

The 1st Solution for 7th LSVOS RVOS Track: SaSaSa2VA

Quanzhu Niu^{1*} Dengxian Gong^{1*} Shihao Chen^{1*} Tao Zhang^{1*}
Yikang Zhou¹ Haobo Yuan² Lu Qi¹ Xiangtai Li³ Shunping Ji^{1†}

¹Wuhan University ²University of California, Merced ³Nanyang Technological University

Abstract

Referring video object segmentation (RVOS) requires segmenting and tracking objects in videos conditioned on natural-language expressions, demanding fine-grained understanding of both appearance and motion. Building on Sa2VA, which couples a Multi-modal Large Language Model (MLLM) with the video segmentation model SAM2, we identify two key bottlenecks that limit segmentation performance: sparse frame sampling and reliance on a single [SEG] token for an entire video. We propose Segmentation Augmented and Selective Averaged Sa2VA (SaSaSa2VA) to address these issues. On the 7th LSVOS Challenge (RVOS track), SaSaSa2VA achieves a J&F of **67.45**, ranking first and surpassing the runner-up by **2.80** points. This result and ablation studies demonstrate that efficient segmentation augmentation and test-time ensembling substantially enhance grounded MLLMs for RVOS. The code is released in Sa2VA repository: <https://github.com/magic-research/Sa2VA>.

1. Introduction

ICCV 2025 Large-scale Video Object Segmentation (LSVOS) Challenge has three tracks: Complex VOS on MOSEv2 [10], targeting realistic, cluttered scenes with small, occluded, reappearing, and camouflaged objects under adverse conditions; VOS on MOSE [8], focusing on challenging, long and diverse videos; and RVOS on MeViS [7, 9], assessing referring video object segmentation.

Referring video object segmentation (RVOS) aims to segment and track objects in a video conditioned on a natural-language expression, and remains highly challenging. MeViS [7, 9] is a benchmark for RVOS that emphasizes motion-centric linguistic descriptions, making it more demanding than datasets [13, 22] primarily driven by appearance-based expressions. Solving motion-

Rank	Team / Username	J&F	J	F
#1	SaSaSa2VA	67.45	63.95	70.95
#2	Ranhong	64.65	61.29	68.01
#3	dytino	64.14	61.06	67.22
#4	heshuai	62.22	58.99	65.44
#5	DanielLi	59.83	56.67	62.99

Table 1. **Leaderboard of the 7th LSVOS Challenge (RVOS track) in ICCV 2025.** Our SaSaSa2VA team achieves a J&F score of **67.45** and wins first place.

expression-driven RVOS requires fine-grained video understanding together with strong video segmentation capabilities.

Recently, Multi-modal Large Language Models (MLLMs) [1, 2, 4–6, 23, 24, 37, 38] have shown remarkable progress in image and video comprehension, including holistic scene understanding, recognition of object attributes and actions, and reasoning over inter-object relations. In parallel, the video segmentation foundation model SAM2 [21] substantially surpasses prior approaches [16, 20, 25, 31–33, 35, 36] in both accuracy and generalization, enabled by a powerful data engine. Grounded MLLMs [15, 26, 34] further demonstrate that instruction-following segmentation can be achieved by coupling MLLMs with expert segmenters [14, 17, 28]. Sa2VA [29] integrates the state-of-the-art MLLM InternVL 2.5 [4] with SAM2 [21], yielding strong performance in image/video understanding and segmentation.

However, Sa2VA’s [29] segmentation potential is not fully realized. Sparse frame sampling limits the MLLM’s ability to model global spatiotemporal context, and relying on a single [SEG] token to represent the entire video hampers robustness to temporal variations in object position, shape, and even appearance or disappearance.

To address these issues, we introduce Segmentation Augmented and Selective Averaged Sa2VA (SaSaSa2VA) in this challenge. Our Segmentation Augmentation improves the trade-off between efficiency and global video

*Equal contribution.

†Corresponding author.




Figure 1. **Overview of Segmentation Augmentation in SaSaSa2VA.** We design Key Frame Compression (KFC) strategy and Scaling [SEG] tokens strategy over Sa2VA [29]. A T -frame video is divided into N non-overlapping clips, each containing $c = g^2 + 1$ frames. The frames passed to the MLLM are then compressed via KFC. The MLLM outputs N [SEG] tokens, each corresponding to one clip. For a given clip, conditioned on the original c frames and the hidden state of its [SEG] token, SAM2 decodes the masks for that clip. In this figure, c is set to 5, resulting $g = 2$.

understanding; in particular, we employ Key Frame Compression and increase the number of [SEG] tokens to better capture diverse temporal dynamics. At inference time, we apply test-time augmentation with five complementary sampling strategies and a Selective Averaging ensemble to exploit the strengths of different predictions.

With these designs, SaSaSa2VA achieves strong RVOS performance. As shown in Tab. 1, it attains a $J\&F$ score of **67.45**. Finally, our approach secures first place in the competition, underscoring both the promise of grounded MLLMs and the effectiveness of the proposed augmentation strategies.

2. SaSaSa2VA

2.1. Baseline: Sa2VA

Meta Architecture. Sa2VA [29] comprises an Multi-modal Large Language Model (MLLM) [4] and SAM2 [21]. The MLLM accepts images, videos, and text instructions as input and produces text responses conditioned on the instructions. When the user instruction requests segmentation results, the text response includes the segmentation token [SEG]. The hidden state of the segmentation token serves as an implicit prompt, which SAM2 converts into object segmentation masks at both the image and video levels.

MLLM. Sa2VA adopts InternVL 2.5 [4]. InternVL 2.5 follows a LLaVA-like [18] architecture composed of an InternViT [6], an MLP projector, and a Large Language Model (LLM) [3, 27]. Images and videos are encoded by InternViT [6] into visual tokens, which are projected by an MLP and combined with text tokens as input to the LLM. The LLM autoregressively generates text responses that may include [SEG] tokens. The hidden state of the [SEG] token from the last LLM transformer layer is processed by an MLP to form the prompt input to SAM2 [21].

SAM2. SAM2 [21] produces object segmentation masks for selected high-resolution video frames based on the segmentation prompts from the MLLM. It then propagates these frame-level masks to obtain object segmentation results for the entire video.

2.2. Segmentation Augmentation

Limitations of Sa2VA. To reduce time and memory consumption, only five frames are sampled per video during Sa2VA [29] training, and each video uses a single [SEG] token to transmit information between the MLLM and SAM2. During inference, the MLLM processes only five frames for video understanding, and a single [SEG] token is then used to propagate masks across the entire video. Sampling only five frames inevitably limits the MLLM’s ability to capture global video context, and rely-

ing on a single [SEG] token to convey segmentation information for the whole video struggles to accommodate temporal changes in object position, shape, and even appearance/disappearance. Consequently, this design imposes limitations on segmentation performance.

Overview. As illustrated in Fig. 1, we design several Segmentation Augmentation strategies over Sa2VA [29], including Key Frame Compression (KFC) and Scaling [SEG] tokens. Details are described below.

Key Frame Compression. To balance spatiotemporal efficiency with the MLLM’s global understanding of videos, we propose a Key Frame Compression (KFC) scheme. We sample $T = N \times c$ frames from the original video and denote the sequence as $V = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_T\}$, where each frame $I_t \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$ is an RGB image at time step t . We then divide the sequence into N non-overlapping clips, each containing $c = g^2 + 1$ frames. Each clip is denoted as $C^i = \{I_1^i, I_2^i, \dots, I_c^i\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. In each clip C^i , the first frame I_1^i is the key frame, and the remaining $c - 1 = g^2$ frames $\{I_2^i, I_3^i, \dots, I_c^i\}$ are compressed. Specifically, we tile these g^2 frames into a $g \times g$ grid image $I_{cat}^i \in \mathbb{R}^{gH \times gW \times 3}$ in row-major order (left to right, top to bottom), and resize the grid back to $H \times W$ to obtain the compressed image $I_{com}^i \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$:

$$I_{cat}^i = \text{concatenate}(I_2^i, I_2^i, \dots, I_c^i), \quad (1)$$

$$I_{com}^i = \text{resize}(I_{cat}^i). \quad (2)$$

In this way, each clip C^i sends only one key frame and one compressed image $\{I_1^i, I_{com}^i\}$ to the MLLM, reducing a video with T frames to just $2N$ images. This approach preserves global video information while mitigating redundant attention to adjacent frames.

Scaling [SEG] tokens. To handle diverse temporal variations of the objects, we increase the number of [SEG] tokens. Specifically, we assign one [SEG] token to each clip C^i , so the MLLM produces N [SEG] tokens per video. The hidden states of these tokens are denoted by $S = \{s^1, s^2, \dots, s^N\}$, $s^i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where d is the hidden dimension. In SAM2, s^i is used to decode the masks ($M^i = \{m_1^i, m_2^i, \dots, m_c^i\}$, $m_j^i \in \{0, 1\}^{H \times W}$) of the object within clip C^i . The process is described by:

$$S = \text{MLLM}(I_1^1, I_{com}^1, I_1^2, I_{com}^2, \dots, I_1^N, I_{com}^N), \quad (3)$$

$$m_j^i = \text{SAM2}(I_j^i, s^i). \quad (4)$$

Specifically, during training, we supervise only the mask m_1^i of the key frame I_1^i in each clip.

2.3. Test-time Augmentation

Inference sampling strategies. During training, we sample $T = N \times c$ frames per video using a specific procedure, whereas at inference we must accommodate videos of varying lengths. To this end, we design five sampling strategies, each exhibiting advantages for different videos.

- **Uniform.** Regardless of video length, the video is evenly divided into N ori-clips. In each ori-clip, uniformly sample c frames as the clip sent to the MLLM. In SAM2, the frames corresponding to each ori-clip need to be decoded using the associated s .
- **Uniform+.** Building on the Uniform strategy, for videos whose original length is shorter than T frames, some frames near clip boundaries have 2 corresponding [SEG] tokens. We average the masks from the 2 results.
- **Q-frame.** We use the method in [30] to select the top T frames most related to the text prompt. The selected frames are then sorted in temporal order and processed with Uniform+.
- **Wrap-around.** Given a target of T frames, sample cyclically using indices $i \bmod T_{ori}$, where T_{ori} is the original video length. If $T_{ori} \geq T$, this yields the first T frames; the masks beyond T are propagated by SAM2’s memory. If $T_{ori} < T$, it wraps around and repeats until T frames are collected, and the selected frames are then sorted in temporal order.
- **Wrap-around+.** When $T_{ori} < T$, we use Wrap-around strategy. When $T_{ori} \geq T$, we instead use the Uniform strategy.

Selective Averaging. Different inference sampling strategies perform differently across videos, and models of different scales also differ in their video understanding, leading to variations in final scores [11]. To leverage their complementary strengths, we adopt a Selective Averaging scheme. For each mask, the results from different models and sampling methods are weighted and averaged. If the weighted average value of a pixel exceeds 0.5, its mask value is set to 1; otherwise, it is set to 0.

3. Experiments

3.1. Implementation Details

The baseline models we use are Sa2VA-14B and Sa2VA-26B [29]. The MLLM of Sa2VA-14B is InternVL 3.5-14B [24], and the MLLM of Sa2VA-26B is InternVL 2.5-26B [4]. We finetune Sa2VA using the Segmentation Augmentation strategies described in Sec. 2.2. We fix $T = 100$ and $N = 10$, resulting in $c = 10$ and $g = 3$. During finetuning, we use referring image segmentation datasets including RefCOCO [12], RefCOCO+ [12], and RefCOCOg [19], as well as referring video object segmentation datasets including MeViS [7, 9], Ref-YTVOS [22], ReVOS [26], and Ref-SAV [29]. Details of Selective Averaging are provided in Sec. 3.3. For the challenge, we adopt the best-performing strategy.

3.2. Main Results

The final challenge results are shown in Table 1. Our method achieved the highest score in the challenge, substan-

		weights						
		w/o SA		Selective Averaging				
14B	Uniform			2	2	2	1	1
	Uniform+			2.5	2.5	2.5	1	1
	Q-frame						1	1
	Wrap-around						1	1
	Wrap-around+						1	1
26B	Uniform			1	1	1	1	1.5
	Uniform+	1		1	1	1	1	1.5
	Q-frame			1	1	1	1	1.5
	Wrap-around			1	1	1	1	1.5
	Wrap-around+			1	1	1	1	1.5
26B‡	Uniform					1	1	1.2
	Uniform+	1			2	1	1	1.2
	Q-frame						1	1.2
	Wrap-around				2	1	1	1.5
	Wrap-around+					1	1	1.5
J		62.00	62.18	63.21	63.95	63.69	63.82	63.77
F		68.91	69.15	70.39	70.95	70.66	70.84	70.71
$J\&F$		65.46	65.66	66.80	67.45	67.18	67.33	67.24

Table 2. **Ablation on weighting schemes for Selective Averaging.** The scores are on the challenge set. “‡” indicates training without referring image segmentation datasets, and “w/o SA” denotes inference without Selective Averaging.

		valid_u	valid
w/o SA (Sa2VA [29])		61.8	52.1
Ours	Uniform	70.95	66.52
	Uniform+	71.28	66.78
	Q-frame	71.35	66.31
	Wrap-around	71.26	66.33
	Wrap-around+	71.37	66.21

Table 3. **Ablation on Segmentation Augmentation.** We report the $J\&F$ scores of the 26B model on the MeViS [7, 9] valid_u and valid splits. “w/o SA” denotes training without Segmentation Augmentation, i.e., the Sa2VA baseline [29].

tially outperforming other teams across all metrics ($J\&F$, J , and F), achieving a $J\&F$ of **67.45** and surpassing the second place by **2.80**. This demonstrates the superiority of our approach.

3.3. Ablation Study

Segmentation Augmentation. As shown in Tab. 3, applying our Segmentation Augmentation consistently improves performance across all inference sampling strategies, yielding gains of more than **10** $J\&F$ points over the baseline [29]. This demonstrates that Segmentation Augmen-

tation is the most effective strategy in this challenge.

Weighting schemes for Selective Averaging. We compare the weighting schemes of various Selective Averaging strategies and report the challenge set scores in Tab. 2. Results with Selective Averaging are significantly better than without, improving $J\&F$ by about **2** points. This verifies that ensembling across different inference strategies and model scales via voting yields substantial gains, demonstrating the effectiveness of the Selective Averaging method.

4. Conclusion

In this report, we enhance grounded MLLMs for RVOS by addressing two practical bottlenecks — limited temporal coverage and under-expressive segmentation prompting. Our SaSaSa2VA introduces Segmentation Augmentation to improve global video understanding while remaining efficient, and employs Selective Averaging at inference to robustly fuse complementary predictions. The approach achieves state-of-the-art performance on the 7th LSVOS Challenge (RVOS track), validating both its accuracy and practicality. Beyond competitive results, our findings highlight the importance of aligning MLLM reasoning with video segmentation.

References

- [1] Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Shusheng Yang, Shijie Wang, Sinan Tan, Peng Wang, Junyang Lin, Chang Zhou, and Jingren Zhou. Qwen-vl: A versatile vision-language model for understanding, localization, text reading, and beyond. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.12966*, 2023. 1
- [2] Shuai Bai, Keqin Chen, Xuejing Liu, Jialin Wang, Wenbin Ge, Sibao Song, Kai Dang, Peng Wang, Shijie Wang, Jun Tang, Humen Zhong, Yuanzhi Zhu, Mingkun Yang, Zhaohai Li, Jianqiang Wan, Pengfei Wang, Wei Ding, Zheren Fu, Yiheng Xu, Jiabo Ye, Xi Zhang, Tianbao Xie, Zesen Cheng, Hang Zhang, Zhibo Yang, Haiyang Xu, and Junyang Lin. Qwen2.5-vl technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.13923*, 2025. 1
- [3] Zheng Cai, Maosong Cao, Haojiong Chen, Kai Chen, Keyu Chen, Xin Chen, Xun Chen, Zehui Chen, Zhi Chen, Pei Chu, Xiaoyi Dong, Haodong Duan, Qi Fan, Zhaoye Fei, Yang Gao, Jiaye Ge, Chenya Gu, Yuzhe Gu, Tao Gui, Aijia Guo, Qipeng Guo, Conghui He, Yingfan Hu, Ting Huang, Tao Jiang, Penglong Jiao, Zhenjiang Jin, Zhikai Lei, Jiaying Li, Jingwen Li, Linyang Li, Shuaibin Li, Wei Li, Yinling Li, Hongwei Liu, Jiangning Liu, Jiawei Hong, Kaiwen Liu, Kuikun Liu, Xiaoran Liu, Chengqi Lv, Haijun Lv, Kai Lv, Li Ma, Runyuan Ma, Zerun Ma, Wenchang Ning, Linke Ouyang, Jiantao Qiu, Yuan Qu, Fukai Shang, Yunfan Shao, Demin Song, Zifan Song, Zhihao Sui, Peng Sun, Yu Sun, Huanze Tang, Bin Wang, Guoteng Wang, Jiaqi Wang, Jiayu Wang, Rui Wang, Yudong Wang, Ziyi Wang, Xingjian Wei, Qizhen Weng, Fan Wu, Yingtong Xiong, Chao Xu, Ruiliang Xu, Hang Yan, Yirong Yan, Xiaogui Yang, Haochen Ye, Huaiyuan Ying, Jia Yu, Jing Yu, Yuhang Zang, Chuyu Zhang, Li Zhang, Pan Zhang, Peng Zhang, Ruijie Zhang, Shuo Zhang, Songyang Zhang, Wenjian Zhang, Wenwei Zhang, Xingcheng Zhang, Xinyue Zhang, Hui Zhao, Qian Zhao, Xiaomeng Zhao, Fengzhe Zhou, Zaida Zhou, Jingming Zhuo, Yicheng Zou, Xipeng Qiu, Yu Qiao, and Dahua Lin. Internlm2 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.17297*, 2024. 2
- [4] Zhe Chen, Weiyun Wang, Yue Cao, Yangzhou Liu, Zhangwei Gao, Erfei Cui, Jinguo Zhu, Shenglong Ye, Hao Tian, Zhaoyang Liu, et al. Expanding performance boundaries of open-source multimodal models with model, data, and test-time scaling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.05271*, 2024. 1, 2, 3
- [5] Zhe Chen, Weiyun Wang, Hao Tian, Shenglong Ye, Zhangwei Gao, Erfei Cui, Wenwen Tong, Kongzhi Hu, Jiapeng Luo, Zheng Ma, et al. How far are we to gpt-4v? closing the gap to commercial multimodal models with open-source suites. In *SCIS*, 2024.
- [6] Zhe Chen, Jiannan Wu, Wenhai Wang, Weijie Su, Guo Chen, Sen Xing, Muyan Zhong, Qinglong Zhang, Xizhou Zhu, Lewei Lu, et al. Internvl: Scaling up vision foundation models and aligning for generic visual-linguistic tasks. In *CVPR*, 2024. 1, 2
- [7] Henghui Ding, Chang Liu, Shuting He, Xudong Jiang, and Chen Change Loy. Mevis: A large-scale benchmark for video segmentation with motion expressions. In *ICCV*, 2023. 1, 3, 4
- [8] Henghui Ding, Chang Liu, Shuting He, Xudong Jiang, Philip HS Torr, and Song Bai. MOSE: A new dataset for video object segmentation in complex scenes. In *ICCV*, 2023. 1
- [9] Henghui Ding, Chang Liu, Shuting He, Kaining Ying, Xudong Jiang, Chen Change Loy, and Yu-Gang Jiang. Mevis: A multi-modal dataset for referring motion expression video segmentation. *IEEE TPAMI*, 2025. 1, 3, 4
- [10] Henghui Ding, Kaining Ying, Chang Liu, Shuting He, Xudong Jiang, Yu-Gang Jiang, Philip HS Torr, and Song Bai. MOSEv2: A more challenging dataset for video object segmentation in complex scenes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2508.05630*, 2025. 1
- [11] Hao Fang, Runmin Cong, Xiankai Lu, Zhiyang Chen, and Wei Zhang. The 1st solution for 4th pvuw mevis challenge: Unleashing the potential of large multimodal models for referring video segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.05178*, 2025. 3
- [12] Sahara Kazemzadeh, Vicente Ordonez, Mark Matten, and Tamara Berg. Referitgame: Referring to objects in photographs of natural scenes. In *CEMNL*, 2014. 3
- [13] Anna Khoreva, Anna Rohrbach, and Bernt Schiele. Video object segmentation with language referring expressions. In *ACCV*, 2018. 1
- [14] Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, et al. Segment anything. In *ICCV*, 2023. 1
- [15] Xin Lai, Zhuotao Tian, Yukang Chen, Yanwei Li, Yuhui Yuan, Shu Liu, and Jiaya Jia. Lisa: Reasoning segmentation via large language model. In *CVPR*, 2024. 1
- [16] Xiangtai Li, Haobo Yuan, Wenwei Zhang, Guangliang Cheng, Jiangmiao Pang, and Chen Change Loy. Tube-link: A flexible cross tube framework for universal video segmentation. In *ICCV*, 2023. 1
- [17] Xiangtai Li, Haobo Yuan, Wei Li, Henghui Ding, Size Wu, Wenwei Zhang, Yining Li, Kai Chen, and Chen Change Loy. Omg-seg: Is one model good enough for all segmentation? In *CVPR*, 2024. 1
- [18] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. *NeurIPS*, 2023. 2
- [19] Junhua Mao, Jonathan Huang, Alexander Toshev, Oana Camburu, Alan L Yuille, and Kevin Murphy. Generation and comprehension of unambiguous object descriptions. In *CVPR*, 2016. 3
- [20] Quanzhu Niu, Yikang Zhou, Shihao Chen, Tao Zhang, and Shunping Ji. Beyond appearance: Geometric cues for robust video instance segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.05948*, 2025. 1
- [21] Nikhila Ravi, Valentin Gabeur, Yuan-Ting Hu, Ronghang Hu, Chaitanya Ryali, Tengyu Ma, Haitham Khedr, Roman Rädle, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, et al. Sam 2: Segment anything in images and videos. *ICLR*, 2025. 1, 2
- [22] Seonguk Seo, Joon-Young Lee, and Bohyung Han. URVOS: Unified referring video object segmentation network with a large-scale benchmark. In *ECCV*, 2020. 1, 3

- [23] Peng Wang, Shuai Bai, Sinan Tan, Shijie Wang, Zhihao Fan, Jinze Bai, Keqin Chen, Xuejing Liu, Jialin Wang, Wenbin Ge, Yang Fan, Kai Dang, Mengfei Du, Xuancheng Ren, Rui Men, Dayiheng Liu, Chang Zhou, Jingren Zhou, and Junyang Lin. Qwen2-vl: Enhancing vision-language model’s perception of the world at any resolution. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.12191*, 2024. 1
- [24] Weiyun Wang, Zhangwei Gao, Lixin Gu, Hengjun Pu, Long Cui, Xingguang Wei, Zhaoyang Liu, Linglin Jing, Shenglong Ye, Jie Shao, Zhaokai Wang, Zhe Chen, Hongjie Zhang, Ganlin Yang, Haomin Wang, Qi Wei, Jinhui Yin, Wenhao Li, Erfei Cui, Guanzhou Chen, Zichen Ding, Changyao Tian, Zhenyu Wu, Jingjing Xie, Zehao Li, Bowen Yang, Yuchen Duan, Xuehui Wang, Zhi Hou, Haoran Hao, Tianyi Zhang, Songze Li, Xiangyu Zhao, Haodong Duan, Nianchen Deng, Bin Fu, Yanan He, Yi Wang, Conghui He, Botian Shi, Junjun He, Yingdong Xiong, Han Lv, Lijun Wu, Wenqi Shao, Kaipeng Zhang, Huipeng Deng, Binqing Qi, Jiaye Ge, Qipeng Guo, Wenwei Zhang, Songyang Zhang, Maosong Cao, Junyao Lin, Kexian Tang, Jianfei Gao, Haiyan Huang, Yuzhe Gu, Chengqi Lyu, Huanze Tang, Rui Wang, Haijun Lv, Wanli Ouyang, Limin Wang, Min Dou, Xizhou Zhu, Tong Lu, Dahua Lin, Jifeng Dai, Weijie Su, Bowen Zhou, Kai Chen, Yu Qiao, Wenhao Wang, and Gen Luo. Internvl3.5: Advancing open-source multimodal models in versatility, reasoning, and efficiency. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2508.18265*, 2025. 1, 3
- [25] Shilin Xu, Haobo Yuan, Qingyu Shi, Lu Qi, Jingbo Wang, Yibo Yang, Yining Li, Kai Chen, Yunhai Tong, Bernard Ghanem, et al. Rap-sam: Towards real-time all-purpose segment anything. In *ICLR*, 2025. 1
- [26] Cilin Yan, Haochen Wang, Shilin Yan, Xiaolong Jiang, Yao Hu, Guoliang Kang, Weidi Xie, and Efstratios Gavves. Visa: Reasoning video object segmentation via large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.11325*, 2024. 1, 3
- [27] An Yang, Baosong Yang, Beichen Zhang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chengyuan Li, Dayiheng Liu, Fei Huang, Haoran Wei, Huan Lin, Jian Yang, Jianhong Tu, Jianwei Zhang, Jianxin Yang, Jiayi Yang, Jingren Zhou, Junyang Lin, Kai Dang, Keming Lu, Keqin Bao, Kexin Yang, Le Yu, Mei Li, Mingfeng Xue, Pei Zhang, Qin Zhu, Rui Men, Runji Lin, Tianhao Li, Tianyi Tang, Tingyu Xia, Xingzhang Ren, Xuancheng Ren, Yang Fan, Yang Su, Yichang Zhang, Yu Wan, Yuqiong Liu, Zeyu Cui, Zhenru Zhang, and Zihan Qiu. Qwen2.5 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.15115*, 2025. 2
- [28] Haobo Yuan, Xiangtai Li, Lu Qi, Tao Zhang, Ming-Hsuan Yang, Shuicheng Yan, and Chen Change Loy. Mamba or rkv: Exploring high-quality and high-efficiency segment anything model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.19369*, 2024. 1
- [29] Haobo Yuan, Xiangtai Li, Tao Zhang, Zilong Huang, Shilin Xu, Shunping Ji, Yunhai Tong, Lu Qi, Jiashi Feng, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Sa2va: Marrying sam2 with llava for dense grounded understanding of images and videos. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.04001*, 2025. 1, 2, 3, 4
- [30] Shaojie Zhang, Jiahui Yang, Jianqin Yin, Zhenbo Luo, and Jian Luan. Q-frame: Query-aware frame selection and multi-resolution adaptation for video-llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.22139*, 2025. 3
- [31] Tao Zhang, Xingye Tian, Haoran Wei, Yu Wu, Shunping Ji, Xuebo Wang, Xin Tao, Yuan Zhang, and Pengfei Wan. 1st place solution for pvuw challenge 2023: Video panoptic segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.04091*, 2023. 1
- [32] Tao Zhang, Xingye Tian, Yu Wu, Shunping Ji, Xuebo Wang, Yuan Zhang, and Pengfei Wan. DVIS: Decoupled video instance segmentation framework. In *ICCV*, 2023.
- [33] Tao Zhang, Xingye Tian, Yikang Zhou, Yu Wu, Shunping Ji, Cilin Yan, Xuebo Wang, Xin Tao, Yuan Zhang, and Pengfei Wan. 1st place solution for the 5th lsvos challenge: video instance segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.14392*, 2023. 1
- [34] Tao Zhang, Xiangtai Li, Hao Fei, Haobo Yuan, Shengqiong Wu, Shunping Ji, Chen Change Loy, and Shuicheng Yan. Omg-llava: Bridging image-level, object-level, pixel-level reasoning and understanding. In *NeurIPS*, 2024. 1
- [35] Tao Zhang, Xingye Tian, Yikang Zhou, Shunping Ji, Xuebo Wang, Xin Tao, Yuan Zhang, Pengfei Wan, Zhongyuan Wang, and Yu Wu. Dvis++: Improved decoupled framework for universal video segmentation. *IEEE TPAMI*, 2025. 1
- [36] Yikang Zhou, Tao Zhang, Shunping Ji, Shuicheng Yan, and Xiangtai Li. Dvis-daq: Improving video segmentation via dynamic anchor queries. In *ECCV*, 2024. 1
- [37] Yikang Zhou, Tao Zhang, Shilin Xu, Shihao Chen, Qianyu Zhou, Yunhai Tong, Shunping Ji, Jiangning Zhang, Xiangtai Li, and Lu Qi. Are they the same? exploring visual correspondence shortcomings of multimodal llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.04670*, 2025. 1
- [38] Jinguo Zhu, Weiyun Wang, Zhe Chen, Zhaoyang Liu, Shenglong Ye, Lixin Gu, Hao Tian, Yuchen Duan, Weijie Su, Jie Shao, Zhangwei Gao, Erfei Cui, Xuehui Wang, Yue Cao, Yangzhou Liu, Xingguang Wei, Hongjie Zhang, Haomin Wang, Weiye Xu, Hao Li, Jiahao Wang, Nianchen Deng, Songze Li, Yanan He, Tan Jiang, Jiapeng Luo, Yi Wang, Conghui He, Botian Shi, Xingcheng Zhang, Wenqi Shao, Junjun He, Yingdong Xiong, Wenwen Qu, Peng Sun, Penglong Jiao, Han Lv, Lijun Wu, Kaipeng Zhang, Huipeng Deng, Jiaye Ge, Kai Chen, Limin Wang, Min Dou, Lewei Lu, Xizhou Zhu, Tong Lu, Dahua Lin, Yu Qiao, Jifeng Dai, and Wenhao Wang. Internvl3: Exploring advanced training and test-time recipes for open-source multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.10479*, 2025. 1