

YAC: Bridging Natural Language and Interactive Visual Exploration with Generative AI for Biomedical Data Discovery

DEVIN LANGE, Harvard Medical School, USA
 SHANGHUA GAO, Harvard Medical School, USA
 PENGWEI SUI, Harvard Medical School, USA
 AUSTEN MONEY, Harvard Medical School, USA
 PRIYA MISNER, Harvard Medical School, USA
 MARINKA ZITNIK, Harvard Medical School, USA
 NILS GEHLENBORG, Harvard Medical School, USA




Fig. 1. The YAC discovery interface contains two general sections. (a) The chat interface displays user queries and responses from the agent. (b) The linked interactive generated visualizations are displayed in the visualization dashboard. (c) Visualizations can be modified from adjustment widgets shown in the chat interface. (d) Filters can be created from natural language prompts and adjusted with widgets. (e) Selections in visualizations will show adjustment filters in the chat that are linked to the visualization brush (i). (g) The total number of records in the current filter for each entity is shown in the status bar. (h) The current applied filters are shown in the filter bar. (f) The current selection can be downloaded with the download button.

Incorporating natural language input has the potential to improve the capabilities of biomedical data discovery interfaces. However, user interface elements and visualizations are still powerful tools for interacting with data, even in the new world of generative AI. In our prototype system, YAC, Yet Another Chatbot, we bridge the gap between natural language and interactive visualizations by generating structured declarative output with a multi-agent system and interpreting that output to render linked interactive visualizations and apply data filters. Furthermore, we include widgets, which allow users to adjust the values of that structured output

Authors' Contact Information: Devin Lange, devin@hms.harvard.edu, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; Shanghua Gao, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; Pengwei Sui, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; Austen Money, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; Priya Misner, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; Marinka Zitnik, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; Nils Gehlenborg, nils@hms.harvard.edu, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

through user interface elements. We reflect on the capabilities and design of this system with an analysis of its technical dimensions and illustrate the capabilities through four usage scenarios.

CCS Concepts: • **Human-centered computing** → **Natural language interfaces**; *Graphical user interfaces*; **Information visualization**; *Visualization toolkits*.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Natural Language Interface, Biomedical Data Discovery, Interactive Visualization, Generative Artificial Intelligence

1 Introduction

Biomedical data discovery is the process by which researchers identify relevant datasets for their scientific inquiry. A common target of this search is biomedical data repositories, which are collections of datasets derived from biological or clinical samples. Researchers typically do not want to analyze all of the datasets, but instead want to identify a smaller subset of these datasets for scientific inquiry. This process can involve filtering based on metadata attributes of each dataset. Common attributes include the technologies and tools used to derive and process the data from the biological sample, the source organ of the sample, and information about the organism or donor, including demographic, clinical, and genetic information. Considerable effort has been devoted to building, deploying, and maintaining sophisticated interfaces for navigating biomedical data portals [7, 35, 42]. However, it is challenging to design an interface that meets the needs of all users who want to consume the data, which can include data scientists, clinicians, and even patients. Even after designers and developers have done their due diligence in identifying user needs, they often find additional requests for specific combinations of visualizations or interactions between them.

One approach in developing and maintaining software is to satisfy the most common use cases very well and not worry about the few edge cases that are not possible with the software. This approach aligns well with van Wijk’s proposed model for the value of visualization [44], where more users interacting with visualizations for long periods of time is more valuable. However, in the setting of biomedical research, a key finding that could lead to a cure for cancer may lie in one of these edge cases. Finding a way to support the wide diversity of edge cases is critically important and cannot simply be ignored.

This problem touches on a fundamental challenge in designing user interfaces — there is a tension between the complexity of the interface and its ease of use. In other words, adding additional features would increase the number of use cases that can technically be accomplished, but also typically increases the difficulty of utilizing those features, sometimes prohibitively so. What we need is an interface that more flexibly adapts to the users’ needs without increasing the burden of interacting with those features.

Natural language interfaces show promise in meeting this need. Users can often express what they want to accomplish in a data discovery environment, even if they are unsure of the correct sequence of actions required within an interface. An interface that can interpret these natural language requests and transform the interface for the user has potential. With the recent advances in large language models, the visualization community is seeing a renaissance of integration of LLMs with visualization systems [8, 20, 23, 25, 26, 29, 34, 40, 41, 43, 46, 52, 54]. These systems have explored various ways in which LLMs can enhance visualization systems. However, none of them have explored the problem of biomedical data discovery.

We developed YAC, Yet Another Chatbot, that integrates a large language model with a visualization interface. However, several features make our interface unique. (1) It interacts with an LLM that has been explicitly fine-tuned for generating interactive biomedical metadata visualizations. (2) It progressively builds multi-view visualizations. (3) These visualizations are automatically linked

through a brushing and linking pattern. (4). Our system can generate visualizations across multiple related data tables and link filters across those entities. (5). We bridge natural language interactions with traditional user interfaces for filtering and visualization generation through adjustment widgets. Although some of these ideas have been explored in other systems, our prototype is the first interface to integrate all of these for flexible biomedical data discovery.

2 Related Work

2.1 Natural Language Interfaces

The promise of natural language interfaces is the ability to capitalize on the expressivity and flexibility of natural language to interact with computers. For interfaces that include visualizations, this extends the goal to interacting with data through natural language and visualizations. Eviza [38] and DataTone [12] are two seminal works that set the stage for an outbreak of NLI research [40] with many interesting directions. For instance, resolving ambiguity in natural language queries is an important consideration. Both Eviza and DataTone include ambiguity widgets that enable users to adjust the system's initial response. Setlur and Kumar further explored this challenge by using word co-occurrence and sentiment analysis to map vague requests to specific data modifications and presenting those to users in a way that can be modified [39]. More recently, DynaVis enables users to modify visualizations with natural language requests, while also dynamically generating UI widgets for further adjusting the changes [43]. While DynaVis is designed for visualization authoring, we take a similar approach to resolve ambiguous requests for filtering data during a biomedical data discovery session, thereby resolving ambiguity in users' prompts and rectifying mistakes made by the LLM.

Visualization authoring is a common goal when designing NLI systems [29, 41, 43, 48]. There is overlap between data analysis systems and visualization authoring systems; however, our focus is on designing a system to support the specific task of data discovery. The visualizations are a means to an end, not the final product to be created and shared with others. Alternatively, the general task of interpreting a natural language prompt and generating a visualization is a critical component of our system. Cui et al. generate infographics from natural language queries about proportions [4]. This work focuses on clear and visually appealing displays of relatively simple information, whereas our application needs to generate many connected interactive visualizations. More similar to our use case is NL4DV, which generates Vega-Lite specifications given a dataset and query [32]. We use our own fine-tuned LLM to generate visualization specifications for our grammar. Our fine-tuned LLM has been trained to select from multiple data entries or combine tables when generating the visualization specification. Luo et al. contribute ncNet, a transformer-based model for generating visualization specifications from natural language [28].

In addition to generating initial visualizations with natural language queries, NLIs can be designed to support longer interactive sessions that include follow-up queries or modifications of the current application state. Eviza [38] supported such interactive conversations with data, and Evizeon [15] went further by applying language pragmatics to characterize the flow of visual analytical conversations. FlowSense [53] integrated natural language queries into an interface for constructing dataflow diagrams. Finally, FlowNL [17] enables users to update parameters in a fluid flow visualization using natural language. While these systems share similarities with our prototype, our primary goal is to facilitate data discovery by progressively building a linked, interactive visualization dashboard that can be filtered using both natural language and traditional user interface interactions.

2.2 Artificial Intelligence for Visualizations

Artificial intelligence (AI) has been used more broadly than just natural language interfaces [51]. For instance, Show Me [30] and Voyager [50] produce visualization recommendations given an input dataset using rule-based algorithms, and Data2Vis [9] accomplishes the same thing with a neural network. However, these do not attempt to capture the users' tasks when exploring the data. GenoREC takes this a step further and incorporates user tasks into the genomics visualization recommendation engine [33].

Recent advances in large language models have significantly altered the landscape of HCI Research [34]. There have been many visualization systems that incorporate large language models in just the last few years [2, 8, 20, 23, 25, 26, 29, 41, 43, 45, 46, 49, 52, 54] and research that studies LLMs [3, 5, 47]. The system that shares the most in common with ours is InterChat [2], which allows users to iteratively update a visualization with linked interactions between the visualization and the chat interface. However, there are a few key differences between our prototype and InterChat. InterChat only displays and updates a single visualization, whereas ours displays multiple visualizations simultaneously. Additionally, we support linked filtering across multiple views through several modalities, interactions with just the visualization, natural language requests, and generated filter widgets. Alternatively, InterChat requires a selection within the visualization and a subsequent natural language request to update the visualization. For biomedical data discovery, the ability to quickly and precisely filter the data is an essential task and thus prioritized in our design.

Visualizations with LLMs integrated have been developed for domain-specific tasks before. For instance, KNOWNET [52] supports health information seeking, Tailor-Mind [10] facilitates self-regulated learning, and several tools have been developed for sports analysis [23, 26, 54]. However, there have not been any visualization systems that integrate large language models for the task of biomedical data discovery.

Building domain-specific applications sometimes requires fine-tuning large language models [10, 11]. Fortunately, work has been done to create and publish datasets to facilitate fine-tuning. For instance, nvBench [27] is a dataset of natural language queries to visualizations. Similarly, Ko et al. provide a framework to generate similar datasets [18]. We utilize DQVis [22], a dataset of natural language queries and visualization specifications about biomedical repository metadata to fine-tune our model.

3 Dataset Description

YAC is designed to operate on a single data package at a time. We use the existing Frictionless Data Package standard¹ which provides a structured way to define a data package as multiple entities (tables), their data fields (columns), and the relationships between entities. This package can also include additional information, such as free-text descriptions of entities and fields, the field types (e.g., quantitative, ordinal, nominal), and the range of values a field can have.

One advantage of using this existing standard is that YAC supports existing biomedical data portals from The Common Fund Data Ecosystem (CFDE²), a major program of the United States National Institutes of Health (NIH) to build infrastructure for data-driven biomedical research). CFDE publishes the metadata for those portals in the Crosscut Metadata Model (C2M2) [1] format – an extension of the Frictionless Data Package standard. An important distinction with these data packages is that they represent the metadata of the repositories. These tables often include metadata about the organism (e.g., age, sex), the biological sample (e.g., what organ was the sample collected from), and the dataset (e.g., what technique was used to derive the dataset from the sample). Each

¹<https://datapackage.org/standard/data-package/>

²<https://commonfund.nih.gov/dataecosystem>

row of the dataset metadata file will then contain a field (either an ID or a URL) that directs to the related dataset file (e.g., BigWig, BAM/SAM). While a clear boundary between metadata and data is often hard to define, in our work all information loaded into database tables is considered metadata, while information that is stored in files on a file system is considered data. Although YAC can load any set of tables defined by a data package, we made several design choices in the system with this metadata model in mind. For instance, the download button is prominently located since it is an essential part of the data discovery workflow.

In this paper, we demonstrate the capabilities of YAC on four different datasets. Three datasets are from biomedical data portals of consortia funded by the United States National Institutes of Health (NIH) that are part of the Common Fund Data Ecosystem (CFDE). **HuBMAP**, from the data portal of the NIH Human BioMolecular Atlas Program [42], contains 320 data fields across three entities, with the largest file containing 4,515 rows. **SenNet**, from the data portal of the NIH Cellular Senescence Network [24], contains 35 data fields across six entities, and the largest file has 2,740 rows. Finally, **4DN**, from the NIH 4D Nucleome Data Portal [7, 35], contains 101 fields, 20 entities, with a maximum file size of 50,570 rows. All of these datasets are included in the training data that we fine-tuned our model with. To illustrate the generalizability of YAC, we also include a dataset outside of our training data. The commonly used **Penguins** dataset [13, 16] contains a single data table, nine data fields, and 344 rows.

4 Design Requirements

The primary task we are trying to support with our application is biomedical data discovery. The high-level goal is to find a collection of datasets from within a larger set that meet a set of criteria (both fuzzy and crisp). To guide our development, we created several design requirements.

Filter-Q In some cases, it is necessary to filter the data based on the values of a *quantitative* field.

For instance, only include donors of a specific age. Multiple filters should build on each other. E.g., only donors of a specific age and with a height of over 6 feet.

Filter-C Similarly, filtering the data based on *categorical* values is essential. For instance, only include biological samples that come from a specific organ.

Filter-ER Since the goal is to create a list of datasets, the filtering must be applicable across *entity relationships*. For instance, filtering donors in a specific age range should also filter biological samples from those donors and datasets derived from those samples.

Characterize After performing a filtering operation, users must be able to characterize the resulting subset of the data. This step is essential for understanding the result of the filter and for reasoning about whether the resulting subset is acceptable.

Refine The process of filtering data and characterizing the result is iterative. Supporting a fast cycle of filtering and viewing the data is essential for efficient refinement of the dataset collection.

Complement Actions to update the application state can occur through the user interfaces or natural language. The action must be possible in the mode where it can be most effectively made so the strengths of each mode can complement the other. Actions that map well to both input modes should be supported in both.

Disclose Actions that the LLM performs, such as filtering, should not be hidden from the user. It should be clear when and how the application state is updated by transparently communicating it in the interface.

Adjust The user should be able to adjust the actions that the LLM has taken, either to resolve ambiguity in the user’s query, correct a mistake the LLM has made, or adjust the selection quickly after new information leads to an updated understanding.

5 YAC System Design

YAC is a system that integrates a chat-based interface (Figure 1a) with a multi-view visualization (Figure 1b). The chat interface allows users to enter natural language queries, which are interpreted by a **multi-agent LLM system** (Section 5.1). This system generates structured responses for visualization and filters that update the application state. Visualizations are generated with a **fine-tuned model** (Section 5.3) and result in a specification for our **visualization grammar** (Section 5.2) which are rendered in the dashboard. We include **adjustment widgets** (Section 5.4) to provide a means for users to modify the output of the large language model. These widgets can tweak visualization parameters and data filters. When users make selections visualizations the linked adjustment widgets are rendered in the chat interface. Brushing visualizations and changing filter widgets will update all **linked visualizations** (Section 5.5). The current filters and total records for each entity are summarized in the dashboard toolbar. Finally, the current selection of data can be downloaded with the download button.

5.1 Multi-Agent Response Generation

When a user types a message into the chat interface, it gets processed with a multi-agent system composed of three different agents (see Figure 2b). The **orchestrator** first determines if the user request necessitates filtering the existing data, creating a visualization, or both. Then, additional agents are called. The **filter** agent will apply new filters to reduce the current dataset, and the **visualization** agent will produce a new visualization to be added to the dashboard. The orchestrator and filter agents both make API calls to OpenAI’s GPT-4.1 and utilize structured outputs to ensure that the responses are valid. The filter agent can produce interval (**Filter-Q**) and point filters (**Filter-C**). Both types of filters require an entity and a field to operate on, and a range of valid values. *Interval* filters define this range with a minimum and maximum value. Alternatively, *point* filters define a list of valid categorical values. To aid the filter agent, we include the list of entities




Fig. 2. When a user submits a query (a), it is sent to a multi-agent system (b). This system consists of an orchestrator (d), which will then forward the message to a filter agent (e), a visualization agent (f), or both. These will create structured output that will be interpreted and displayed in the system (c).

and fields, and for each field, we include the range of values in the dataset. We exclude categorical fields with many unique values (e.g., ID columns) to avoid exceeding the context window in our request. The visualization agent uses a fine-tuned model. It generates visualization specifications for a grammar that we defined (**Characterize**).

5.2 Visualization Grammar

We designed a grammar for biomedical metadata visualizations to use in YAC. This abstraction layer provides additional control over the functionality between the generation of specifications with our fine-tuned LLM and the interpretation of the grammar in the interface.

We take a grammar of graphics approach to our design, which includes three high-level pieces. The `source` (Figure 3a) defines one or more tabular data files. Then data transformations can be applied in the `transformation` (Figure 3b) block. Finally, a `representation` (Figure 3c) maps visual encodings to data fields. Our grammar is most similar to Vega-Lite [36], and our visualization toolkit renders some specifications by creating Vega-Lite specifications and using the Vega [37] view for more advanced operations.

There are two distinctions between our grammar and Vega-Lite that are important for YAC. First, our grammar supports tabular representations of data, where each row in the table corresponds to a single row in the input data. This is different from a grid representation like a heatmap, where each mark corresponds to a different row in the data, with x and y encoding two fields from that row. Tabular representations are ubiquitous in biomedical data portal interfaces, and thus, we decided to include them in our grammar. Our tabular view also serves as a default representation. Consequently, the only required component for our grammar is the `source`. Figure 3a–b shows what our visualization toolkit would render with our tabular representation.




Fig. 3. Structure of the visualization grammar. (a) A specification contains at least a data source section, which will be rendered as a table, and can be further transformed with (b) one or more optional data transformations, and visually encoded by providing an (c) optional representation section that maps data fields to visual variables.

The second important feature of our grammar is how it handles linked filtering of data (Figure 5). We support filtering across multiple visualization specifications from different data sources (**Filter-Q**, **Filter-C**, **Filter-ER**). The grammar separates the logic between selections (what data can be selected in a visualization) and filtering on those selections. There are two types of selections, points and intervals (Figure 5a and Figure 5b). Point selections define a list of valid values for a data field and interval selections define a numerical range on quantitative data fields. Filters can also be applied across different entities as long as there is a mapping defined at the selection level (Figure 5d) Filtering based on these selections occurs in the data transformation section by referencing the filter name. If the selection entity and filter entity differ, the filter must specify the entity relationship. By default, the filtering logic across entities requires that at least one related record be included in the current filter, but this can be switched in the specification to require all associated records to be included.

5.3 Fine-Tuning

To enable the LLM to become an agentic AI model that can generate visualization specifications, we use supervised fine-tuning (SFT) to teach structured tool use. We train on reasoning-and-action traces in which the model decides whether to call a visualization tool by emitting a JSON payload with a function name and arguments, integrates the tool’s output, and then produces the final answer. In SFT, the loss covers both natural-language and tool-call tokens but excludes tool-result tokens to reduce overfitting. The training dataset includes collections of natural language queries with visualization tool calls that come from high-quality synthetic rollouts [22].

5.4 Adjustment Widgets

Adjustment widgets are user interface components that both describe (**Disclose**) the action taken by the multi-agent system, and support adjustment (**Adjust**) of those actions. This applies to both visualizations and filters.

5.4.1 Visualization. The visualization adjustment widgets enable users to modify the data fields represented in the visualization. The data fields are identified by checking every field value in the representation layer of the specification. For fields that exist within the source data – as opposed to fields derived in the data transformation layer – a dropdown is introduced in the widget. This dropdown lets the user swap out a different field of the same data type.

This approach is distinct from a fully fledged visualization editor. Arbitrary modification of the visualization is not possible from this widget – that is not the goal. This widget is designed to support quick and easy adjustments that are often desired.




Fig. 4. YAC includes several types of adjustment widgets. For example: point filters (a) and interval filters (b) generated from the agent, (c) interval filters from a user selection made from a corresponding visualization (d).

5.4.2 Filter. The filter widgets allow users to adjust filters that the multi-agent system has generated (Figure 4a–b). The user can change the target entity and field, and adjust the range of values for the filter. This ability is essential for multiple reasons. First, these serve as a fallback guardrail in scenarios where the multi-agent makes mistakes. Second, users may ask an inherently ambiguous question. Our multi-agent system cannot determine what ‘old donors’ means for each user. But it can make a reasonable selection and allow the user to make a quick adjustment (**Adjust**). Finally, the user may not yet know what the best filter selection is. After making an initial filter and viewing the updated visualizations, they may want to adjust the filter (**Characterize, Refine**). The ability to quickly alter the selection from the user interface – performing hundreds of iterations of data characterization and filter refinement within seconds – is one way that YAC leverages the strengths of user interfaces instead of requiring all interactions to funnel through a chat interface that would require repeated text requests to update filter range values (**Complement**).

To reinforce the connection between agent actions and user actions, we also generate the same filter widgets when the user makes a filter by brushing a visualization (Figure 4c–d). This results in a mirrored interaction between the visualization brush and the corresponding filter widget in the chat interface – modifying either will update both.

5.5 Linked Interactive Filtering

Data filters can be created from natural language queries and brushing visualizations. Existing filters, regardless of the source, can be adjusted from filter widgets. These filters are applied to all visualizations of the same entity and related entities. Multiple filters are combined, resulting in the intersection of records that meet all criteria.

YAC supports this functionality with a rule-based system on top of the generated structured output. It is helpful to separate the selection and filtering logic. Figure 5 provides an overview of how selection and filtering are accomplished with our visualization grammar. This section describes how we use those features to create the desired functionality in the overall system.


Selection. We add the ability to brush every visualization by updating the specification. First, the system decides what type of selection to support with a rule-based system. This system inspects how fields are encoded in the specification. We only consider fields that also exist in the source data. If any quantitative fields are encoded with x or y positions, YAC includes a 1D interval brush on either the x-axis, y-axis, or a 2D interval brush (**Filter-Q**). If there are no valid options, it checks for categorical fields that are encoded with x position, y position, or color (**Filter-C**). The point selection will then target the combination of all these fields.

Filtering. Filtering data occurs automatically as the user brushes visualizations and updates filter widgets. This is accomplished by injecting named filters into every visualization widget. Each named filter corresponds to a single selection, either originating from a visualization or a filter widget. These named filters only need to be updated when a new visualization or filter is created, not when the values of those filters are updated. In that case, the grammar toolkit handles updates to the filter range and rerenders with new data. Named filters can also be defined outside of a visualization, which is the case for filter widgets, and then passed into the visualization grammar component. To accomplish a mirrored filter between a visualization brush and a filter component, we use the same key in both the internal and external selections.

When specifying a filter in the visualization component, we also must specify the column identification correspondence in the case where the selection is applied to a different entity than the current visualization. YAC can derive entity relationships from the foreign keys defined in the data package.

Data Source

Each chart can draw from a different data source



(a) Point Selection

```

1 {
2   "source": {
3     "name": "samples",
4     "source": "../data/example_samples.csv"
5   },
6   "transformation": {
7     "groupby": ["organ_condition"],
8     "rollup": {
9       "count": {
10        "op": "count"
11      }
12    }
13  },
14  "representation": {
15    "mark": "bar",
16    "mapping": [
17      {
18        "encoding": "y",
19        "field": "organ_condition",
20        "type": "nominal"
21      },
22      {
23        "encoding": "x",
24        "field": "count",
25        "type": "quantitative"
26      }
27    ]
28  },
29  "select": {
30    "name": "organ_condition-filter",
31    "fields": "organ_condition",
32    "how": {
33      "type": "point"
34    }
35  }
36 }
37 )
    
```



Filtering Elements

User select a subset of data

All Data from samples.csv

Data Filtered by Point Selection

(b) Interval Selection

```

1 {
2   "source": {
3     "name": "donors",
4     "source": "../data/example_donors.csv"
5   },
6   "representation": {
7     "mark": "point",
8     "mapping": [
9       {
10        "encoding": "x",
11        "field": "age",
12        "type": "quantitative"
13      }
14    ]
15  },
16  "select": {
17    "name": "age-filter",
18    "how": {
19      "type": "interval",
20      "on": "x"
21    }
22  }
23 }
    
```



Data Filtered by Point and Interval Selection

Filtered Elements

Records that match user defined filters

| id | donor_id | organ | organ_co... | weight | sample_category |
|------|----------|--------|-------------|--------|-----------------|
| S001 | D001 | Heart | Healthy | 300 | block |
| S003 | D002 | Kidney | Healthy | 250 | section |
| S004 | D002 | Lung | Healthy | 400 | block |
| S015 | D008 | Heart | Healthy | 305 | section |
| S016 | D008 | Spleen | Healthy | 150 | block |
| S017 | D001 | Heart | Healthy | 300 | section |

```

1 {
2   "source": {
3     "name": "samples",
4     "source": "../data/example_samples.csv"
5   },
6   "transformation": [
7     {
8       "filter": {
9         "name": "organ_condition-filter"
10      }
11    },
12    {
13      "filter": {
14        "name": "age-filter",
15        "entityRelationship": {
16          "originKey": "id",
17          "targetKey": "donor_id"
18        },
19        "source": "donors"
20      }
21    }
22  ]
23 }
    
```

(c) Filtering Same Entities

(d) Filtering Related Entities

Fig. 5. The visualization grammar supports linking across specifications and entities. (a) Point selections allow the user to select individual marks. (b) Interval selections let the user select a range of values along one or two axes. Those selections can define interactive filters for the same (c) or different (d) entities.

5.6 Technical Details

YAC is free open-source software implemented as a web application that utilizes Vue and Quasar for rendering front-end components, as well as our visualization toolkit for creating visualizations. We use vLLM to run the inference of our fine-tuned model, which is exposed through a simple Python API. We run inference on the fine-tuned model using a university server, which is suitable for internal development but not available for broader deployment.

6 Usage Scenarios

We illustrate the capabilities of YAC with four usage scenarios of a user-driven data discovery process. The usage scenarios cover different user personas and datasets of varying complexity, including metadata from the data portals of three consortia funded by the United States National Institutes of Health (NIH), introduced in Section 3.

6.1 Palmer Penguins

Our fine-tuned model was trained on data from biomedical data portals. However, the model and YAC generalize to other tabular datasets. We illustrate that with a usage scenario on the Penguins dataset [13, 16].

In this scenario, the researcher wants to conduct a follow-up study on some of the penguins. They are looking for a set of penguins that have an equal number of males and females, have approximately similar bill sizes without outliers, are of a smaller size, and are easily accessible.

They start by requesting `Can you show me a table of all the penguin metadata?` and receive a tabular view of all penguins and metadata. Then they ask `How many are there for each sex?` which reveals a bar chart showing an equal number of male and female penguins. The researcher then requests `Can you show me CDF of body mass?`, and selects a lower range of values in the chart. This adds a filter widget to the conversation and updates the bar chart, which reveals that there are roughly half as many male penguins as female penguins with a body mass below 4000 Grams (Figure 6a).

They remove the filter and request `Can you split that cdf by species?` The new visualization shows that two penguin species (Chinstrap and Adelie) have a similar body mass distribution, while the third species (Gentoo) is larger. Since the user wants to investigate smaller penguins, they ask YAC `Can you remove Gentoo?` This results in a filter widget being added to the conversation that filters out penguins with a species of *Gentoo*.

After the user requests `Can you show the distribution of bill length and depth?`, they can see in the new scatterplot that there appear to be two clusters of bill sizes (Figure 6b). They are curious if this is due to the penguin species, so they ask YAC to `Color that by species.` The new scatterplot indicates that each cluster belongs to a different species.

The researcher has decided they will limit the species to either *Adalie* or *Chinstrap*, but have not decided which one. They decide to ask `How many penguins are on each island, for each species?` This introduces a new bar chart that reveals that *Adalie* penguins are on three different islands, but *Chinstrap* is only found on one (Figure 6c).

They like the freedom of having multiple islands to select from, but want to make sure the island does not have a confounding effect on bill size. At this point in the analysis, the chat interface contains several widgets for updating the visualizations. Instead of requesting a new visualization, they swap the data field from *species* to *island* in the scatterplot and CDF visualizations. This reveals a similar distribution across all three islands. Finally, they make a selection in the scatterplot to only include penguins with a bill size closer to the mean. As they make the selection, they watch




Fig. 6. Four snapshots from the Palmer Penguins usage scenario. (a) User has selected penguins with a smaller body mass in the CDF plot, resulting in an adjustment widget in the interface. (b) A request for the distribution of bill length and depth reveals two clusters in the new scatterplot. (c) Asking about the source island reveals that Adalie penguins are on three islands, but Chinstrap penguins are just on one. (d) After modifying visualizations from the adjustment widget, a selection is made in the scatterplot, creating a synced adjustment widget in the chat interface.

the bar chart of penguin sex to ensure it stays balanced (Figure 6d). Now that they have identified a subset of penguins that match their criteria, they download the metadata.

6.2 NIH 4D Nucleome Data Portal

In this scenario, a software engineer is trying to understand what data files are contributing the most to the storage requirements of the 4D Nucleome (4DN) Data Portal (<https://data.4dnucleome.org>). They begin by asking YAC to `break down number of files by mime type`. The resulting bar chart reveals that `application/gzip` files contribute the most distinct files with `application/octet-stream` a distant second (Figure 7a). Next, they ask `for each mime type what is the average file size?` In this bar chart, they can see that each individual `application/octet-stream` file is much larger on average (Figure 7b). At this point, they ask `What is the total contribution in file size for each mime type` and can see that these two mime types are fairly equal in their contribution and together account for nearly all of the storage requirements (Figure 7c). Next, they are curious what individual files are the most significant contributors, so they request `Filter to the largest file`. YAC applies a filter on the `file_size_in_bytes` field to the maximum, resulting in a single file to remain in the selection. The software engineer then adjusts the slider to include smaller files and learns that the 15 largest files out of all 50,278 account for about ten percent of total storage requirements (Figure 7d), and the 183 largest files account for about half of the storage requirements.




Fig. 7. Four snapshots from the NIH 4D Nucleome Data Portal usage scenario. (a) The user requests the **number** of files for each mime type, (b) the **average** file size, and (c) the **total** storage required for each file type. (d) Introduces a filter that shows the 15 largest files contribute about 8TB of storage.

6.3 NIH Cellular Senescence Network Data Portal

In this scenario, a project director of the funding agency is trying to determine if the demographics of subjects are well balanced in the NIH Cellular Senescence Network (SenNet) Data Portal³. They begin the analysis by requesting `display subjects`. Which results in the `subject` table being displayed in the dashboard. In the table, they notice that many subjects do not have a value recorded for the sex field, so they ask YAC to `only show if they have sex recorded`. The agent then filters the data to exclude rows with a `null` sex value and represents that with a filter widget (Figure 8a). Next, they ask `how many subjects are there for each sex?` and can see in the resulting bar chart that there are nearly twice as many female subjects as male (Figure 8b). The director is curious if the imbalance is consistent for all projects, so they tell YAC to `show that for every project`. The resulting visualization shows a stacked bar chart of the proportions of subject sex for the different universities associated with the project (Figure 8c). They can see that `Buck Institute` has the highest portion of female subjects and wonder how much they are contributing to the overall distribution, so they ask `How many subjects come from each project?`. They can see in the resulting bar chart that more subjects come from `Buck Institute` than any other (Figure 8d). They select this institute and download the metadata to find the contact information for the project leader.

6.4 NIH Human BioMolecular Atlas Program Data Portal

In this scenario, the user is a computational biologist researcher investigating the data available in the NIH Human BioMolecular Atlas Program (HuBMAP) Data Portal⁴. They start the analysis

³<https://data.sennetconsortium.org>

⁴<https://portal.hubmapconsortium.org>




Fig. 8. Four snapshots from the NIH Cellular Senescence Network Data Portal usage scenario. (a) The user's simple requests to `display subjects` and hide records without a recorded sex. (b) Asking `how many subjects are there for each sex?` reveals an imbalance. (c) A deictic expression `show that for every project` results in a new bar chart showing donor sex proportions for every project. (d) The final query `How many subjects come from each project` produces a bar chart indicating that the most imbalanced project has also contributed the most.

session by requesting that YAC `Show me a table of donor data.` Next, the user requests the system `Filter to adults.` The system then filters the data to donors with an age between 18 and 90. The lower bound of 18 is selected as the most common legal definition of an adult. The upper bound of 90 is chosen because that is the maximum value in this dataset, which was provided as context to the filter agent. However, in this scenario, the user actually wanted donors above the legal drinking age in the US. They use the filter component to adjust the age of donors between 21 and 90 years old. Next, they are interested in donors who died due to violent injuries, so they request `Only include donors who died from violent events.` The multi-agent system filters donors to those with a death event of `Suicide`, `Accident`, `Motor vehicle accident`, and `Homicide`, and presents that with a point filter widget. YAC shows that this includes 13 donors with 99 biological samples and 225 datasets in the status bar (Figure 9a). To understand more about the datasets' metadata, they ask `How many datasets are there for each organ source?`, which results in a bar chart. Next, the user asks to `Filter to assays that target DNA or RNA.` In this case, the agent filters data based on the `analyte_class` field with values `DNA` and `RNA` (Figure 9b). In the filter widget, the user sees another option, `DNA + RNA`, and adjusts the filter to include it. Next, they want to see how many datasets there are for different assays that target DNA and RNA, so they request `Can you make a barchart of the specific assay types?` (Figure 9c). As a final step, they select the left and right `Kidney` and `SNARE-seq2` assay from the visualization dashboard, resulting in the final 54 datasets they want to investigate (Figure 9d).




Fig. 9. Four snapshots from the NIH Human BioMolecular Atlas Program Data Portal usage scenario. (a) The user has requested the system `Filter to adults.` which they adjusted the range with the slider, and to `Only include donors who died from violent events.` which resulted in a point selection adjustment widget. (b) A request to filter on assay target is partially correct; two out of three relevant fields are selected. (c) The user corrects the filter and requests a new bar chart of assay types. (d) Selections from the two bar charts add new filters to create the final dataset.

7 Reflection

We performed an analysis on the different technical dimensions of YAC with TDoPS [19]. Although TDoPS was initially designed for programming systems, it has been applied to research projects that produce a software artifact that people can interact with, even if the interaction is through a user interface instead of through a programming language [6, 31]. We reuse McNutt et al.’s descriptions for dimensions [31]. These dimensions provide a structured way to carefully consider the design choices we made and reflect on how our observations can be generalized to other systems.

7.1 Interaction

Which loops in the system are overlapping and how far apart are the corresponding gulfs of evaluation?

There are two high-level categories for how users can interact with YAC. They can type text freely into the chat interface or interact with UI components and visualizations. The natural language interactions have a longer *gulf of evaluation* – it takes more time for the user to type a message and for the system to respond. We use vLLM [21] for fast inference of our fine-tuned model; however, it still takes on the order of seconds for the multi-agent system to respond with a single update to the system. Alternatively, making changes with the adjustment widgets is much faster. Changing the visualization field or filter range results in much quicker updates to the visualizations in the dashboard. We designed these two types of interactions to be complementary. The expressivity of natural language allows for an easier entry point with flexible guidance towards the next step, and the UI components allow faster, more fine-grained course correction as the user learns more about the data.

The two modes of interaction share some overlap, but are still distinct. In the current version of YAC, users can only create visualizations from natural language requests in the chat. Data filters can be created either from the chat or from existing visualizations. Modifications of filters and visualizations can only occur through the user interface interactions. Requests to modify a visualization or filter from the chat will result in a new, modified visualization or filter being added to the current state. Finally, downloading the currently selected data is only possible through the user interface. While building YAC, we faced a design tension on when to consider full parity of the system by supporting functionality through the chatbot and the user interface. On the one hand, it is appealing to offer users multiple ways to accomplish the same task, allowing each user to use their preferred method. On the other hand, this increases the complexity of the user interface and forces the user to choose a path, introducing additional cognitive load. Thus, we decided to take a balanced approach with our design, where we assign the actions to the mode in which we believe they are easiest to accomplish.

7.2 Notation

What notations are present and how do they interrelate?

The two notations of the system mirror the two interaction modalities — free text and UI selections. These two types of notations are connected by the underlying system of YAC. The agents that interpret the free text generate structured output. That structured output is interpreted by the system and presented to the user as a widget or visualization. The user can use these elements to make selections and modify the underlying data structure. In general, we present the same information about the application state to both the user and the system in the modality that is easiest for each person/agent/thingy to understand. The LLMs and YAC system can operate on structured representations of filters and visualizations, and humans can visually see when visualizations and filters are created. The way that these structured representations are presented to the user can vary depending on the context. For instance, visualization specifications are rendered as visualizations in the dashboard. However, those same specifications are rendered as adjustment widgets in the chat interface.

In our current design, natural language serves as the primary notation that drives the creation of the visualization dashboard, and user interface interactions enable refinement and exploration of the current dashboard. One interesting difference between YAC’s user interface interactions and natural language interactions is their stability with respect to input and output. In other words, does similar input result in similar output? The user interface elements have this property. Adjusting the filter slider by a small amount will change its appearance by a small amount and update the data filter by a small amount. However, when it comes to natural language, evaluating this property gets murkier. In some ways, large language models handle minor adjustments to input text well. For instance, sentences with typos can often still be interpreted correctly. However, sometimes small and seemingly insignificant changes to the input can result in a different output. Furthermore, models are typically non-deterministic — the same input will sometimes result in different outputs. In YAC, we minimize this by reducing the temperature setting of our inference to zero.

Filtering actions have a unique aspect to them, which is that they can originate from both natural language requests and user selections in visualizations. Even though the source of the filters diverge, the notations we use to represent them are very similar — both cases will result in a filter widget added to the chat interface. This uniform representation of notation was an intentional design choice to illustrate that the actions the agent takes to filter data have the same effect as the actions users can take to filter data.

7.3 Conceptual Structure

What is the shape of the notations at play and how do they relate to user goals?

The behavior of YAC can be decomposed into primitive actions and the current application state. The application state can be modified through sequences of actions. The actions that YAC currently supports are chart creation, chart adjustment, filter creation, filter modification, and data download. The agent and user progressively take these actions to construct application states unique to the user's particular task. Multiple visualizations are composed to create a linked visualization dashboard. Multiple filters combine to define a more specific subset of data. Once a user has created a combination of filtering logic and verified that it satisfies the goals of the session, they can download the filtered data as the final step.

These actions can be initiated from both free text input and user interface interactions. One advantage of the free text input is that it offers flexibility in chart construction. Users can ask high-level questions or patterns they want to see in the data `Is there a relationship between donor height and weight?`, or request specific chart types `Scatterplot donor height weight`. These higher-level prompts offer convenience to the user; they can keep their prompts simpler and let the agent respond with a reasonable response. However, since free text is so flexible, they can also specify elements of their chart creation in a detailed manner `entity: donors, mark: point, x-position: weight, y-position: height`.

7.4 Customizability

How can programs be modified?

If you consider the current application state of YAC to be the program the user is constructing, then the way that state is built is through sequences of actions: through free text queries and user interface actions. This sequence of actions constructs a custom dashboard that can be further interacted with. The current version of YAC focuses on this initial construction and exploration process. However, since we are storing these action sequences in a structured format, the tool is well-positioned to support further modifications. For instance, the visualization dashboard could be shared as a standalone chat-free interface that users can browse and filter. The whole conversation state could also be shared with a different user, allowing them to continue the conversation from where the first user stopped, or modify the previous actions that user made.

However, there are limits to the customizability of the visualization dashboard. YAC, intentionally, does not expose the full visualization grammar to the user. The adjustment widgets, as the name implies, allow minor adjustments of the visualization. They do not support arbitrarily changing visual encodings or data transformations. The filtering logic in YAC also currently imposes constraints. Multiple filters are assumed to be combined to create an intersection. E.g., find users who are male **and** have an age over 60. However, if you instead want to find all donors who are male **or** have an age over 60. This is not currently possible. This level of internal logic is not exposed to the user. However, we can still expand the capabilities of the underlying system with additional features without fully exposing complex technical details to the user, such as JSON specifications.

7.5 Complexity

How is complexity dealt with through design and automation?

A grand challenge in designing technical systems is to support complex interactions while retaining a simple and intuitive interface. In YAC, we explore the potential of natural language for addressing this challenge. Our current system is capable of constructing linked, multi-view visualizations from multiple different data tables with targeted adjustment widgets – all from a few natural language questions. However, one challenge with this approach that may not be

immediately obvious is that even if something can be expressed as a natural language request, it does not mean that the system is capable of meeting that request. For instance, YAC currently assumes that filters combine with intersection logic. This is an invisible boundary that is not immediately apparent in the interface. The underlying capabilities of YAC can be enhanced to reduce these limitations, but they will still exist in some form. However, the implication is that designing a successful system still requires understanding ways that users will try to use the tool and, consequently, the functionality that the underlying system must support.

7.6 Errors

What are they and how are they handled?

There are three types of errors that we consider in YAC. All the errors relate to the free-text input and structured response of the agent. The first type of error is a syntactic mistake in the output where the structured output is invalid. These errors can be prevented altogether by passing a desired structure (e.g., with a JSON Schema), which guarantees that the LLM will only generate responses that adhere to that schema. The second broad category of errors is syntactically valid outputs that are semantically incorrect. E.g., the agent responded with a visualization specification for a scatterplot, but that scatterplot did not answer the question the user had. In YAC, we aim to minimize these errors by utilizing our fine-tuned model and providing detailed information about the data fields to the multi-agent system. However, as a fallback, we provide the ability for the user to adjust the target data fields in visualizations and filters. The final category involves resolving ambiguity in the user prompts. This category is not an error in the traditional sense, but it fits into this theme because it represents a gap between the generated response and the desired response. For instance, ambiguous terms could be used to request data to be filtered `filter to old donors`. In this scenario, there is no single correct answer. *Old* will mean different things to different users of the system. In this example, an inherently ambiguous term was used, even if the user had a precise number in mind. However, the reverse can also occur. The user requests a precise filter `filter to donors older than 85`, but does not know the actual boundary they want. They need to start somewhere, and once the filter is applied, they can see the effect on their visualization dashboard. They may realize that with this filter applied, they have too few records selected and need to expand it. The adjustment widgets for filters in YAC handle both of these scenarios. The agent can apply an initial filter range, and the user can quickly make adjustments to that without typing and sending a new free-text request.

7.7 Adoptability

What socio-technical (e.g., learnability) dimensions are considered?

One of the primary goals in designing YAC is to create an interface with a lower barrier of entry, in other words, one with a gentler learning curve. This goal motivated our choice to use natural language text as the primary input for YAC. We assume that users of YAC are expert researchers and have an initial question. Thus, they will be able to write that question down. The second strategy to help with the learnability of YAC is to progressively build up the complexity of the interface. When the session begins, there will be no visualizations and no filters applied. As the user asks questions, filters, and visualizations will be progressively added to the interface. This approach introduces user interface complexity — just in time — right when the user needs it, as opposed to traditional interfaces, which display all features upfront.

Several other technical and economic factors also influence the tool’s adoptability. The input and output of the tool are designed to be simple, easy to work with, and utilize existing standards. The input to YAC is a data package that defines the existing entities and their relationships. We use

an existing standard, instead of defining our own standard, to utilize existing tools and datasets. The next consideration is how YAC can be accessed. YAC is an open-source tool developed as a front-end application; thus, if it is deployed, it can be easily accessed by anyone with a personal computer and internet access. However, one important consideration here is the economic cost of the large language models. YAC's multi-agent system currently makes calls to OpenAI's API service, which charges based on token usage. This has a potential impact on adoptability, because it means that users must either provide their own API key and pay OpenAI, or a central organization has to pay OpenAI for broader use of YAC. YAC also uses a fine-tuned model as part of its multi-agent system. This model was fine-tuned from *Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct* an open-source model. Our model is free and available for anyone to use; however, running inference of this model requires more significant capabilities than a personal computer. Thus, there is an economic impact associated with hosting and running our model.

8 Discussion

There are two interesting technical decisions we made while designing YAC that could apply to other systems that incorporate generative AI with visualization interfaces.

8.1 Fine-Tuning versus Multiple Agents

YAC's multi-agent system includes calls to both foundational large language models and fine-tuned models. An alternate approach could be to update the fine-tuning to handle both visualizations and filtering. However, it necessitates a longer iteration cycle — updating the training data and retraining the LLM. These steps could slow down the front-end design process, which benefits from faster iteration. Using a multi-agent system with calls to the foundational model was a more flexible approach that allowed for faster updates while we explored the system design. However, OpenAI's models are proprietary, and if changing prompts is insufficient to achieve the desired behavior, then fine-tuning an open-source model may be necessary.

One additional challenge with incorporating a fine-tuned LLM is the technical deployment of the system. We have access to a high-performance computing cluster with GPUs that we can use to train and run inference on our fine-tuned model. However, this is not available for long-term scalable deployment for other users. Using API calls to foundational models can ease the deployment burden by either charging for usage or requiring users to provide their own API keys. However, relying on API calls from a company locks the system into a paid, proprietary system. In contrast, an open-source, fine-tuned model can be deployed with any company that can host the models for a fee.

8.2 Generating Structured Output

There are several possible strategies for LLMs to generate visualizations at different levels of abstraction. For instance, it can generate code (e.g., Python or JavaScript) that must be executed to create the visualization. Alternatively, it can generate a specification (e.g., JSON) that provides all the necessary information to create the visualization. For our project, we decided to target specifications in the form of a grammar we designed. We believe there are several advantages to this choice. The first advantage is the guardrails that this approach provides. The visualization agent is designed to create only visualization specifications. The interface will ignore anything generated outside the bounds of our JSON Schema. The second advantage is that the structured nature of the specification outputs facilitates the integration of responses into a larger system. Our multi-agent system generates structured outputs that define visualizations and filters. The well-defined structure of these outputs allows the system to complete several integration tasks, tying multiple outputs together. Adjustment widgets can display and update values in filters. Visualizations can be

rendered, but also modified with adjustment widgets. Finally, linked filtering between visualizations and filter widgets is possible by updating the visualization specifications with simple rule-based logic.

9 Limitations and Future Work

The current version of YAC has several technical limitations that could be addressed in the future.

Multi-Entity Relationships. YAC currently supports linked filtering across entities. However, it only includes logic for tables that are directly related. It does not handle tables that are linked through one or more intermediate tables. However, such capability could be integrated into a future version of YAC.

Scalability Performance. YAC currently only supports relatively small data files, on the order of tens of thousands at most. Since it is designed for analysing biomedical data repository metadata, not the raw data, this limitation is not an immediate constraint. However, we can improve the scalability of the data transformation by utilizing modern technology such as DuckDB⁵ or Mosaic [14].

Filter Intersection. The filtering logic currently assumes that filters always combine to create a single subset of data defined by the intersection of all filters. We could expand the capabilities of YAC to support more flexible filtering logic, such as unions of filters, and the creation of multiple parallel selections.

Beyond Metadata. YAC was intentionally designed to support metadata tables commonly found in biomedical data repositories. The final step in YAC is to download the current selection that refers to the raw data and download and analyze that data in another tool. We could extend the capabilities of YAC by bringing genomics analysis tools into one integrated system.

10 Conclusion

In conclusion, we developed a YAC, a prototype system that integrates elements of a traditional data discovery interface with a chat-based system. It utilizes a fine-tuned LLM to generate visualizations that progressively add to a multi-view visualization. These visualizations are automatically interactive with a linked brushing and filtering pattern. The system supports data schemas for multiple related entities, and interactions within the system are facilitated with complementary modalities that disclose agent actions and allow users to adjust them.

YAC offers a new paradigm that allows researchers to flexibly navigate complex, multi-entity biomedical metadata without being constrained by predefined interfaces. Our results suggest that more inclusive and adaptive tools can surface datasets that may otherwise remain hidden, accelerating insight generation in biomedical data discovery. More broadly, our work contributes to the growing role of AI in visualization, showing how domain-specific fine-tuning and structured output generation can transform exploratory analysis in data-intensive sciences such as biology and medicine. Looking ahead, integrating these methods with larger-scale biomedical data infrastructures and in-depth evaluations with domain experts will be critical for understanding their impact on biomedical discovery.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by ARPA-H AY2AX000028. The authors wish to thank members of the HIDIVE lab for their support and feedback throughout this project.

⁵<https://duckdb.org/>

References

- [1] Amanda L. Charbonneau, Arthur Brady, Karl Czajkowski, Jain Aluvathingal, Saranya Canchi, Robert Carter, Kyle Chard, Daniel J. B. Clarke, Jonathan Crabtree, Heather H. Creasy, Mike D'Arcy, Victor Felix, Michelle Giglio, Alicia Gingrich, Rayna M. Harris, Theresa K. Hodges, Olukemi Ifeonu, Minji Jeon, Eryk Kropiwnicki, Marisa C. W. Lim, R. Lee Liming, Jessica Lumian, Anup A. Mahurkar, Meisha Mandal, James B. Munro, Suvarna Nadendla, Rudyard Richter, Cia Romano, Philippe Rocca-Serra, Michael Schor, Robert E. Schuler, Hongsuda Tangmunarunkit, Alex Waldrop, Cris Williams, Karen Word, Susanna-Assunta Sansone, Avi Ma'ayan, Rick Wagner, Ian Foster, Carl Kesselman, C. Titus Brown, and Owen White. 2022. Making Common Fund Data More Findable: Catalyzing a Data Ecosystem. *GigaScience* 11 (Nov. 2022), giac105. doi:10.1093/gigascience/giac105
- [2] Juntong Chen, Jiang Wu, Jiajing Guo, Vikram Mohanty, Xueming Li, Jorge Piazentin Ono, Wenbin He, Liu Ren, and Dongyu Liu. 2025. InterChat: Enhancing Generative Visual Analytics Using Multimodal Interactions. *Computer Graphics Forum* 44, 3 (2025), e70112. doi:10.1111/cgf.70112
- [3] Nan Chen, Yuge Zhang, Jiahang Xu, Kan Ren, and Yuqing Yang. 2025. VisEval: A Benchmark for Data Visualization in the Era of Large Language Models. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 31, 1 (Jan. 2025), 1301–1311. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2024.3456320
- [4] Weiwei Cui, Xiaoyu Zhang, Yun Wang, He Huang, Bei Chen, Lei Fang, Haidong Zhang, Jian-Guan Lou, and Dongmei Zhang. 2020. Text-to-Viz: Automatic Generation of Infographics from Proportion-Related Natural Language Statements. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 26, 1 (Jan. 2020), 906–916. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2019.2934785
- [5] Yuan Cui, Lily W. Ge, Yiren Ding, Lane Harrison, Fumeng Yang, and Matthew Kay. 2025. Promises and Pitfalls: Using Large Language Models to Generate Visualization Items. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 31, 1 (Jan. 2025), 1094–1104. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2024.3456309
- [6] Zach Cutler, Jack Wilburn, Hilson Shrestha, Yiren Ding, Brian Bollen, Khandaker Abrar Nadib, Tingying He, Andrew McNutt, Lane Harrison, and Alexander Lex. 2026. ReVISit 2: A Full Experiment Life Cycle User Study Framework. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics (VIS)* 32 (2026). arXiv:2508.03876 [cs] doi:10.48550/arXiv.2508.03876
- [7] Job Dekker, Andrew S. Belmont, Mitchell Guttman, Victor O. Leshyk, John T. Lis, Stavros Lomvardas, Leonid A. Mirny, Clodagh C. O'Shea, Peter J. Park, Bing Ren, Joan C. Ritland Politz, Jay Shendure, and Sheng Zhong. 2017. The 4D Nucleome Project. *Nature* 549, 7671 (Sept. 2017), 219–226. doi:10.1038/nature23884
- [8] Victor Dibia. 2023. LIDA: A Tool for Automatic Generation of Grammar-Agnostic Visualizations and Infographics Using Large Language Models. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 3: System Demonstrations)*, Danushka Bollegala, Ruihong Huang, and Alan Ritter (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Toronto, Canada, 113–126. doi:10.18653/v1/2023.acl-demo.11
- [9] Victor Dibia and Çağatay Demiralp. 2018. Data2Vis: Automatic Generation of Data Visualizations Using Sequence to Sequence Recurrent Neural Networks. arXiv:1804.03126 [cs] doi:10.48550/arXiv.1804.03126
- [10] Lin Gao, Jing Lu, Zekai Shao, Ziyue Lin, Shengbin Yue, Chiokit Leong, Yi Sun, Rory James Zauner, Zhongyu Wei, and Siming Chen. 2025. Fine-Tuned Large Language Model for Visualization System: A Study on Self-Regulated Learning in Education. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 31, 1 (Jan. 2025), 514–524. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2024.3456145
- [11] Shanghua Gao, Richard Zhu, Zhenglun Kong, Ayush Noori, Xiaorui Su, Curtis Ginder, Theodoros Tsiligkaridis, and Marinka Zitnik. 2025. TxAgent: An AI Agent for Therapeutic Reasoning Across a Universe of Tools. arXiv:2503.10970 [cs.AI] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.10970>
- [12] Tong Gao, Mira Dontcheva, Eytan Adar, Zhicheng Liu, and Karrie G. Karahalios. 2015. DataTone: Managing Ambiguity in Natural Language Interfaces for Data Visualization. In *Proceedings of the 28th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software & Technology*. ACM, Charlotte NC USA, 489–500. doi:10.1145/2807442.2807478
- [13] Kristen B. Gorman, Tony D. Williams, and William R. Fraser. 2014. Ecological Sexual Dimorphism and Environmental Variability within a Community of Antarctic Penguins (Genus *Pygoscelis*). *PLOS ONE* 9, 3 (March 2014), e90081. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090081
- [14] Jeffrey Heer and Dominik Moritz. 2024. Mosaic: An Architecture for Scalable & Interoperable Data Views. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 30, 1 (Jan. 2024), 436–446. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2023.3327189
- [15] Enamul Hoque, Vidya Setlur, Melanie Tory, and Isaac Dykeman. 2018. Applying Pragmatics Principles for Interaction with Visual Analytics. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 24, 1 (Jan. 2018), 309–318. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2017.2744684
- [16] Allison M. Horst, Alison Presmanes Hill, and Kristen B. Gorman. 2020. Allisonhorst/Palmerpenguins: V0.1.0. Zenodo. doi:10.5281/zenodo.3960218
- [17] Jieying Huang, Yang Xi, Junnan Hu, and Jun Tao. 2023. FlowNL: Asking the Flow Data in Natural Languages. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 29, 1 (Jan. 2023), 1200–1210. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2022.3209453

- [18] Zhaosong Huang, Ye Zhao, Wei Chen, Shengjie Gao, Kejie Yu, Weixia Xu, Mingjie Tang, Minfeng Zhu, and Mingliang Xu. 2020. A Natural-language-based Visual Query Approach of Uncertain Human Trajectories. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 26, 1 (Jan. 2020), 1256–1266. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2019.2934671
- [19] Joel Jakobovic, Jonathan Edwards, and Tomas Petricek. 2023. Technical Dimensions of Programming Systems. *The Art, Science, and Engineering of Programming* 7, 3 (2023), 13. doi:10.22152/programming-journal.org/2023/7/13
- [20] Jaeyoung Kim, Sihyeon Lee, Hyeon Jeon, Keon-Joo Lee, Hee-Joon Bae, Bohyoung Kim, and Jinwook Seo. 2025. PhenoFlow: A Human-LLM Driven Visual Analytics System for Exploring Large and Complex Stroke Datasets. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 31, 1 (Jan. 2025), 470–480. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2024.3456215
- [21] Woosuk Kwon, Zhuohan Li, Siyuan Zhuang, Ying Sheng, Lianmin Zheng, Cody Hao Yu, Joseph E. Gonzalez, Hao Zhang, and Ion Stoica. 2023. Efficient Memory Management for Large Language Model Serving with PagedAttention. arXiv:2309.06180 [cs] doi:10.48550/arXiv.2309.06180
- [22] Devin Lange, Pengwei Sui, Shangua Gao, Marinka Zitnik, and Nils Gehlenborg. 2025. DQVis Dataset: Natural Language to Biomedical Visualization.
- [23] Chunggi Lee, Tica Lin, Hanspeter Pfister, and Chen Zhu-Tian. 2025. Sportify: Question Answering with Embedded Visualizations and Personified Narratives for Sports Video. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 31, 1 (Jan. 2025), 12–22. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2024.3456332
- [24] Patty J. Lee, Christopher C. Benz, Philip Blood, Katy Börner, Judith Campisi, Feng Chen, Heike Daldrup-Link, Phil De Jager, Li Ding, Francesca E. Duncan, Oliver Eickelberg, Rong Fan, Toren Finkel, David Furman, Vesna Garovic, Nils Gehlenborg, Carolyn Glass, Indra Heckenbach, Ziv-Bar Joseph, Pragati Katiyar, So-Jin Kim, Melanie Königshoff, George A. Kuchel, Haesung Lee, Jun Hee Lee, Jian Ma, Qin Ma, Simon Melov, Kay Metis, Ana L. Mora, Nicolas Musi, Nicola Neretti, João F. Passos, Irfan Rahman, Juan Carlos Rivera-Mulia, Paul Robson, Mauricio Rojas, Ananda L. Roy, Morten Scheibye-Knudsen, Birgit Schilling, Pixu Shi, Jonathan C. Silverstein, Vidyani Suryadevara, Jichun Xie, Jinhua Wang, A. Ian Wong, Laura J. Niedernhofer, Siyuan (Steven) Wang, Hannah Anvari, Julia Balough, Christopher Benz, Joanna Bons, Boris Brenerman, William Evans, Akos Gerencser, Heather Gregory, Malene Hansen, Jamie Justice, Pankaj Kapahi, Natalia Murad, Amy O’Broin, Mary Ellen Pavone, Mark Powell, Gary Scott, Elisheva Shanes, Mahalakshmi Shankaran, Eric Verdin, Daniel Winer, Fei Wu, Andrew Adams, Philip D. Blood, Andreas Bueckle, Ivan Cao-Berg, Hao Chen, Michael Davis, Shane Filus, Yuhan Hao, Austin Hartman, Euxhen Hasanaj, Jesse Helfer, Bruce Herr, Ziv Bar Joseph, Gesmira Molla, Gloria Mou, Juan Puerto, Ellen M. Quardokus, Alexander J. Ropelewski, Matt Ruffalo, Rahul Satija, Melissa Schwenk, Robin Sibek, William Shirey, Max Sibilla, Joel Welling, Zhou Yuan, Richard Bonneau, Angela Christiano, Benjamin Izar, Vilas Menon, David M. Owens, Hemali Phatnani, Colin Smith, Yousin Suh, Andrew F. Teich, Valerie Bekker, Cliburn Chan, Elias Coutavas, Matthew G. Hartwig, Zhicheng Ji, Andrew B. Nixon, Zhixun Dou, Jayaraj Rajagopal, Nikolai Slavov, David Holmes, Diana Jurk, James L. Kirkland, Anthony Lagnado, Tamara Tchkonja, Kristin Abraham, Amanda Dibattista, Yih-Woei Fridell, T. Kevin Howcroft, Chamelli Jhappan, Viviana Perez Montes, Mercy Prabhudas, Haluk Resat, Veronica Taylor, Manoj Kumar, Vidyani Suryadevara, Francisco Cigarroa, Rachel Cohn, Tiffany M. Cortes, Elise Courtois, Jeffrey Chuang, Monica Davé, Sergii Domanskyi, Elizabeth Ann Lieser Enninga, Gray Naim Eryilmaz, Sara E. Espinoza, Jon Gelfond, James Kirkland, George A. Kuchel, Chia-Ling Kuo, Julia S. Lehman, Cristina Aguayo-Mazzucato, Alexander Meves, Meenakshi Rani, Shane Sanders, Asa Thibodeau, Stefan G. Tullius, Duygu Ucar, Brian White, Qian Wu, Ming Xu, Seiji Yamaguchi, Naziheh Assaradegan, Chun-Seok Cho, Irene Hwang, Yongha Hwang, Jingyue Xi, Oyedele A. Adeyi, Constantin F. Aliferis, Alessandro Bartolomucci, Xiao Dong, Mickayla J. DuFresne-To, Sayeed Ikramuddin, Steve G. Johnson, Andrew C. Nelson, Laura J. Niedernhofer, Xavier S. Revelo, Claudia Trevilla-Garcia, John M. Sedivy, Elizabeth L. Thompson, Paul D. Robbins, Jinhua Wang, Katherine M. Aird, Jonathan K. Alder, Delphine Beaulieu, Marta Bueno, Jazmin Calyeca, Julián A. Chamucero-Millaris, Stephen Y. Chan, Dongjun Chung, Anthony Corbett, Vera Gorbunova, Kymberly M. Gowdy, Aditi Gurkar, Jeffrey C. Horowitz, Qianjiang Hu, Gagandeep Kaur, Timur O. Khaliullin, Robert Lafyatis, Serafina Lanna, Dongmei Li, Anjun Ma, Alison Morris, Thivanka M. Muthumalage, Victor Peters, Gloria S. Pryhuber, Brenda F. Reader, Lorena Rosas, John C. Sembrat, Sadiya Shaikh, Hangchuan Shi, Sean D. Stacey, Claudette St. Croix, Cankun Wang, Qixin Wang, Andrew Watts, Liangcai Gu, Yiing Lin, Peter S. Rabinovitch, Mariya T. Sweetwyne, Maxim N. Artyomov, Samuel J. Ballentine, Milan G. Chheda, Sherri R. Davies, John F. DiPersio, Ryan C. Fields, James A. J. Fitzpatrick, Robert S. Fulton, Shin-ichiro Imai, Sanjay Jain, Tao Ju, Vladimir M. Kushnir, Daniel C. Link, Michael Ben Major, Stephen T. Oh, Daniel Rapp, Michael P. Rettig, Shelia A. Stewart, Deborah J. Veis, Kiran R. Vij, Michael C. Wendl, Matthew A. Wyczalkowski, Joseph E. Craft, Archibald Enniful, Negin Farzad, Peter Gershkovich, Stephanie Halene, Yuval Kluger, Jennifer VanOudenhove, Mina Xu, Junchen Yang, Mingyu Yang, SenNet Consortium, Writing Group, Brown University TDA, Buck Institute for Research on Aging TMC/TDA, Consortium Organization and Data Coordinating Center (CODCC), Columbia TMC, Duke University TMC, Massachusetts General Hospital TDA, Mayo Clinic TDA, National Institute of Health (NIH), Stanford TDA, University of Connecticut TMC, University of Michigan TDA, University of Minnesota TMC, University of Pittsburgh TMC, University of Washington TDA, Washington University TMC, and Yale TMC. 2022. NIH SenNet Consortium to Map Senescent Cells throughout the Human Lifespan to Understand

- Physiological Health. *Nature Aging* 2, 12 (Dec. 2022), 1090–1100. doi:10.1038/s43587-022-00326-5
- [25] S. Liu, H. Miao, Z. Li, M. Olson, V. Pascucci, and P-T. Bremer. 2024. AVA: Towards Autonomous Visualization Agents through Visual Perception-Driven Decision-Making. *Computer Graphics Forum* 43, 3 (2024), e15093. doi:10.1111/cgf.15093
- [26] Ziao Liu, Xiao Xie, Moqi He, Wenshuo Zhao, Yihong Wu, Liqi Cheng, Hui Zhang, and Yingcai Wu. 2025. Smartboard: Visual Exploration of Team Tactics with LLM Agent. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 31, 1 (Jan. 2025), 23–33. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2024.3456200
- [27] Yuyu Luo, Nan Tang, Guoliang Li, Chengliang Chai, Wenbo Li, and Xuedi Qin. 2021. Synthesizing Natural Language to Visualization (NL2VIS) Benchmarks from NL2SQL Benchmarks. In *Proceedings of the 2021 International Conference on Management of Data (SIGMOD '21)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1235–1247. doi:10.1145/3448016.3457261
- [28] Yuyu Luo, Nan Tang, Guoliang Li, Jiawei Tang, Chengliang Chai, and Xuedi Qin. 2022. Natural Language to Visualization by Neural Machine Translation. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 28, 1 (Jan. 2022), 217–226. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2021.3114848
- [29] Sehi L'Yi, Astrid van den Brandt, Etowah Adams, Huyen N. Nguyen, and Nils Gehlenborg. 2024. Learnable and Expressive Visualization Authoring through Blended Interfaces. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* (2024). doi:10.1109/TVCG.2024.3456598
- [30] Jock Mackinlay, Pat Hanrahan, and Chris Stolte. 2007. Show Me: Automatic Presentation for Visual Analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics (InfoVis '07)* 13, 6 (2007), 1137–1144. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2007.70594
- [31] Andrew McNutt, Maureen C. Stone, and Jeffrey Heer. 2025. Mixing Linters with GUIs: A Color Palette Design Probe. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 31, 1 (2025), 327–337. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2024.3456317
- [32] Arpit Narechania, Arjun Srinivasan, and John Stasko. 2021. NL4DV: A Toolkit for Generating Analytic Specifications for Data Visualization from Natural Language Queries. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 27, 2 (Feb. 2021), 369–379. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2020.3030378
- [33] Aditeya Pandey, Sehi L'Yi, Qianwen Wang, Michelle A. Borkin, and Nils Gehlenborg. 2023. GenoREC: A Recommendation System for Interactive Genomics Data Visualization. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 29, 1 (Jan. 2023), 570–580. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2022.3209407
- [34] Rock Yuren Pang, Hope Schroeder, Kynneddy Simone Smith, Solon Barocas, Ziang Xiao, Emily Tseng, and Danielle Bragg. 2025. Understanding the LLM-ification of CHI: Unpacking the Impact of LLMs at CHI through a Systematic Literature Review. In *Proceedings of the 2025 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '25)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–20. doi:10.1145/3706598.3713726
- [35] Ananda L. Roy, Richard S. Conroy, Veronica G. Taylor, Judy Mietz, Ian M. Fingerman, Michael J. Pazin, Phillip Smith, Carolyn M. Hutter, Dinah S. Singer, and Elizabeth L. Wilder. 2023. Elucidating the Structure and Function of the Nucleus—The NIH Common Fund 4D Nucleome Program. *Molecular Cell* 83, 3 (Feb. 2023), 335–342. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2022.12.025
- [36] Arvind Satyanarayan, Dominik Moritz, Kanit Wongsuphasawat, and Jeffrey Heer. 2017. Vega-Lite: A Grammar of Interactive Graphics. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 23, 1 (Jan. 2017), 341–350. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2016.2599030
- [37] Arvind Satyanarayan, Ryan Russell, Jane Hoffswell, and Jeffrey Heer. 2016. Reactive Vega: A Streaming Dataflow Architecture for Declarative Interactive Visualization. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 22, 1 (Jan. 2016), 659–668. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2015.2467091
- [38] Vidya Setlur, Sarah E. Battersby, Melanie Tory, Rich Gossweiler, and Angel X. Chang. 2016. Eviza: A Natural Language Interface for Visual Analysis. In *Proceedings of the 29th Annual Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST '16)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 365–377. doi:10.1145/2984511.2984588
- [39] Vidya Setlur and Arathi Kumar. 2020. Sentifiers: Interpreting Vague Intent Modifiers in Visual Analysis Using Word Co-occurrence and Sentiment Analysis. In *2020 IEEE Visualization Conference (VIS)*. 216–220. doi:10.1109/VIS47514.2020.00050
- [40] Leixian Shen, Enya Shen, Yuyu Luo, Xiaocong Yang, Xuming Hu, Xiongshuai Zhang, Zhiwei Tai, and Jianmin Wang. 2023. Towards Natural Language Interfaces for Data Visualization: A Survey. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 29, 6 (June 2023), 3121–3144. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2022.3148007
- [41] Chuhan Shi, Weiwei Cui, Chengzhong Liu, Chengbo Zheng, Haidong Zhang, Qiong Luo, and Xiaojuan Ma. 2024. NL2Color: Refining Color Palettes for Charts with Natural Language. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 30, 1 (Jan. 2024), 814–824. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2023.3326522
- [42] Michael P. Snyder, Shin Lin, Amanda Posgai, Mark Atkinson, Aviv Regev, Jennifer Rood, Orit Rozenblatt-Rosen, Leslie Gaffney, Anna Hupalowska, Rahul Satija, Nils Gehlenborg, Jay Shendure, Julia Laskin, Pehr Harbury, Nicholas A. Nystrom, Jonathan C. Silverstein, Ziv Bar-Joseph, Kun Zhang, Katy Börner, Yiing Lin, Richard Conroy, Dena Procaccini, Ananda L. Roy, Ajay Pillai, Marishka Brown, Zorina S. Galis, Long Cai, Jay Shendure, Cole Trapnell, Shin Lin, Dana

- Jackson, Michael P. Snyder, Garry Nolan, William James Greenleaf, Yiing Lin, Sylvia Plevritis, Sara Ahadi, Stephanie A. Nevins, Hayan Lee, Christian Martijn Schuerch, Sarah Black, Vishal Gautham Venkataraman, Ed Esplin, Aaron Horning, Amir Bahmani, Kun Zhang, Xin Sun, Sanjay Jain, James Hagood, Gloria Pryhuber, Peter Kharchenko, Mark Atkinson, Bernd Bodenmiller, Todd Brusko, Michael Clare-Salzler, Harry Nick, Kevin Otto, Amanda Posgai, Clive Wasserfall, Marda Jorgensen, Maigan Brusko, Sergio Maffioletti, Richard M. Caprioli, Jeffrey M. Spraggins, Danielle Gutierrez, Nathan Heath Patterson, Elizabeth K. Neumann, Raymond Harris, Mark deCaestecker, Agnes B. Fogo, Raf van de Plas, Ken Lau, Long Cai, Guo-Cheng Yuan, Qian Zhu, Ruben Dries, Peng Yin, Sinem K. Saka, Jocelyn Y. Kishi, Yu Wang, Isabel Goldaracena, Julia Laskin, DongHye Ye, Kristin E. Burnum-Johnson, Paul D. Piehowski, Charles Ansong, Ying Zhu, Pehr Harbury, Tushar Desai, Jay Mulye, Peter Chou, Monica Nagendran, Ziv Bar-Joseph, Sarah A. Teichmann, Benedict Paten, Robert F. Murphy, Jian Ma, Vladimir Yu. Kiselev, Carl Kingsford, Allyson Ricarte, Maria Keays, Sushma A. Akoju, Matthew Ruffalo, Nils Gehlenborg, Peter Kharchenko, Margaret Vella, Chuck McCallum, Katy Börner, Leonard E. Cross, Samuel H. Friedman, Randy Heiland, Bruce Herr, Paul Macklin, Ellen M. Quardokus, Lisel Record, James P. Sluka, Griffin M. Weber, Nicholas A. Nystrom, Jonathan C. Silverstein, Philip D. Blood, Alexander J. Ropelewski, William E. Shirey, Robin M. Scibek, Paula Mabee, W. Christopher Lenhardt, Kimberly Robasky, Stavros Michailidis, Rahul Satija, John Marioni, Aviv Regev, Andrew Butler, Tim Stuart, Eyal Fisher, Shila Ghazanfar, Jennifer Rood, Leslie Gaffney, Gokcen Eraslan, Tommaso Biancalani, Eeshit D. Vaishnav, Richard Conroy, Dena Procaccini, Ananda Roy, Ajay Pillai, Marishka Brown, Zorina Galis, Pothur Srinivas, Aaron Pawlyk, Salvatore Sechi, Elizabeth Wilder, James Anderson, HuBMAP Consortium, Writing Group, Caltech-UW TMC, Stanford-WashU TMC, UCSD TMC, University of Florida TMC, Vanderbilt University TMC, California Institute of Technology TTD, Harvard TTD, Purdue TTD, Stanford TTD, Tools Component Visualization HuBMAP Integration, and Engagement (HIVE) Collaboratory: Carnegie Mellon, Tools Component Harvard Medical School, Mapping Component Indiana University Bloomington, Infrastructure and Engagement Component Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center and University of Pittsburgh, Collaboration Core University of South Dakota, Mapping Component New York Genome Center, and NIH HuBMAP Working Group. 2019. The Human Body at Cellular Resolution: The NIH Human Biomolecular Atlas Program. *Nature* 574, 7777 (Oct. 2019), 187–192. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1629-x
- [43] Priyan Vaithilingam, Elena L. Glassman, Jeevana Priya Inala, and Chenglong Wang. 2024. DynaVis: Dynamically Synthesized UI Widgets for Visualization Editing. In *Proceedings of the 2024 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '24)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–17. doi:10.1145/3613904.3642639
- [44] J.J. Van Wijk. 2005. The Value of Visualization. In *VIS 05. IEEE Visualization, 2005*. IEEE, Minneapolis, MN, USA, 79–86. doi:10.1109/VISUAL.2005.1532781
- [45] Chenglong Wang, Bongshin Lee, Steven Drucker, Dan Marshall, and Jianfeng Gao. 2025. Data Formulator 2: Iterative Creation of Data Visualizations, with AI Transforming Data Along the Way. arXiv:2408.16119 [cs] doi:10.48550/arXiv.2408.16119
- [46] Chenglong Wang, John Thompson, and Bongshin Lee. 2024. Data Formulator: AI-Powered Concept-Driven Visualization Authoring. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 30, 1 (Jan. 2024), 1128–1138. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2023.3326585
- [47] Huichen Will Wang, Mitchell Gordon, Leilani Battle, and Jeffrey Heer. 2025. DracoGPT: Extracting Visualization Design Preferences from Large Language Models. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 31, 1 (Jan. 2025), 710–720. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2024.3456350
- [48] Yun Wang, Zhitao Hou, Leixian Shen, Tongshuang Wu, Jiaqi Wang, He Huang, Haidong Zhang, and Dongmei Zhang. 2022. Towards Natural Language-Based Visualization Authoring. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* (2022), 1–11. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2022.3209357
- [49] Zhen Wen, Luoxuan Weng, Yinghao Tang, Runjin Zhang, Yuxin Liu, Bo Pan, Minfeng Zhu, and Wei Chen. 2025. Exploring Multimodal Prompt for Visualization Authoring with Large Language Models. arXiv:2504.13700 doi:10.48550/arXiv.2504.13700
- [50] Kanit Wongsuphasawat, Dominik Moritz, Anushka Anand, Jock Mackinlay, Bill Howe, and Jeffrey Heer. 2016. Voyager: Exploratory Analysis via Faceted Browsing of Visualization Recommendations. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 22, 1 (Jan. 2016), 649–658. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2015.2467191
- [51] Aoyu Wu, Yun Wang, Xinhuan Shu, Dominik Moritz, Weiwei Cui, Haidong Zhang, Dongmei Zhang, and Huamin Qu. 2022. AI4VIS: Survey on Artificial Intelligence Approaches for Data Visualization. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 28, 12 (Dec. 2022), 5049–5070. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2021.3099002
- [52] Youfu Yan, Yu Hou, Yongkang Xiao, Rui Zhang, and Qianwen Wang. 2025. KNOWNET: Guided Health Information Seeking from LLMs via Knowledge Graph Integration. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 31, 1 (Jan. 2025), 547–557. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2024.3456364
- [53] Bowen Yu and Claudio T. Silva. 2020. FlowSense: A Natural Language Interface for Visual Data Exploration within a Dataflow System. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 26, 1 (Jan. 2020), 1–11. arXiv:1908.00681 [cs]

doi:10.1109/TVCG.2019.2934668

- [54] Chen Zhu-Tian, Qisen Yang, Xiao Xie, Johanna Beyer, Haijun Xia, Yingcai Wu, and Hanspeter Pfister. 2023. Sporthesia: Augmenting Sports Videos Using Natural Language. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 29, 1 (Jan. 2023), 918–928. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2022.3209497