

# TRANSFORMER-ENCODER TREES FOR EFFICIENT MULTILINGUAL MACHINE TRANSLATION AND SPEECH TRANSLATION

Yiwen Guan and Jacob Whitehill

Worcester Polytechnic Institute  
Worcester, MA, USA  
yguan2@wpi.edu; jrwhitehill@wpi.edu

## ABSTRACT


Multilingual translation faces challenges of computational redundancy and limited accuracy for low-resource languages, especially in speech translation. To address this, we propose a novel hierarchical Transformer Encoder Tree (TET) combined with non-autoregressive encoder-only models trained with Connectionist Temporal Classification for multilingual translation. By sharing intermediate representations among linguistically similar target languages, TET can improve accuracy on low-resource languages, reduce computational redundancy, and allow generating all target languages in a single forward pass, thus eliminating sequential bottlenecks and improving parallelism. For speech translation, combining TET with a non-autoregressive speech recognition backbone (wav2vec2) shows promising results in terms of translation quality compared to autoregressive systems while being 7-14 $\times$  faster.

**Index Terms**— non-autoregressive models, speech translation, machine translation, spoken language translation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

While autoregressive (AR) approaches to speech recognition and translation, including encoder-decoder Transformers (e.g., Whisper [1]) and large language models [2], offer excellent accuracy, they are prone to hallucination, and their inherently sequential decoding limits parallelism and increases latency. The run-time costs become more severe when the input must be translated into multiple languages. Recently, researchers have explored [3] how non-autoregressive (NAR) models can be used for efficient and accurate speech recognition. We extend this line of work by developing a hierarchical Transformer encoder-only model for multilingual translation and speech translation that capitalizes on linguistic similarity between target languages.

One motivating application is what we call the *United Nations task*: translating speech from one source language into multiple target languages simultaneously in real time, where latency and accuracy are both important. Translating from



**Fig. 1.** Embeddings for last 3 layers using just one Transformer encoder for all languages, averaged over the same 320 input sentences of the Multi30K dataset. The embeddings cluster strongly according to their linguistic similarity.

the source language into each of the target languages one-at-a-time introduces substantial computational redundancy by completely ignoring the relationships among them. For instance, French, Spanish, and Italian all belong to the Romance family, and thus translated sentences in these languages are often very similar to each other. In contrast, our proposed model uses a tree of Transformer encoder layers to harness linguistic similarity between target languages and thereby improve both accuracy and speed.

In this work, we explore how a Transformer Encoder Tree (TET) can be used for machine translation (MT), speech-to-text translation (S2TT), and speech-to-speech translation (S2ST) to efficiently translate from a source language into multiple target languages. Akin to ideas in [4] and [5], we leverage linguistic trees to build better model architectures based on language similarities. In particular, by constructing a hierarchical tree of encoder layers, the model computes shared intermediate representations of linguistic similarity among related target languages. These representations can be shared among languages according to the degree of kinship, thus avoiding redundant computation. Unlike typical AR decoder-only approaches, our NAR encoder-only model enables parallel token generation, significantly speeding up translation. Experiments demonstrate that our multilingual translation framework outperforms monolingual baselines in both accuracy and speed. Furthermore, our framework exhibits great potential for efficient multilingual S2ST.

**Contributions:** (1) We propose TET, a novel multilingual machine translation and speech translation system based on NAR encoder-only models using Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC) [6]. (2) By constructing a hierarchical tree model, linguistically similar target languages efficiently share intermediate representations, improving accuracy for low-resource languages and accelerating the translation. (3) Leveraging the tree architecture and encoder-only layers, TET generates all target languages in a single forward pass, alleviating computational redundancy across languages and eliminating the sequential bottleneck of conventional AR MT models [7], thereby significantly reducing both latency and overall computational cost. (4) Our experimental results contribute to the recent body of research showing how NAR approaches to machine translation and speech recognition can be effective (but see Limitations at the end regarding the evaluated datasets).

## 2. RELATED WORK


**Non-autoregressive (NAR) methods for translation.** NAR models generate all target tokens in parallel, greatly accelerating inference [7, 8, 9, 10]. CTC-based NAR models show promise for speech translation (ST) [11, 3]. In particular, OWSM-CTC [3] proposes a unified CTC-based encoder-only model for multilingual automatic speech recognition (ASR), speech translation, and language identification, improving both accuracy and latency. More recent CTC-based works include [12], for end-to-end simultaneous speech-to-any translation, and [13], applying CTC to textless speech-to-speech translation using discrete units. However, none explicitly support generating multiple target languages simultaneously. Although running separate NAR models for each language could achieve similar speed, this scales linearly in both computation and memory with the number of languages.

**Hierarchical architectures for multilingual MT.** [4, 5] exploit typological language family trees to guide parameter sharing, improving transfer among linguistically similar languages and especially benefiting low-resource languages. Yet, neither of these works employed an encoder-only architecture, nor were they applied to speech translation.

**Our approach.** In contrast, we propose an encoder-only hierarchical model for multilingual MT and ST that shares representations across languages, enabling simultaneous multilingual translation while reducing computation.

## 3. PROPOSED MODEL

Hierarchical architectures that organize language representations by linguistic similarity have been explored for multilingual translation [4, 5]. As shown in Figure 1, when training a single Transformer-encoder model for all EN-X MT tasks, the learned embeddings spontaneously cluster by linguistic similarity (e.g., Germanic vs. Romance languages). Motivated by



**Fig. 2.** Illustration of the MT/ST pipeline using Transformer-Encoder Trees (TET), the highlighted part shows the general architecture of TET for the Indo-European family. Each node in the tree represents one Transformer-encoder layer. We use Wav2Vec2 and HiFi-GAN for NAR ASR and TTS.

this observation, we design a hierarchical model that mimics the language tree to translate from a single source language into multiple targets, as illustrated in Figure 2.

To translate from the source language into multiple target languages, we construct a Transformer Encoder Tree (TET) consisting of multiple Transformer layers: The root and first few layers of the tree take the tokens of the source language as input; the intermediate layers are grouped into branches, sub-branches, etc. based on linguistic similarity; and each leaf node outputs the tokens of one of the target languages. TET is encoder-only and thus non-autoregressive. Hence, by reusing the activations of the nodes in the tree, we can significantly reduce the total computation needed to translate the source language into all the target languages. An example TET is shown in Figure 2; it has 8 leaf nodes, each with a depth of 6. To translate an English input sentence into all 8 target languages, only 24 encoder layers need to be processed in TET, instead of  $8 \times 6 = 48$  for a standard approach where each language receives its own sequence of layers.

Similar to prior work on encoder-only speech recognition [3], we train TET with a CTC loss function. To ensure that the model has sufficient space for “blank” tokens, random padding is added to each input sequence, and the model’s predictions are post-processed with CTC collapse. The input and output format is exemplified in Table 1.

Beyond machine translation, we extend TET to speech-to-text translation (S2TT) and speech-to-speech translation (S2ST). We adopt a cascaded approach that first transcribes source-language audio, then feeds the transcript into the Transformer tree to generate target-language sentences (Figure 2). Specifically, to examine the benefit of encoder-only architectures, we employ off-the-shelf ASR models with dif-

TET: Input & Output Format	
Inputs (raw)	GOOD DAY HOW ARE YOU
Inputs (random padded)	-G-OO--D- D-AY-- H--OW -A-RE Y-OU
Targets	BONJOUR COMMENT ÇA VA
Predictions (raw)	BB-O-NN---JO-UUR C-OM-E-T ÇA VVA-
Predictions (CTC-mapped)	BONJOUR COMET ÇA VA

**Table 1.** Input and output format of TET. Here, “-” denotes the CTC blank token.

ferent intrinsic architectures (i.e., AR vs. NAR). For S2ST, we further convert the discrete speech units produced by TET back to waveform using the SeamlessM4T HiFi-GAN-based text-to-speech (TTS) vocoder [14].

## 4. EXPERIMENTS

### 4.1. Baselines and Variants

To fairly evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed TET model, we compare it against several conditions for both machine translation (MT) and speech translation (ST) tasks.

For MT tasks, we compare: (1) **TET**: The proposed model; (2) **TET Random**: A tree with the same topology as TET but with languages randomly assigned to leaf nodes; (3) **TEnc/lang**: One Transformer encoder per language, with the same depth as TET; (4) **TEnc/all**: A single Transformer encoder for all languages, with the same depth as TET, using a special token at test time to specify the target language; (5) **T5-small**: A pre-trained Transformer encoder-decoder [15] with 70M parameters is included as a baseline.

For ST tasks, we consider **Wav2Vec2** [16] and **Whisper** [1]: they are used as the ASR model to produce English transcriptions, which are then translated by TET.

### 4.2. Training Details

Each node in the Transformer encoder tree has a model dimension of 768 and a feed-forward dimension of 2048. For each minibatch, we iterate through all target languages, obtain the corresponding translations and model predictions, and finally update the relevant model weights. To clarify with an example, suppose that there are two languages, and the Transformer encoder tree consists of one shared encoder layer  $S$  with two target languages and two language-specific leaf nodes  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . For a given input minibatch, the model weights of layers  $(S, L_1)$  and  $(S, L_2)$  are updated sequentially. Because the CTC loss requires that prediction length to be no shorter than the target length, we pad each input sequence to be 50 tokens longer than the longest target sentence in the minibatch. To improve generalization of model weights and positional encodings, these padding tokens are inserted randomly in the input sequence rather than only at

the end (Table 1). We use the Adam optimizer [17] with a learning rate of  $1e-4$  for Multi30K and  $2e-5$  for Tatoeba.

### 4.3. Datasets

We experiment on two datasets: Multi30K and Tatoeba.

**Multi30K** [18] contains image captions in English as well as their German translations. To obtain translations into other languages, we use OpenAI’s GPT-4-nano with the prompt, “Translate the sentence below into all 8 different languages (Danish, Dutch, French, German, Italian, Portuguese, Romanian, and Swedish). Precede each translation with the language name. Sentence: ...”. Example sentences include: (1) “Several men in hard hats are operating a giant pulley system.”, (2) “A little girl climbing into a wooden playhouse.”, and (3) “A man in a blue shirt is standing on a ladder cleaning a window.” In our extended Multi30k dataset, each sentence is available in 9 languages (en, de, da, nl, fr, it, pt, ro, and sv).

**Tatoeba** [19] is a large multi-language dataset for language learning, linguistics, and machine translation research. Since some Tatoeba examples actually contain multiple concatenated sentences or embedded quotations, we filtered it to remove examples containing any of the following characters: `, . ? ! " ' .`. In the end, we harvest 637,833 unique English sentences from Tatoeba that contain translations to any of the following languages (with number of examples listed in parentheses): Danish (24,087), Dutch (59,729), French (176,725), German (223,435), Italian (199,450), Polish (45,842), Portuguese (155,821), Romanian (12,728), Russian (341,335), Spanish (163,930), and Swedish (20,398). Example sentences include: (1) “It almost scared me not to see you online for a whole day.”, (2) “You must be worn out after working all day.”, and (3) “It was proven that fleas living on dogs jump higher than fleas living on cats.” Specifically, for speech translation, we select a subset of examples that are available in all 5 high-resource target languages (fr, de, it, ru, es), and form a subset of 22k examples.

**Post-processing**: To reduce the number of unique tokens in our dataset, we further process the Multi30K and Tatoeba data by removing non-European tokens (in case the automatic translation through GPT-4-nano erroneously produces any such extraneous tokens) and also by converting all sentences into capital letters. Since the dataset doesn’t contain speech, we use pytt3 [20] to synthesize speech utterances of each language, and convert them into discrete speech units with Seamless [14]. For both Multi30K and Tatoeba, we use 80% for training and 20% for testing.

### 4.4. Results

For all experiments, we report Word Error Rate (WER $\downarrow$ ) between ground-truth sentences and predictions. As we observe a strong correlation between WER and BLEU in our results, we omit BLEU scores for brevity.

Translation Accuracy (WER%↓): Multi30K										
Model	Target Language									Avg.
	Da	Nl	Fr	De	It	Pt	Ro	Sv		
TET	10.1	27.1	13.1	41.0	13.8	8.6	9.1	12.6		16.9
TET-Rnd	10.0	39.9	18.0	13.5	26.1	10.0	11.9	11.6		17.6
TEnc/lang	29.8	38.1	33.3	41.1	37.5	33.9	41.3	30.3		35.6
TEnc/all	19.6	32.0	22.4	37.8	24.0	19.3	21.9	22.0		24.9
T5small	-	-	52.1	47.1	-	-	61.0	-		53.4

**Table 2.** EN-X translation WER(%) on Multi30K (extended).

Translation Accuracy (WER%↓): Tatoeba												
Model	Target Language											Avg.
	Da	Nl	Fr	De	It	Pl	Pt	Ro	Ru	Es	Sv	
TET	36.6	54.2	52.6	67.4	40.7	48.5	39.5	35.0	49.6	45.4	44.1	46.7
TET-Rnd	32.3	50.8	56.9	61.8	40.7	78.3	49.1	30.5	49.8	44.6	27.6	47.5
TEnc/lang	54.3	53.7	53.7	62.0	35.8	75.4	43.3	93.9	48.2	52.7	56.3	57.2
TEnc/all	42.0	57.8	58.2	69.5	48.3	63.0	48.1	52.8	60.3	52.2	44.1	54.2
T5small	-	-	55.2	53.4	-	-	-	58.4	-	-	-	55.7

**Table 3.** EN-X translation WER(%) on Tatoeba.

**MT:** Table 2 and Table 3 compare En-X MT results across model architectures on Multi30K and Tatoeba. On Multi30K, TET consistently outperforms TEnc/lang with an average WER reduction of 52.5%, and surpasses TEnc/all on all target languages except German (De), yielding a 32.1% average reduction. On Tatoeba, TET similarly outperforms TEnc/lang and TEnc/all by 18.4% and 13.8% in terms of average WER, respectively. Consistent with the findings in [5], TET significantly outperforms TEnc/lang models on low-resource languages on Tatoeba, such as Romanian (Ro) and Polish (Pl), but lags behind on certain high-resource languages, namely German (De) and Italian (It). Meanwhile, TET exhibits a similar advantage over TEnc/all over all languages, and for low-resource languages in particular. On both datasets, the tree topology appears to have a significant impact on accuracy, highlighting the benefit of incorporating linguistic information, especially in low-resource settings. To assess the impact of tree structure, we compare TET with a variant where languages are randomly assigned to leaf nodes (TET-Rnd). Linguistic-based TET outperforms TET-Rnd slightly (though performance varies across languages), indicating potential for further topology optimization. Finally, TET outperforms a comparable T5 model, with particularly strong gains on low-resource languages, further validating its advantage in diverse multilingual settings.

**S2TT:** Table 4 presents En-X cascaded S2TT results, comparing NAR Wav2Vec2 and AR Whisper models for the ASR component. In addition to WER, we report the inverse real-time factor (RTFx) to evaluate the speed of NAR models. Notably, Wav2Vec2-large, comparable in size to Whisper-small and Whisper-medium, yields only 3% higher average WER while being 7-14 $\times$  faster in RTFx. Building on this, our fully NAR TET pipeline achieves comparable ST accuracy while being substantially faster through parallel decoding. When translating a source speech into 5 languages simul-

S2T Translation Accuracy (WER%↓): Tatoeba subset (4392 examples)									
ASR Model	Size	RTFx	Target Language						Avg.
			Fr	De	It	Ru	Es		
no (MT)	-	-	43.4	57.5	40.3	48.4	38.1		45.5
wav2vec2-base-960h	95M	196.0	47.6	60.1	45.5	53.1	43.3		49.9
wav2vec2-large-960h	317M	158.9	47.0	59.6	44.8	52.6	42.7		49.4
whisper-small.en	244M	24.8	45.6	58.9	43.4	51.5	40.9		48.1
whisper-medium.en	769M	13.4	45.4	59.0	43.4	51.3	41.0		48.0
whisper-large-v2	1.55B	8.7	45.7	58.8	42.9	51.2	40.6		47.8

**Table 4.** Evaluation of EN-X S2TT. Wav2vec2 and Whisper models are compared based on the throughput (RTFx $\uparrow$ ) and WER%. RTFx is defined as the ratio of audio duration to processing time, indicating how many times faster than real time the model can process audio:  $RTFx = T_{audio}/T_{compute}$ .

taneously, NAR TET would be 35-70 $\times$  faster than an AR approach with a comparable parameter size. These results highlight TET with a NAR ASR backbone as an efficient solution for low-latency multilingual translation.

**S2ST:** We also test our framework on a 4-language S2ST setting (De, Fr, It, and Es), evaluating generated speech with Whisper-large-v2 to calculate ASR-WER and ASR-BLEU. The TET model achieves an average WER of 79.8% and an average BLEU2 score of 20.3 across all languages. We speculate that the limited performance is due to the NAR CTC setup, which lacks target-side context and produces shorter outputs. Further exploration of decoding strategies and alternative loss functions is warranted.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we propose a novel Transformer Encoder Tree (TET) architecture for non-autoregressive multilingual machine and speech translation using a CTC loss. By leveraging a judiciously designed hierarchy based on linguistic similarity, TET efficiently shares intermediate representations, improving accuracy for low-resource languages, and accelerating the multilingual translation process. Experimental results reveal that encoder-only layers eliminate the sequential bottleneck and significantly reduce latency without compromising performance. Overall, our approach shows effectiveness and strong potential for scenarios that require accurate, low-latency MT and ST across multiple target languages.

**Limitations:** Our evaluation uses relatively simple datasets, which may not reflect the challenges of more complex corpora such as LibriSpeech. Strong AR models (e.g., T5) could outperform our approach in accuracy in such settings. Moreover, our ST experiments are based on synthesized speech, which may not fully capture real-world acoustic variability.

**Future work:** In the future, we would like to develop an end-to-end speech translation version of our method to better meet real-time requirements, extend it to many-to-many translation, and evaluate on real speech data.

**Funding Acknowledgements:** This research was supported by the NSF National AI Institute for Student-AI Teaming (iSAT) under grant DRL #2019805, and also from an NSF CAREER grant #2046505. The opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not represent views of the NSF.

**Ethical Standards Statement:** This is a machine learning study on previously collected data, and thus no ethical approval was required.

## 6. REFERENCES

- [1] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Tao Xu, Greg Brockman, Christine McLeavey, and Ilya Sutskever, “Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision,” in *ICML*. PMLR, 2023.
- [2] Chao-Wei Huang, Hui Lu, Hongyu Gong, Hirofumi Inaguma, Ilya Kulikov, Ruslan Mavlyutov, and Sravya Popuri, “Investigating decoder-only large language models for speech-to-text translation,” in *Interspeech*, 2024.
- [3] Yifan Peng, Yui Sudo, Muhammad Shakeel, and Shinji Watanabe, “Owsm-ctc: An open encoder-only speech foundation model for speech recognition, translation, and language identification,” in *ACL*, 2024.
- [4] Ion Madrazo Azpiazu and Maria Soledad Pera, “A framework for hierarchical multilingual machine translation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.05507*, 2020.
- [5] Albina Khusainova, Adil Khan, Adín Ramírez Rivera, and Vitaly Romanov, “Hierarchical transformer for multilingual machine translation,” in *Workshop on NLP for Similar Languages, Varieties and Dialects*, 2021.
- [6] Alex Graves, Santiago Fernández, Faustino Gomez, and Jürgen Schmidhuber, “Connectionist temporal classification: labelling unsegmented sequence data with recurrent neural networks,” in *ICML*, 2006.
- [7] J Gu, J Bradbury, C Xiong, VOK Li, and R Socher, “Non-autoregressive neural machine translation,” in *ICLR*, 2018.
- [8] Jindřich Libovický and Jindřich Helcl, “End-to-end non-autoregressive neural machine translation with connectionist temporal classification,” in *EMNLP*, 2018.
- [9] Jungo Kasai, Nikolaos Pappas, Hao Peng, James Cross, and Noah Smith, “Deep encoder, shallow decoder: Reevaluating non-autoregressive machine translation,” in *ICLR*, 2021.
- [10] Yisheng Xiao, Lijun Wu, Junliang Guo, Juntao Li, Min Zhang, Tao Qin, and Tie-yan Liu, “A survey on non-autoregressive generation for neural machine translation and beyond,” *TPAMI*, 2023.
- [11] Hirofumi Inaguma, Yosuke Higuchi, Kevin Duh, Tatsuya Kawahara, and Shinji Watanabe, “Orthros: Non-autoregressive end-to-end speech translation with dual-decoder,” in *ICASSP*, 2021.
- [12] Zhengrui Ma, Qingkai Fang, Shaolei Zhang, Shoutao Guo, Yang Feng, and Min Zhang, “A non-autoregressive generation framework for end-to-end simultaneous speech-to-any translation,” in *Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 2024.
- [13] Qingkai Fang, Zhengrui Ma, Yan Zhou, Min Zhang, and Yang Feng, “Ctc-based non-autoregressive textless speech-to-speech translation,” in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL*, 2024.
- [14] Loïc Barrault, Yu-An Chung, Mariano Cora Meglioli, David Dale, Ning Dong, Paul-Ambroise Duquenne, Hady Elsahar, Hongyu Gong, Kevin Heffernan, John Hoffman, et al., “Seamlessm4t: massively multilingual & multimodal machine translation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.11596*, 2023.
- [15] Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu, “Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer,” *Journal of machine learning research*, 2020.
- [16] Alexei Baevski, Yuhao Zhou, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Michael Auli, “wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations,” *NeurIPS*, 2020.
- [17] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter, “Decoupled weight decay regularization,” in *ICLR*, 2019.
- [18] Desmond Elliott, Stella Frank, Khalil Sima’an, and Lucia Specia, “Multi30k: Multilingual english-german image descriptions,” in *Proceedings of the 5th Workshop on Vision and Language*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2016.
- [19] Jörg Tiedemann, “The tatoeba translation challenge-realistic data sets for low resource and multilingual mt,” in *The 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. The Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020.
- [20] “pyttsx3: Offline text to speech (tts) converter for python,” <https://github.com/nateshmbhat/pyttsx3>.