

Generative AI Meets Wireless Sensing: Towards Wireless Foundation Model

Zheng Yang[✉], *Fellow, IEEE*, Guoxuan Chi[✉], *Member, IEEE*, Chenshu Wu[✉], *Senior Member, IEEE*, Hanyu Liu[✉], *Student Member, IEEE*, Yuchong Gao[✉], *Student Member, IEEE*, Yunhao Liu, *Fellow, IEEE*, Jie Xu[✉], *Fellow, IEEE*, Tony Xiao Han, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) has made significant advancements in fields such as computer vision (CV) and natural language processing (NLP), demonstrating its capability to synthesize high-fidelity data and improve generalization. Recently, there has been growing interest in integrating GenAI into wireless sensing systems. By leveraging generative techniques such as data augmentation, domain adaptation, and denoising, wireless sensing applications, including device localization, human activity recognition, and environmental monitoring, can be significantly improved. This survey investigates the convergence of GenAI and wireless sensing from two complementary perspectives. First, we explore how GenAI can be integrated into wireless sensing pipelines, focusing on two modes of integration: as a plugin to augment task-specific models and as a solver to directly address sensing tasks. Second, we analyze the characteristics of mainstream generative models, such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), Variational Autoencoders (VAEs), and diffusion models, and discuss their applicability and unique advantages across various wireless sensing tasks. We further identify key challenges in applying GenAI to wireless sensing and outline a future direction toward a wireless foundation model—a unified, pre-trained design capable of scalable, adaptable, and efficient signal understanding across diverse sensing tasks.

Index Terms—Generative models, wireless sensing, data augmentation, domain adaptation, foundation model.

I. INTRODUCTION

GENERATIVE Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) has emerged as a transformative paradigm in machine learning, offering the ability to learn complex data distributions and generate high-fidelity outputs across modalities. Driven by advancements in deep learning techniques, GenAI has given rise to a new generation of cutting-edge applications, such as Stable Diffusion [1], Midjourney [2], and DALL-E [3] for image creation, as well as ChatGPT [4] for text generation. These advances

Zheng Yang, Guoxuan Chi, Hanyu Liu, Yuchong Gao, and Yunhao Liu are with the Tsinghua University, Beijing, China (e-mail: hmi-lyyz@gmail.com, chiguoxuan@gmail.com, hanyuli03@gmail.com, gaoyc01@gmail.com, yunhao@tsinghua.edu.cn).

Chenshu Wu is with the Department of Computer Science, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong 999077 (e-mail: chenshu@cs.hku.hk).

Jie Xu is with the School of Science and Engineering (SSE), Chinese University of Hong Kong (Shenzhen), Guangdong 518172, China (email: xujie@cuhk.edu.cn).

Tony Xiao Han is with the Huawei Technology Co. Ltd., Guangdong 518129, China (tony.hanxiao@huawei.com).

Zheng Yang is the corresponding author.

have redefined the frontiers of data synthesis, cross-modal reasoning, and zero-shot generalization.

In recent years, GenAI has increasingly been applied to wireless systems. For instance, recent studies have explored the use of GenAI for channel estimation [5], [6], resource allocation [7], and beam management [8], primarily targeting communication-layer tasks. Beyond communication, wireless sensing [9], [10] has emerged as a particularly promising area for GenAI integration. It leverages different types of wireless signals, such as those from Wi-Fi, cellular networks, mmWave radar, and IoT devices to perceive the surrounding environment, enabling tasks such as detection [11], estimation [12], recognition [13], and environmental reconstruction [14]. It has found increasing adoption in emerging applications such as low-altitude economy, including uncrewed aerial vehicle (UAV) detection [15] and tracking [16]; autonomous driving, where it supports obstacle sensing [17] and in-cabin monitoring [18]; and smart home environments, which benefit from capabilities like human activity recognition [19] and fall detection [20]. A major design trend in this domain is Integrated Sensing and Communication (ISAC) [21], [22], in which sensing and communication capabilities are jointly optimized within a unified system. This convergence opens new opportunities for applying GenAI models that can jointly exploit signal patterns for both communication and perception tasks.

Despite recent advances, most wireless sensing systems still rely on conventional discriminative modeling approaches, including model-based signal processing and task-specific supervised learning. Model-based signal processing techniques typically depend on handcrafted features and strong assumptions about propagation environments, such as geometric channel models or simplified multi-path effects. While interpretable and analytically grounded, these methods often struggle to generalize to complex or dynamic scenarios [23]. Supervised learning approaches, particularly deep neural networks trained for specific sensing tasks, require large amounts of labeled data and are prone to overfitting, leading to poor generalization across environments and devices [24]. Overall, these conventional methods face several fundamental limitations, including data scarcity [25], limited generalization [26], and the need for expert-driven, task-specific model design [27]. Such challenges significantly




Fig. 1. **Typical application scenarios of Generative AI-enabled wireless sensing.** Generative AI enhances the performance of various wireless tasks by leveraging generative methods for channel estimation and signal denoising, as well as serving as a data augmentation or domain adaptation module to improve the accuracy of device localization and human activity perception.




Fig. 2. Performance gains of generative model as a plugin for data augmentation in wireless sensing pipelines.

hinder the scalability and adaptability of wireless sensing systems in diverse real-world deployments.

To address these issues, generative AI has emerged as a promising paradigm. Recent advances in GenAI, including models such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [28], Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) [29], and diffusion models [30], offer powerful capabilities in data synthesis, domain adaptation, and robust representation learning across diverse modalities. These strengths make GenAI particularly well-suited to wireless sensing systems, where data is often limited, annotations are costly, and signal conditions vary widely. By generating high-quality synthetic data and modeling complex signal distributions, GenAI can alleviate the dependency on large-scale labeled datasets, enhance cross-domain generalization, and improve robustness to noise and signal distortion.

Early efforts to integrate GenAI into wireless sensing pipelines have shown encouraging results across various downstream tasks. These studies demonstrate that the

combination of generative models and wireless sensing is not just a theoretical direction, but a practical and effective approach toward building intelligent and scalable sensing systems. To better understand the current landscape, we categorize existing work into two major paradigms: using GenAI as a plugin to enhance traditional models, and as a solver to directly tackle sensing tasks.

On one hand, Fig.2 illustrates the advantages of using GenAI as a plugin in wireless sensing systems. Specifically, researchers have employed generative models for data augmentation and domain adaptation to enhance existing wireless systems in a wide range of applications such as human activity sensing [31]–[51] and device localization [52]–[61]. These improvements have led to substantial performance gains across a range of wireless sensing tasks, as demonstrated in recent studies on gesture recognition [31]–[36], fall detection [37]–[41], gait analysis [42]–[45], activity recognition [46]–[49], and crowd detection [50], [51], as reported in the original studies.

On the other hand, Fig.3 demonstrates the effectiveness




Fig. 3. Performance improvement of generative methods as standalone task solvers compared to traditional methods.




Fig. 4. **Structure of this survey.** This paper reviews the applications of Generative AI in wireless sensing tasks from two distinct perspectives: the wireless sensing pipeline and generative AI techniques. Based on this analysis, this paper further outlines future trends towards wireless foundation models.

of using GenAI models as a standalone task solver. Compared to traditional non-generative approaches, GenAI has shown superior performance in representative tasks such as signal anomaly detection [62]–[65], channel estimation [66]–[71], and radio map generation [72]–[76]. These results further highlight the powerful potential of GenAI in advancing a variety of wireless tasks. These performance improvements are also drawn directly from the experimental results reported in the cited works.

In view of the growing trends, this paper provides a comprehensive survey on the integration of GenAI into wireless sensing, as illustrated in Fig.4. Section II reviews the current state of research and identifies gaps that demonstrate the promise of GenAI in wireless sensing. Section III presents two complementary perspectives on how GenAI can be integrated into wireless sensing pipelines: as a plugin to enhance traditional models and as a solver to directly address sensing tasks. Section IV discusses specific GenAI techniques, such as GANs, VAEs, and diffusion models, evaluating their strengths and limitations in practical wireless sensing applications. Section V analyzes key challenges in integrating GenAI, including data scarcity, model generalization, and scalability, which remain open problems in the field. Finally, Section VI proposes a future vision of a wireless founda-

tion model that unifies these GenAI techniques to create scalable and adaptable systems, and the paper concludes in Section VII.

II. RELATED WORK

Table I summarizes the key distinctions between our survey and existing surveys across several dimensions, including application scope, generative methods, and signal modalities. Most existing surveys on GenAI in wireless contexts predominantly target communication scenarios, emphasizing applications such as network scheduling and system-level optimization [77], [78], [81]. Although a few recent studies investigate diffusion models for physical-layer signal processing [79], [82], their focus remains centered on enhancing throughput and security rather than addressing sensing-specific objectives.

In contrast, surveys that focus on wireless sensing often lack a thorough and dedicated analysis of generative models [84]–[86], even though interest in this area has been steadily increasing. While some recent reviews briefly discuss classical generative models, such as GANs [84], very few offer a systematic overview of more recent developments. These developments include diffusion-based generative architectures and transformer-based sequence models. In addition, the variety of wireless signal inputs, such as Channel State Information (CSI), and radar spectrograms, is typically underexplored in the literature, which reduces the applicability of these surveys to real-world sensing scenarios.

To the best of our knowledge, this survey is the first to offer a comprehensive and structured investigation of generative AI techniques within the context of wireless sensing. It distinguishes itself by jointly covering the diversity of signal modalities and the breadth of generative model families. In addition, existing works lack a clear taxonomy on how generative models can be integrated into sensing pipelines, a gap this paper addresses through the plugin/solver framework. By bridging these two perspectives, our work fills a critical gap in the current literature and provides a foundational reference for future research in this emerging field.

TABLE I
COMPARISON BETWEEN EXISTING SURVEYS ON GENERATIVE MODEL FOR WIRELESS APPLICATIONS

Ref.	Modalities			Generative Methods				Scope & Key Techniques
	Cellular	Radar	Wi-Fi	GAN	VAE	Diffusion	AR	
[77]	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Communication, GenAI, Channel Estimation & Equalization
[78]	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	Communication, GenAI, xG Optimization & Load Balancing
[79]	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	Communication, GenAI, Resource Allocation
[80]	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	IIoT, GenAI, Anomaly Detection, Platform Monitoring
[81]	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	Communication, DL, 6G Autonomous & Self-Evolving Networks
[82]	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	Communication, GenAI, Security & Authentication
[83]	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	Communication, GenAI, Multimodal Content Provisioning
[84]	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	Sensing, DL, Feature Extraction, Localization
[85]	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	Sensing, ML, Activity Recognition
[86]	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	Sensing, Device-Free, Activity Recognition, Localization
[87]	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	Sensing, DL, Localization
[88]	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	Sensing, DL, Activity Recognition, Feature Extraction
[89]	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	Sensing, DL, Indoor Localization
[90]	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	Sensing, ML, Activity Recognition
[91]	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	Sensing, ML, Activity Recognition
[92]	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	Sensing, GenAI, Human Identification
[93]	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	Sensing, DL, Localization
[94]	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	Sensing, DL, Activity Recognition, Localization
[95]	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	Sensing, DL, Activity Recognition, Human Identification
Ours	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Sensing, comprehensive survey on the application of various generative AI models across diverse wireless sensing tasks




Fig. 5. **Comparison of wireless sensing pipelines.** (a) The traditional pipeline employs task-specific discriminative models without generative assistance. (b) In plugin-based pipelines, GenAI modules are used to enhance data diversity and domain generalization. (c) In solver-based pipelines, GenAI models directly perform sensing tasks by generating outputs from input signals and conditional priors.

III. WIRELESS SENSING PIPELINES WITH GENERATIVE AI

The integration of GenAI into wireless sensing systems can be systematically understood through the lens of the sensing pipeline. A typical pipeline, as illustrated in Fig.5a, begins with a physical-layer signal or extracted feature as input, which is processed by a discriminative model, such as a signal processing algorithm or task-specific deep learning network, and ultimately produces a high-level output such as localization, activity recognition, or environmental mapping.

Generative models reshape this pipeline by introducing flexible mechanisms for signal generation, representation

learning, and cross-modal translation. The key distinction lies in the execution strategy: generative components can be incorporated as auxiliary modules to enhance existing discriminative models, as shown in Fig.5b, or serve as end-to-end task solvers that directly map inputs to outputs, as depicted in Fig.5c. Furthermore, generative models often incorporate conditional inputs, such as environmental layouts, device positions, or signal priors, which improve controllability, increase realism, and enhance generalization across scenarios. This leads to greater diversity in both input modalities and output representations compared to conventional sensing systems.

To provide a structured view of these interactions, we

TABLE II
REPRESENTATIVE WIRELESS SENSING APPLICATIONS LEVERAGING GENERATIVE AI

Legend: ● = GenAI used as **task solver**; ○ = GenAI used as **plugin or auxiliary module**; ◐ = GenAI used in **both modes**.

System Name	Input		Downstream Tasks		Generation's Target		Condition
	Description	Raw Signal	Description	Task types	Description	Multi modal	
RF-Diffusion [66]	Wi-Fi CSI, Radar	✓	Data Completion, Channel Prediction	◐	Real Signal Generation, Network Optimization	✗	✓
SynMotion [96]	Visual Data, Human Body Mesh	✓	Data Completion, Anomaly Detection	◐	Real Signal Generation, Environmental Reconstruction	✗	✓
NeRF ² [67]	Signal Amplitude/Phase, Environmental Information	✗	Data Augmentation, Feature Optimization	◐	Environmental Reconstruction	✗	✓
FallDar [20]	WiFi-CSI	✓	Data Augmentation	○	Feature Generation	✗	✓
Eren Balevi et al. [97]	CSI	✓	Channel Prediction	●	Real signal generation	✗	✓
Michael Baur et al. [98]	CSI	✓	Channel Prediction	●	Feature Generation	✗	✓
RF Genesis [34]	Visual Data, Graphical Data	✗	Data Augmentation, Domain Generalization	○	Cross-Modal Data Generation	✓	✓
CDDM [99]	CSI	✓	Feature Optimization	●	Feature Generation	✗	✓
DiffGait [44]	Radar, Doppler Shift	✓	Data Augmentation	○	Feature Generation	✗	✓
DiffAR [49]	Wi-Fi CSI, Time-Frequency Characteristics	✓	Data Completion	○	Real Signal Generation	✗	✓
RFID-ACCLDM [48]	RFID	✓	Data Completion, Data Augmentation	○	Feature Generation, Real Signal Generation	✗	✓
mmDiff [100]	Radar Point Cloud	✓	Feature Optimization	●	Feature Generation	✗	✓
Wi-Cro [37]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Domain Generalization, Data Augmentation	○	Real Signal Generation	✗	✓
S. Vishwakarma et al. [47]	Radar, Noise Features	✓	Data Completion	○	Real Signal Generation	✗	✓
J. H. Seong et al. [101]	AP Coordinates, Environmental Information, Wi-Fi RSSI	✓	Data Completion, Feature Optimization, Image Generation	◐	Feature Generation, Environmental Reconstruction	✗	✗
P. F. Moshiri et al. [46]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Data Augmentation	○	Feature Generation	✗	✓

TABLE II
REPRESENTATIVE WIRELESS SENSING APPLICATIONS LEVERAGING GENERATIVE AI (CONTINUED)

System Name	Input		Downstream Tasks		Generation's Target		Condition
	Description	Raw Signal	Description	Task types	Description	Multi modal	
C. Lin et al. [102]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Data Augmentation	○	Network Optimization, Real Signal Generation	✗	✓
DANGR [103]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Domain Generalization, Data Augmentation	○	Real Signal Generation	✗	✓
WiADG [26]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Feature Optimization	●	Feature Generation	✗	✓
CSI4Free [104]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Data Augmentation	○	Real Signal Generation	✗	✓
WiARGAN [41]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Data Augmentation	○	Real Signal Generation	✗	✓
WTF-DCGAN [55]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Data Balancing	○	Feature Generation	✗	✓
WaveEar [105]	Time-Frequency Characteristics, Reflection Characteristics	✗	Feature Optimization	●	Real Signal Generation, Environmental Reconstruction	✗	✓
SCL [14]	Wi-Fi RSSI	✓	Anomaly Detection	●	Feature Generation, Environmental Reconstruction	✗	✓
RecTrack-GAN [106]	Wi-Fi RSSI	✓	Data Completion	●	Environmental Reconstruction	✗	✓
G-HFD [50]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Feature Optimization, Super-Resolution	●	Feature Generation, Environmental Reconstruction	✗	✓
WiSIA [107]	Wi-Fi RSSI	✓	Image Generation, Super Resolution	●	Feature Generation	✗	✓
GAN-LTE [108]	LTE RSSI	✓	Data Completion, Data Augmentation	○	Feature Generation	✗	✓
Semi-supervised-VAE [109]	WiFi-RSSI, Environmental Information	✓	Data Repair, Feature Optimization	○	Feature Generation, Environmental Reconstruction	✗	✓
VAE-LSTM [110]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Anomaly Detection	●	Feature Generation	✗	✗
MoPoE-VAE [111]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Image Generation, Feature Optimization	●	Feature Generation	✓	✗
VAWSS [112]	Wi-Fi CSI, Environmental Information, Ray Tracing	✓	Data Completion	○	Feature Generation	✗	✓
FiDo [113]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Domain Generalization, Data Completion	○	Feature Generation;	✗	✓
Fidora [114]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Data Completion	○	Feature Generation	✗	✓

TABLE II
 REPRESENTATIVE WIRELESS SENSING APPLICATIONS LEVERAGING GENERATIVE AI (CONTINUED)

System Name	Input		Downstream Tasks		Generation's Target		Condition
	Description	Raw Signal	Description	Task types	Description	Multi modal	
EfficientFi [115]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Feature Optimization	●	Feature Generation	✗	✗
Delayed-Fusing [116]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Anomaly Detection, Feature Optimization	●	Feature Generation	✗	✗
RA-HDL [117]	Wi-Fi RSSI	✓	Feature Optimization, Data Completion	●	Feature Generation, Environmental Reconstruction	✗	✓
TriSense [118]	Wi-Fi CSI, Wi-Fi RSSI, Radar, RFID	✓	Domain Generalization, Data Completion	◐	Cross-Modal Data Generation, Feature Generation	✓	✓
Rfidar [119]	RFID, Radar	✓	Data Completion, Feature Optimization	◑	Feature Generation, Cross-Modal Data Generation	✓	✓
WiGAN [36]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Data Balancing, Feature Optimization	◐	Feature Generation	✗	✓
MCBAR [19]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Data Completion, Domain Generalization	○	Feature Generation	✗	✓
CAE [120]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Feature Optimization, Image Generation	●	Feature Generation	✗	✓
CrossGR [32]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Data Completion	○	Real Signal Generation	✗	✓
Wi-CHAR [121]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Feature Optimization	●	Feature Generation, Real Signal Generation	✗	✓
WiFi2Radar [122]	Wi-Fi CSI, Radar	✓	Feature Optimization	◐	Feature Generation	✗	✓
RFBoost [31]	Time-Frequency Characteristics	✗	Data Completion, Data Balancing	○	Feature Generation, Network Optimization	✗	✗
TFSemantic [123]	RFID, Radar	✓	Data Balancing	○	Feature Generation	✗	✓
CAE-MAS [124]	Radar	✓	Feature Optimization	●	Feature Generation	✗	✗
CrossSense [125]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Domain Generalization	○	Network Optimization	✗	✓
LLM4CP [126]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Channel Prediction	●	Real Signal Generation	✗	✓
Smartbond [127]	CSI	✓	Channel Prediction	●	Network Optimization	✗	✓
SGAN [128]	Wi-Fi RSSI	✓	Data Augmentation	○	Real Signal Generation, Environmental Reconstruction	✗	✓
GenAINet [129]	Wi-Fi CSI	✓	Feature Optimization	○	Network Optimization	✓	✓

analyze the generative sensing pipeline from four perspectives: the input signal modalities, the target outputs of the generative process, the execution strategy of the generative model, and whether conditional constraints are incorporated during generation. These dimensions are reflected across a broad range of recent wireless sensing systems, as summarized in Table II, which categorizes representative works by their generative roles, input types, task objectives, and use of conditional inputs.

A. Input Modalities

In wireless sensing systems, the state of received wireless signals can be used as the inputs of the generative model. These signals may come from commercial wireless devices or Software-Defined Radios (SDRs). The following sections explore three primary signal types: received signal strength indicator (RSSI), CSI, and radar, which are widely used for relevant tasks.

1) *RSSI*: RSSI is a general wireless signal strength measurement metric that can be directly obtained from most commercial wireless devices, such as Wi-Fi [130], [131], LoRa [132], [133], and RFID [134], and is used to assess the spatial attenuation characteristics of signal propagation. Unlike other signal measurement methods, RSSI is highly influenced by environmental factors and typically follows propagation attenuation models, including path loss, shadowing, and multi-path models [135], which describes the effects of absorption, reflection, scattering, and diffraction of obstacles on signal power. Compared to precise ranging technologies like Ultra-Wideband (UWB), the average RSSI may exhibit a monotonically decreasing relationship with distance on a large scale, making it suitable for coarse power-based ranging. However, due to the influence of random shadowing effects, this relationship is not stable on a relatively small scale. Additionally, RSSI is susceptible to multipath effects, causing fluctuations on the scale of the signal wavelength, which limits its applicability in fine-grained positioning.

2) *CSI*: CSI provides finer-grained wireless channel measurement capabilities compared to RSSI, capturing rich physical-layer information such as multi-path effects, phase variations, and path loss experienced by wireless signals during propagation [95]. The high-resolution CSI data reflects subtle environmental changes and exhibit high sensitivity to the spatiotemporal characteristics of the channel. Additionally, CSI demonstrates strong noise resistance and stability, as it not only relies on overall signal strength but also analyzes the independent characteristics of individual subcarriers, enabling more precise channel estimation in complex environments. CSI can further provide detailed signal propagation information across different frequencies and different antenna elements, making it suitable for more refined channel modeling and analysis. These characteristics make CSI a crucial foundation in the field of wireless sensing, offering

extensive possibilities for intelligent signal analysis and environmental perception.

3) *Radar*: Radar signals usually feature high time and spatial resolution, enabling precise perception capabilities in complex environments. For example, FMCW radar sends chirp signals [136], and when they encounter a target and reflect back, the frequency of the received signal differs from the transmitted signal [137], [138]. By measuring this frequency difference, the distance and relative velocity of the target can be calculated. FMCW radar can improve distance resolution by increasing the frequency bandwidth, achieving high-precision human posture recognition, and is therefore widely applied in the field of wireless sensing [139], [140].

B. Generative Objectives

GenAI has found widespread use in wireless sensing tasks, including signal generation, feature extraction, environment reconstruction, and network optimization.

1) *Signal Generation*: Generative models have wide applications in signal generation for wireless sensing, including wireless signal synthesis, channel modeling, interference mitigation, and cross-modal signal generation. It can simulate real-world channel environments to generate realistic Wi-Fi, LoRa, or 5G signals, supporting data augmentation and testing for wireless sensing tasks [104], [34], [119]. By learning the underlying features of wireless signals, it optimizes channel modeling, improving the accuracy and robustness of environmental sensing. Cross-modal signal generation enhances data diversity, strengthening the domain generalization ability of wireless sensing models. Additionally, it can be used to enhance signal quality through denoising, completion, or amplification of weak signals, improving target detection, activity recognition, and scene reconstruction in complex environments [108], [19]. These advancements significantly enhance the precision, robustness, and adaptability of wireless sensing, driving the development of intelligent wireless perception.

2) *Feature Generation*: Feature generation using generative models could automatically generate meaningful information from complex wireless signals to support tasks such as object detection, activity recognition, and environmental sensing [20], [99], [123]. Through self-supervised learning, GenAI can generate key features from unlabeled wireless signals, reducing the reliance on large amounts of labeled data and improving the adaptability of sensing systems. In cross-modal tasks, GenAI can fuse features from different types of signals, such as Wi-Fi signals combined with visual or audio signals, enhancing the multi-modal understanding of sensing models. Additionally, the advantages of GenAI in denoising and data completion enable it to generate clearer, more reliable features from noisy environments, improving the performance of sensing systems in complex environments and optimizing the accuracy and robustness

of tasks like object detection, activity analysis, and scene reconstruction [141], [114], [142].

3) *Environment Reconstruction*: Generative model has significant technical advantages in wireless sensing tasks with output objectives such as radio map generation and environment prediction. By learning the propagation characteristics of wireless signals, GenAI can construct high-precision radio environment models, reducing the need for actual measurements and improving network planning and optimization efficiency [101]. Additionally, GenAI can predict changes in the wireless environment, proactively identify potential sources of interference, and enhance the system's adaptability and robustness. In environmental reconstruction, GenAI uses limited sensing data to infer and reconstruct the physical characteristics of the environment [143], providing a foundation for wireless sensing tasks like indoor positioning.

C. Execution Strategies

As illustrated in Fig.5, GenAI can be integrated into wireless sensing pipelines in two main ways: as an auxiliary plugin or as a standalone task solver. This integration has significantly transformed how wireless systems acquire, process, and interpret signal information. Unlike traditional pipelines that rely on feature extraction and discriminative models, generative approaches enable signal synthesis, domain adaptation, and robust representation learning, leading to more adaptive and generalizable solutions.

1) *Generative Models as Task Solvers*: When generative models serve as task solvers, the output of GenAI is often closely related to the sensing task and optimization objectives. As a primary technical support for wireless sensing, generative models directly contribute to the task output of wireless sensing.

Concerning the task of wireless signal environment modeling, researchers investigate the generative models like GAN, VAE. Regarding environmental wireless signal modeling, research often focuses on tasks such as radio map prediction, super-resolution, and activity prediction. For instance, in [101], researchers proposed an unsupervised learning-based WiFi fingerprinting system, where the coordinates of access points (APs) and fingerprint vectors were fed into a GAN model. The generator in the GAN learned the reference floor's signal distribution and generated radio maps for other floors, while the discriminator improved the generator's accuracy by distinguishing real data from generated data. Similarly, studies such as [143] adopted a comparable approach named RME-GAN for radio map prediction. As shown in Fig.3, compared to traditional methods like MBI interpolation [76], RBF interpolation [75], AE [74], DeepAE [74], RadioUnet [144], generative approaches have achieved notable improvements. In [107], the WiSIA model is proposed to enhance the resolution of Wi-Fi imaging by leveraging cGANs. By using the coarse wavefront images

generated from Wi-Fi signals as input, the cGAN model learns to generate refined segmentation masks. Through the adversarial learning mechanism, the generator aims to produce realistic segmentation masks, while the discriminator distinguishes between the generated and real segmentation masks. This GenAI approach effectively improves the resolution of Wi-Fi imaging. In contrast, [111] adopts a VAE model for Wi-Fi imaging. These works show the potential of generative models in wireless imaging field.

Due to the strong representational capabilities and data-driven nature, GenAI has been widely applied to feature extraction tasks in wireless sensing. In [117], a VAE model is utilized to optimize the feature representation of Wi-Fi RSSI data. Through unsupervised learning, the VAE reconstructs input data while learning robust latent feature representations, with Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence regularization incorporated to mitigate overfitting. In semi-supervised learning, the VAE generates pseudo-labels to further enhance feature quality. Ultimately, by employing dense skip connections for feature fusion, the VAE significantly improves the performance of indoor localization and navigation. Additionally, generative models have been applied to feature extraction across different input modalities, including Wi-Fi CSI [36] [120], Radar [119] [118], and RFID [119]. Based on the feature extraction capacity of the generative model, researchers utilize it for anomaly detection such as activity anomaly detection, wireless signal anomaly detections [110] [145] [116].

Moreover, GenAI has also been employed for channel prediction in wireless sensing tasks. Channel prediction aims to forecast the characteristics of the wireless channel at a future time or location based on historical or current wireless signal information, such as CSI. Since wireless channels are affected by factors like multipath effects, moving obstacles, and environmental changes, channel prediction can help improve the reliability and efficiency of wireless communication systems, while also supporting wireless sensing tasks such as target tracking and environmental awareness. Various GenAI architectures have been widely applied to this task. [66] introduces a Diffusion-based approach for 5G downlink channel prediction, where the model learns the relationship between uplink and downlink channels to enable channel prediction under Frequency Division Duplex (FDD) transmission strategies. [97] presents a GAN-based method for channel prediction in low SNR environments. Additionally, [98] employs a VAE to learn channel characteristics, effectively enhancing the accuracy of channel prediction.

2) *Generative Models as Plugins*: In addition to directly participating in wireless sensing tasks, GenAI can serve as a supporting module by providing upstream task support for wireless sensing through model outputs, including data augmentation, data completion, and data balancing. In this process, generative models first learn the

latent probability distribution from a large volume of real data, capturing key features and patterns to ensure that the generated samples are statistically similar to the original data. Once the data distribution is learned, the model can sample from it to synthesize new data. For example, GANs [146] generate samples that are indistinguishable from real data through adversarial training, VAEs [147] learn latent space representations and sample from them to generate new data, while Diffusion Models [148] progressively add noise and learn the denoising process to produce high-quality data.

Employing GenAI as plugins for wireless sensing is particularly promising to address the challenges of sample scarcity [36], and model generalization [149] significantly affect system performance and practicality.

First, sample scarcity is mainly due to the limited availability of labeled data. The collection of wireless sensing data often involves complex experimental environments, high costs, and strict privacy protection requirements, which make it difficult to obtain high-quality training data. This data shortage limits the training effectiveness of deep learning models and makes it hard for them to learn comprehensive feature distributions. To solve the data sample scarcity problem in wireless sensing, researchers use generative model for the objectives of data augmentation [36] [150] [37] [66] [67] [20] [34] [44], data completion [47] [123] [101] [112] [114], and data balance [31] [35]. By leveraging the data generation capabilities of GenAI, numerous widely used wireless sensing tasks have achieved significant performance improvements. In the field of localization, [151] employs a GAN for WiFi fingerprint inpainting, utilizing deep learning models to capture correlations both among and within WiFi APs. This approach enables the completion of missing WiFi fingerprint data in unmeasured areas, thereby enhancing indoor localization accuracy. Similarly, [152] utilizes GANs to generate wireless signal fingerprint data, incorporating Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) beacons to augment the training dataset. This method improves localization precision and stability while reducing measurement time and manual effort. Moreover, [153] introduces LocGAN, a semi-supervised deep generative model based on GANs, which leverages a small number of labeled WiFi fingerprints along with a large volume of unlabeled data to generate virtual fingerprints (VFPs), thereby reducing site survey costs and improving localization accuracy. Additionally, [154] combines GANs with a limited amount of labeled and unlabeled data to generate synthetic fingerprint data, which is then mixed with real data for training Deep Neural Network (DNN), ultimately enhancing indoor localization accuracy. Furthermore, [59] proposes an Amplitude Feature-based Deep Convolutional GAN (AF-DCGAN), which leverages GANs to generate additional amplitude feature maps, expanding the initial fingerprint database and improving indoor localization accuracy without increasing manual data collection

efforts.

Model generalization is another challenge faced by wireless sensing tasks. The propagation characteristics of wireless signals are strongly influenced by environmental factors, which makes it difficult for models trained in one environment to be directly transferred to a new one. Furthermore, dynamic changes in channel conditions, hardware differences, and external interference exacerbate the environmental dependency of the models, making it difficult to guarantee the stability and reliability across different scenarios. Although deep learning models can achieve high accuracy on specific datasets, their performance often significantly declines under different deployment conditions, especially when signal propagation uncertainties, hardware biases between devices, and data distribution shifts affect the model's generalization ability. Therefore, ensuring the robustness and adaptability of models in diverse environments has become a key focus of research. In [19], researchers introduce a multi-modal generator and a cross-domain transformation generator to enhance model generalization. In [103], researchers have utilized GANs to generate synthetic samples to expand the training set. In conjunction with Multi-kernel Maximum Mean Discrepancy (MK-MMD) for domain adaptation, they reduce the distribution discrepancy between domains, thereby achieving high-precision gesture recognition and domain generalization across different environments.

In summary, the application of GenAI provides new optimization solutions and performance enhancement perspectives for wireless sensing tasks.

D. Conditional Constraints

Conditional generation has become a core capability in modern generative modeling, enabling the integration of auxiliary information such as class labels, signal characteristics, or environmental context into the generation process. This conditioning mechanism improves controllability, enhances sample relevance, and boosts generalization. Notably, it is a model-agnostic design and has been adopted in Conditional GANs [146], Conditional VAEs [147], and Conditional Diffusion Models [148].

In wireless sensing tasks, the introduction of conditional inputs is crucial, as the propagation characteristics of wireless signals are influenced by various environmental factors, such as multipath effects, fading, interference, and dynamically changing user behavior. Conditional generative models offer an effective solution by incorporating auxiliary information into the data generation process, thereby enhancing model adaptability, improving controllability, and increasing robustness under diverse scenarios.

Several representative cases illustrate how conditional inputs are used in wireless sensing to guide generative models. One typical case involves using activity class labels to indicate specific human activity types such as walking, sitting, and standing. In these tasks, conditional

TABLE III
GENERATIVE MODEL ARCHITECTURES AND THEIR APPLICATIONS IN WIRELESS SENSING

System Classification	Basic Structure	Pros and Cons	Specific Structure	Related Work	
Non-AR	Diffusion	Pros: 1. High-Quality Output 2. Stable Training 3. Flexible Architecture 4. Robustness to Noise Cons: 1. Slow Generation 2. Parameter Sensitivity	cDiffusion [148]	G-HFD [50]	
				DiffAR [49]	
				M. Xu et al. [155]	
				RF-ACCLDM [156]	
			Latent Diffusion [1]	DiffGait [44]	
				TF-Diffusion [66]	RF-Diffusion [66]
				DDPM [30]	Diffradar [161]
			AirECG [162]		
			X. Luo et al. [163]		
	GAN	Pros: 1. High-Quality Output 2. Efficient Generation 3. Unsupervised Learning 4. Model Customization Cons: 1. Mode Collapse 2. Hyperparameter Sensitivity 3. High Resource Demand	Cycle-GAN [164]	MaP-SGAN [42]	
				Wi-Cro [37]	
				C. Li et al. [107]	
				Wi-Diag [165]	
			WGAN [166]	extendGAN+ [167]	
				R. Gopikrishna et al. [62]	
				LESS [168]	
				H. M. Nazmul et al. [169]	
				L. Qu et al. [170]	
			DCGAN [172]	F. Alharbi [171]	
				AF-DCGAN [173]	
				Q. Li et al. [55]	
				WiGAN [36]	
				C. Lim et al. [174]	
			cGAN [146]	H. Wu et al. [175]	
				Y. Lei et al. [176]	
				CSI4Free [104]	
				S. A. Junoh et al. [177]	
RME-GAN [72]					
VAE	Pros: 1. Probabilistic Framework 2. Stable Training 3. Smooth Latent Space Cons: 1. Lower Output Quality 2. Blurry Outputs 3. Balancing Loss Terms 4. Less Diverse Outputs	β -VAE [180]	R. Yuan et al. [141]		
			M. Awais et al. [181]		
		cVAE [147]	DeepRssI [182]		
			Z. Lyu et al. [183]		
			Fidora [114]		
			W. Qian et al. [184]		
			J. Zhang et al. [185]		
		VQ-VAE [187]	W. Qian et al. [186]		
			V. Lafontaine et al. [142]		
S. K. Kompella et al. [188]					

TABLE III
GENERATIVE MODEL ARCHITECTURES AND THEIR APPLICATIONS IN WIRELESS SENSING (CONTINUED)

System Classification	Basic Structure	Pros and Cons	Specific Structure	Related Work
Autoregressive	Transformer	Pros: 1. Context Awareness 2. Pretraining 3. Flexible Input Representation 4. Multimodal Learning Cons: 1. High Computational Cost 2. Data Dependency 3. Training Instability	ViT [189]	F. Luo et al. [190]
				VTIL [191]
				T. Kim et al. [192]
				Witransformer [193]
				S. Masrur [194]
				MetaFi++ [195]
			LLM [196]	LLMCount [197]
				A. Kalita et al. [198]
				S. Dai et al. [199]
			Vanilla Transformer [201]	TIPS [200]
				WiFiMod [202]
				A. Raza et al. [203]
Y. Shavit [204]				

signal sequences such as CSI subcarrier magnitudes into embedding vectors, with positional encodings added to preserve temporal order. Within the encoder, self-attention computes the relationships among all time steps in the sequence, capturing long-range dependencies by generating Query, Key, and Value matrices, computing similarity scores, and aggregating weighted representations [206]. In practice, multi-head attention performs attention in parallel across different subspaces, enabling simultaneous learning of temporal fluctuations and frequency-domain features [207]. After feed-forward layers and normalization, the encoder produces contextual representations, while the decoder integrates historical information and conditional inputs to generate outputs. This mechanism allows effective modeling of both long-term CSI dynamics and fine-grained motion differences [189].

These architectural strengths explain why Transformers excel in wireless sensing tasks. In gesture recognition, self-attention establishes global connections among CSI variations across time, distinguishing highly similar action patterns. In gait detection, the combination of positional encoding and long-range modeling captures dynamic patterns of gait cycles. Compared with convolutional or recurrent models, Transformers provide parallel modeling capability and cross-temporal dependency capture, resulting in stronger robustness and generalization.

Nevertheless, Transformers also face limitations in wireless sensing. Their performance is strongly dependent on large annotated datasets, which are expensive to collect and label in this domain. Scaling law research has shown that Transformer accuracy follows predictable power-law improvements with model and dataset size [208], but insufficient data leads to overfitting. Furthermore, Trans-

formers have high parameter counts and computational complexity; large models such as GPT-3 [209] and PaLM [210] rely on massive compute resources, which are impractical for real-time deployment in wireless sensing. Finally, their generalization under low-sample conditions remains limited, requiring self-supervised or generative pretraining strategies to improve performance in tasks such as fall detection.

In summary, the operational principles of Transformer naturally with the spatio-temporal modeling requirements of wireless sensing, providing distinctive advantages in gesture recognition and gait detection. However, their reliance on computational resources and large-scale datasets poses challenges for practical deployment, motivating future research on lightweight architectures and cross-task pretraining to advance their applicability in wireless sensing.

B. Generative Adversarial Network (GAN)

In wireless sensing, GAN have demonstrated strong potential, particularly in the tasks of data augmentation and localization. Because the collection and annotation of wireless data are costly, datasets are often limited in size, making it difficult for models to generalize across complex environments. GANs alleviate this problem by learning to generate synthetic samples that closely resemble the distribution of real CSI or RSSI signals. For instance, conditional GANs have been used to expand Wi-Fi fingerprint databases, reducing site-survey efforts while maintaining localization accuracy [211]. Similarly, CycleGAN-based methods have enabled cross-domain fingerprint translation, allowing localization models to remain robust when deployed in new environments [212].

These applications demonstrate that GANs are particularly effective in addressing data scarcity and domain shift challenges.

From an operational perspective, GANs consist of a generator and a discriminator trained in a minimax game. The generator maps random noise or conditional variables into synthetic samples, while the discriminator attempts to distinguish between real and fake data. Over time, the generator improves its outputs, gradually approximating the true data distribution [73]. To stabilize training, Wasserstein GAN introduces the Earth-Mover distance in place of Jensen–Shannon divergence [213], while CycleGAN employs cycle-consistency loss to enable unpaired data translation, which is highly valuable for cross-domain wireless sensing [164].

These mechanisms align closely with the requirements of wireless sensing. In data augmentation, GANs can generate diverse CSI or RSSI samples to mitigate class imbalance and improve the robustness of activity recognition or fall detection models. In localization, GANs can synthesize virtual fingerprints to reduce the cost of data collection, while cross-domain GANs can adapt fingerprints across different environments or devices, enhancing the generalization of localization models. Furthermore, conditional GANs can incorporate side information such as location or activity labels, producing task-specific synthetic samples that further enhance performance.

Moreover, GANs also face important limitations. Their training process is often unstable, and the adversarial game can lead to mode collapse, where the generator produces samples with limited diversity [214] [215]. In wireless sensing scenarios with already limited data, this issue can reduce the effectiveness of augmentation. GANs are also highly sensitive to hyperparameter settings and architectural choices, requiring careful design to maintain quality. Moreover, their computational demands are significant, which limits their suitability for real-time wireless sensing applications.

Recent studies have explored directions to make GANs more practical in wireless sensing. The CSI4Free framework employs a cWGAN to generate high-fidelity mmWave CSI samples, significantly improving the generalization of pose classification models [104]. In localization, synthetic fingerprints generated by GANs have been shown to maintain accuracy while reducing real data requirements by up to 90% [216]. Moreover, Wi-Fi Semi-Supervised GANs have demonstrated notable improvements in positioning accuracy by combining label information with generative training [217]. These findings suggest that with stabilized training objectives, lightweight designs, and the integration of wireless propagation priors, GANs are moving toward more practical, efficient, and accurate deployment in wireless sensing.

C. Variational Autoencoder (VAE)

VAEs have been widely applied in wireless sensing, particularly in anomaly detection, environment reconstruction, and data augmentation. Compared with GANs, VAEs offer the advantage of explicit probabilistic generative modeling, enabling the learning of latent distributions of input data and using reconstruction error as a criterion for modeling and detection. For example, in Wi-Fi CSI anomaly detection, VAEs effectively distinguish normal and abnormal signals by leveraging reconstruction error, supporting applications such as fall detection and intrusion detection [110]. VAEs have also been applied in environment reconstruction, learning the latent relationship between CSI and spatial layouts to generate structural features for indoor layout modeling and imaging [111]. In data augmentation, studies have demonstrated that VAEs can generate synthetic CSI samples across diverse environments to improve the robustness of activity recognition models [218].

The operational principle of VAEs relies on an encoder–decoder architecture. Wireless signal inputs are first mapped by the encoder into distribution parameters of the latent space, from which latent variables are sampled using the reparameterization trick. The decoder then reconstructs the input signal from these latent variables [29]. By maximizing the evidence lower bound, VAEs balance generation fidelity with latent distribution modeling. This mechanism is particularly suitable for reconstruction-based wireless sensing tasks, as it naturally uses reconstruction error for anomaly detection and captures latent distribution features for cross-environment generalization.

Compared with other generative models, VAEs in wireless sensing offer the advantages of probabilistic interpretability and stable training. They generate diverse and distribution-consistent synthetic samples, providing reliable tools for data augmentation and environment modeling. However, VAE-generated samples are often blurry due to the KL-divergence regularization in their objective, making them less sharp than GAN outputs in terms of visual or signal detail [29] [219]. Moreover, in modeling high-dimensional complex wireless signals, the expressive power of the latent space may be insufficient, limiting scalability in large-scale wireless sensing tasks [180].

Recent research has introduced improvements to expand VAEs toward broader applications in wireless sensing. Beta-VAE enhances disentanglement in the latent space, facilitating independent representation of different motion or spatial features [180]. Hierarchical VAEs increase generation capability by stacking multiple latent layers [220]. Moreover, integrating VAEs with physical priors has been shown to advance their use in wireless imaging and environment modeling [221]. These explorations indicate that VAEs are becoming a crucial generative tool in wireless sensing, balancing stability

with probabilistic interpretability.

D. Diffusion Model

Diffusion models have recently emerged as a promising generative approach in wireless sensing, particularly in tasks such as environment reconstruction, gait detection, and data augmentation. Compared with GANs or VAEs, diffusion models provide superior stability and sample diversity. For example, [222] demonstrates that diffusion-based gait recognition can leverage iterative denoising to recover fine-grained radar reflections, significantly improving recognition accuracy under cross-environment conditions. In environment reconstruction, diffusion-based radio map generation has been shown to synthesize high-resolution maps from sparse samples, outperforming interpolation and GAN-based baselines [223]. Similarly, in data augmentation, conditioned diffusion models such as [150] enrich Wi-Fi CSI datasets by generating diverse synthetic samples, achieving up to 70% improvement in detection performance. The operational principle of diffusion models differs from that of other generative frameworks. In the forward process, Gaussian noise is gradually added to the data, transforming complex distributions into nearly isotropic noise; the reverse process, parameterized by neural networks, learns to progressively denoise and reconstruct the original distribution [224]. Score-based generalizations formalize diffusion as a pair of stochastic differential equations, describing diffusion from data to noise and reverse sampling from noise to data [225]. This iterative denoising mechanism aligns naturally with wireless sensing, where signals are often corrupted by fading and noise, making diffusion theoretically well-suited for signal recovery and reconstruction tasks.

In wireless sensing applications, diffusion models offer multiple advantages. Their ability to generate diverse samples alleviates the mode collapse problem common in GANs, enabling better coverage of cross-domain CSI distributions. This property has proven particularly useful for gait detection and activity recognition, where diverse motion patterns must be captured to ensure robustness across environments. Additionally, their stepwise denoising process provides a stable reconstruction pathway, which has been leveraged effectively in radio map generation and indoor environment imaging.

Nonetheless, diffusion models also face limitations. Chief among these is their inefficiency at inference, since sampling typically requires dozens or even hundreds of iterations, making them unsuitable for real-time low-latency sensing. Although accelerated methods such as DDIM [226] reduce the number of steps, diffusion still lags behind one-shot generative models like GANs. Moreover, the training and inference of diffusion models are computationally demanding, restricting deployment on resource-constrained edge devices. Finally, their performance in low-data regimes remains an open challenge, as wireless sensing datasets are often limited.

Recent studies point to promising directions for advancing the adoption of diffusion models in wireless sensing. For instance, [66] introduces time–frequency domain diffusion to generate high-quality Wi-Fi and FMCW signals, achieving notable improvements in channel prediction and radar imaging. [150] leverages conditioned diffusion to augment limited CSI datasets, yielding substantial performance gains in detection. [223] integrates conditional control into the diffusion process to produce high-fidelity radio maps under sparse sampling conditions. Together, these efforts suggest that with efficient sampling algorithms, conditional mechanisms, and physics-informed priors, diffusion models are poised to become a central tool in data augmentation, channel prediction, and environment modeling for wireless sensing.

E. Large-language Model (LLM)

LLMs have recently achieved groundbreaking success in artificial intelligence and are now being explored in wireless sensing tasks. Unlike traditional methods that design task-specific architectures, LLMs offer cross-task generalization, enabling a single framework to handle diverse sensing objectives such as fall detection, activity recognition, and localization. This advantage stems from their large-scale pretraining and in-context learning capabilities, which allow LLMs to adapt to new tasks with few or even zero examples. Recent work, for instance, proposed tokenizing CSI data into a “wireless language” so that LLMs can process and reason about wireless signals in a natural language modeling paradigm, thereby demonstrating early potential for unified modeling across tasks [126].

The operational principle of LLMs is based on the Transformer architecture, where large-scale pretraining captures contextual dependencies among tokens [206]. During training, LLMs learn statistical regularities by predicting the next token, and as model size and data scale grow, emergent abilities such as few-shot reasoning and cross-task generalization arise [208] [227]. This pretrain–finetune paradigm allows LLMs to be adapted to new domains, requiring only limited labeled data for task-specific applications.

In wireless sensing, LLMs present opportunities in three key aspects. First, by discretizing CSI or RSSI into tokenized “wireless language,” LLMs can provide a unified modeling framework for diverse tasks, avoiding the need for specialized architectures [126]. Second, their few-shot capability offers a promising solution for data-scarce sensing tasks such as fall detection, where labeled data are limited. Third, the natural language interface of LLMs provides enhanced interpretability of sensing outcomes, facilitating human–machine interaction and multimodal fusion.

Despite these advantages, LLMs face several challenges in wireless sensing. Their effectiveness depends on large-scale training data, yet existing wireless datasets

are far smaller and less diverse than natural language corpora, creating a substantial domain gap. Furthermore, LLMs require massive computational resources for training and inference [210], making deployment on resource-constrained sensing devices impractical. Another concern is the hallucination phenomenon, where LLMs generate outputs that are unfaithful to physical reality, posing significant risks for safety-critical sensing applications.

To enable broader adoption of LLMs in wireless sensing, several directions have been suggested. Domain-adaptive pretraining can be employed to fine-tune LLMs on CSI or radar data, reducing the domain gap. Hybrid models that combine LLMs with generative approaches such as diffusion models or VAEs may provide both strong generalization and high-fidelity signal generation. Moreover, lessons from multimodal large models such as CLIP [228] suggest that jointly modeling wireless, vision, and language data may bring improved interpretability and multi-task adaptability to wireless sensing.

V. ISSUES AND CHALLENGES

A. Data Scarcity

Wireless sensing systems inherently suffer from the challenge of data scarcity. Unlike vision or speech domains where large-scale datasets are readily available, wireless data collection is often constrained by privacy, environment setup, and hardware heterogeneity. Annotating such data is particularly difficult, as labels typically rely on synchronized sensors or ground-truth systems, which limits offline scalability and requires extensive manual calibration [229].

Although generative AI techniques have been widely proposed to alleviate data scarcity by synthesizing training samples, this strategy faces a fundamental “chicken-and-egg” problem: the training of powerful generative models itself demands substantial volumes of high-quality, labeled data. Without sufficient diversity and representativeness in the training set, the generative model may fail to generalize and may even reinforce existing biases in the data distribution [230].

Recent advances in self-supervised learning (SSL) suggest a promising path forward [231]. By pretraining on vast amounts of unlabeled wireless data, models can learn general representations that transfer effectively to downstream sensing tasks. However, adapting SSL paradigms to the unique nature of wireless signals—such as temporal correlations, multipath propagation, and hardware-induced distortions—remains an open challenge. Unlocking the full potential of generative AI for wireless sensing thus requires a paradigm shift from fully supervised training pipelines toward self-supervised, data-efficient representation learning frameworks [232].

B. Model Generalization

Generalization remains a core bottleneck for wireless sensing systems. Due to the high sensitivity of radio sig-

nals to physical environments, device placements, and signal propagation paths, models trained in one environment often perform poorly when deployed elsewhere. While generative AI methods can enrich data diversity through augmentation or simulation, ensuring that the generative models themselves generalize across environments is still a fundamental challenge [230].

Many existing generative models are environment-specific and struggle to synthesize realistic data when faced with unseen domains. If the generator overfits the source data distribution, the synthesized samples may not meaningfully contribute to cross-domain robustness [233]. Furthermore, the inability to generalize limits the transferability of generative models, which contradicts the goals of scalable deployment.

Some of the existing solutions [234], [235] pretrained with self-supervised objectives across a broad range of environments, offer a potential solution by learning domain-agnostic signal representations [231], [236]. However, developing such generalizable generative frameworks requires careful consideration of domain shift, signal variability, and cross-modal consistency. Future research must focus on techniques such as domain adaptation, conditional generation with domain priors, and environment-invariant modeling to bridge this generalization gap.

C. Scalability and Efficiency

The deployment of generative AI in wireless sensing is hindered by practical concerns regarding model size, inference latency, and computational resource demands. Cutting-edge generative models such as Diffusion and Transformer-based architectures often require significant memory and compute resources, making them ill-suited for real-time applications on edge devices like APs, routers, or mobile terminals.

Although many generative models demonstrate strong performance in controlled offline settings, their scalability to large-scale, real-world deployments remains limited [237]. Real-time wireless sensing applications, such as activity tracking, anomaly detection, or environment reconstruction, necessitate lightweight, low-latency models capable of operating with constrained power and hardware budgets.

Emerging techniques in parameter-efficient fine-tuning, such as low-rank adaptation (LoRA) [238], [239] and adapter-based modules [240], [241], offer promising directions for making foundation models practical in wireless scenarios. Additionally, future work should investigate model compression, quantization, and distributed inference to enable scalable generative intelligence at the edge [242], [243]. Balancing generation quality with computational efficiency will be crucial for translating generative AI breakthroughs into deployable wireless sensing solutions.

VI. FUTURE TREND: WIRELESS FOUNDATION MODEL

As the integration of generative AI and wireless sensing continues to deepen, there is an emerging need for a unified, scalable, and adaptable learning paradigm that can generalize across diverse sensing environments and tasks. Inspired by the success of foundation models in NLP [244], computer vision [245], and speech domains [246], the concept of a *wireless foundation model* has begun to take shape. Such a model is expected to learn universal representations from large-scale, unlabeled wireless data and adapt efficiently to downstream sensing tasks with minimal supervision and resource cost.

To realize this vision, three enabling technologies are particularly critical: (1) *self-supervised pretraining*, which leverages abundant unlabeled radio frequency (RF) data to learn generalizable features; (2) *efficient fine-tuning*, which enables lightweight adaptation to specific tasks and environments, especially on edge devices; and (3) *cross-modal generation*, which facilitates richer, multimodal environmental understanding by synthesizing or aligning data across sensing modalities. This section elaborates on these three directions and discusses their significance in shaping the next-generation wireless AI systems.

A. Self-supervised Pretraining

Self-supervised learning (SSL) has emerged as a critical tool for training foundational models, especially in domains where labeled data is scarce or difficult to obtain. In wireless sensing, the vast amount of unlabeled RF signal data presents an opportunity for SSL to extract meaningful representations without requiring manual annotation. SSL enables wireless foundation models to learn generalizable features from unlabeled data, facilitating better generalization to unseen environments and tasks. This ability is particularly important for wireless sensing, where the data is often noisy, high-dimensional, and diverse in nature. By learning from this unstructured data, models can uncover underlying patterns that are not easily accessible through traditional supervised learning.

In fields like NLP, CV, and audio processing, SSL has proven to be a game-changer. For example, in NLP, models like BERT [247] and GPT [196] leverage SSL to pretrain on vast amounts of text data, enabling them to understand context and semantics in a variety of tasks without requiring explicit supervision. In CV, methods like SimCLR [248] and MoCo [249] have demonstrated how contrastive learning can help in learning image representations from large-scale datasets without labels. These models have been instrumental in achieving state-of-the-art performance across various downstream tasks. Similarly, in audio processing, models like Wav2Vec [250] have leveraged SSL to learn meaningful audio representations from unlabeled speech data.

In the wireless domain, self-supervised pretraining has started to gain traction. For instance, recent work in [251]

explores the SSL techniques for RF signal classification, using unlabeled wireless data to pretrain models before fine-tuning them on specific tasks like gesture recognition or localization. Although these approaches are still in the early stages, they show the potential to create robust models capable of generalizing across different wireless sensing tasks.

To fully unlock the potential of self-supervised pretraining for wireless sensing, future research should focus on improving the scalability of SSL methods to handle the unique challenges of RF data. Additionally, developing more sophisticated SSL techniques that can effectively capture the temporal and spatial dependencies inherent in wireless signals will be critical for advancing the capabilities of wireless foundation models. Furthermore, research into domain-adaptive SSL methods will be essential to ensure these models can transfer knowledge across different wireless environments and applications.

Once fully realized, SSL-based pretraining could dramatically improve the efficiency of wireless AI models, reducing the need for expensive labeled datasets and enabling faster deployment of adaptable and generalizable models in real-world environments.

B. Efficient Fine-tuning

Fine-tuning pre-trained models to adapt to specific tasks has become a standard practice in machine learning. However, in the context of wireless sensing, efficient fine-tuning is essential due to the resource constraints of edge devices, such as APs, routers, or base stations. Wireless foundation models often require adaptation to specific environments (e.g., different RF conditions or device configurations) with minimal computational resources. Techniques like low-rank adaptation (LoRA) [238] and parameter-efficient fine-tuning methods allow for faster, more resource-efficient adjustments to pre-trained models, without the need for retraining the entire model. This is crucial for deploying foundation models in real-time wireless sensing applications, where computational power and energy resources are limited.

In NLP, the introduction of adapter-based fine-tuning methods such as the Adapter-BERT [252] has allowed large pre-trained models like BERT to be adapted to new tasks with minimal additional parameters. Similarly, in vision tasks, methods like EfficientNet [253] leverage fine-tuning techniques that balance accuracy and computational cost, enabling deployment in resource-constrained environments. LoRA [238] has also been applied in NLP and CV to achieve high-quality fine-tuning while reducing the computational overhead by adjusting only a small subset of parameters.

In the wireless sensing field, efficient fine-tuning techniques are still in the exploratory phase. Some studies have started investigating how lightweight adaptation strategies can be employed in wireless models for tasks like localization and activity recognition. For example,

a recent work [254] explored methods for fine-tuning wireless sensing models in a distributed setting, reducing the computational load on edge devices while still maintaining model accuracy.

Future research in wireless sensing should focus on developing more efficient fine-tuning algorithms that are tailored to the specific constraints of edge devices. Incorporating techniques such as sparse fine-tuning, quantization, and knowledge distillation could further optimize model deployment. Additionally, exploring the use of federated learning approaches for fine-tuning wireless foundation models across multiple devices could enable collaborative learning while preserving privacy and reducing computation.

Efficient fine-tuning will allow wireless foundation models to be deployed in a wide range of environments and edge devices, providing high-quality performance while minimizing computational and energy demands.

C. Cross-modal Generation

Cross-modal generation refers to the ability of a model to generate one modality's data from another modality's input, such as generating RF signal patterns from visual data or generating speech from audio signals. In the context of wireless sensing, this capability is transformative as it enables the fusion of multiple sensor types (e.g., RF signals, visual, text, audio) to provide richer and more accurate environmental understanding. Cross-modal generation can enable applications like gesture recognition, environmental mapping, and indoor localization by combining RF data with other sensory inputs like video and sound. This ability to bridge modalities opens up new possibilities for wireless systems, making them more adaptable to dynamic and complex environments.

In CV, the work of [228] on Contrastive Language-Image Pre-training (CLIP) demonstrates the power of cross-modal generation, enabling the generation of textual descriptions from images and vice versa. Similarly, in audio processing, models like Speech2Text [255] have shown the potential of generating speech transcriptions from raw audio signals. In NLP, DALL-E [256] enables the generation of images from textual descriptions, demonstrating the remarkable potential of cross-modal generation to synthesize complex data across modalities.

In wireless sensing, cross-modal generation has gained increasing attention, with several recent studies demonstrating its potential to enhance perception in complex environments. For example, [257] explored the integration of RF signals and visual data for gesture recognition and activity detection, while [258] proposed a framework to teach RF sensing without using any RF training data by leveraging cross-modal supervision from vision models. Similarly, IMU2Doppler [259] introduced a domain adaptation framework that transfers knowledge from IMU signals to Doppler-based RF sensing models for improved activity recognition. These works show that

generating or aligning complementary modalities—such as visual, inertial, or spatial priors—can significantly improve system robustness, especially in conditions where individual sensors may fail. Nonetheless, achieving robust and scalable cross-modal generation remains challenging, particularly in terms of synchronizing heterogeneous sensor signals and handling the complexity of real-time generative inference in dynamic scenarios.

To realize the full potential of cross-modal generation in wireless sensing, future research should focus on improving the alignment between different sensor modalities and developing efficient generative models that can handle the diverse and noisy nature of wireless data. Additionally, exploring new generative architectures, such as GANs and diffusion models, could improve the quality and reliability of generated signals. Another area of focus will be integrating generative models into real-time wireless sensing systems, ensuring that models can work efficiently in dynamic, real-world environments.

Cross-modal generation holds immense potential to revolutionize wireless sensing applications, making systems more robust, adaptive, and capable of handling complex, multi-sensor environments. As these models evolve, they will enable new applications such as more accurate environmental mapping, localization, and gesture recognition, bridging the gap between different types of wireless sensors.

VII. CONCLUSION

Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) has demonstrated great potential in enhancing wireless sensing systems. In this paper, we explored the integration of GenAI into wireless sensing pipelines, focusing on two primary integration modes: as a plugin to augment traditional models, and as a standalone solver to directly address sensing tasks. We examined a range of generative models, discussing their strengths and limitations in the context of various wireless sensing applications. Additionally, we analyzed the key issues and challenges in integrating GenAI with wireless sensing, identifying them as critical open problems within the wireless sensing community. Building on these challenges, we propose the future trend of building wireless foundation model to support scalable, adaptable, and efficient wireless sensing systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Rombach, A. Blattmann, D. Lorenz, P. Esser, and B. Ommer, "High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2022, pp. 10 684–10 695.
- [2] Midjourney. (2023) Midjourney. [Online]. Available: <https://www.midjourney.com/>
- [3] A. Ramesh, P. Dhariwal, A. Nichol, C. Chu, and M. Chen, "Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*, vol. 1, no. 2, p. 3, 2022.
- [4] OpenAI, "Gpt-4 technical report," 2023.
- [5] X. Zhou, L. Liang, J. Zhang, P. Jiang, Y. Li, and S. Jin, "Generative diffusion models for high dimensional channel estimation," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2025.

- [6] T. Zheng and L. Dai, "Large language model enabled multi-task physical layer network," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.20772*, 2024.
- [7] J. Zheng, B. Du, H. Du, J. Kang, D. Niyato, and H. Zhang, "Energy-efficient resource allocation in generative ai-aided secure semantic mobile networks," *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, 2024.
- [8] M. Ghassemi, H. Zhang, A. Afana, A. B. Sediq, and M. Erol-Kantarci, "Multi-modal transformer and reinforcement learning-based beam management," *IEEE Networking Letters*, 2024.
- [9] C. Li, Z. Cao, and Y. Liu, "Deep ai enabled ubiquitous wireless sensing: A survey," *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, vol. 54, pp. 1–35, 2021.
- [10] J. Liu, H. Liu, Y. Chen, Y. Wang, and C. Wang, "Wireless sensing for human activity: A survey," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 1629–1645, 2019.
- [11] X. Zhou, J. Xiong, X. Zhang, X. Liu, and J. Wei, "A radio anomaly detection algorithm based on modified generative adversarial network," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 1552–1556, 2021.
- [12] K. Qian, C. Wu, Z. Yang, Y. Liu, and K. Jamieson, "Widar: Decimeter-level passive tracking via velocity monitoring with commodity wi-fi," in *Proceedings of the 18th ACM International Symposium on Mobile Ad Hoc Networking and Computing*, 2017, pp. 1–10.
- [13] Y. Zheng, Y. Zhang, K. Qian, G. Zhang, Y. Liu, C. Wu, and Z. Yang, "Zero-effort cross-domain gesture recognition with wi-fi," in *Proceedings of the 17th Annual International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*, 2019, pp. 313–325.
- [14] X. Liu, B. Zhang, S. Chen, X. Xie, X. Tong, T. Gu, and K. Li, "A wireless signal correlation learning framework for accurate and robust multi-modal sensing," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2024.
- [15] C. Li, K. Luo, L. Yang, S. Li, H. Wang, X. Zhang, and Z. Liao, "A zero-shot fault detection method for uav sensors based on a novel cvae-gan model," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 2024.
- [16] H. Yu, G. Li, L. Su, B. Zhong, H. Yao, and Q. Huang, "Conditional gan based individual and global motion fusion for multiple object tracking in uav videos," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 131, pp. 219–226, 2020.
- [17] S. P. Kumar, S. Garg, E. Alabdulkreem, and A. B. Miled, "Advanced generative adversarial network for optimizing layout of wireless sensor networks," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 32139, 2024.
- [18] Y. Zhang, W. Hou, Z. Yang, and C. Wu, "Vecare: Statistical acoustic sensing for automotive in-cabin monitoring," in *20th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 23)*, 2023, pp. 1185–1200.
- [19] D. Wang, J. Yang, W. Cui, L. Xie, and S. Sun, "Multimodal csi-based human activity recognition using gans," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 8, no. 24, pp. 17 345–17 355, 2021.
- [20] Z. Yang, Y. Zhang, and Q. Zhang, "Rethinking fall detection with wi-fi," *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 22, no. 10, pp. 6126–6143, 2022.
- [21] F. Liu, Y. Cui, C. Masouros, J. Xu, T. X. Han, Y. C. Eldar, and S. Buzzi, "Integrated sensing and communications: Toward dual-functional wireless networks for 6g and beyond," *IEEE journal on selected areas in communications*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 1728–1767, 2022.
- [22] S. Jin, C. Masouros, F. Liu, J. Xu, and J. Yang, "Integrated sensing and communication for future wireless networks," *China Communications*, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. iii–vi, 2023.
- [23] J. W. McKown and R. L. Hamilton, "Ray tracing as a design tool for radio networks," *IEEE Network*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 27–30, 1991.
- [24] A. Dalton and G. O'Laughlin, "Comparing supervised learning techniques on the task of physical activity recognition," *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 46–52, 2012.
- [25] O. Konak, L. Liebe, K. Postnov, F. Sauerwald, H. Gjoreski, M. Luštrek, and B. Arnrich, "Overcoming data scarcity in human activity recognition," in *2023 45th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society (EMBC)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–7.
- [26] H. Zou, J. Yang, Y. Zhou, L. Xie, and C. J. Spanos, "Robust wifi-enabled device-free gesture recognition via unsupervised adversarial domain adaptation," in *2018 27th International Conference on Computer Communication and Networks (ICCCN)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–8.
- [27] H. Zhang, Z. Zhou, and W. Gong, "Wi-adaptor: Fine-grained domain adaptation in wifi-based activity recognition," in *2021 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [28] A. Creswell, T. White, V. Dumoulin, K. Arulkumaran, B. Sengupta, and A. A. Bharath, "Generative adversarial networks: An overview," *IEEE signal processing magazine*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 53–65, 2018.
- [29] D. P. Kingma, M. Welling *et al.*, "Auto-encoding variational bayes," 2013.
- [30] J. Sohl-Dickstein, E. Weiss, N. Maheswaranathan, and S. Ganguli, "Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics," in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2015, pp. 2256–2265.
- [31] W. Hou and C. Wu, "Rfboost: Understanding and boosting deep wifi sensing via physical data augmentation," *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1–26, 2024.
- [32] X. Li, L. Chang, F. Song, J. Wang, X. Chen, Z. Tang, and Z. Wang, "Crossgr: Accurate and low-cost cross-target gesture recognition using wi-fi," *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–23, 2021.
- [33] J. Wang, Q. Gao, X. Ma, Y. Zhao, and Y. Fang, "Learning to sense: Deep learning for wireless sensing with less training efforts," *IEEE Wireless Commun*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 156–162, 2020.
- [34] X. Chen and X. Zhang, "Rf genesis: Zero-shot generalization of mmwave sensing through simulation-based data synthesis and generative diffusion models," in *Proceedings of the 21st ACM Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*, 2023, pp. 28–42.
- [35] P. Liao, X. Wang, L. An, S. Mao, T. Zhao, and C. Yang, "Tfsemantic: A time–frequency semantic gan framework for imbalanced classification using radio signals," *ACM Trans. Sen. Netw.*, vol. 20, no. 4, May 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3614096>
- [36] D. Jiang, M. Li, and C. Xu, "Wigan: A wifi based gesture recognition system with gans," *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 17, p. 4757, 2020.
- [37] Y. Mao, Z. Guo, B. Sheng, L. Gui, and F. Xiao, "Wi-cro: Wifi-based cross domain activity recognition via modified gan," *IEEE IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol*, vol. 73, no. 10, pp. 14 961–14 973, 2024.
- [38] S. D. Regani, B. Wang, Y. Hu, G. Zhu, and K. J. R. Liu, "Falloware: An explainable learning approach to robust fall detection with wifi," *IEEE Journal of Selected Areas in Sensors*, pp. 1–13, 2024.
- [39] R. C. Tewari, P. Palo, J. Maiti, and A. Routray, "Gan-based radar micro-doppler augmentation for high accuracy fall detection system," in *IECON 2022 – 48th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society*, 2022, pp. 1–6.
- [40] R. Jayakarthish, A. Srinivasan, S. Goswami, Shivarajini, and M. R., "Fall detection scheme based on deep learning model for high-quality life," in *2022 3rd International Conference on Electronics and Sustainable Communication Systems (ICESC)*, 2022, pp. 1582–1588.
- [41] T. Huang, S. Wang, S. Li, G. Liu, and J. Liu, "Wiargan: A wifi-based action recognition method with gans," in *2021 IEEE SmartWorld, Ubiquitous Intelligence & Computing, Advanced & Trusted Computing, Scalable Computing & Communications, Internet of People and Smart City Innovation (SmartWorld/SCALCOM/UIC/ATC/IOP/SCI)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 59–66.
- [42] Y. Liang, H. Li, W. Wu, and P. Xu, "Map-sgan: Multi-anchor point siamese gan for wi-fi csi-based cross-domain gait recognition," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 251, p. 124083, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0957417424009497>

- [43] D. Yan, P. Yang, F. Shang, F. Han, Y. Yan, and X.-Y. Li, "freegait: Liberalizing wireless-based gait recognition to mitigate non-gait human behaviors," in *Proceedings of the Twenty-fifth International Symposium on Theory, Algorithmic Foundations, and Protocol Design for Mobile Networks and Mobile Computing*, 2024, pp. 241–250.
- [44] J. Li, B. Li, L. Wang, and W. Liu, "Diffgait: Gait representation augmentation of mmwave sensing through a latent diffusion model," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 2024.
- [45] H. Yang, M. Han, M. Jia, Z. Sun, P. Hu, Y. Zhang, T. Gu, and W. Xu, "Xgait: Cross-modal translation via deep generative sensing for rf-based gait recognition," in *Proceedings of the 21st ACM Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*, ser. SenSys '23. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2024, p. 43–55. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3625687.3625792>
- [46] P. F. Moshiri, H. Navidan, R. Shahbazian, S. A. Ghorashi, and D. Windridge, "Using gan to enhance the accuracy of indoor human activity recognition," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.11228*, 2020.
- [47] S. Vishwakarma, C. Tang, W. Li, K. Woodbridge, R. Adve, and K. Chetty, "Gan based noise generation to aid activity recognition when augmenting measured wifi radar data with simulations," in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops (ICC Workshops)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [48] Z. Wang and S. Mao, "Aigc for rf sensing: The case of rfid-based human activity recognition," in *2024 International Conference on Computing, Networking and Communications (ICNC)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1092–1097.
- [49] S. Huang, P.-Y. Chen, and J. A. McCann, "Diffar: Adaptive conditional diffusion model for temporal-augmented human activity recognition," in *IJCAI*, 2023, pp. 3812–3820.
- [50] J. Wang, H. Du, D. Niyato, Z. Xiong, J. Kang, B. Ai, Z. Han, and D. I. Kim, "Generative artificial intelligence assisted wireless sensing: Human flow detection in practical communication environments," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun*, 2024.
- [51] J. Yun, D. Kim, D. M. Kim, T. Song, and J. Woo, "Gan-based sensor data augmentation: Application for counting moving people and detecting directions using pir sensors," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 117, p. 105508, 2023.
- [52] W. Wei, J. Yan, L. Wan, C. Wang, G. Zhang, and X. Wu, "Enriching indoor localization fingerprint using a single acgan," in *2021 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*, 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [53] D. Quezada-Gaibor, J. Torres-Sospedra, J. Nurmi, Y. Koucheryavy, and J. Huerta, "Surimi: Supervised radio map augmentation with deep learning and a generative adversarial network for fingerprint-based indoor positioning," in *2022 IEEE 12th International Conference on Indoor Positioning and Indoor Navigation (IPIN)*, 2022, pp. 1–8.
- [54] W. Njima, A. Bazzi, and M. Chafii, "Dnn-based indoor localization under limited dataset using gans and semi-supervised learning," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 69 896–69 909, 2022.
- [55] Q. Li, H. Qu, Z. Liu, W. Sun, X. Shao, and J. Li, "Wavelet transform dc-gan for diversity promoted fingerprint construction in indoor localization," in *2018 IEEE Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–7.
- [56] S. A. Junoh, S. Jamil, and J.-Y. Pyun, "Enhancing rf fingerprinting for indoor positioning systems using data augmentation," in *2024 IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics (ICCE)*, 2024, pp. 1–4.
- [57] C. J. Vaca-Rubio, P. Wang, T. Koike-Akino, Y. Wang, P. Boufounos, and P. Popovski, "Object trajectory estimation with continuous-time neural dynamic learning of millimeter-wave wi-fi," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 796–811, 2024.
- [58] Z. Cao, Z. Wang, H. Fei, X. Guo, and G. Wang, "Generative model based attenuation image recovery for device-free localization with radio tomographic imaging," *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, vol. 66, p. 101205, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1574119220300717>
- [59] Q. Li, H. Qu, Z. Liu, N. Zhou, W. Sun, S. Sigg, and J. Li, "Afdcgan: Amplitude feature deep convolutional gan for fingerprint construction in indoor localization systems," *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 468–480, 2021.
- [60] W. Wei, J. Yan, X. Wu, C. Wang, and G. Zhang, "A data preprocessing method for deep learning-based device-free localization," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 25, no. 12, pp. 3868–3872, 2021.
- [61] Y. Ma, W. Ning, B. Wang, and X. Liang, "A data augmentation-based method for robust device-free localization in changing environments of passive radio frequency identification system," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 70, pp. 1–13, 2021.
- [62] G. Rathinavel, N. Muralidhar, N. Ramakrishnan, and T. O'Shea, "Efficient generative wireless anomaly detection for next generation networks," in *MILCOM 2022 - 2022 IEEE Military Communications Conference (MILCOM)*, 2022, pp. 594–599.
- [63] T. Schlegl, P. Seeböck, S. M. Waldstein, G. Langs, and U. Schmidt-Erfurth, "f-anogan: Fast unsupervised anomaly detection with generative adversarial networks," *Medical image analysis*, vol. 54, pp. 30–44, 2019.
- [64] F. T. Liu, K. M. Ting, and Z.-H. Zhou, "Isolation forest," in *2008 eighth IEEE international conference on data mining*. IEEE, 2008, pp. 413–422.
- [65] Y. Wang, J. Wong, and A. Miner, "Anomaly intrusion detection using one class svm," in *Proceedings from the Fifth Annual IEEE SMC Information Assurance Workshop, 2004*. IEEE, 2004, pp. 358–364.
- [66] G. Chi, Z. Yang, C. Wu, J. Xu, Y. Gao, Y. Liu, and T. X. Han, "Rf-diffusion: Radio signal generation via time-frequency diffusion," in *Proceedings of the 30th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*, 2024, pp. 77–92.
- [67] X. Zhao, Z. An, Q. Pan, and L. Yang, "Nerf2: Neural radio-frequency radiance fields," in *Proceedings of the 29th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*, 2023, pp. 1–15.
- [68] Z. Liu, G. Singh, C. Xu, and D. Vasisht, "Fire: enabling reciprocity for fdd mimo systems," in *Proceedings of the 27th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*, 2021, pp. 628–641.
- [69] D. Vasisht, S. Kumar, H. Rahul, and D. Katabi, "Eliminating channel feedback in next-generation cellular networks," in *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGCOMM Conference*, 2016, pp. 398–411.
- [70] A. Bakshi, Y. Mao, K. Srinivasan, and S. Parthasarathy, "Fast and efficient cross band channel prediction using machine learning," in *The 25th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*, 2019, pp. 1–16.
- [71] F. Kaltenberger, D. Gesbert, R. Knopp, and M. Kountouris, "Performance of multi-user mimo precoding with limited feedback over measured channels," in *IEEE GLOBECOM 2008-2008 IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference*. IEEE, 2008, pp. 1–5.
- [72] S. Zhang, A. Wijesinghe, and Z. Ding, "Rme-gan: A learning framework for radio map estimation based on conditional generative adversarial network," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 10, no. 20, pp. 18 016–18 027, 2023.
- [73] I. Goodfellow, J. Pouget-Abadie, M. Mirza, B. Xu, D. Warde-Farley, S. Ozair, A. Courville, and Y. Bengio, "Generative adversarial networks," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 63, no. 11, pp. 139–144, 2020.
- [74] Y. Teganya and D. Romero, "Deep completion autoencoders for radio map estimation," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1710–1724, 2021.
- [75] J. Krumm and J. Platt, "Minimizing calibration effort for an indoor 802.11 device location measurement system," *Microsoft Research*, vol. 8, 2003.
- [76] M. Lee and D. Han, "Voronoi tessellation based interpolation method for wi-fi radio map construction," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 404–407, 2012.
- [77] N. Van Huynh, J. Wang, H. Du, D. T. Hoang, D. Niyato, D. N. Nguyen, D. I. Kim, and K. B. Letaief, "Generative ai for physical layer communications: A survey," *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive Communications and Networking*, vol. 10, no. 3, 2024.
- [78] E. H. Fahime Khoramnejad, "Generative ai for the optimization of next-generation wireless networks: Basics, state-of-the-art, and open challenges," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.17454*, 2024.

- [79] C. Liang, H. Du, Y. Sun, D. Niyato, J. Kang, D. Zhao, and M. A. Imran, "Generative ai-driven semantic communication networks: Architecture, technologies and applications," *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive Communications and Networking*, 2024.
- [80] S. De, M. Bermudez-Edo, H. Xu, and Z. Cai, "Deep generative models in the industrial internet of things: A survey," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 18, pp. 5728–5737, 2022.
- [81] L. Bariah, Q. Zhao, H. Zou, Y. Tian, F. Bader, and M. Debbah, "Large generative ai models for telecom: The next big thing?" *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2024.
- [82] C. Zhao, H. Du, D. Niyato, J. Kang, Z. Xiong, D. I. Kim, X. Shen, and K. B. Letaief, "Generative ai for secure physical layer communications: A survey," *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive Communications and Networking*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 3–26, 2025.
- [83] L. Xia, Y. Sun, C. Liang, L. Zhang, M. A. Imran, and D. Niyato, "Generative ai for semantic communication: Architecture, challenges, and outlook," *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 132–140, 2025.
- [84] C. Li, Z. Cao, and Y. Liu, "Deep ai enabled ubiquitous wireless sensing: A survey," *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 54, no. 2, 2021.
- [85] J. Liu, H. Liu, Y. Chen, Y. Wang, and C. Wang, "Wireless sensing for human activity: A survey," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 1629–1645, 2020.
- [86] J. Wang, Q. Gao, M. Pan, and Y. Fang, "Device-free wireless sensing: Challenges, opportunities, and applications," *IEEE Network*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 132–137, 2018.
- [87] X. Feng, K. A. Nguyen, and Z. Luo, "A survey of deep learning approaches for wifi-based indoor positioning," *Journal of Information and Telecommunication*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 163–216, 2022.
- [88] S. Yousefi, H. Narui, S. Dayal, S. Ermon, and S. Valaee, "A survey on behavior recognition using wifi channel state information," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 55, no. 10, pp. 98–104, 2017.
- [89] X. Feng, K. A. Nguyen, and Z. Luo, "A survey on data augmentation for wifi fingerprinting indoor positioning," *IEEE Sensors Reviews*, 2025.
- [90] Z. Wang, K. Jiang, Y. Hou, W. Dou, C. Zhang, Z. Huang, and Y. Guo, "A survey on human behavior recognition using channel state information," *Ieee Access*, vol. 7, pp. 155 986–156 024, 2019.
- [91] M. Aljumaily and M. Aljumaily, "A survey on wifi channel state information (csi) utilization in human activity recognition," (*Under submission*), 2016.
- [92] Z. Wei, W. Chen, S. Ning, W. Lin, N. Li, B. Lian, X. Sun, and J. Zhao, "A survey on wifi-based human identification: Scenarios, challenges, and current solutions," *ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 1–32, 2025.
- [93] Y. Wang, Z. Cao, W. Gong, and M. Chen, "Survey of deep learning applications in wifi localization," in *2024 IEEE 7th Advanced Information Technology, Electronic and Automation Control Conference (IAEAC)*, vol. 7. IEEE, 2024, pp. 517–522.
- [94] I. Ahmad, A. Ullah, and W. Choi, "Wifi-based human sensing with deep learning: Recent advances, challenges, and opportunities," *IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society*, vol. 5, pp. 3595–3623, 2024.
- [95] Y. Ma, G. Zhou, and S. Wang, "Wifi sensing with channel state information: A survey," *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 1–36, 2019.
- [96] X. Zhang, Z. Li, and J. Zhang, "Synthesized millimeter-waves for human motion sensing," in *Proceedings of the 20th ACM Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*, 2022, pp. 377–390.
- [97] E. Balevi and J. G. Andrews, "Wideband channel estimation with a generative adversarial network," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 3049–3060, 2021.
- [98] M. Baur, B. Fesl, M. Koller, and W. Utschick, "Variational autoencoder leveraged mmse channel estimation," in *2022 56th Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 527–532.
- [99] T. Wu, Z. Chen, D. He, L. Qian, Y. Xu, M. Tao, and W. Zhang, "Cddm: Channel denoising diffusion models for wireless communications," in *GLOBECOM 2023-2023 IEEE Global Communications Conference*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 7429–7434.
- [100] J. Fan, J. Yang, Y. Xu, and L. Xie, "Diffusion model is a good pose estimator from 3d rf-vision," in *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 2025, pp. 1–18.
- [101] J.-H. Seong and D.-H. Seo, "Selective unsupervised learning-based wi-fi fingerprint system using autoencoder and gan," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 1898–1909, 2019.
- [102] C. Lin, G. Li, X. Zhang, and L. Guo, "Rf sensing-enabled interference mitigation for wi-fi-based iot systems: A deep learning approach," in *2024 IEEE Conference on Communications and Network Security (CNS)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1–9.
- [103] Z. Han, L. Guo, Z. Lu, X. Wen, and W. Zheng, "Deep adaptation networks based gesture recognition using commodity wifi," in *2020 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–7.
- [104] N. N. Bhat, R. Berkvens, and J. Famaey, "Csi4free: Gan-augmented mmwave csi for improved pose classification," in *2024 IEEE 4th International Symposium on Joint Communications & Sensing (JC&S)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1–6.
- [105] C. Xu, Z. Li, H. Zhang, A. S. Rathore, H. Li, C. Song, K. Wang, and W. Xu, "Wavecar: Exploring a mmwave-based noise-resistant speech sensing for voice-user interface," in *Proceedings of the 17th Annual International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*, 2019, pp. 14–26.
- [106] A. Belmonte-Hernandez, G. Hernandez-Penalosa, D. M. Gutiérrez, and F. Alvarez, "Recurrent model for wireless indoor tracking and positioning recovering using generative networks," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 3356–3365, 2019.
- [107] C. Li, Z. Liu, Y. Yao, Z. Cao, M. Zhang, and Y. Liu, "Wi-fi see it all: generative adversarial network-augmented versatile wi-fi imaging," in *Proceedings of the 18th Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*, 2020, pp. 436–448.
- [108] L. Serreli, M. Fadda, R. Girau, P. Ruiu, D. Giusto, and M. Anedda, "A generative adversarial network (gan) fingerprint approach over lte," *IEEE Access*, 2024.
- [109] B. Chidlovskii and L. Antsfeld, "Semi-supervised variational autoencoder for wifi indoor localization," in *2019 International Conference on Indoor Positioning and Indoor Navigation (IPIN)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–8.
- [110] Y. Kim and S.-C. Kim, "Abnormal human behavior detection based on vae- lstm hybrid model in wifi csi with pca," in *2023 International Conference on Information Networking (ICOIN)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 782–784.
- [111] J. Strohmayer, R. Sterzinger, C. Stippel, and M. Kampel, "Through-wall imaging based on wifi channel state information," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.17417*, 2024.
- [112] C. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Zhou, and D. Yuan, "Vawss: Variational autoencoder-enhanced wireless sensing simulator for wifi channel state information," in *Companion of the 2024 on ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing*, 2024, pp. 106–110.
- [113] X. Chen, H. Li, C. Zhou, X. Liu, D. Wu, and G. Dudek, "Fido: Ubiquitous fine-grained wifi-based localization for unlabelled users via domain adaptation," in *Proceedings of The Web Conference 2020*, 2020, pp. 23–33.
- [114] —, "Fidora: Robust wifi-based indoor localization via unsupervised domain adaptation," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 9872–9888, 2022.
- [115] J. Yang, X. Chen, H. Zou, D. Wang, Q. Xu, and L. Xie, "Efficientfi: Toward large-scale lightweight wifi sensing via csi compression," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 9, no. 15, pp. 13 086–13 095, 2022.
- [116] M. Cominelli, F. Gringoli, L. M. Kaplan, M. B. Srivastava, and F. Cerutti, "Accurate passive radar via an uncertainty-aware fusion of wi-fi sensing data," in *2023 26th International Conference on Information Fusion (FUSION)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–8.
- [117] X. Lin, J. Gan, C. Jiang, S. Xue, and Y. Liang, "Wi-fi-based indoor localization and navigation: A robot-aided hybrid deep learning approach," *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 14, p. 6320, 2023.
- [118] M. Z. Khan, "Trisense: Rfid, radar, and usrp-based hybrid sensing system for enhanced sensing and monitoring," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Glasgow, 2024.
- [119] M. Z. Khan, W. Taylor, J. Ahmad, M. Usman, S. Ansari, M. A. Imran, and Q. Abbasi, "Rfidar: Augmenting human activity

- recognition through contactless fusion of rfid and radar data," Available at SSRN 4824678, 2024.
- [120] I. Nirmal, A. Khamis, W. Hu, M. Hassan, and X. Zhu, "Combating transceiver layout variation in device-free wifi sensing using convolutional autoencoder," in *2020 19th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Information Processing in Sensor Networks (IPSN)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 335–336.
- [121] Z. Hao, K. Han, Z. Zhang, and X. Dang, "Wi-char: A wifi sensing approach with focus on both scenes and restricted data," *Sensors*, vol. 24, no. 7, p. 2364, 2024.
- [122] I. Nirmal, A. Khamis, M. Hassan, W. Hu, R. Li, and A. Kalyanaraman, "Wifi2radar: Orientation independent single receiver wifi sensing via wifi to radar translation," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2024.
- [123] P. Liao, X. Wang, L. An, S. Mao, T. Zhao, and C. Yang, "Tfsemantic: A time-frequency semantic gan framework for imbalanced classification using radio signals," *ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 1–22, 2024.
- [124] H. Raeis, M. Kazemi, and S. Shirmohammadi, "Cae-mas: Convolutional autoencoder interference cancellation for multiperson activity sensing with fmcw microwave radar," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 73, pp. 1–10, 2024.
- [125] J. Zhang, Z. Tang, M. Li, D. Fang, P. Nurmi, and Z. Wang, "Crosssense: Towards cross-site and large-scale wifi sensing," in *Proceedings of the 24th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*, 2018, pp. 305–320.
- [126] B. Liu, X. Liu, S. Gao, X. Cheng, and L. Yang, "Llm4cp: Adapting large language models for channel prediction," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.14440*, 2024.
- [127] R. Karmakar, S. Chattopadhyay, and S. Chakraborty, "Smartbond: A deep probabilistic machinery for smart channel bonding in ieee 802.11 ac," in *IEEE INFOCOM 2020-IEEE Conference on Computer Communications*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 2599–2608.
- [128] W. Njima, M. Chafii, A. Chorti, R. M. Shubair, and H. V. Poor, "Indoor localization using data augmentation via selective generative adversarial networks," *IEEE access*, vol. 9, pp. 98 337–98 347, 2021.
- [129] H. Zou, Q. Zhao, L. Bariah, Y. Tian, M. Bennis, S. Lasaulce, M. Debbah, and F. Bader, "Genainet: Enabling wireless collective intelligence via knowledge transfer and reasoning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.16631*, 2024.
- [130] C. R. Karanam and Y. Mostofi, "3d through-wall imaging with unmanned aerial vehicles using wifi," in *2017 16th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Information Processing in Sensor Networks (IPSN)*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2017, pp. 131–142. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3055031.3055084>
- [131] K. Joshi, D. Bharadia, and S. K. M. Kotaru, "Decimeter level localization using wi-fi," *ACM DIGITAL LIBRARY*, pp. 269–282, 2015.
- [132] K.-H. Lam, C.-C. Cheung, and W.-C. Lee, "Lora-based localization systems for noisy outdoor environment," in *2017 IEEE 13th international conference on wireless and mobile computing, networking and communications (WiMob)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 278–284.
- [133] Y. Lin, W. Dong, Y. Gao, and T. Gu, "Sateloc: A virtual fingerprinting approach to outdoor lora localization using satellite images," *ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks (TOSN)*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 1–28, 2021.
- [134] Y. Liu, Y. Zhao, L. Chen, J. Pei, and J. Han, "Mining frequent trajectory patterns for activity monitoring using radio frequency tag arrays," *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 2138–2149, 2011.
- [135] S. Y. Seidel and T. S. Rappaport, "914 mhz path loss prediction models for indoor wireless communications in multifloored buildings," *IEEE transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 207–217, 1992.
- [136] W. Mao, M. Wang, and L. Qiu, "Aim: Acoustic imaging on a mobile," in *Proceedings of the 16th Annual International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services*, 2018, pp. 468–481.
- [137] W. Mao, J. He, H. Zheng, Z. Zhang, and L. Qiu, "High-precision acoustic motion tracking," in *Proceedings of the 22nd Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*, 2016, pp. 491–492.
- [138] W. Mao, Z. Zhang, L. Qiu, J. He, Y. Cui, and S. Yun, "Indoor follow me drone," in *Proceedings of the 15th annual international conference on mobile systems, applications, and services*, 2017, pp. 345–358.
- [139] M. Zhao, T. Li, M. Abu Alsheikh, Y. Tian, H. Zhao, A. Torralba, and D. Katabi, "Through-wall human pose estimation using radio signals," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2018, pp. 7356–7365.
- [140] M. Zhao, Y. Tian, H. Zhao, M. A. Alsheikh, T. Li, R. Hristov, Z. Kabelac, D. Katabi, and A. Torralba, "Rf-based 3d skeletons," in *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the ACM Special Interest Group on Data Communication*, 2018, pp. 267–281.
- [141] R. Yuan, K. Huang, P. Yang, and S. Zhang, "A variational auto-encoder enabled multi-band channel prediction scheme for indoor localization," in *ICC 2023 - IEEE International Conference on Communications*, 2023, pp. 571–576.
- [142] V. Lafontaine, K. Bouchard, J. Maître, and S. Gaboury, "Generating synthetic augmentation data from a practical uwb radar dataset using vq-vae," in *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Information Technology for Social Good*, ser. GoodIT '24. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2024, p. 212–215. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3677525.3678663>
- [143] S. Zhang, A. Wijesinghe, and Z. Ding, "Rme-gan: A learning framework for radio map estimation based on conditional generative adversarial network," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 10, no. 20, pp. 18 016–18 027, 2023.
- [144] R. Levie, Ç. Yapar, G. Kutyniok, and G. Caire, "Radiounet: Fast radio map estimation with convolutional neural networks," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 4001–4015, 2021.
- [145] A. Toma, A. Krayani, L. Marcenaro, Y. Gao, and C. S. Regazzoni, "Deep learning for spectrum anomaly detection in cognitive mmwave radios," in *2020 IEEE 31st Annual International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–7.
- [146] M. Mirza, "Conditional generative adversarial nets," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.1784*, 2014.
- [147] K. Sohn, H. Lee, and X. Yan, "Learning structured output representation using deep conditional generative models," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 28, 2015.
- [148] P. Dhariwal and A. Nichol, "Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 34, pp. 8780–8794, 2021.
- [149] A. Zinys, B. van Berlo, and N. Meratnia, "A domain-independent generative adversarial network for activity recognition using wifi csi data," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 23, p. 7852, 2021.
- [150] L. Wang, C. Zhang, Q. Zhao, H. Zou, S. Lasaulce, G. Valenzise, Z. He, and M. Debbah, "Generative ai for rf sensing in iot systems," *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 112–120, 2025.
- [151] Y. Chan, P.-Y. Lin, Y.-Y. Tseng, J.-J. Chen, and Y.-C. Tseng, "Learning-based wifi fingerprint inpainting via generative adversarial networks," in *2024 33rd International Conference on Computer Communications and Networks (ICCCN)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1–7.
- [152] S. A. Junoh and J.-Y. Pyun, "Enhancing indoor localization with semi-crowdsourced fingerprinting and gan-based data augmentation," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 11 945–11 959, 2023.
- [153] J. Cui, Y. Tong, Z. Zhao, J. Wang, M. Ou, and B. Wu, "More accuracy less fingerprints: Wi-fi indoor localization via generative adversarial networks," in *2023 IEEE 20th International Conference on Mobile Ad Hoc and Smart Systems (MASS)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 375–383.
- [154] W. Njima, M. Chafii, and R. M. Shubair, "Gan based data augmentation for indoor localization using labeled and unlabeled data," in *2021 International Balkan Conference on Communications and Networking (BalkanCom)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 36–39.
- [155] M. Xu, Y. Li, K. Han, Y. Zhao, J. Jiang, and Y. Du, "A conditional diffusion model based wifi sensing enhancement method," in

- 2024 IEEE 35th International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC), 2024, pp. 1–6.
- [156] Z. Wang and S. Mao, “Aigc for wireless sensing: Diffusion-empowered human activity sensing,” *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive Communications and Networking*, pp. 1–1, 2025.
- [157] J. Bian, C. Tan, P. Tang, and M. Zheng, “Wi-fi-based personnel identity recognition: Addressing dataset imbalance with c-ddpms,” *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 2024.
- [158] K. Qiu, S. Bakirtzis, I. Wassell, H. Song, K. Lin, and J. Zhang, “Irdm: A generative diffusion model for indoor radio map interpolation,” in *GLOBECOM 2023-2023 IEEE Global Communications Conference*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 01–06.
- [159] D. Guo, D. Zhang, X. Jin, and S. Lu, “Improve indoor localization accuracy by enriching csi fingerprints with cdpn,” in *2023 35th Chinese Control and Decision Conference (CCDC)*, 2023, pp. 1451–1457.
- [160] Z. Liu, S. Zhang, Q. Liu, H. Zhang, and L. Song, “Wfi-diffusion: Achieving fine-grained wifi radio map estimation with ultra-low sampling rate by diffusion models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.12004*, 2025.
- [161] J. Wu, R. Geng, Y. Li, D. Zhang, Z. Lu, Y. Hu, and Y. Chen, “Diffadar: high-quality mmwave radar perception with diffusion probabilistic model,” in *ICASSP 2024 - 2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2024, pp. 8291–8295.
- [162] L. Zhao, R. Lyu, H. Lei, Q. Lin, A. Zhou, H. Ma, J. Wang, X. Meng, C. Shao, Y. Tang, G. Chi, and Z. Yang, “Airecg: Contactless electrocardiogram for cardiac disease monitoring via mmwave sensing and cross-domain diffusion model,” *Proc. ACM Interact. Mob. Wearable Ubiquitous Technol.*, vol. 8, no. 3, Sep. 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3678550>
- [163] X. Luo, Z. Li, Z. Peng, M. Chen, and Y. Liu, “Denosing diffusion probabilistic model for radio map estimation in generative wireless networks,” *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive Communications and Networking*, pp. 1–1, 2025.
- [164] J.-Y. Zhu, T. Park, P. Isola, and A. A. Efros, “Unpaired image-to-image translation using cycle-consistent adversarial networks,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, 2017, pp. 2223–2232.
- [165] L. Zhang, Y. Ma, X. Fan, X. Fan, Y. Zhang, Z. Chen, X. Chen, and D. Zhang, “Wi-diag: Robust multisubject abnormal gait diagnosis with commodity wi-fi,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 4362–4376, 2024.
- [166] M. Arjovsky, S. Chintala, and L. Bottou, “Wasserstein gan: Machine learning,” *stat. ML*, 2017.
- [167] S. Yean, W. Goh, B.-S. Lee, and H. L. Oh, “extendgan+: Transferable data augmentation framework using wgan-gp for data-driven indoor localisation model,” *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 9, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/23/9/4402>
- [168] L. Zhang, S. Wu, T. Zhang, and Q. Zhang, “Learning to locate: Adaptive fingerprint-based localization with few-shot relation learning in dynamic indoor environments,” *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 5253–5264, 2023.
- [169] M. N. Hasan, S. U. Jan, and I. Koo, “Wasserstein gan-based digital twin-inspired model for early drift fault detection in wireless sensor networks,” *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 23, no. 12, pp. 13 327–13 339, 2023.
- [170] L. Qu, Y. Wang, T. Yang, L. Zhang, and Y. Sun, “Wgan-gp-based synthetic radar spectrogram augmentation in human activity recognition,” in *2021 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium IGARSS*, 2021, pp. 2532–2535.
- [171] F. Alharbi, L. Ouarbya, and J. A. Ward, “Synthetic sensor data for human activity recognition,” in *2020 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, 2020, pp. 1–9.
- [172] A. Radford, “Unsupervised representation learning with deep convolutional generative adversarial networks,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06434*, 2015.
- [173] Q. Li, H. Qu, Z. Liu, N. Zhou, W. Sun, S. Sigg, and J. Li, “Afdcgan: Amplitude feature deep convolutional gan for fingerprint construction in indoor localization systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 468–480, 2021.
- [174] C. Lim and J. Paek, “Cost reduction in fingerprint-based indoor localization using generative adversarial network,” in *2021 International Conference on Information and Communication Technology Convergence (ICTC)*, 2021, pp. 1024–1026.
- [175] H. Wu, H. Qin, S. Ma, H.-D. Lang, and X. Zhang, “Received signal strength prediction using generative adversarial networks for indoor localization,” in *2023 Photonics & Electromagnetics Research Symposium (PIERS)*, 2023, pp. 351–354.
- [176] Y. Lei, D. Li, H. Zhang, and X. Li, “Wavelet feature outdoor fingerprint localization based on resnet and deep convolution gan,” *Symmetry*, vol. 12, no. 9, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-8994/12/9/1565>
- [177] S. A. Junoh and J.-Y. Pyun, “Augmentation of fingerprints for indoor localization using conditional gans,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 30 176–30 190, 2024.
- [178] Y. Zhang, Y. Huang, H. He, Y. Guo, L. Chen, and Y. Chen, “Enhancing physical layer authentication in mobile wifi environments using sliding window and deep learning,” *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 585–597, 2025.
- [179] J. Boulis, M. Hemdan, A. Shokry, and M. Youssef, “Data augmentation using gans for deep learning-based localization systems,” in *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems*, ser. SIGSPATIAL ’21. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2021, p. 672–673. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3474717.3486807>
- [180] I. Higgins, L. Matthey, A. Pal, C. P. Burgess, X. Glorot, M. M. Botvinick, S. Mohamed, and A. Lerchner, “beta-vae: Learning basic visual concepts with a constrained variational framework,” *ICLR (Poster)*, vol. 3, 2017.
- [181] M. Awais, J. Choi, J. Park, and Y. H. Kim, “Data aided sensing with variational autoencoder,” in *2024 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Information and Communication (ICAIC)*, 2024, pp. 120–122.
- [182] N. Yoon, W. Jung, and H. Kim, “Deeprrsi: Generative model for fingerprint-based localization,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 66 196–66 213, 2024.
- [183] Z. Lyu, T. T. L. Chan, G. C. M. Leung, D. P. K. Lun, and M. G. Pecht, “Counteracting packet loss in fingerprint-based indoor positioning via spatially regularized entropy and ground-truth prior variational inference,” *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 24, no. 9, pp. 15 651–15 664, 2024.
- [184] W. Qian and F. Gechter, “Variational information bottleneck model for accurate indoor position recognition,” in *2020 25th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR)*, 2021, pp. 2529–2535.
- [185] J. Zhang and H. Wang, “Unsupervised and generalizable wireless positioning via variational reinforcement learning,” in *2024 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*, 2024, pp. 1–6.
- [186] W. Qian, F. Lauri, and F. Gechter, “Supervised and semi-supervised deep probabilistic models for indoor positioning problems,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 435, pp. 228–238, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0925231221000229>
- [187] A. Van Den Oord, O. Vinyals *et al.*, “Neural discrete representation learning,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 30, 2017.
- [188] S. K. Kompella, K. Davaslioglu, Y. E. Sagduyu, and S. Kompella, “Augmenting training data with vector-quantized variational autoencoder for classifying rf signals,” in *MILCOM 2024 - 2024 IEEE Military Communications Conference (MILCOM)*, 2024, pp. 1–6.
- [189] A. Dosovitskiy, L. Beyer, A. Kolesnikov, D. Weissenborn, X. Zhai, T. Unterthiner, M. Dehghani, M. Minderer, G. Heigold, S. Gelly *et al.*, “An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020.
- [190] F. Luo, S. Khan, B. Jiang, and K. Wu, “Vision transformers for human activity recognition using wifi channel state information,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2024.
- [191] H. Zhou, J. Yang, S. Deng, and W. Zhang, “Vtil: A multi-layer indoor location algorithm for rssi images based on vision

- transformer,” *Engineering Research Express*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 015069, 2024.
- [192] T. Kim, S. Park, and M. Kim, “Wifi’s unspoken tales: Deep neural network decodes human behavior from channel state information,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM 10th International Conference on Big Data Computing, Applications and Technologies*, ser. BDCAT ’23. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3632366.3632374>
- [193] M. Yang, H. Zhu, R. Zhu, F. Wu, L. Yin, and Y. Yang, “Witransformer: A novel robust gesture recognition sensing model with wifi,” *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 5, p. 2612, 2023.
- [194] S. Masrur, A. R. Khamesi, I. Guvenc *et al.*, “Transforming indoor localization: Advanced transformer architecture for nlos dominated wireless environments with distributed sensors,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.07774*, 2025.
- [195] Y. Zhou, H. Huang, S. Yuan, H. Zou, L. Xie, and J. Yang, “Metafi++: Wifi-enabled transformer-based human pose estimation for metaverse avatar simulation,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 10, no. 16, pp. 14 128–14 136, 2023.
- [196] A. Radford, K. Narasimhan, T. Salimans, and I. Sutskever, “Improving language understanding by generative pre-training,” in *OpenAI Blog*, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://openai.com/research/language-unsupervised>
- [197] B. Li, S. Ding, D. Ma, Y. Wu, H. Liao, and K. Hu, “Llmcount: Enhancing stationary mmwave detection with multimodal-llm,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.16209*, 2024.
- [198] A. Kalita, “Large language models (llms) for semantic communication in edge-based iot networks,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.20970*, 2024.
- [199] S. Dai, S. Jiang, Y. Yang, T. Cao, M. Li, S. Banerjee, and L. Qiu, “Advancing multi-modal sensing through expandable modality alignment,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.17777*, 2024.
- [200] Z. Zhang, H. Du, S. Choi, and S. H. Cho, “Tips: transformer based indoor positioning system using both csi and doa of wifi signal,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 111 363–111 376, 2022.
- [201] D. Bahdanau, “Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.0473*, 2014.
- [202] A. Trivedi, K. Silverstein, E. Strubell, P. Shenoy, and M. Iyyer, “Wifimod: Transformer-based indoor human mobility modeling using passive sensing,” in *Proceedings of the 4th ACM SIGCAS Conference on Computing and Sustainable Societies*, ser. COMPASS ’21. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2021, p. 126–137. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3460112.3471951>
- [203] A. Raza, K. P. Tran, L. Koehl, S. Li, X. Zeng, and K. Benzaidi, “Lightweight transformer in federated setting for human activity recognition,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.00244*, 2021.
- [204] Y. Shavit and I. Klein, “Boosting inertial-based human activity recognition with transformers,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 53 540–53 547, 2021.
- [205] D. Avola, A. Bernardini, F. Danese, M. Lezoche, M. Mancini, D. Pannone, and A. Ranaldi, “Transformer-based person identification via wi-fi csi amplitude and phase perturbations,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.12854*, 2025.
- [206] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, Ł. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, “Attention is all you need,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 30, 2017.
- [207] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, “Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 conference of the North American chapter of the association for computational linguistics: human language technologies, volume 1 (long and short papers)*, 2019, pp. 4171–4186.
- [208] J. Kaplan, S. McCandlish, T. Henighan, T. B. Brown, B. Chess, R. Child, S. Gray, A. Radford, J. Wu, and D. Amodei, “Scaling laws for neural language models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.08361*, 2020.
- [209] T. Brown, B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah, J. D. Kaplan, P. Dhariwal, A. Neelakantan, P. Shyam, G. Sastry, A. Askell *et al.*, “Language models are few-shot learners,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 33, pp. 1877–1901, 2020.
- [210] A. Chowdhery, S. Narang, J. Devlin, M. Bosma, G. Mishra, A. Roberts, P. Barham, H. W. Chung, C. Sutton, S. Gehrmann *et al.*, “Palm: Scaling language modeling with pathways,” *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 24, no. 240, pp. 1–113, 2023.
- [211] J. Witteveen, P. Pradhapan, and V. Mihajlović, “Comparison of a pragmatic and regression approach for wearable eeg signal quality assessment,” *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 735–746, 2019.
- [212] J. Chan, A. Wang, V. Iyer, and S. Gollakota, “Surface mimo: Using conductive surfaces for mimo between small devices,” in *Proceedings of the 24th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*, 2018, pp. 3–18.
- [213] J. Adler and S. Lunz, “Banach wasserstein gan,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 31, 2018.
- [214] I. Gulrajani, F. Ahmed, M. Arjovsky, V. Dumoulin, and A. C. Courville, “Improved training of wasserstein gans,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 30, 2017.
- [215] L. Mescheder, A. Geiger, and S. Nowozin, “Which training methods for gans do actually converge?” in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2018, pp. 3481–3490.
- [216] M. Nabati, H. Navidan, R. Shahbazian, S. A. Ghorashi, and D. Windridge, “Using synthetic data to enhance the accuracy of fingerprint-based localization: A deep learning approach,” *IEEE Sensors Letters*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 1–4, 2020.
- [217] J. Yoo, “Wi-fi fingerprint indoor localization by semi-supervised generative adversarial network,” *Sensors*, vol. 24, no. 17, p. 5698, 2024.
- [218] B. Aparna and A. Poulouse, “Human activity recognition via wi-fi csi and vae-cnn-bilstm hybrid deep learning,” in *2024 International Conference on Brain Computer Interface & Healthcare Technologies (iCon-BCIHT)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 64–69.
- [219] D. J. Rezende, S. Mohamed, and D. Wierstra, “Stochastic back-propagation and approximate inference in deep generative models,” in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2014, pp. 1278–1286.
- [220] S. Zhao, J. Song, and S. Ermon, “Towards deeper understanding of variational autoencoding models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.08658*, 2017.
- [221] G. Cao, T. Maekawa, K. Ohara, and Y. Kishino, “Reconstructing depth images of moving objects from wi-fi csi data,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.06458*, 2025.
- [222] R. Liao, Z. Li, S. S. Bhattacharyya, and G. York, “View diffgait: view pyramid diffusion for gait recognition,” in *2024 IEEE 18th International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1–9.
- [223] X. Luo, Z. Li, Z. Peng, M. Chen, and Y. Liu, “Denoising diffusion probabilistic model for radio map estimation in generative wireless networks,” *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive Communications and Networking*, 2025.
- [224] J. Ho, A. Jain, and P. Abbeel, “Denoising diffusion probabilistic models,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 33, pp. 6840–6851, 2020.
- [225] Y. Song, J. Sohl-Dickstein, D. P. Kingma, A. Kumar, S. Ermon, and B. Poole, “Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.13456*, 2020.
- [226] J. Song, C. Meng, and S. Ermon, “Denoising diffusion implicit models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502*, 2020.
- [227] B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah, J. Kaplan, P. Dhariwal, A. Neelakantan, P. Shyam, G. Sastry, A. Askell, S. Agarwal *et al.*, “Language models are few-shot learners,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.14165*, vol. 1, no. 3, p. 3, 2020.
- [228] A. Radford, J. W. Kim, C. Hallacy, A. Ramesh, G. Goh, S. Gray, S. S. Rathi, K. Narasimhan, S. Buch, W. Gipsen, L. Metz, M. Chen, E. Zhang, A. Brock, C. McLeavey, D. Luan, and D. Amodei, “Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision,” in *Proceedings of ICML*, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.00020>
- [229] J. Xiao, H. Li, M. Wu, H. Jin, M. J. Deen, and J. Cao, “A survey on wireless device-free human sensing: Application scenarios, current solutions, and open issues,” *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 1–35, 2022.
- [230] J. Wen, J. Kang, D. Niyato, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, B. Sikdar, and P. Zhang, “Generative ai for data augmentation in wireless

- networks: Analysis, applications, and case study,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.08341*, 2024.
- [231] K. Davaslioglu, S. Boztaş, M. C. Ertem, Y. E. Sagduyu, and E. Ayanoglu, “Self-supervised rf signal representation learning for nextg signal classification with deep learning,” *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 65–69, 2022.
- [232] Z. Yang, H. Du, D. Niyato, X. Wang, Y. Zhou, L. Feng, F. Zhou, W. Li, and X. Qiu, “Revolutionizing wireless networks with self-supervised learning: A pathway to intelligent communications,” *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 2025.
- [233] R. Zhou, Y. Cheng, S. Li, H. Zhang, and C. Liu, “Dgsense: A domain generalization framework for wireless sensing,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.08155*, 2025.
- [234] L. Fang, R. Song, Z. Lu, D. Zhang, Y. Hu, Q. Sun, and Y. Chen, “Prism: Pre-training rf signals in sparsity-aware masked autoencoders,” in *IEEE INFOCOM 2024-IEEE Conference on Computer Communications*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 2109–2118.
- [235] R. Song, D. Zhang, Z. Wu, C. Yu, C. Xie, S. Yang, Y. Hu, and Y. Chen, “Rf-url: unsupervised representation learning for rf sensing,” in *Proceedings of the 28th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing And Networking*, 2022, pp. 282–295.
- [236] S. Alikhani, G. Charan, and A. Alkhateeb, “Large wireless model (lwm): A foundation model for wireless channels,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.08872*, 2024.
- [237] R. Zhang, J. He, X. Luo, D. Niyato, J. Kang, Z. Xiong, Y. Li, and B. Sikdar, “Toward democratized generative ai in next-generation mobile edge networks,” *IEEE Network*, 2025.
- [238] J. Hu, L. Hou, and H. Zhang, “Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models,” in *Proceedings of ICLR*, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.09685>
- [239] Z. Wang, Y. Zhou, Y. Shi, and K. B. Letaief, “Federated fine-tuning for pre-trained foundation models over wireless networks,” *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2025.
- [240] X. Liu *et al.*, “Llm4wm: Adapting llm for wireless multi-tasking,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.12983*, 2025.
- [241] F. Liu, T. Zhang, W. Dai, C. Zhang, W. Cai, X. Zhou, and D. Chen, “Few-shot adaptation of multi-modal foundation models: A survey,” *Artificial Intelligence Review*, vol. 57, no. 10, p. 268, 2024.
- [242] P. V. Dantas, W. Sabino da Silva Jr, L. C. Cordeiro, and C. B. Carvalho, “A comprehensive review of model compression techniques in machine learning,” *Applied Intelligence*, vol. 54, no. 22, pp. 11 804–11 844, 2024.
- [243] G. Qu, Q. Chen, W. Wei, Z. Lin, X. Chen, and K. Huang, “Mobile edge intelligence for large language models: A contemporary survey,” *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 2025.
- [244] R. Bommasani, D. A. Hudson, E. Adeli, R. Altman, S. Arora, S. von Arx, M. S. Bernstein, J. Bohg, A. Bosselut, E. Brunskill *et al.*, “On the opportunities and risks of foundation models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.07258*, 2021.
- [245] M. Awais, M. Naseer, S. Khan, R. M. Anwer, H. Cholakkal, M. Shah, M.-H. Yang, and F. S. Khan, “Foundation models defining a new era in vision: a survey and outlook,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2025.
- [246] P.-J. Ku, A. H. Liu, R. Korostik, S.-F. Huang, S.-W. Fu, and A. Jukić, “Generative speech foundation model pretraining for high-quality speech extraction and restoration,” in *ICASSP 2025-2025 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2025, pp. 1–5.
- [247] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, “Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding,” in *Proceedings of NAACL-HLT*, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04805>
- [248] X. Chen, S. Kornblith, M. Noroozi, and G. Hinton, “A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations,” in *Proceedings of ICML*, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.05709>
- [249] K. He, X. Sun, X. Zhang, R. Zhang, and J. Sun, “Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning,” in *Proceedings of CVPR*, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.05722>
- [250] A. Baevski, Y. Zhang, X. Wu, and M. Auli, “Wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations,” in *Proceedings of NeurIPS*, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.11477>
- [251] Z. Zhang, H. Hu, M. Xu, and H. Zeng, “Unsupervised learning for wireless signal classification with generative models,” in *Proceedings of ICASSP*, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.04292>
- [252] N. Houlsby, A. Giurgiu, and G. D. Roumeliotis, “Parameter efficient transfer learning for bert,” in *Proceedings of EMNLP*, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.00751>
- [253] M. Tan and Q. V. Le, “Efficientnet: Rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural networks,” in *Proceedings of ICML*, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.11946>
- [254] W. Li, L. Huang, X. Zhang, and J. Li, “Towards efficient fine-tuning for wireless sensing in edge computing environments,” in *Proceedings of ICC*, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9171479>
- [255] W. Wang, B. Huang, Y. Zheng, and Z. Feng, “Speech2text: End-to-end deep learning for speech-to-text conversion,” in *Proceedings of ICASSP*, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.11477>
- [256] A. Ramesh, M. Pavlov, G. Goh, S. Gray, C. Vièzes, S. Buch, L. Metz, W. Gipson, M. Chen, C. Hallacy, and A. Radford, “Zero-shot text-to-image generation,” in *Proceedings of NeurIPS*, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.12092>
- [257] X. Li, Z. Chen, and Y. Gao, “Wireless sensing meets deep learning: Towards real-time cross-modal fusion for wireless systems,” in *Proceedings of ICASSP*, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.00372>
- [258] H. Cai, B. Korany, C. R. Karanam, and Y. Mostofi, “Teaching rf to sense without rf training measurements,” *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 1–22, 2020.
- [259] S. Bhalla, M. Goel, and R. Khurana, “Imu2doppler: Cross-modal domain adaptation for doppler-based activity recognition using imu data,” *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 1–20, 2021.