

ELIMINATING STABILITY HALLUCINATIONS IN LLM-BASED TTS MODELS VIA ATTENTION GUIDANCE

ShiMing Wang^{1,2}, ZhiHao Du², Yang Xiang², TianYu Zhao², Han Zhao², Qian Chen²,
XianGang Li², HanJie Guo¹, ZhenHua Ling^{1*}

¹University of Science and Technology of China
²Speech Lab, Alibaba Group, China

ABSTRACT

This paper focuses on resolving stability hallucinations (e.g., repetitive or omitted speech) in LLM-based Text-to-Speech (TTS) models by improving and leveraging the attention mechanism. First, we analyzed the alignment mechanism between text tokens and speech tokens in LLMs. We then proposed a metric termed the Optimal Alignment Score (OAS), which employs the Viterbi algorithm to evaluate text-speech alignment quality. Subsequently, OAS was integrated into the training of CosyVoice2 to assist LLMs in learning continuous, stable alignment. Additionally, the pre-trained attention value is employed to guide the training of the student CosyVoice2 via chain-of-thought (CoT), which further reduces stability hallucinations in synthesized speech. Experiments on the Seed-TTS-Eval and CV3-Eval test sets demonstrate that the proposed methods can effectively reduce the stability hallucinations of CosyVoice2 without introducing additional negative effects. The appendix is available at https://wsmzzz.github.io/llm_attn.

Index Terms— speech synthesis, large language model, hallucination, attention mechanism

1. INTRODUCTION

TTS technology aims to generate speech with high expressiveness and naturalness according to text input and other acoustic conditions (e.g., timbre, emotion, style). In recent years, with the rapid progress of speech codec [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] and generative large model [6, 7] technologies, the TTS system has made significant improvements, achieving synthesizing of highly natural, robust and controllable human-like speech.

In terms of the modeling process from text input to speech representations, TTS large models can be categorized into two types: LLM-based models [8, 9, 10, 11, 12] and diffusion-based models [13, 14]. Specifically, LLM-based models typically adopt decoder-only transformer architectures to predict discretized speech tokens via autoregressive or masked language modeling. In contrast, diffusion-based models generate audio features in parallel based on a pre-defined duration. In general, LLM-based TTS models perform better in modeling the temporal sequences and are better suited for multi-modal and multi-task scenarios. LLM-based TTS models lack an explicit text-speech alignment process. Instead, they generate speech corresponding to the prompt text via the next-token prediction paradigm. Although large-scale data enable LLM-based TTS models to synthesize stable speech in common text scenarios, they still suffer from stability hallucinations when processing long or hard texts. These issues include generating repetitive, even endless, speech and omitting segments of the intended speech.




Fig. 1: The visualization of the score for a typical “alignment head” in CosyVoice2, where the red dashed line represents the boundary between text tokens and speech tokens in the input sequence.

In earlier years, many studies [15, 16, 17, 18] on acoustic models attempted to optimize the cross-attention mechanism to improve robustness. They tried to impose forward, single-wise, or monotonic constraints on the text-speech attention learning process to mitigate severe alignment errors. However, these methods are improved based on the cross-attention mechanism between text and speech, making them incompatible with decoder-only LLM-based TTS models. Recently, Wang et al. [19] and Han et al. [8] implemented the hard stepwise monotonic self-attention during LLM-based TTS inference. Yet, the hard attention tends to degrade speech naturalness [20], as speech signals are inherently continuous. Han et al. [8], Lu et al. [21] and Sheng et al. [22] used the force-alignment labels to help LLM to locate the corresponding text tokens when generating speech tokens. Accurate forced alignment labels are difficult to obtain on large-scale datasets, which limits their application scenarios.

In this paper, we eliminate stability hallucinations in LLM-based TTS models via attention guidance. Notably, our method eliminates the need for forced alignment labels, while effectively improving the stability of LLM-based TTS models on hard text scenarios without compromising speech naturalness. The contributions of this paper are as follows: .1) We analyzed the alignment between text tokens and speech tokens in LLMs and proposed the metric termed the Optimal Alignment Score (OAS) to measure alignment quality. Experimental results verify that this proposed metric exhibits a strong correlation with the stability hallucinations of LLM-based TTS models. .2) Next, OAS is integrated into the training process of CosyVoice2 to facilitate it in learning continuous, stable alignment between text tokens and speech tokens. Experimental results demonstrate that this approach enables the synthesis of more stable and accurate speech. .3) To further mitigate stability hallucinations, we leverage attention values from the pre-trained model as prior knowledge to guide

Algorithm 1 Viterbi algorithm for the optimal alignment path

Input: Alignment probability matrix \mathbf{A} ($L_s \times L_t$)
Output: Optimal alignment path vector \mathbf{P} (L_s)

Initialize dynamic programming matrix \mathbf{dp} ($L_s \times L_t$) with zeros
 $\mathbf{dp}_{1,:} \leftarrow \mathbf{A}_{1,:}$
for $i \leftarrow 2$ to L_s **do**
 for $j \leftarrow 1$ to L_t **do**
 $\mathbf{dp}_{i,j} \leftarrow \mathbf{A}_{i,j} + \max(\mathbf{dp}_{i-1,j-1}, \mathbf{dp}_{i-1,j})$
 end for
end for
Initialize \mathbf{P} with zeros
 $j \leftarrow \arg \max(\mathbf{dp}_{L_s,:})$
for $i \leftarrow L_s - 1$ down to 1 **do**
 $\mathbf{P}_i \leftarrow j$
 $j \leftarrow j - 1$ if $\mathbf{dp}_{i-1,j-1} \geq \mathbf{dp}_{i-1,j}$ else j
end for
return \mathbf{P}

the training of the student CosyVoice2 model via the CoT approach. Specifically, the corresponding text tokens and progress bar values are used as supervision when the student model predicts speech tokens.

2. PROPOSED METHODS

In this section, we first conduct experiments to analyze the attention mechanism in LLM-based TTS models, aiming to capture the alignment between text tokens and speech tokens in the decoder-only architecture. We find that there exist some “alignment heads” in LLMs, which focus on the alignment between input text tokens and output speech tokens and their functions are similarly to the cross-attention mechanism. Subsequently, the OAS metric is proposed, which is used to evaluate the alignment quality between text tokens and speech tokens in LLMs. Next, OAS is integrated into the training process of LLMs to facilitate the model’s learning of robust alignment between text tokens and speech tokens. Finally, we demonstrate how to leverage the teacher attention of the pre-trained CosyVoice2 as prior knowledge to guide the student model’s training, thereby further enhancing the alignment between text tokens and speech tokens to eliminate stability hallucinations.

2.1. Attention analyses based on CosyVoice2

To evaluate the alignment between text tokens and speech tokens in LLM-based TTS models, CosyVoice2 [10] is used as the backbone to analyze the multi-head self-attention mechanism under the decoder-only architecture. The backbone CosyVoice2 adopts Qwen-0.5B as its initial LLM, which consists of 24 Transformer layers with 14 attention heads per layer. WenetSpeech4TTS [23] was used for the scratch training of the LLM of CosyVoice2. After analyzing the attention heads, the following patterns are observed: lower-layer attention heads tend to model global information, higher-layer ones focus on the neighboring regions of output tokens, and middle-layer heads contain specific “alignment heads”, as shown in Fig. 1. In the attention scores of these alignment heads, the weight regions from output speech tokens to input text tokens exhibit global forward alignment paths similar to those in the cross-attention mechanism. Detailed visualization analyses are available in the appendix¹. It is therefore hypothesized that these alignment heads have the most significant impact on the alignment between text tokens and speech tokens, and subsequent improvements and experiments are conducted based on this hypothesis.

¹https://wsmzzz.github.io/llm_attn/




Fig. 2: Variation of average OAS with Layer Depth in CosyVoice2

2.2. Metric for Alignment Quality: OAS

This section proposes the OAS metric, which is used to evaluate the alignment quality between input text tokens and output speech tokens in attention scores. The OAS metric will serve as a criterion for selecting alignment heads and be used to supervise alignment heads in learning high-quality text-speech alignment. Due to the characteristics of TTS tasks, the energy centers of the alignment curves between text tokens and speech tokens are supposed to be continuous and monotonic.

Inspired by Glow-TTS [24] and VITS [25], the Viterbi algorithm is applied to find an optimal alignment path from the aligned regions, maximizing the sum of attention probability along this path. The $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{L_s \times L_t}$ is a sub-matrix of the full attention probability matrix, representing the weights assigned by speech tokens to input text tokens, where L_s and L_t denote the length of output speech tokens and text tokens to be synthesized, respectively. The calculation of the optimal alignment path $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{Z}^{L_s}$ is shown in Algorithm 1. After obtaining the optimal alignment path \mathbf{P} , OAS is calculated as the ratio of the attention probability along the alignment path to the sum of scores within the alignment region, as follows:

$$\text{OAS} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{L_s} \mathbf{A}_{i, \mathbf{P}_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{L_s} \sum_{j=1}^{L_t} \mathbf{A}_{i,j}} \quad (1)$$

Since the OAS calculates the attention probability along the globally optimal alignment path, it can reflect the continuity and monotonicity of the attention between whole text tokens and whole speech tokens. Experimental results in Sec.3.2 demonstrate a strong correlation between the proposed OAS and word error rate (WER) in synthesized speech (with a correlation coefficient of 0.638).

2.3. Incorporate OAS into the training of CosyVoice2

Notably, since the calculation process of OAS retains forward gradients, OAS can be directly used as an optimization objective in the training of CosyVoice2. However, the function of attention heads exhibits randomness in each training iteration, making it impossible to directly apply the OAS loss to alignment heads.

To select the alignment heads in CosyVoice2, we calculated the average value of the top 7 OAS for each layer on the Seed-TTS-Eval² test set, as shown in Fig.2. It can be observed that the average OAS scores of 8-th layer and 9-th layer are significantly higher than those of other layers. Thus, it can be inferred that alignment heads are primarily distributed in Layers 8 and 9 of CosyVoice2. Therefore, we designated half of the attention heads in 8-th layer and 9-th

²<https://github.com/BytedanceSpeech/seed-tts-eval>




Fig. 3: Visualization of the attention-guided training for student CosyVoice2

layer of CosyVoice2 as alignment heads. To achieve this, we apply an attention mask that restricts these heads to focus solely on the alignment region between text tokens and speech tokens. The OAS regularization is then applied to these assigned heads. Since the attended region is restricted, the denominator in Equation 1 becomes a constant. Therefore, the final OAS regularization can be simplified to:

$$\mathcal{L}_{OAS} = -\frac{1}{L_s} \sum_{i=1}^{L_s} \log A_{i, P_i} \quad (2)$$

2.4. Attention-Guided Training for Student CosyVoice2

Valle-R [8] incorporates force alignment labels into the prediction targets of LLMs in the form of CoT to strengthen the positional relationship between text tokens and speech tokens. However, this approach requires forced alignment labels during the alignment process, which is unfavorable for large-scale data training. Given that CosyVoice2 can already synthesize fairly stable speech for common text scenarios, with a WER of less than 4% [10], we attempt to use the optimal path extracted from the attention of the pre-trained CosyVoice2 as pseudo forced alignment labels to guide the training of the student CosyVoice2 model, as shown in Fig. 3.

The optimal alignment path derived from the head with the highest OAS in teacher CosyVoice2 is employed as the pseudo forced alignment label. Given that pseudo forced alignment labels can only capture the approximate positional correlation between text tokens and speech tokens, this paper proposes two improvements to the text token prediction task under the CoT framework. First, the predicted text tokens are not used as input for the next step, so as to avoid the accumulation of errors caused by inaccurate text token prediction. Second, the text tokens employed as prediction targets are generated in a sparse repetition format, similar to the approach adopted in MegaTTS3 [20]. Denote the durations converted from the optimal alignment path and the input text tokens as $\mathbf{d} = [d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{L_t}]$ and $\mathbf{t} = [t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{L_t}]$, respectively. Given $\mathbf{d} = [2, 3, 3]$, the full repeated target sequence is $\mathbf{O}_w = [t_1, t_1, t_2, t_2, t_2, t_3, t_3, t_3]$ while the sparse repeated target sequence is $\mathbf{O}_s = [t_1, M, M, t_2, M, M, t_3, M]$. Specifically, each text token is randomly marked only once in the target sequence, with boundary positions avoided whenever possible. In this way, the issues of pseudo-label inaccuracies and ambiguous boundary clas-




Fig. 4: The WER-OAS in CosyVoice2 scatter plot, where the red dashed line denotes the linear fitting line.

sification are addressed, thereby providing more stable supervision for the student CosyVoice2.

Furthermore, hard input texts may contain sentences or phrases with repeated structural patterns. Relying solely on text tokens as the guide when predicting speech tokens is insufficient. Therefore, an additional prediction task for the progress bar value is introduced, which provides absolute positional progress information to help the LLM track how much of the text has been synthesized so far. The progress bar value is denoted as $\mathbf{p} = [p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{L_t}]$, where

$$p_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{L_t} d_i} \quad (3)$$

Next, \mathbf{p} is processed with sparse repetition at the valid positions of \mathbf{O}_s to generate \mathbf{O}_p . The loss function for the progress bar value is applied to the unmasked position, incorporating both the L1 loss and the first-order difference loss, which are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{pb} = \sum_{i=1}^{L_t} |\hat{p}_i - p_i| + \sum_{i=2}^{L_t} \max(p_i - \hat{p}_{i-1}, 0), \quad (4)$$

where \hat{p}_i represents the i -th predicted value of the progress bar value.

3. EXPERIMENT

3.1. Experimental Setup

Datasets: WenetSpeech4TTS [23] was used for the scratch training of the LLM. WenetSpeech4TTS is an open-source Mandarin dataset spanning multiple domains, containing 12,800 hours of paired text-speech data. Moreover, Seed-TTS-Eval and CV3-Eval³ were used as test sets. Specifically, the hardcase subset of Seed-TTS-Eval and the hard_zh subset of CV3-Eval were used to evaluate CosyVoice2’s performance in synthesizing speech from hard texts. The meta_zh subset of Seed-TTS-Eval and the zh subset of CV3-Eval, on the other hand, were used to evaluate its performance in synthesizing speech from common texts.

Models to be evaluated: 1) **CV1/2:** The standard CosyVoice1/2 models. 2) **CV2.M:** The CosyVoice2 model with manually designated alignment heads in 8-th layer and 9-th layer. 3) **CV2.OAS:** The CosyVoice2 model with \mathcal{L}_{OAS} applied to the designated attention heads. 4) **CV2.AG:** The student CosyVoice2 model applied the attention-guided training.

³<https://github.com/FunAudioLLM/CV3-Eval>

Table 1: The results of objective metrics on Seed-TTS-Eval and CV3-EVAL, where “pb” represents “progress bar”.

model	Seed-TTS-Eval						CV3-Eval					
	hard text			common text			hard text			common text		
	WER	SIM	utmos	WER	SIM	utmos	WER	SIM	utmos	WER	SIM	utmos
CV1	17.362%	0.685	3.084	4.032%	0.712	3.286	14.844 %	0.706	2.664	5.487 %	0.726	3.011
CV2	13.568 %	0.694	3.215	1.938%	0.730	3.488	10.239%	0.715	2.776	4.089%	0.749	3.060
CV2_M	13.915%	0.690	3.213	1.845%	0.731	3.490	10.386%	0.705	2.793	3.996 %	0.746	3.068
CV2_OAS	11.472%	0.696	3.213	1.755%	0.733	3.491	8.657%	0.715	2.859	3.637%	0.737	3.049
CV_AG												
+ full text	11.144%	0.688	3.180	1.791%	0.735	3.489	9.140%	0.702	2.834	3.725%	0.747	3.060
+ sparse text	10.610%	0.690	3.240	1.950%	0.730	3.491	8.123%	0.718	2.916	3.420%	0.744	3.089
+ sparse text & pb value	9.984%	0.697	3.245	1.899%	0.738	3.491	6.660%	0.725	2.825	3.211%	0.740	3.080

Table 2: The mean opinion scores (MOS) with 95% confidence under the common text scenarios

System	MOS	
	Seed-TTS-Eval	CV3-Eval
Ground Truth	4.43 ± 0.07	4.38 ± 0.07
CV2	4.13 ± 0.09	4.05 ± 0.08
CV2_M	4.17 ± 0.11	3.98 ± 0.13
CV2_OAS	4.18 ± 0.12	4.10 ± 0.10

3.2. Analysis of the OAS metric

To validate the soundness and effectiveness of the proposed OAS metric, tests were carried out using the hardcase subset of the Seed-TTS-Eval dataset. A set of 400 hard text sentences was synthesized by CV2, and the WER of the synthesized speech was calculated by Paraformer [26]. Following this, statistical analysis was performed on the OAS values of all attention heads. To derive the final OAS, the five highest OAS scores across all heads were extracted, and their mean value was computed. We select the top 5 highest-scoring heads because speech-text token alignment depends on the joint participation of multiple heads.

The relationship between the computed final OAS values and their corresponding WER values was visualized in Fig. 4, where red dashed line denotes the linear fitting line. Specially, the coefficient between OAS and WER is 0.638. This supports our hypothesis that the alignment between speech and text in LLMs is primarily influenced by several alignment heads with high OAS values. Thus, the OAS metric can be used to supervise the training of LLMs, thereby enhancing the alignment between text and speech.

3.3. Effectiveness of Incorporating OAS into CosyVoice2

In this section, we investigated the impact of incorporating \mathcal{L}_{OAS} into CosyVoice2. The test results of objective metrics, including WER, SIM, and UTMOS, were presented in the upper part of Tab. 1. The SIM and UTMOS were calculated by WavLM⁴ and UTMOS toolkit⁵, respectively. From the results, it could be observed that manually assigning attention heads to CV_M did not compromise the performance of CosyVoice2. Furthermore, for CV2_OAS applying \mathcal{L}_{OAS} , the WER of the synthesized speech decreased by 2.1% and 1.6% respectively under the hard text scenarios of Seed-TTS-Eval and CV3-Eval, while under common scenarios, the WER dropped by 0.1% and 0.2% respectively. Meanwhile, the SIM and UTMOS of the speech synthesized by CV2_OAS showed no decrease.

⁴<https://huggingface.co/microsoft/wavlm-large>⁵<https://github.com/fakerybakery/utmos>**Table 3:** The accuracy of the supervision of text tokens by the CV_AG model on the training and validation sets, where “acc” represents “accuracy”

Model	Train acc	Dev acc
CV_AG + full text	90.44%	89.59%
CV_AG + sparse text	97.10%	96.25%

Additionally, the Mean Opinion Score (MOS) test was conducted under the general text input scenario. Specifically, 30 sentences were randomly selected from each dataset for speech synthesis, and 15 native Mandarin speakers were invited to participate in the test. The experimental results are presented in Table.2. It can be observed that CV_OAS not only reduces stability hallucinations under hard text inputs but also slightly improves subjective speech naturalness. This is attributed to the fact that all improvements to the attention mechanism in CV_OAS are consistent with the task characteristics of TTS.

3.4. Effectiveness of Attention-Guided Training

In this section, we tested the effectiveness of attention-guided training. As shown in Table.1, the three models under test were: the full text token guided model, the sparse text token guided model, and the sparse text token & progress bar value guided model. It can be observed that after using the searched attention paths as pseudo-force alignment labels for attention-guided training, the stable hallucination of CosyVoice2 was effectively reduced. Moreover, the sparse text token supervision outperformed the full text token supervision. We calculated the prediction accuracy of text tokens during the training, as shown in Table. 3. Specifically, sparse text tokens can effectively avoid issues such as insufficient accuracy of pseudo-force alignment and ambiguous boundary definition, thereby better facilitating the alignment between text tokens and speech tokens. Additionally, incorporating the supervision of progress bar values into CV_AG can effectively reduce the misalignment between text tokens and speech tokens, thereby further alleviating stability hallucinations.

4. CONCLUSION

This paper attempts to address stability hallucinations in LLM-based TTS by leveraging the attention mechanism. By introducing the OAS loss and attention-guided training, it effectively reduces stability hallucinations in speech synthesized by CosyVoice2 under hard text scenarios without introducing additional negative effects.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] Shengpeng Ji, Ziyue Jiang, Wen Wang, Yifu Chen, Minghui Fang, Jialong Zuo, Qian Yang, Xize Cheng, Zehan Wang, Ruiqi Li, et al., “Wavtokenizer: an efficient acoustic discrete codec tokenizer for audio language modeling,” in *Proc.ICLR*, 2025.
- [2] Alexandre Défossez, Jade Copet, Gabriel Synnaeve, and Yossi Adi, “High fidelity neural audio compression,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.13438*, 2022.
- [3] Zhihao Du, Shiliang Zhang, Kai Hu, and Siqi Zheng, “Fun-codec: A fundamental, reproducible and integrable open-source toolkit for neural speech codec,” in *Proc.ICASSP*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 591–595.
- [4] Zhen Ye, Peiwen Sun, Jiahe Lei, Hongzhan Lin, Xu Tan, Zheqi Dai, Qiuqiang Kong, Jianyi Chen, Jiahao Pan, Qifeng Liu, et al., “Codec does matter: Exploring the semantic shortcoming of codec for audio language model,” in *Proc.AAAI*, 2025, pp. 25697–25705.
- [5] Detai Xin, Xu Tan, Shinnosuke Takamichi, and Hiroshi Saruwatari, “Bigcodec: Pushing the limits of low-bitrate neural speech codec,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.05377*, 2024.
- [6] Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al., “Gpt-4 technical report,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- [7] Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al., “Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023.
- [8] Bing Han, Long Zhou, Shujie Liu, Sanyuan Chen, Lingwei Meng, Yanming Qian, Yanqing Liu, Sheng Zhao, Jinyu Li, and Furu Wei, “Vall-e r: Robust and efficient zero-shot text-to-speech synthesis via monotonic alignment,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07855*, 2024.
- [9] Zhihao Du, Qian Chen, Shiliang Zhang, Kai Hu, Heng Lu, Yexin Yang, Hangrui Hu, Siqi Zheng, Yue Gu, Ziyang Ma, et al., “Cosyvoice: A scalable multilingual zero-shot text-to-speech synthesizer based on supervised semantic tokens,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.05407*, 2024.
- [10] Zhihao Du, Yuxuan Wang, Qian Chen, Xian Shi, Xiang Lv, Tianyu Zhao, Zhifu Gao, Yexin Yang, Changfeng Gao, Hui Wang, et al., “Cosyvoice 2: Scalable streaming speech synthesis with large language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.10117*, 2024.
- [11] Zhihao Du, Changfeng Gao, Yuxuan Wang, Fan Yu, Tianyu Zhao, Hao Wang, Xiang Lv, Hui Wang, Chongjia Ni, Xian Shi, et al., “Cosyvoice 3: Towards in-the-wild speech generation via scaling-up and post-training,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.17589*, 2025.
- [12] Zhen Ye, Xinfa Zhu, Chi-Min Chan, Xinsheng Wang, Xu Tan, Jiahe Lei, Yi Peng, Haohe Liu, Yizhu Jin, Zheqi Dai, et al., “Llaza: Scaling train-time and inference-time compute for llama-based speech synthesis,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.04128*, 2025.
- [13] Yushen Chen, Zhikang Niu, Ziyang Ma, Keqi Deng, Chunhui Wang, Jian Zhao, Kai Yu, and Xie Chen, “F5-tts: A fairytaler that fakes fluent and faithful speech with flow matching,” *CoRR*, 2024.
- [14] Sefik Emre Eskimez, Xiaofei Wang, Manthan Thakker, Canrun Li, Chung-Hsien Tsai, Zhen Xiao, Hemin Yang, Zirun Zhu, Min Tang, Xu Tan, et al., “E2 tts: Embarrassingly easy fully non-autoregressive zero-shot tts,” in *2024 IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 682–689.
- [15] Mutian He, Yan Deng, and Lei He, “Robust sequence-to-sequence acoustic modeling with stepwise monotonic attention for neural tts,” *Proc.Interspeech*, 2019.
- [16] Zhen Zeng, Jianzong Wang, Ning Cheng, Tian Xia, and Jing Xiao, “Align-tts: Efficient feed-forward text-to-speech system without explicit alignment,” in *Proc.ICASSP*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 6714–6718.
- [17] Jing-Xuan Zhang, Zhen-Hua Ling, and Li-Rong Dai, “Forward attention in sequence-to-sequence acoustic modeling for speech synthesis,” in *Proc.ICASSP*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 4789–4793.
- [18] Hideyuki Tachibana, Katsuya Uenoyama, and Shunsuke Aihara, “Efficiently trainable text-to-speech system based on deep convolutional networks with guided attention,” in *Proc.ICASSP*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 4784–4788.
- [19] Hankun Wang, Chenpeng Du, Yiwei Guo, Shuai Wang, Xie Chen, and Kai Yu, “Attention-constrained inference for robust decoder-only text-to-speech,” in *2024 IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 630–637.
- [20] Ziyue Jiang, Yi Ren, Ruiqi Li, Shengpeng Ji, Boyang Zhang, Zhenhui Ye, Chen Zhang, Bai Jionghao, Xiaoda Yang, Jialong Zuo, et al., “Megatts 3: Sparse alignment enhanced latent diffusion transformer for zero-shot speech synthesis,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.18924*, 2025.
- [21] Chunhui Lu, Xue Wen, Liming Song, and Junkwang Oh, “Robust neural codec language modeling with phoneme position prediction for zero-shot tts,” in *Proc.Interspeech*, 2025, pp. 2475–2479.
- [22] Zhengyan Sheng, Zhihao Du, Shiliang Zhang, Zhijie Yan, Yexin Yang, and Zhenhua Ling, “Synspeech: Low-latency and efficient dual-stream text-to-speech based on temporal masked transformer,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.11094*, 2025.
- [23] Linhan Ma, Dake Guo, Kun Song, Yuepeng Jiang, Shuai Wang, Liumeng Xue, Weiming Xu, Huan Zhao, Binbin Zhang, and Lei Xie, “Wenetspeech4tts: A 12,800-hour mandarin tts corpus for large speech generation model benchmark,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.05763*, 2024.
- [24] Jaehyeon Kim, Sungwon Kim, Jungil Kong, and Sungroh Yoon, “Glow-tts: A generative flow for text-to-speech via monotonic alignment search,” in *Proc.NIPS*, 2020, pp. 8067–8077.
- [25] Jaehyeon Kim, Jungil Kong, and Juhee Son, “Conditional variational autoencoder with adversarial learning for end-to-end text-to-speech,” in *Proc.ICML*. PMLR, 2021, pp. 5530–5540.
- [26] Zhifu Gao, Shiliang Zhang, Ian McLoughlin, and Zhijie Yan, “Paraformer: Fast and accurate parallel transformer for non-autoregressive end-to-end speech recognition,” in *Proc.Interspeech*, 2022.