive review of existing datasets revealed a critical gap for a specialized multimodal resource focused on summarizing financial advisory videos. Table 1 underscores this gap by comparing recent datasets. To address this need, we curated the *Fin-APT* multimodal dataset², inspired by the intricate and diverse landscape of online financial advice.

Dataset Collection: The *Fin-APT* dataset consists of 470 high-definition videos featuring expert interviews on current market trends and actionable insights for individual financial strategies. Initially, 700 videos across diverse financial topics were collected, but through rigorous filtering, the dataset was refined to 470 English-only videos, excluding multilingual content. Data acquisition was conducted primarily using the YouTube API. The dataset covers financial advisory videos from five domains: Business (75), Finance (217), Investment (113), Economics (35), and Marketing (30). Additionally, the dataset includes videos categorized by four distinct voice tones: Informative (149), Neutral (60), Energetic (211), and Cautious (50).

Dataset Specifications: Each video in the *Fin-APT* dataset was transcribed using OpenAI’s robust *Whisper* model [32], ensuring precise transcripts with word-level timestamps. Post-transcription, the quality was enhanced by refining unclear words and removing disruptions such as stammering or filler symbols. The videos in the dataset are capped at a maximum duration of 2400 seconds. Figure 2 presents a comprehensive overview of the dataset’s key characteristics.

Corpus Authenticity Measurement To establish a gold standard summary, a focused evaluation was conducted emphasizing relevance and coherence, assessed through adequacy and fluency metrics. The evaluation utilized E-FAIR (Evaluation of Fluency, Adequacy, Informativeness, and Persuasiveness Ratings) scores on a 0–5 scale: 0–1 (Inferior), 1–2 (Poor), 2–3 (Moderate), 3–4 (Good),

and 4–5 (Excellent). Additionally, visual cues (highlighted on-screen information) and audio elements (speaker details) were analyzed to ensure factual accuracy and information retention.

3.1 Corpus Annotation

The annotation of the entire corpus was conducted in the following three phases:

- **Annotator Selection:** The gold standard summarization was developed with input from expert annotators in *Category A*, comprising three specialists: two industry experts from S&P Global and an economics professor from a leading technology institute. These experts are regular Spotify users, actively engaged with financial podcasts on topics such as investment strategies and tax optimization, typically ranging from 35 to 45 minutes in duration. Additionally, a two-phase annotation competition was conducted to recruit junior annotators from finance and science backgrounds. Out of 20 participants, a rigorous evaluation and training process culminated in the selection of two winners, who were designated as the final *Category B* annotators.
- **Scrutinization Phase:** Experts initially created summaries for 60 randomly selected video samples, refining them through mutual discussion and quality assessment to establish a gold-standard reference set. The annotator selection process involved two subphases: (a) **Preliminary Selection**, where annotators were provided with 20 reference samples and detailed annotation guidelines, and (b) **Final Selection**, where annotators independently annotated 30 samples. After rigorous quality evaluation, 7 annotators advanced to the final phase, culminating in the selection of 2 top-performing annotators.
- **Training Phase:** During the preliminary selection phase, annotators adhered to the prescribed annotation guidelines independently, with any queries addressed by *Category A* experts. Annotators were instructed to preserve visual and speaker information in their summary labels. In the final selection phase, summaries were evaluated by *Category A* annotators using E-FAIR and visual-audio fact scores. The gold standard was established by selecting summaries with the highest ratings. Annotators were compensated at \$0.5 per sample.

4 Methodology

Problem Definition Given a set of financial advisory videos $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, where each video v_i consists of visual frames $F_i = \{f_{i1}, f_{i2}, \dots, f_{im}\}$ and corresponding transcript text T_i from the audio stream, the objective is to generate a coherent and brief multimodal summary $S_i = (S_i^{text}, S_i^{images})$ for each v_i , where $S_i^{text} = \{s_{i1}, s_{i2}, \dots, s_{il}\}$ represents the textual summary limited by the max length of l and $S_i^{images} = \{I_{i1}, I_{i2}, \dots, I_{ik}\}$ represents the subset of most relevant images from the input video frames F_i . Figure 4 depicts the entire workflow of the model.

- **Crafting the BOS (BLIP-2+OCR+Speaker Information) features:** The Visual Context Generation Module extracts relevant visual features from video sequences by first applying the GM-Flow [44] technique to identify key frames based

²Copyright Disclaimer: The dataset includes video links copyrighted by YouTube, intended strictly for research purposes.

Table 1: Comparison of Existing Datasets in Finance Realm

Modality	Dataset Name	Input			Output		Task	Sample Count
		Text	Audio	Image/Video	Text	Image/Video		
Unimodal	Trading Dataset [13]	✓	×	×	✓	×	Tading Task	3285
Audio Calls	Volatility Prediction [48]	✓	✓	×	✓	×	Stock prediction	576
Audio Calls	MAECS&P 1500 [48]	✓	✓	×	✓	×	Volatility Prediction	576
Audio Calls & Transcript pairs	Multitasking Risk Forecasting [35]	✓	✓	×	✓	×	Risk Forecasting	9383
Text	X-FinCORP [9]	✓	×	×	✓	×	Complaint Mining	6282
Image	TERED [8]	×	×	✓	✓	✓	Financial table Detection	10,044
Text	EDTSum [43]	✓	×	×	✓	×	Summarisation	10,000
Video	<i>Fin-APT (Proposed)</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Summarisation	470

BOS Prompt**Template: Instruction**

"Given the transcript of a video with identified speakers as "Speaker 1: ..." and "Speaker 2: ...", along with captions highlighting key moments in the video, your objective is to create a concise summary of the video's content. Your analysis will consider both the transcript and the captions, without explicitly referring to them.

Please pay attention to the primary speaker. If speaker names are mentioned in the captions, please infer them. However, if names are not clear, proceed to generate the summary without assuming them as "Speaker 1" or "Speaker 2".

{Transcript:}
{transcript}

{Captions:}
{captions}

Figure 3: The construction of the proposed BOS(BLIP-2 + OCR+ Speaker Information) features prompt engineering.

on significant optical flow changes, prioritizing frames with the highest pixel velocity to capture dynamic segments, forming the subset $F_s = \{f_i\}$. Each selected frame undergoes OCR to extract textual content, yielding $T_{OCR} = \{t_{f1}, t_{f2}, \dots\}$. Subsequently, BLIP-2-7B [20], a vision-language model, generates visual captions ct_{f_i} , which are combined with OCR text t_{f_i} to produce comprehensive frame descriptions $C_{Desc} = \{c_{f1}, c_{f2}, \dots\}$. The Audio Processing Module enhances understanding of auditory content via Pyannote [2] for speaker diarization, segregating audio by speaker identity with timestamps to distinguish speaker-specific content. The transcribed audio is processed using Whisper, generating word-level transcriptions with timestamps, $W = \{w1_{t1}, w2_{t2}, \dots\}$. The diarization results are integrated to attribute each transcribed segment to its corresponding speaker, producing the final enriched transcription W_{final} that encapsulates the audio's semantic and contextual details, thus presents a comprehensive view of who said what and when encapsulating both the content and the context of the auditory narrative. Figure 3 illustrates the prompt engineering used to extract BOS features.

4.1 Summary Generation Module

To generate high-quality summaries, we utilised extracted BOS features (W_{final}) and incorporated them into a prompt and fed to Gemma2-9b [37] model to generate summaries. The model was fine-tuned using instruction-based learning, followed by iterative training to further improve its performance. Drawing inspiration from curriculum learning, we modified the Direct Preference Optimization (DPO) framework to enhance the alignment and factual coherence of the model's outputs. This process is conducted in three substeps:

Step1 The Ranking Step: Initially, fine-tuned Gemma2-9b model [37] generated four candidate summaries (R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4) for each BOS-featured inputs. To assess the quality of the summaries, we employed GPT-4o [26], which ranked the responses according to their quality, coherence, and how well they aligned with human-annotated gold-standard summaries. Let us assume, the responses were ranked in the following order: R_1 was considered the best, followed by R_2 as the second-best, R_3 as the third-best, and R_4 as the worst response. Subsequently, to validate GPT-4o's ranking quality, human evaluation confirmed the accuracy of the ranking.

Step2 Training of Pairwise Preferences: To enhance the model's ability to identify high-quality summaries, pairwise preference training was applied using a curriculum learning strategy. The process began with the comparison of R_1 (best) vs. R_4 (worst), where R_1 was labeled as the chosen response (y_w) and R_4 as the rejected response (y_l), helping the model distinguish between the highest and lowest-quality summaries. In the next iteration, R_1 was compared with R_3 (third-best), followed by a final comparison between R_1 and R_2 (second-best), gradually advancing to subtler distinctions.

Step3 Training with Loss Function: To refine preferences by comparing the probabilities of preferred and less preferred responses, we utilised the DPO loss function, where a LLM is used to select the preferred output y_w and less preferred output y_l , can be expressed as:

$$L_{DPO}(\pi_\theta; \pi_{LLM}) = -\mathbb{E}_{(x, y_w, y_l) \sim D_{LLM}} \left[\log \sigma \left(\beta \log \frac{\pi_\theta(y_w | x)}{\pi_{LLM}(y_w | x)} - \beta \log \frac{\pi_\theta(y_l | x)}{\pi_{LLM}(y_l | x)} \right) \right]$$

In this framework, $\pi_\theta(y_w | x)$ and $\pi_\theta(y_l | x)$ denote the probabilities assigned by the model's policy π_θ to the preferred and less preferred responses, while $\pi_{LLM}(y_w | x)$ and $\pi_{LLM}(y_l | x)$ represent the corresponding probabilities from the reference large




Figure 4: Architecture of the proposed **FASTER** based Financial multi-modal summary generation with multi-modal output

language model (LLM). The parameter β controls the sensitivity of the preference distinction, scaling the sharpness of the comparison. The sigmoid function $\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$ maps the log-probability difference to a range between 0 and 1, quantifying the likelihood of the preferred response (y_w) being favored over the less preferred one (y_l).

We use curriculum learning with a modified-DPO approach to enable the model to progress from simple distinctions to more complex comparisons. The loss function for iteration $i + 1$ in modified-DPO is:

$$L_{\text{Modified_DPO}}(\pi_{\theta}^{i+1}; \pi_{\theta}^i) = -\mathbb{E}_{(x, y_w^{i+1}, y_l^{i+1}) \sim D} \left[\log \sigma \left(\beta \log \frac{\pi_{\theta}^{i+1}(y_w | x)}{\pi_{\theta}^i(y_w | x)} - \beta \log \frac{\pi_{\theta}^{i+1}(y_l | x)}{\pi_{\theta}^i(y_l | x)} \right) \right]$$

Where, π_{θ}^i is the reference model from iteration i , while π_{θ}^{i+1} is the policy model being trained in iteration $i + 1$. During each iteration, chosen (y_w^{i+1}) and rejected (y_l^{i+1}) pairs are selected based on the curriculum strategy, which progressively exposes the model to more complex tasks.

4.2 Ranking Base Multimodal Output

To generate aligned multimodal outputs, a ranking-based alignment approach prioritized video frames $F = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N\}$ most relevant to the curriculum learning based modified-DPO generated text summary S . Visual features z_i^{image} were extracted from each frame f_i using ResNet-50 [16] and projected into a 512-dimensional space $Z^{\text{proj-image}}$. The text summary was encoded using BERT [10], producing a 768-dimensional embedding z^{text} , which was also projected

into the same 512-dimensional space $z^{\text{proj-text}}$. Contextual relationships among frames were modeled using a transformer encoder, generating context-aware embeddings $H^{\text{frame}} = \{h_1^{\text{frame}}, h_2^{\text{frame}}, \dots, h_N^{\text{frame}}\}$. An attention mechanism aligned the text embedding $z^{\text{proj-text}}$ (query) with the frame embeddings H^{frame} (keys and values), computing attention weights $A = \text{softmax} \left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d}} \right)$, where Q , K , and V are derived from $z^{\text{proj-text}}$ and H^{frame} . A scoring layer calculated relevance scores $s_i = \sigma(W_s h_i^{\text{frame}} + b_s)$ for each frame, and the top k frames with the highest scores were selected. Training optimized a loss function $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{BCE}} + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{\text{diversity}}$, where \mathcal{L}_{BCE} aligns scores with ground-truth relevance and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{diversity}} = \|AA^T - I\|_F^2$ encourages diversity in frame selection. During inference, frames were ranked by s_i , and the top k frames were chosen, ensuring effective alignment with the text summary.

5 Experiments & Resultant Discussion

This section outlines the experimental setup, summarizes baseline approaches, compares results, and evaluates the efficacy of our **FASTER** model. We assess the quality of the results through qualitative analysis and also scrutinize any limitations identified in the performance of our model. Furthermore, our research outcomes aim to tackle the research concerns mentioned below.

Research Question 1 (RQ1): Is **FASTER** strong enough to surpass the performance of the baselines?

Research Question 2 (RQ2): Describe the impact of utilizing multiple modalities.

Research Question 3 (RQ3): To what extent does the proposed **FASTER** model generalize to other tasks?

Research Question 4 (RQ4): What limits the model?

Additional Implementation Details

The model, was fine-tuned with a learning rate of $2e-05$ over 5 epochs on NVIDIA-A100 with 80GB. It utilized a batch size of 8

Table 2: The variance in performance metrics among distinct baseline LLM models with the proposed *FASTER* model configuration is presented below. In this context, R-1, and R-2 signify ROUGE-1, ROUGE-2 score. B-1, B-1, B-2, B-3, B-4 indicate BLEU-1, BLEU-2, BLEU-3, BLEU-4 and BS denotes BERTSCORE. Values in bold signify the highest scores achieved. The † denotes statistically significant findings ($p < 0.05$ at 5% significance level)

Modality of the Models	Model Names	Base Setting						BOS Injected						BOS+DPO Injected								
		R-1	R-2	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-4	BS	R-1	R-2	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-4	BS	R-1	R-2	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-4	BS
Unimodal	BART	14.1	5.23	8.73	25.78	22.51	18.81	75.91	22.23	07.08	14.6	44.16	37.59	30.65	79.42	23.03	09.18	16.01	45.96	38.09	30.67	82.22
	FlanT5-Base	17.48	4.78	11.12	25.98	21.91	17.74	81.35	28.74	08.73	18.59	50.38	42.47	34.16	84.94	29.77	08.73	18.59	50.38	42.47	34.16	84.94
	GPT3.5-Turbo	37.4	16.12	27.14	57.54	52.33	45.73	85.07	37.58	12.46	23.66	59.60	53.56	46.85	87.05	37.58	12.46	24.01	60.66	54.56	46.85	88.05
	LLaMA3-Instruct-1b	38.32	12.65	23.5	61.27	54.97	47.2	86.2	39.16	11.11	24.27	60.83	52.33	47.41	87.92	39.96	11.81	23.8	63.22	56.81	49.22	87.72
	Long T5	05.71	0.08	4.61	50.54	36.99	23.42	75.73	22.91	04.66	12.96	45.01	36.88	30.52	82.05	24.61	04.54	13.95	46.81	39.26	32.52	83.97
	Gemma2-9b	40.72	16.94	27.86	62.44	55.98	48.39	88.76	45.39†	20.17†	29.9†	67.10†	60.69†	53.26†	89.51†	47.49†	22.76†	30.96†	69.58†	62.43†	55.13†	89.59†
Multimodal	MAF-TAV	39.04	14.20	25.75	13.30	09.95	05.57	82.31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	VideoLLaMA2-7b	24.63	3.46	14.69	66.16	56.13	43.82	84.64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	VideoLLaVA2-7b	25.66	4.1	15.13	69.12	58.66	46.01	84.55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	GPT-4o	41.29	16.51	26.88	61.43	56.81	50.42	89.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3: Quality Assessment of Ranking alignment for multimodal image generation.

Method	RMSE	SSIM	F1
Co-sine Similarity	02.76	0.54	0.08
Trainable Scorer	0.41	0.442	0.32

Table 4: Performance of the proposed *FASTER* model with different modalities. Here, S, T, and V indicate Speaker Information, Transcripts, and Video features, respectively

Model	BERTSCORE	BLEU	ROUGE-L
T	87.80	38.60	35.05
V	75.12	17.8	25.1
T + V	88.01	36.31	38.70
V + T + S	88.40	39.5	40.4

with gradient accumulation set to 2, employing the Adam optimizer with betas of (0.9, 0.999) and epsilon of 1e-08. A linear learning rate scheduler was applied, and mixed precision training using Native AMP was used. The model’s performance was evaluated with a loss of 2.1986 on the evaluation set with a (70-20-10)% training, eval and testing split. The evaluation compared performance against popular baselines which were fine-tuned on *Fin-APT* corpus: Long T5 [34], BART [19], MAF-TAV [18], GPT 3.5 [25], FlanT5 [7], VideoLLaVA2-7b [22], VideoLLaMA2-7b [6] GPT-4o [26] and LLaMA3-Instruct-1b [12], using ROUGE (R) [23], BLEU (B) [29], and BERTSCORE [52] metrics to assess the *FASTER* framework’s effectiveness in generating multimodal summaries. Moreover, SSIM, and RMSE effectively evaluate frame extraction quality by assessing structural and pixel-level accuracy. SSIM measures perceptual similarity in luminance, contrast, and structure, while RMSE calculates overall pixel-wise error.

5.1 Analytical Discussion

In this segment, we answered the potential research questions followed by qualitative and error analysis of the proposed model. *Note: Our primary goal is to generate concise financial summaries from extensive video advice, with a secondary aim of producing corresponding*

*images aligned with key summary points. We explore these tasks in two configurations: one featuring BOS+DPO and one without (applicable only for LLMs, as VLM-based experiments were excluded due to their inherent visual features). The study evaluates the performance of the *FASTER* model against other LLMs and VLMs for financial summary generation.*

Answer to RQ1 *FASTER*’s strength: As depicted in Table 2, integrating BOS+DPO feature leads to higher performance for all the baseline models. Interestingly, *FASTER* with BOS featured Gemma2-9b model performs significantly impressive and beats larger models such as GPT-4o, LLaMA3-Instruct-1b while adding modified DPO features, performance only leads to a higher score. Notably, a compelling comparative analysis emerges between large-scale, billion-parameter language models and their smaller counterparts. This observation is further substantiated, how incorporating BOS+DPO enhanced contextual embeddings and preference-aligned learning paradigms facilitates substantial improvements in model efficiency and scalability in summary generation tasks.

Answer to research question 2 Modality Comparison: The utilization of multiple modalities significantly enhances model performance, as shown in Table 4. While text-only input consistently outperforms visual-only input across all metrics, dynamic visual variations provide complementary contextual information, albeit with potential interference in textual feature extraction. Incorporating visual and speaker-related data (T+V+S) improves performance by approximately 5% over text-only input, demonstrating the value of multimodality. Subsequently, Table 5 demonstrates that incorporating BOS features consistently enhances summary generation performance. The results indicate that the sequential integration of key elements from multiple modalities, such as speaker information, numerical data, graphs, and captions, significantly improves the model’s ability to generate accurate, contextually rich, and informative multimodal summaries. Speaker segmentation and diarization allow the model to identify who is speaking and when, thereby ensuring accurate alignment of transcripts with visual context—even in cases involving off-screen speakers or multiple participants. As shown in Figure 6, including the speaker’s name, such as "Jared," in the generated summary enhances factual precision and relevance.

Table 5: Quality assessment of BOS (BLIP-2+OCR+Speaker Information) features on generated Summary

Transcript	BOS	Without BOS
Okay, so tell me this, you write two hours every morning. How does that make you a better investor and portfolio manager? Well, let's start with this, that this is not the only goal of my life. My goal for life is also to be a good... When you write something, it forces you to see the broken links in your thinking and understanding. Ratings: N/A	In this video, a conversation takes place between Andrew Wang and Vitaliy Katsenelson. They discuss how writing for two hours every morning benefits Katsenelson as both an investor and a portfolio manager by forcing them to identify broken links in their thinking and gain a deeper understanding of concepts. Ratings:4	In this video, the speaker discusses the benefits of writing for two hours every morning. They explain that writing helps them become a better investor and portfolio manager by forcing them to identify broken links in their thinking and gain a deeper understanding of concepts. Ratings:4

Table 6: Qualitative comparison between best-performing baseline with the proposed FASTER model.

Gemma2-9b-Summary	MAF-TAV Summary	Generated Summary by FASTER	Gold Summary
Andrew and Mariko discuss financial advisory and their own personal experience on the topic. Ratings: 2	The video discusses the topic of money and its various associations and emotions. Speaker 1 asserts that money is not mysterious and that there are various mindsets surrounding it, such as the extreme views of feral capitalism and the notion that money is evil. Speaker 1 believes that money is just a tangible representation of an energetic exchange and that it is essential to examine our relationship with it. Ratings:3	In this video, Andrew Wang and Mariko Gordon discuss the complex nature of money and the different mindsets surrounding it. Mariko emphasizes that money is just a tangible representation of an energetic exchange, and our emotions towards it can greatly influence its impact on us. Financial planning will be effective. Ratings:4	The discussion touches on the idea that handling money is not just about investing but involves many layers and dimensions... The conversation emphasizes examining our relationship with money and how it affects us. Ratings:5

Table 7: Error Analysis of FASTER- model. Here the bold highlighted section depicts unnecessary generated phrases.

Original transcript	Predicted Summary	Gold Summary
Let's talk about earning and money matters!We know There's always something new to discover! Clear financial goals drive budgeting and investments. Whether for a house, retirement, or education, defined objectives ensure resource allocation. Historically, investing in Nifty 50 stocks, spanning diverse Indian sectors, yields consistent growth at 10-12% annually, offering significant returns when aligned with specific goals.	Establishing clear financial goals helps budgeting and long-term investment strategies saving for a house loan payment, retirement, or education expenses, health insurance. Clear goals ensure proper allocation. Nifty 50 stocks historically yield 10-12% growth, offering significant returns aligned with goals.	Whether saving for a house, retirement, or education, defined objectives ensure proper resource allocation budget planning is needed. Investing in Nifty 50 stocks, representing diverse sectors of the Indian economy, historically yields consistent growth at 10-12% annually, highlighting the potential for significant returns aligned with specific financial goals.

Table 8: Generalizability of FASTER model in different Financial NLP Tasks

Datasets	Tasks	Model Name	Evaluation Measurements			
			F1-Score	ROUGE	BLEU	BERT Score
F-sentiment	Sentiment Classification	Baseline	73.43	-	-	-
		Proposed	79.68	-	-	-
X-Fincorp	Complaint Classification	Baseline	91.6	-	-	-
		Proposed	87.51	-	-	-
EDT-SUMM	Unimodal Summarisation	Baseline	-	52.10	34.60	90.83
		Proposed	-	50.34	34.28	87.78
MM-SUMM	Video Summarisation	Baseline	-	32.41	18.56	89.10
		Proposed	-	30.23	16.12	86.27

Overall, the inclusion of speaker information strengthens temporal alignment and semantic grounding, contributing to more accurate and context-aware multimodal summarization.

Additionally, to assess image quality as depicted in Figure 6, we used a trainable scorer and the Naive/Cosine Similarity method. Evidently, in Table 3, the trainable scorer outperforms Naive/Cosine Similarity across key metrics: RMSE (0.412 vs 2.74), SSIM (0.44 vs 0.19), and F1 score (0.32 vs 0.09), indicating more accurate predictions, better structural similarity, and improved relevance identification. The Naive/Cosine Similarity method, relying on random frame selection, leads to inconsistent results, whereas the trainable scorer provides more reliable and precise outputs.

Answer to RQ3 Generalizability: Table 8 demonstrates the FASTER model's adaptability across various financial NLP tasks, including sentiment and emotion detection [11], complaint classification, and

single-stock prediction. Validation using the X-FinCORP dataset [9], EDTSum, and SumScreen datasets (40-50 minute videos) [28] further highlights its robust performance and ability to generalize effectively across both unimodal and multimodal data.

Answer to RQ4 Limitations: The proposed modular approach is designed to capture comprehensive multimodal information from both video and audio, minimizing information loss that could compromise generation quality. However, BOS feature extraction introduces latency due to the time required for information aggregation. Additionally, the dataset predominantly features static backgrounds in advisory podcast videos, with limited visual elements such as stock prices, financial tables, and charts. Consequently, the model's reliance on the visual modality remains less significant compared to the textual modality.

5.2 Comprehensive Scrutiny

Human Evaluation with Expert Scrutiny: We enlisted five financial experts from *Category Alpha*, all of whom are active Spotify users accustomed to regularly listening to daily financial episodes lasting 30-40 minutes. To mitigate the potential limitations of automated metric assessments, we conducted a human evaluation involving 47 test samples. Figure 5 demonstrates the visual representation of the influential performance of FASTER with BOS+DPO featured Gemma2-9b model.

Our model consistently demonstrates improved performance in winning outcomes compared to GPT-4o, while also reducing both drawing and losing rates. Although the base setting of the Gemma2-9b model performs well against other baseline models, it still falls




Figure 5: Expert evaluation of *FASTER* model performance against the Baselines

In this video, Jared of Yahoo Finance discusses the current state of Bitcoin's price action and its potential future trend. He highlights the importance of the upcoming Fed rate decision and how it could impact Bitcoin's price. Jared also provides technical analysis of Bitcoin's chart, focusing on volume profile and support levels. He notes that Bitcoin has been trending upwards but has faced obstacles and could potentially face a false breakdown. Jared will be watching the Fed's decision closely to see how it affects Bitcoin and the broader market.



Figure 6: The summarized output of the *FASTER* model features highlighted keyframes, with each frame corresponding to the highlighted colors in the advisory summary.

short when compared to GPT-4o, which is a large billion-parameter model embedded with highly contextual information. This study highlights that the injection of BOS+DPO significantly enhances the scalability of smaller models.

To evaluate performance, we employed a tie-discounted accuracy metric, which compares the majority vote of the winning category with each expert's rating for every example. A score of 1 is given for a match, 0.5 for a tie, and 0 for a mismatch. Initially, we compared the performance of various baselines with our *FASTER* model on 47 video samples, which was configured using the BOS+DPO-enhanced Gemma2-9b model. Subsequently, we recorded how often each baseline outperformed the proposed model and assigned E-FAIR ratings to the winning summaries. Category Alpha experts then rated the baseline summaries on a scale of "good" (2–3), "better" (3–4), or "best" (4–5), with the baseline receiving the highest cumulative ratings being declared the winner. Based on these evaluation scores, we calculated the expert-expert inter-agreement score to be 61.35%. The evaluation focused on context coherence within the financial domain and was rated on a scale from 1 (inferior) to 5 (excellent).

Qualitative Analysis: Table 6 presents key quality differences between Gemma2-9b, MAV-TAV and *FASTER* with BOS+DPO featured Gemma2-9b. It shows how proposed model accurately generated a tangible representation of money and how its influence impacts

emotions while maintaining summary quality. This demonstrates *FASTER* model with BOS configuration's superiority with a 4 rating by offering a comprehensive and accurate summary with vital details. However, Gemma2-9b added irrelevant information, and MAV-TAV lacked relevant information. Thus, their generated summaries received an average rating of 3.5 and 2, respectively.

Error Analysis: The findings presented in Table 7 undeniably showcase our model's proficiency in extracting the most relevant information from the aligned topic and preserving pertinent data. Nevertheless, since the language models are pre-trained on a large corpus, it is imperative to acknowledge that the model sporadically generates unnecessary extraneous information in the given context. For instance, phrases like 'helps budgeting, health insurance' are not required to comprehend the essence of the generated summary.

6 Conclusion

This paper presents a distillation of concise insights from extensive financial videos for needy individuals. We introduce *FASTER*, a modular approach with a modified DPO mechanism to present a condensed summary with aligned image keyframes using a ranker-based algorithm. We also present *Fin-APT*, a curated dataset of 470 multimodal financial advisory pep-talk videos validated by multiple financial experts. Our research shows that visual features contribute minimally compared to textual features in task-specific contexts and improve scalability even in the constrained data resource environment. Additionally, we integrated BOS features (BLIP-2, OCR, and Speaker Information) as facts to ensure summary coherence. The qualitative analysis demonstrates *FASTER*'s superior summary generation, validating our approach. Conclusively, our research introduces a new chapter for multimodal summary generation, significantly contributing to the research community.

Ethical Disclaimer: The article includes unsolicited feedback, inherent to the work. Prudent investment decisions require evaluating personal finances, risk tolerance, and goals. This feedback does not reflect the authors' views. The dataset is intended for academic research only and is not for commercial use. No video samples were reproduced in violation of content rights; the dataset was created from publicly available YouTube content without compensation or modification. Copyright remains with YouTube.

Acknowledgement

This work is a joint collaboration between the Indian Institute of Technology Patna and CRISIL Data Science Limited. We extend our sincere gratitude to Tannishtha, and Jeel Doshi for their invaluable contributions as dataset annotators.

References

- [1] Samir Abdjalil and Houda Bouamor. 2021. An exploration of automatic text summarization of financial reports. In *Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Financial Technology and Natural Language Processing*. 1–7.
- [2] Hervé Bredin, Ruiqing Yin, Juan Manuel Coria, Gregory Gelly, Pavel Korshunov, Marvin Lavechin, Diego Fustes, Hadrien Titeux, Wassim Bouaziz, and Marie-Philippe Gill. 2020. pyannote.audio: neural building blocks for speaker diarization. In *ICASSP 2020, IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*.
- [3] Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 33 (2020), 1877–1901.
- [4] CeADAR. 2023. FinanceConnect-13B (Revision 5f7841d). doi:10.57967/hf/1405
- [5] Dawei Cheng, Fangzhou Yang, Sheng Xiang, and Jin Liu. 2022. Financial time series forecasting with multi-modality graph neural network. *Pattern Recognition* 121 (2022), 108218.
- [6] Zesen Cheng, Sicong Leng, Hang Zhang, Yifei Xin, Xin Li, Guanzheng Chen, Yongxin Zhu, Wenqi Zhang, Ziyang Luo, Deli Zhao, and Lidong Bing. 2024. VideoLLaMA 2: Advancing Spatial-Temporal Modeling and Audio Understanding in Video-LLMs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07476* (2024). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.07476>
- [7] Hyung Won Chung, Le Hou, Shayne Longpre, Barret Zoph, Yi Tay, William Fedus, Yunxuan Li, Xuezhi Wang, Mostafa Dehghani, Siddhartha Brahma, et al. 2024. Scaling instruction-finetuned language models. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 25, 70 (2024), 1–53.
- [8] Sarmistha Das, Tuhinangshu Gangopadhyay, Atulya Deep, Sriparna Saha, and Alka Maurya. 2023. “Find the Table”: A Contrastive Learning-based Approach with Faster RCNN for Establishing Tabular Entity Relationships. In *2023 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*. IEEE, 1–8.
- [9] Sarmistha Das, Apoorva Singh, Raghav Jain, Sriparna Saha, and Alka Maurya. 2023. Let the Model Make Financial Senses: A Text2Text Generative Approach for Financial Complaint Identification. In *Pacific-Asia Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. Springer, 58–69.
- [10] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 4171–4186. doi:10.18653/v1/N19-1423
- [11] Gourab Dey, Adithya V Ganesan, Yash Kumar Lal, Manal Shah, Shreyashee Sinha, Matthew Matero, Salvatore Giorgi, Vivek Kulkarni, and H Andrew Schwartz. 2024. SOCIALITE-LLAMA: An Instruction-Tuned Model for Social Scientific Tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01980* (2024).
- [12] Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. 2024. The llama 3 herd of models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783* (2024).
- [13] Mirco Fabbri and Gianluca Moro. 2018. Dow Jones Trading with Deep Learning: The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Recurrent Neural Networks. In *Data*. 142–153.
- [14] José Nicanor Franco-Riquelme and Luis Rubalcaba. 2021. Innovation and sdegs through social media analysis: Messages from fintech firms. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity* 7, 3 (2021), 165.
- [15] Tuba Gokhan, Phillip Smith, and Mark Lee. 2021. Extractive financial narrative summarisation using sentencebert based clustering. In *Proceedings of the 3rd Financial Narrative Processing Workshop*. 94–98.
- [16] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 770–778.
- [17] Anubhav Jangra, Sourajit Mukherjee, Adam Jatowt, Sriparna Saha, and Mohammad Hasanuzzaman. 2023. A survey on multi-modal summarization. *Comput. Surveys* 55, 13s (2023), 1–36.
- [18] Shivani Kumar, Atharva Kulkarni, Md Shad Akhtar, and Tanmoy Chakraborty. 2022. When did you become so smart, oh wise one?! Sarcasm Explanation in Multi-modal Multi-party Dialogues. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.06419* (2022).
- [19] Mike Lewis, Yinhan Liu, Naman Goyal, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Abdelrahman Mohamed, Omer Levy, Ves Stoyanov, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2019. Bart: Denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.13461* (2019).
- [20] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven Hoi. 2023. BLIP-2: Bootstrapping Language-Image Pre-training with Frozen Image Encoders and Large Language Models. In *ICML*.
- [21] Jiazheng Li, Linyi Yang, Barry Smyth, and Ruihai Dong. 2020. Maec: A multi-modal aligned earnings conference call dataset for financial risk prediction. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*. 3063–3070.
- [22] Bin Lin, Yang Ye, Bin Zhu, Jiayi Cui, Munan Ning, Peng Jin, and Li Yuan. 2023. Video-llava: Learning united visual representation by alignment before projection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.10122* (2023).
- [23] Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. Rouge: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In *Text summarization branches out*. 74–81.
- [24] Xiao-Yang Liu, Guoxuan Wang, and Daochen Zha. 2023. Fingpt: Democratizing internet-scale data for financial large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.10485* (2023).
- [25] OpenAI. 2023. GPT-3.5: An Update on OpenAI’s Language Model. <https://openai.com/blog/gpt-3-5/>. Accessed: 2025-04-09.
- [26] OpenAI. 2024. GPT-4. <https://platform.openai.com/docs/models/gpt-4>. Accessed: 2024-06-15.
- [27] Yue Pan and Limao Zhang. 2021. Roles of artificial intelligence in construction engineering and management: A critical review and future trends. *Automation in Construction* 122 (2021), 103517.
- [28] Pinelopi Papalampidi and Mirella Lapata. 2022. Hierarchical3d adapters for long video-to-text summarization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.04829* (2022).
- [29] Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. 2002. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 40th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. 311–318.
- [30] Tatiana Passali, Alexios Gidiotis, Efstathios Chatzikyriakidis, and Grigorios Tsoumakas. 2021. Towards human-centered summarization: A case study on financial news. In *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Bridging Human-Computer Interaction and Natural Language Processing*. 21–27.
- [31] Podcast. 2025. Best Financial Podcasts. <https://dealroom.net/blog/best-financial-podcasts>. [Online; accessed 13-January-2025].
- [32] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Tao Xu, Greg Brockman, Christine McLeavey, and Ilya Sutskever. 2023. Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 28492–28518.
- [33] Rafael Rafailov, Archit Sharma, Eric Mitchell, Christopher D Manning, Stefano Ermon, and Chelsea Finn. 2023. Direct Preference Optimization: Your Language Model is Secretly a Reward Model. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.18290>
- [34] Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. 2020. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* 21, 1 (2020), 5485–5551.
- [35] Ramit Sawhney, Puneet Mathur, Ayush Mangal, Piyush Khanna, Rajiv Ratn Shah, and Roger Zimmermann. 2020. Multimodal multi-task financial risk forecasting. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM international conference on multimedia*. 456–465.
- [36] Peggy Tang, Kun Hu, Lei Zhang, Jiebo Luo, and Zhiyong Wang. 2023. TLDW: Extreme multimodal summarisation of news videos. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology* (2023).
- [37] Gemma Team, Thomas Mesnard, Cassidy Hardin, Robert Dadashi, Surya Bhupatiraju, Shreya Pathak, Laurent Sifre, Morgane Rivière, Mihir Sanjay Kale, Juliette Love, et al. 2024. Gemma: Open models based on gemini research and technology. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.08295* (2024).
- [38] Zhan Tong, Yibing Song, Jue Wang, and Limin Wang. 2022. Videomae: Masked autoencoders are data-efficient learners for self-supervised video pre-training. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 35 (2022), 10078–10093.
- [39] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajwal Bhargava, Shrutu Bhosale, et al. 2023. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288* (2023).
- [40] Dingding Wang, Shenghuo Zhu, Tao Li, Yun Chi, and Yihong Gong. 2011. Integrating document clustering and multidocument summarization. *ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data (TKDD)* 5, 3 (2011), 1–26.
- [41] Shijie Wu, Ozan Irsoy, Steven Lu, Vadim Dabravolski, Mark Dredze, Sebastian Gehrmann, Prabhanjan Kambadur, David Rosenberg, and Gideon Mann. 2023. Bloomberggpt: A large language model for finance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17564* (2023).
- [42] Qianqian Xie, Weiguang Han, Xiao Zhang, Yanzhao Lai, Min Peng, Alejandro Lopez-Lira, and Jimin Huang. 2023. PIXIU: A Large Language Model, Instruction Data and Evaluation Benchmark for Finance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.05443* (2023).
- [43] Qianqian Xie, Jimin Huang, Dong Li, Zhengyu Chen, Ruoyu Xiang, Mengxi Xiao, Yangyang Yu, Vijayasai Somasundaram, Kailai Yang, Chenhan Yuan, et al. 2024. FinNLP-AgentScen-2024 Shared Task: Financial Challenges in Large Language Models-FinLLMs. In *Proceedings of the Eighth Financial Technology and Natural Language Processing and the 1st Agent AI for Scenario Planning*. 119–126.

- [44] Haofei Xu, Jing Zhang, Jianfei Cai, Hamid Rezaatofghi, and Dacheng Tao. 2022. Gmflow: Learning optical flow via global matching. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 8121–8130.
- [45] Kelvin Xu, Jimmy Ba, Ryan Kiros, Kyunghyun Cho, Aaron Courville, Ruslan Salakhudinov, Rich Zemel, and Yoshua Bengio. 2015. Show, attend and tell: Neural image caption generation with visual attention. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2048–2057.
- [46] Ran Xu, Caiming Xiong, Wei Chen, and Jason Corso. 2015. Jointly modeling deep video and compositional text to bridge vision and language in a unified framework. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, Vol. 29.
- [47] Hongyang Yang, Boyu Zhang, Neng Wang, Cheng Guo, Xiaoli Zhang, Likun Lin, Junlin Wang, Tianyu Zhou, Mao Guan, Runjia Zhang, et al. 2024. FinRobot: An Open-Source AI Agent Platform for Financial Applications using Large Language Models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.14767* (2024).
- [48] Linyi Yang, Tin Lok James Ng, Barry Smyth, and Riuhai Dong. 2020. Htm1: Hierarchical transformer-based multi-task learning for volatility prediction. In *Proceedings of The Web Conference 2020*. 441–451.
- [49] Yi Yang, Yixuan Tang, and Kar Yan Tam. 2023. Investlm: A large language model for investment using financial domain instruction tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.13064* (2023).
- [50] Yi Yang, Mark Christopher Siy Uy, and Allen Huang. 2020. Finbert: A pretrained language model for financial communications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.08097* (2020).
- [51] Elias Zavitsanos, Aris Kosmopoulos, George Giannakopoulos, Marina Litvak, Blanca Carbajo-Coronado, Antonio Moreno-Sandoval, and Mo El-Haj. 2023. The Financial Narrative Summarisation Shared Task (FNS 2023). In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (BigData)*. IEEE, 2890–2896.
- [52] Tianyi Zhang, Varsha Kishore, Felix Wu, Kilian Q Weinberger, and Yoav Artzi. 2019. Bertscore: Evaluating text generation with bert. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09675* (2019).
- [53] Sheng-hua Zhong, Yan Liu, Bin Li, and Jing Long. 2015. Query-oriented unsupervised multi-document summarization via deep learning model. *Expert systems with applications* 42, 21 (2015), 8146–8155.
- [54] Junnan Zhu, Haoran Li, Tianshang Liu, Yu Zhou, Jiajun Zhang, and Chengqing Zong. 2018. MSMO: Multimodal summarization with multimodal output. In *Proceedings of the 2018 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*. 4154–4164.