

# Machine Learning in Micromobility: A Systematic Review of Datasets, Techniques, and Applications

Sen Yan, *Graduate Student Member, IEEE*, Chinmaya Kaundanya,  
Noel E. O'Connor, *Member, IEEE*, Suzanne Little, and Mingming Liu, *Senior Member, IEEE*

**Abstract**—Micromobility systems, which include lightweight and low-speed vehicles such as bicycles, e-bikes, and e-scooters, have become an important part of urban transportation and are used to solve problems such as traffic congestion, air pollution, and high transportation costs. Successful utilisation of micromobilities requires optimisation of complex systems for efficiency, environmental impact mitigation, and overcoming technical challenges for user safety. Machine Learning (ML) methods have been crucial to support these advancements and to address their unique challenges. However, there is insufficient literature addressing the specific issues of ML applications in micromobilities. This survey paper addresses this gap by providing a comprehensive review of datasets, ML techniques, and their specific applications in micromobilities. Specifically, we collect and analyse various micromobility-related datasets and discuss them in terms of spatial, temporal, and feature-based characteristics. In addition, we provide a detailed overview of ML models applied in micromobilities, introducing their advantages, challenges, and specific use cases. Furthermore, we explore multiple ML applications, such as demand prediction, energy management, and safety, focusing on improving efficiency, accuracy, and user experience. Finally, we propose future research directions to address these issues, aiming to help future researchers better understand this field.

**Index Terms**—Micromobility, Dataset, Machine Learning, Intelligent Transportation, Computer Vision

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS & ACRONYMS

<b>ADAS</b>	Advanced Driver Assistance Systems
<b>ANN</b>	Artificial Neural Networks
<b>ARIMA</b>	Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving Average
<b>CNN</b>	Convolutional Neural Networks
<b>DT</b>	Decision Tree
<b>GCN</b>	Graph Convolutional Network
<b>GNN</b>	Graph Neural Network
<b>GRU</b>	Generalised Recurrent Unit
<b>KNN</b>	K-Nearest Neighbours
<b>LGBM</b>	Light Gradient-Boosting Machine
<b>LR</b>	Linear Regression
<b>LSTM</b>	Long Short-Term Memory
<b>ML</b>	Machine Learning

This publication has emanated from research conducted with the financial support of Taighde Éireann — Research Ireland under Grant number 21/FFP-P/10266 and SFI/12/RC/2289\_P2. (Corresponding author: Suzanne Little.)

S. Yan, N. O'Connor, and M. Liu are with the School of Electronic Engineering and Insight Research Ireland Centre for Data Analytics at Dublin City University, Dublin 9, Ireland. (e-mail: sen.yan5@mail.dcu.ie; noel.oconnor@dcu.ie; mingming.liu@dcu.ie).

C. Kaundanya and S. Little are with the School of Computing and Insight Research Ireland Centre for Data Analytics at Dublin City University, Dublin 9, Ireland. (e-mail: chinmaya.kaundanya3@mail.dcu.ie; suzanne.little@dcu.ie).

<b>MLP</b>	Multi-Layer Perceptron
<b>MLR</b>	Multiple Linear Regression
<b>PRISMA</b>	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses
<b>RF</b>	Random Forest
<b>RNN</b>	Recurrent Neural Networks
<b>SOTA</b>	State of the Arts
<b>STGCN</b>	Spatio-Temporal Graph Convolutional Network
<b>SVM</b>	Support Vector Machines
<b>XGB</b>	Extreme Gradient Boosting

## I. INTRODUCTION

As cities become more densely populated, the demand for efficient and environmentally friendly transportation options has continued to rise. Micromobilities include a category of transportation solutions including lightweight, low-speed vehicles such as bicycles, e-bikes, and e-scooters, primarily designed for short-distance travel. The growth of micromobilities has been accelerated by the demand to address urban challenges such as traffic congestion, air pollution, and transportation costs [1]–[3]. The ability to provide last-mile connectivity, reduce dependence on private cars, and enhance the limited accessibility of conventional public transport makes micromobility an important component of future urban mobility [4]–[6]. Consequently, many governments and policy-makers are integrating micromobilities into sustainable urban development strategies thanks to their potential to enhance urban mobility and contribute to a more sustainable future<sup>123</sup>.

Machine Learning (ML) technology, as an advanced data-driven method, is also crucial in improving micromobilities by providing considerable capabilities across various aspects and addressing complex challenges efficiently. Unlike conventional approaches, which often depend on static models and fixed rules, ML methods employ large datasets to identify patterns, make predictions, and adapt in real-time, which enables micromobilities to operate more efficiently and respond dynamically to changing environments. For instance, ML methods significantly increase prediction accuracy in tasks including demand prediction [7]–[9] and energy management [10]–[12], which enables operators to optimise vehicle distribution and energy management accordingly. Furthermore, ML technology enhances user experiences by analysing individual

<sup>1</sup><https://www.gov.ie/en/publication/e62e0-electric-vehicle-policy-pathway>

<sup>2</sup><https://highways.dot.gov/public-roads/spring-2021/02>

<sup>3</sup><https://www.intertraffic.com/news/urban-mobility/china-mobility-revolution>

behaviour and preferences, allowing for personalised services that conventional methods struggle to match. In summary, ML methods can make micromobilities operate more efficiently, safely, and responsively. However, a notable gap exists in the literature, especially a comprehensive overview of ML approaches in the micromobility system.

While some survey papers have discussed ML applications in broader mobility contexts [13]–[15], few have considered the unique requirements and constraints related to them. This gap illustrates the urgent need for a systematic review, motivating us to explore the current state of ML methods in micromobilities in terms of existing datasets, popular ML techniques, and their specific applications, as shown in Figure 1. The key contributions of this paper are summarised as follows:

- A wide range of datasets relevant to micromobilities are documented and analysed, providing insights into their spatial, temporal, and feature-based characteristics.
- Various ML models applied in micromobilities are introduced, categorised by their principles, advantages, and challenges, and discussed in their specific applications.
- Different applications of ML methods are discussed in key areas of micromobilities, such as demand prediction, route planning, and rider safety.
- Critical challenges in the current application of ML to micromobilities are identified, along with future research directions to address these issues.




Fig. 1. Research scope in this survey paper.

This survey paper is structured as follows: In section III, we provide an overview and comprehensive comparison of existing survey papers on micromobility services. The review methodology is detailed in section II. section IV introduces and summarises the micromobility datasets identified in our survey. An overview of ML methods applied in micromobilities is presented in section V, and the specific applications

of ML methods and conventional methods are introduced and discussed in section VI and section VII, respectively. section VIII presents directions to be further explored and challenges to be addressed in micromobilities. Finally, we conclude our work and its limitations in section IX.

## II. REVIEW METHODOLOGY

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement, as outlined in [16], is the most widely adopted reporting guideline for systematic reviews. To conduct our literature review, we followed the PRISMA protocol based on the detailed explanations and elaborations provided in [17]. In this section, we describe our survey methodology from three perspectives, including our study objectives, eligibility criteria, and search strategy.

### A. Study Objectives

The formulation of study objectives is guided by the purpose of the literature review. Before initiating the review, specific research questions (RQs) were defined to determine the scope of our inquiry:

- What datasets related to micromobilities have been published or used in existing research?
- Which ML technologies have been employed in micromobility-relevant research papers?
- Which research problems have these ML methods been applied to address?

Accordingly, we identified three objectives critical for a better understanding of ML methods used in micromobilities:

- Gather and consolidate micromobility datasets to provide an overview of available research resources;
- Examine and detail the machine learning techniques employed in micromobility research papers;
- Assess the specific sectors within micromobility research where ML techniques have been applied.

### B. Eligibility Criteria

Our inclusion criteria targeted peer-reviewed research papers focused on the application of ML techniques in micromobilities. We excluded studies that were not written in English, focused solely on conventional transportation methods, or lacked clear methodologies or results of ML techniques. For datasets, we mainly focused on those published in English for micromobilities, but datasets recorded in other languages, such as Spanish and Korean, were also included if they were used in publications written in English.

### C. Search Strategy

We searched the following databases for literature related to computer science and data science: ACM Digital Library (Association for Computing Machinery), IEEE Xplore (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers), MDPI (Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute), SAGE Journals (SAGE Publishing), ScienceDirect (Elsevier), and Springer-Link (Springer Nature). Besides, we also used Google Scholar

TABLE I  
SUMMARY OF RECENT RELATED SURVEY PAPERS.

Ref.	Brief Descriptions	Modalities			Topics				
		bicycle	e-bike	e-scooter	datasets	ML methods	energy analysis	demand analysis	safety
[18]	The impact of micromobilities on accessibility, air pollution, safety, physical activity, and subjective well-being.	×	×	×			×		×
[19]	ML techniques in bike-sharing systems, with a focus on usage pattern detection and demand prediction.	×				×		×	
[20]	ML methods in shared transportation systems, with a focus on user analysis, demand analysis, dispatching, and infrastructure planning.	×	×	×	×	×	×		
[21]	ML methods applied to urban transportation, focused on public datasets, passenger localisation, transportation mode detection and pattern recognition, and generative mobility models.	×	×		×	×			
[22]	Journal articles in the Scopus database from 2000 to 2020, focusing on benefits, technology, policy, and behavioural model choices, with a very brief technical discussion.	×	×	×		×		×	×
[23]	The properties of shared e-scooter systems and their impacts on the environment, user social, economic, safety, and transportation performance.			×			×		×
[24]	ML approaches for energy management of e-mobility services.		×	×		×	×		
[*]	<b>ML methods applied in shared micromobilities, focused on datasets, applications, and methodologies using multimodality data.</b>	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×

The last item marked in **bold** represents our work conducted in this paper.

for broader research paper searches and Kaggle for dataset gathering. The searches were carried out in July 2024 with the following keywords: ‘machine learning’, ‘e-bike’, ‘e-scooter’, ‘shared bike’, ‘dataset’, ‘micro-mobility’, ‘e-mobility’, ‘trip history’, ‘demand’, ‘safety’, ‘multimodal’, ‘computer vision’, ‘resource-constrained’, and ‘energy consumption’.

The scope of our search included articles published from January 1, 2019, to July 31, 2024, a period chosen to capture the State of the Arts (SOTA) and significant recent developments. Access to each database was facilitated through its respective online platform, ensuring that our search process was thorough and structured, adhering to the PRISMA guidelines.

### III. RELATED SURVEY PAPERS

This section reviews existing survey papers on micromobility services, as summarised in Table I. These surveys investigated ML applications across various travel modes, ranging from private methods like walking and cycling [18]–[20] to public transport systems, including buses and subways [21], and shared mobility options such as e-bikes and e-scooters [22]–[24]. However, the analysis of Table I also reveals several research gaps and challenges. For instance, only a few survey papers address the dataset situation [20], [21] and demand analysis [22] in shared micromobilities. In addition, some studies are limited to papers focusing on specific transportation modes without a comparative analysis in micromobilities, such as [19] focusing on shared bikes and [23] on e-scooters only.

Moreover, although ML methods are discussed to different extents in existing survey papers, there remains a need for more comparative and comprehensive discussions in the field. For example, the author of [22] provided an overview of the technology involved. However, probably due to different research orientations, the discussion was limited in both depth and breadth. Similarly, while [18] and [23] both expressed safety concerns, neither study provided a detailed introduction or comparison regarding the technologies used. In summary,

research on safety [25], energy analysis [24], and demand and destination predictions [26] should be explored more deeply.

Therefore, the primary objective of this paper is to expand upon the studies presented in existing research papers to assess the latest advancements in ML methods for addressing challenges within micromobilities. Specifically, we aim to provide a comprehensive review of the datasets, ML methods, and their applications in micromobilities, particularly on safety, energy analysis, demand analysis, and destination prediction.

### IV. DATASETS

This section introduces the micromobility datasets identified in our survey and summarises them in Table II, including tabular and image datasets for different research tasks. An overview of these datasets is displayed in Figure 2. Generally speaking, the tabular datasets [27]–[39] usually contain data from multiple sensors attached to micromobility or installed at parking spots, while the image datasets [25], [40]–[44], include pictures or video frames from cameras attached to micromobilities or other vehicles. They are sourced from various micromobility devices around the world.

#### A. Spatial & Temporal Analysis

Considering temporal aspects, many datasets [27], [28], [30] have been collected for over 9 years since 2014, and some are still ongoing. We observed that these datasets are mainly provided and managed by micromobility operators and published on the local open data portal. These *long-term* datasets are valuable for analysing trend changes and assessing long-term impacts. On the contrary, many *short-term* data collection projects have begun in various locations since 2021, lasting only 1 to 2 years [32], [38], [45]. These studies are more likely to be collected by researchers or research teams focused on specific objectives or the application of SOTA methods, representing a potentially increased interest in micromobilities and related research in recent years.



Fig. 2. An overview of the micromobility datasets reviewed.

From a spatial perspective, these datasets were collected from various countries and regions across North America, Europe, and Asia, where the USA made the most contributions with data from seven cities, such as San Francisco, Austin, and Chicago. With their active participation, these datasets can provide efficient solutions for traffic challenges on a city level and valuable insights into policy-making on a country level. By collecting short-term data multiple times, some cities, such as Dublin, Ireland, and Seoul, South Korea, may put efforts into improving their current transportation systems or promoting micromobility and low-carbon transportation modes. It is also worth mentioning that some smaller cities [38], [43], [44] have also contributed to micromobility data collection, suggesting that the interest in smart transportation is not only limited to large urban areas but also extends to smaller regions.

The spatial and temporal diversity of these datasets offers different perspectives for scientific analysis and may contribute to various applications. Some datasets do not specify the exact times or locations of data collection, so we provide relevant information that may be helpful below. The Pothole dataset [40], [41] was compiled by the Electrical and Electronic Department at Stellenbosch University in 2015, but the authors did not clarify where the data was collected, so we did not include it in Figure 2. Two datasets are labelled “Multiple Cities”. This is because Micromobility Lane Recognition Dataset [25] was collected from multiple countries (i.e., France, Ireland, Singapore, Sweden, the UK, and the USA), while PolyMMV Dataset [46] was established based on images online.

### B. Vehicle Type Analysis

From the perspective of vehicle type, 4 out of 19 datasets reviewed focused on only shared bike data, with some collected over an extended period. For instance, Toronto, Canada, has been collecting bicycle data for almost a decade, and Seoul, South Korea, began the data gathering in 2015. This shows the importance of bicycles as a traditional and environmentally friendly transportation mode in urban areas. On the other hand, there are 6 datasets covering only e-scooter data, and the

popularity and research interests of e-mobilities have increased significantly [32], [33], [36], [37], [43], [44]. This reflects that e-mobility systems have attracted widespread attention and can be employed to address environmental challenges in urban transportation. Simultaneously, we found some studies covering multiple vehicle types [31], [33], [34], [39], with 1 focused on bicycles and e-bikes and 3 focused on e-bikes and e-scooters. This indicates the importance of multimodal travel modes. This analysis helps researchers better understand and optimise the transportation system.

Additionally, there are 3 datasets labelled “Others” in Figure 2. The dataset in [38] was collected through a smartphone application. It includes various travel modes, such as walking, cycling, and driving. The dataset in [42] contains images of pedestrians and e-scooter riders captured by cameras mounted on cars. The dataset in [46] was created by integrating online images rather than based on any specific type of vehicle.

### C. Tabular Dataset Feature Analysis

These datasets cover a wide range of detailed trip attributes, which are also called data features. Since almost all tabular datasets are summaries of trip records or usage records, we divide the features contained in the dataset into the following categories: user and vehicle features (e.g., user ID, vehicle type), spatial, and temporal data (e.g., departure time, arrival position), trip attributes (e.g., total distance, trip duration), and other operational features (e.g., battery state of charge).

Although the user and vehicle information patterns differ based on the classification methods employed by various providers, they are all important for analysing individual usage patterns and providing personalised services, as they reflect user behaviour and preferences. Additionally, nearly all datasets incorporate spatial and temporal data, the key features for understanding mobility dynamics within urban environments. Temporal data facilitates the analysis of peak usage times and seasonal variations, whereas spatial data helps in urban planning and infrastructure development. Moreover, trip attributes, common across many datasets, play a significant role in enhancing the understanding of trip dynamics and system efficiency. Last but not least, operational attributes, such as the battery state of charge, are essential for operational adjustments. Among the eight surveyed datasets focusing on E-mobilities, only the e-bike dataset from Moby Bikes [36] includes information about the battery state of charge before and after trips, and the electric micromobility dataset in [39] provides real-time state of charge of the vehicle battery.

### D. Image Datasets

Image datasets for micromobility safety mainly involve capturing visual data during the usage of a particular modality. These datasets are typically created by mounting cameras on e-scooters, e-bikes, and other micromobilities. For example, datasets like [42], [46] are designed for object detection, focusing on identifying pedestrians, vehicles, traffic lights, signs, and other micromobility users in real time. Beyond detecting road users, other objects such as different road lanes, potholes, bumps, fences, walls, and traffic cones, as

included in datasets like [25], [40], [41], [43], are also important for micromobility safety, emphasising the broader range of environmental hazards and conditions that affect micromobilities. Similarly, real-time lane recognition is also important for preventing collisions, especially without specific regulations for micromobility use. The Micromobility Lane Recognition dataset [25] is a multilabel image classification dataset designed to identify the lane an e-scooter or e-bike user is riding in real time, helping to avoid potential collisions.

However, existing open-source datasets, such as [47]–[52], designed for autonomous cars are not optimal for micromobility safety due to differences in perspective and setup requirements. The frequent vibrations from e-scooter movements disrupt sensor data, complicating real-time motion artefact mitigation. Besides, e-scooters operate in diverse environments with varying lighting conditions, weather, and road surfaces, making it challenging for object detectors to adapt consistently. Nevertheless, all these image datasets are invaluable resources, as they are specifically created to address the dedicated safety concerns of vehicles and users in micromobilities.

## V. TECHNIQUES

This section provides a basic introduction to the ML process and an overview of ML methods applied in micromobilities, focusing on the principles, variants, advantages, challenges, and specific applications of each algorithm, from classical ML models like Linear Regression (LR) to artificial neural networks such as Graph Convolutional Network (GCN).

### A. ML Process Introduction

Existing works divide the ML workflow into different steps to adapt to various application scenarios [53], [54], but they mainly focus on four aspects: problems, data, models, and knowledge. Based on this, we further divide the general ML workflow into ten steps, as shown in Figure 3, and provide a detailed introduction from the perspective of micromobilities.

**Problem Definition:** The problem to be solved is defined and clarified in this step, including the target variable and the objectives of this ML task. A clear problem definition ensures alignment between the ML task and micromobilities, such as traffic flow prediction, or vehicle classification.

**Data Source Determination:** This step focuses on identifying and assessing relevant data sources to solve the problem. For micromobilities, the quality and reliability of data sources are necessary to capture dynamic and complex traffic patterns.

**Data Collection:** This step involves extracting, aggregating, and organising data from various sources, such as vehicle-to-everything communication systems, roadside sensors, and weather monitoring stations. To enhance the generalisability and reliability of ML models, collected data needs to be reliable, comprehensive, and well-structured.

**Data Processing:** Raw traffic data often contains missing values, outliers, and inconsistencies due to sensor malfunctions or GPS signal errors. Processing tasks for micromobilities include handling these issues, performing tasks such as normalisation, standardisation, and data type conversions, and extracting relevant features like traffic density or average speed




Fig. 3. An overview of the ML process.

with feature engineering techniques. This is an important step in preparing raw data for analysis, ensuring the dataset is clean, well-structured, and ready for modelling.

**Data Analysis:** This step aims to uncover data patterns, distributions, and potential features through techniques such as visualisation (e.g., heatmaps of vehicle density) and statistical analysis (e.g., identifying peak-hour trends), and provide insights to guide the development of hypotheses and model selection and refinement. It is important for understanding the dataset's content, structure, and relationships among variables.

**Model Selection:** Different ML models are designed to address specific tasks. For instance, regression models are better suited for traffic flow prediction, while classification models are more effective for object detection. Choosing the appropriate model ensures a balance between accuracy, interpretability, and computational efficiency.

**Model Training:** Training is the core stage in ML, where the model learns from the data by identifying patterns to make accurate predictions. This step involves optimising model parameters to minimise error, often through techniques like gradient descent, and dividing the data into training and validation sets to assess performance.

**Model Evaluation:** In this step, the model performance is evaluated using the test dataset, which was not involved in the training process. For classification tasks, key metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score are used to assess how well the model categorises data. For regression tasks, metrics like mean absolute error, mean squared error, root mean square error, and R-squared are typically used to evaluate the model performance. Cross-validation could be employed to ensure consistency across different subsets and prevent overfitting.

**Model Tuning:** This step focuses on refining the model by optimising hyperparameters, modifying features, or selecting alternative models using advanced techniques, such as model stacking or ensembling. The goal is to explore all aspects of

TABLE II  
DATASETS.

Dataset Name	Year	Size	Vehicle Type	Purpose	Data Modalities	Labels or Annotations	Availability
Madrid BiciMAD E-bike Daily Usage Record* [27]	2014	4.35 MB	e-bike	Not clarified	Usage record	“Total Uses”	public
Bike Share Toronto Ridership Data [28]	2014	60 MB per month	shared bike	Demand prediction	Trip record	“Trip Start Station ID”, “Trip End Station ID”	public
Road Pothole Images for Pothole Detection [40], [41]	2015	9.5 GB	car	Rider safety	Image	potholes	public
San Francisco Lyft Bay Wheels E-Bike Dataset [29]	2017	6 MB per month	shared bike	Not clarified	Trip record	“Start Station ID”, “End Station ID”	public
Seoul Bike Sharing Rental History* [30]	2017	600 MB per season	shared bike	Demand prediction	Rental record	“Rental Station ID”, “Return Station ID”	public
Barcelona Bicing Shared Bike Usage Record [31]	2018	1.57 MB	shared bike e-bike	Not clarified	Usage record	“bikesInUsage”, “electricalBikesInUsage”	public
Motorized Foot Scooter Trips Dataset [32]	2019	15.5 MB per month	e-scooter	Not clarified	Trip record	“StartCenterlineID”, “EndCenterlineID”	public
Norfolk Lime Micromobility Dataset [33]	2019	300 MB	e-scooter, e-bike	Not clarified	Trip record	“Starting Tract”, “Ending Tract”	public
Austin Shared Mobility Vehicle Trips [34]	2019	3.7 GB	e-scooter, e-bike	Demand Management	Trip record	“Census Tract Start”, “Census Tract End”	public
Portland Biketown Trip Data [35]	2020	6 MB per month	shared bike	Demand prediction	Trip record	“StartHub”, “EndHub”	public
Moby Bikes Bikeshare Data [36]	2020	10 MB per month	e-bike	Not clarified	GPS record	“LastGPSTime”, “Latitude”, “Longitude”	public
IUPUI-CSRC E-Scooter Rider Detection Benchmark Dataset [42]	2021	536 MB	car	Rider safety	Image	e-scooter riders, pedestrians	public
Real-time vibration sensor dataset for e-scooter [45]	2021	NA	e-scooter	Rider safety	Multimodal	riding behaviour	private
Chicago E-scooter Trip Data [37]	2022	1.1 GB	e-scooter	Not clarified	Trip record	“Start Centroid Location”, “End Centroid Location”	public
Collecty Dataset [38]	2023	24.86 GB	multimodal transport	Mobility Analysis	Activity details	mobility analysis	public
Outdoor Hazard Detection Dataset [43]	2023	13.27 GB	e-scooter	Rider safety	Image	outdoor hazard	public
Dublin Electric Micromobility Dataset [39]	2024	7.2 MB	e-scooter e-bike	Energy Management	Trip record	“SoC”	public
ScooterDet Dataset [44]	2024	1.1 GB	e-scooter	Rider safety	Image	road objects	public
Micromobility Lane Recognition Dataset [25]	2024	18.10 GB	e-scooter	Micromobility Safety	Image	lanes	public
PolyMMV Dataset [46]	2024	298 MB	e-scooter, e-bike	Rider safety	Video	micromobility vehicles	public

<sup>1</sup> For image datasets, the “Label or Annotations” column shows the target object(s) in the image, while for tabular datasets, it shows the key column name(s).

<sup>2</sup> Datasets marked with \* were recorded in other languages and used in English publications. For the convenience of readers, the column names are translated into English and presented in the corresponding “Label or Annotations” column.

the ML task, including model selection and possibly even data collection, to guarantee optimal accuracy and generalisability.

**Result Generation:** Based on the refined model, unseen data could be applied to generate prediction results, such as predicting congestion levels or identifying high-risk zones, to address the defined problem effectively.

**Model Deployment:** According to the results obtained, stakeholders could determine whether the model is suitable for real-world deployment, enabling it to gather new data in practical applications. In micromobilities, the models could be integrated into central traffic control systems or local navigation applications, where the prediction results can assist in dynamically optimising vehicle routes or providing travellers with real-time road condition information.

## B. Classical ML Models

We divided classical ML models based on their core principles and primary objectives into statistical-based, distance-

based, and tree-based models. Models in each category have their own characteristics and are used in different situations.

*1) Statistics-Based Models:* Statistical models, such as LR, Multiple Linear Regression (MLR), and Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA), are based on statistical theory and use probability models to describe and predict targets. They are usually applied to tasks with the hypothesis that the data follows a certain probability distribution, so they always focus on parameter estimation and hypothesis testing [55]–[57]. By analysing key statistical characteristics, these models can provide considerable prediction results and relatively strong interpretability. For instance, LR was used in the battery range prediction task in [58] as a baseline model, MLR was employed in [59] to predict e-mobility energy consumption, and ARIMA and seasonal ARIMA were adopted in [60] and [9] to predict the destination and hourly demand of micromobilities respectively. However, statistics-

based models are sensitive to noise and outliers, so they often require extensive data preprocessing. In addition, their ability to handle nonlinear relationships is limited, which may result in relatively weaker performance in complex scenarios.

2) *Distance-Based Models*: Distance-based models rely on calculating the distance or similarity between data points for classification or clustering purposes. These models, including K-Nearest Neighbours (KNN), Support Vector Machines (SVM) and K-Means, employ distance metrics such as Euclidean or Manhattan distances to determine similarity, and they do not require strict statistical assumptions or parameter estimation [61], [62]. They are particularly suited for tasks where the relative position or similarity of data points is important. For example, KNN was used for trip destination prediction as a baseline model in [63], K-Means was implemented to address the vehicle routing problem for e-scooters in [64], and SVM was applied for predicting the battery range of e-scooters in [58]. However, distance-based models are computationally intensive and sensitive to the choice of distance metrics. Additionally, these models might perform weaker with poorly separated or noisy data, which makes them perform weaker in complex scenarios.

3) *Tree-Based Models*: Tree-based models, such as Decision Tree (DT), Random Forest (RF), Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGB), and Light Gradient-Boosting Machine (LGBM), can classify or predict data by constructing decision trees that recursively split the dataset. These models use branching logic to make decisions, providing a clear and interpretable decision-making process. They effectively handle nonlinear relationships and complex interactions, making them suitable for various data types [65], [66]. For instance, DT and RF were used in e-mobility energy consumption modelling in [67] as baseline models, and XGB and LGBM were applied in e-scooter energy needs estimation in [10]. However, compared to simpler models, tree-based models usually require more computational resources due to their complexity.

### C. Artificial Neural Networks

Based on the architectural design and methods for handling different types of data, we classify Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) models into Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP), Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN), and Graph Neural Network (GNN) models. Each type of network has unique processing capabilities, making them particularly suited for specific data types and tasks.

1) *Multi-Layer Perceptron*: MLP is a basic ANN model consisting of multiple fully connected layers, where each unit in one layer is connected to every unit in the previous layer. Compared with other ANN models, MLP does not have specific capabilities for processing spatial or temporal data. While its ability to manage high-dimensional data, such as images or serial data, is limited, MLP remains well-suited for tabular data [68], [69]. Specifically, MLP has been employed in destination prediction as a baseline model in [63] and in modelling e-mobility energy consumption in [70].

2) *Convolutional Neural Networks*: CNN uses convolutional and pooling layers to process input data through local

perception and shared weights, making it particularly capable of extracting spatial features. Through convolution operations, CNN models can efficiently capture spatial features in images, including edges and textures, making it significantly effective in processing data with spatial structures, such as images and videos. Particularly, CNN has been applied in shared bike demand prediction in [71], destination prediction in [72], energy consumption estimation for e-mobilities in [73], and some studies relevant to vehicle and rider safety concerns, such as real-time lane recognition [25], [74], rider attentiveness predication [45], rider fall detection [75], object detection [40], [41], object tracking and trajectory estimation [76].

3) *Recurrent Neural Networks*: RNN models, including Simple RNN, Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), Generalised Recurrent Unit (GRU), and their variants, are designed with recurrent connections to handle sequential data, such as time series and text data with temporal or sequential dependencies [77], [78]. Simple RNN has a basic recurrent structure suitable for sequences but struggles with long-term dependencies due to gradient vanishing. LSTM adds gates to control information flow, making it more effective at capturing long-term dependencies, but it is more complex and computationally intensive. GRU simplifies LSTM by combining the forget and input gates, making it faster and easier to train, though sometimes less flexible. Convolutional LSTM integrates convolutional layers with LSTM, making it ideal for spatiotemporal data by capturing both spatial and temporal patterns, but it demands more resources. Among the research papers covered in this survey study, Simple RNN and LSTM were used in [63] while GRU and Convolutional LSTM were used in [79] to predict trip destinations based on spatiotemporal sequence.

4) *Graph Neural Networks*: GNN models, including GCN, Spatio-Temporal Graph Convolutional Network (STGCN), and other variants, are designed to process graph-structured data [80], [81]. GCN uses convolutional layers to aggregate information from neighbouring nodes, making them suitable for tasks like node classification and link prediction in networks. It is widely used to obtain relationships in graph data but may struggle with deep networks when node features become indistinguishable. STGCN extends GCN by incorporating temporal dynamics. Thus, it is ideal for spatiotemporal data like traffic flow or driver activity recognition. For instance, GCN has been employed in trip destination prediction in [60], while STGCN was used to predict the shared bike hourly demand in [82].

5) *Vision Transformers*: Vision Transformers, introduced in [83], adapt the Transformer architecture from natural language processing to image recognition by splitting images into fixed-size patches and treating them as word tokens in text, processing them with standard Transformer encoders without convolutional layers. Many studies [84]–[87] have applied Vision Transformers to 2D or 3D lane detection in autonomous driving, leveraging their cross-attention mechanisms to elegantly transform features across different views, outperforming traditional CNN-based methods [85]. However, their complex architecture and larger model size pose significant challenges for deployment in the resource-constrained environments of micromobility vehicles [88].

#### D. Ensemble Techniques

Ensemble techniques are more advanced and SOTA techniques involving the integration of two or more algorithms. The main idea is to process the data with one algorithm first and continue the following processing using another algorithm.

1) *Data Transformations & Image Processing*: Statistical techniques enable data transformation from various formats into images, enabling the application of image processing and analysing techniques to address complex problems that are challenging to solve in other fields. For instance, a system was developed in [45] to monitor e-scooter drivers' attentiveness. It used short-time Fourier transform and wavelet transform to convert vibration data into images, which were then processed by various multimodal CNN models. The experimental results indicate the effectiveness and feasibility of this method.

2) *Optical Flow & Object Detection*: Optical flow is a technique used to describe the apparent position of objects of interest by tracking reference points across multiple frames over a certain period and calculating the displacement of corner points among these frames. Combined with object detection techniques, optical flow can approximate the speed of e-scooters and predict potential collision times with stationary objects. This idea has been employed to develop an accident prevention system in [46], [89] to provide real-time warnings to micromobility riders in situations of potential accidents.

3) *Semantic Segmentation & Object Detection*: Combining semantic segmentation with object detection provides a balanced approach that enhances both accuracy and efficiency, especially in resource-limited scenarios. In [43], these techniques were integrated using "cells of interest" to classify objects rather than traditional pixel-based methods. This approach reduces the computational load while maintaining high precision, making it effective for applications in micromobilities, where precision and efficiency are both essential.

## VI. APPLICATIONS

Based on the findings in the last section, it is clear that ML algorithms can improve the efficiency and user experience of micromobilities. In this section, we introduce different applications of ML methods in micromobilities and summarise them in Table III. Figure 4 provides a visual representation of some of these applications for a better understanding.

#### A. Demand Prediction

One of the most common applications of ML in micromobilities is demand prediction, which involves estimating the number of vehicles required within a given time window to meet customer demand. This task is usually regarded as a combination of time-series forecasting and spatial analysis. The complexity of urban dynamics and scalability across regions present significant challenges for traditional approaches [24], [90]. In contrast, existing studies [7]–[9], [71], [82], [91]–[101] suggest that ML techniques such as time-series models, neural networks, and graph-based methods are well-suited to capturing these dynamics. However, implementing these methods poses additional challenges, such as the need for high-quality, comprehensive data [19], [20] and the integration of these technologies into existing frameworks [90], [102].



Fig. 4. A pictorial representation of ML applications in micromobilities, such as safety and energy management, to improve efficiency, accuracy, and user experience.

#### B. Trip Destination Prediction

Another application of ML methods is the prediction of trip destinations, which requires understanding temporal patterns, spatial relationships, and user-specific behaviours. Recent studies have shown the effectiveness of ML techniques, such as time-series models, tree-based methods, and neural networks, in addressing these dimensions [103]–[110], as they provide more accurate, scalable, and personalised solutions compared with conventional methods [108]–[110]. However, as geographical data is essential for trajectory-based methods, there still exist some challenges, such as the demand for high-quality data [19], [20] and data privacy concerns [111], [112].

#### C. Energy Consumption Prediction

ML methods are integral for predicting energy consumption in micromobilities, especially e-mobilities. This problem can be regarded as a combination of regression tasks and time-series forecasting, aiming to estimate precise energy consumption and investigate how it varies over time based on driving conditions, weather, and battery characteristics. Previous studies have presented the ability of ML methods in these tasks, such as linear models, ensemble methods, and neural networks [10]–[12], which can model the complex interactions between vehicle attributes, environmental factors, and trip features, enabling micromobilities to improve energy management and vehicle efficiency, and assist in route planning [59], [113], [114]. However, implementing these ML techniques involves addressing several challenges, such as managing complex and high-dimensional data, and the need for powerful computational resources and sophisticated algorithms [114], [115].

#### D. Route Planning with Constraints

Many studies have investigated route planning under different constraints, such as travel time [116], air quality [2], and trip mode preferences [117]. An essential factor making

e-mobilities unique from conventional transportation methods is the need to consider the real-time charge status of vehicle batteries. This application can be formed as a combination of prediction and optimisation tasks. The former estimates different objectives like energy consumption or travel time based on factors such as trip distance and driving habits, while the latter balances these objectives for optimised solutions. Various ML methods, including statistics-based, tree-based, and neural network models have been applied to enable dynamic route adjustments based on real-time data [58], [64], [118]. However, some challenges still exist in the implementation of these solutions, including integrating dynamic constraints like vehicle availability and road regulations [119], and balancing multiple objectives, such as trip time and energy usage [120].

### E. Lane Recognition

Lane recognition for micromobilities is important for identifying the specific lane segment a rider is travelling on in real-time, as e-scooter riders often end up on sidewalks due to multiple reasons, such as traffic congestion, poor infrastructure, or simply for amusement, which significantly increases the risk of collisions with pedestrians. This application can be abstracted as a classification task, where the system determines whether a rider is in a designated lane or an unauthorised area. Object detection skills are usually included to recognise lane boundaries and nearby obstacles by analysing spatial patterns in visual data. Recent research [25], [74] has demonstrated practical solutions for this task using lightweight ML models, e.g., MobileNetV2 [121], that can be deployed on low-spec edge devices, such as microcontrollers with cameras, providing a practical and efficient option for enhancing rider safety.

### F. Object Detection

Object detection in micromobilities involves localising, classifying, and identifying objects of interest through the pictures or videos captured by the cameras mounted on the front or rear of the vehicle. The main purpose is to enhance safety and sustainability by localising moving or stationary targets and making informed decisions based on specific objectives. Moving objects, such as pedestrians, vehicles, and other micromobility users, are detected to alert micromobility users of potential dangers, as shown in datasets like [42], [46]. On the other hand, stationary targets, such as potholes, traffic signs, and cones are identified to provide real-time alerts that help users avoid accidents, as demonstrated in datasets like [43].

### G. Object Tracking & Trajectory Estimation

Object tracking and trajectory estimation can predict the future path of a moving object based on its current and past positions. They are important to enhance safety in navigation systems and autonomous vehicles. In micromobilities, these methods can also be applied to advanced collision prevention systems. For instance, [76] presents a bicycle-based system that predicts pedestrian trajectories to anticipate potential collisions. Unlike traditional systems that rely on current positions and may trigger delays or false alarms, this approach forecasts

whether and when a pedestrian might cross paths with a bicycle, enabling timely and more accurate warnings, which helps improve accident prevention in dynamic environments.

### H. Other Applications

In addition to the applications mentioned, ML methods have also been employed in micromobilities for other purposes.

- a. *Battery Health Prediction*: It significantly improves operational efficiency, reduces costs, and ensures user safety and satisfaction. The adoption of ML technologies enhances the sustainability, safety, and cost-effectiveness of e-mobility services [122], making micromobilities more robust and reliable for users.
- b. *Trip Mode & Purpose Analysis*: This type of analysis provides operators with a deeper understanding of user behaviours and needs, allowing them to optimise vehicle deployment and provide personalised services based on actual user patterns. Employing ML methods enhances service customisation, operational efficiency, and user satisfaction [123], [124].
- c. *User Safety Concerns*: ML methods have also been used to identify factors affecting the injury severity of e-bike users in crashes [125]. Such analysis helps improve user safety and increases public confidence in micromobilities.
- d. *Infrastructure Improvements*: It is crucial for addressing safety concerns in growing micromobility use. The adoption of ML approaches effectively highlights risk areas and provides safe routes [126], contributing to more sustainable and secure urban transportation.

In general, ML methods are widely used in various aspects of micromobilities, including but not limited to demand prediction, destination prediction, energy consumption prediction, route planning, and vehicle and user safety concerns, such as lane recognition, trajectory estimation, object detection, and tracking. In addition to their better performance in result accuracy, these methods are also capable of managing dynamically changing real-time data. However, ML approaches relatively require higher data quality and computational resources. Additionally, as data-driven methods, it is necessary to consider data security and user privacy to avoid potential risks.

## VII. CONVENTIONAL APPROACHES

The previous section highlighted the diverse applications of ML in many areas, but it is important to consider the traditional approaches employed before these advancements. This section delves into conventional methods used in these domains, shedding light on how these challenges were tackled before employing ML. By examining these earlier techniques, we can better understand their limitations and the significant improvements ML has brought to these fields.

Conventional methods used in vehicle demand often include traditional statistical and time series methods. For example, geographic information system-based spatial autocorrelation analysis was used in [127] to identify high-demand areas by examining spatial clusters. However, the reliance on static data results in poor capability to address the dynamic needs of urban transportation compared with ML methods.

TABLE III  
APPLICATIONS.

Application	Methods used	References
Personal mobility inattentive driving monitoring system	Short-time Fourier Transform, Wavelet Transform, CNN	[45]
Accident prevention on E-bikes for micromobility rider	CNN (object detection), Optical Flow, Object Tracking using CV	[89]
Bicycle-based collision prevention system using pedestrian trajectory prediction	CNN (object detection), Object Tracking using CV, TTC using depth camera	[76]
Object-localisation and classification for resource-constrained platforms such as micromobilities	CNN (Novel Cell-wise segmentation)	[43]
Shared mobility demand prediction	ARIMA, STGCN, Conv-LSTM, GRU, RNN, LSTM, GCN, LR, RF, MLP, etc	[7]–[9], [71], [82], [91]–[101]
Shared mobility destination prediction	Conv-LSTM, GCN, RNN, LSTM, GRU, CNN, XGB, ARIMA, etc	[60], [63], [72], [79], [103]–[110]
E-mobility energy consumption prediction	RF, GB, ANN, kNN, MLR, LGBM, XGB, etc	[10]–[12]
Shared mobility route planning with constraints	LR, K-means, SVM, XGB, MLP, LSTM, etc	[58], [64], [118]

Rule-based approaches, including gravity models [128] and network analysis [129], are conventional tools for predicting destinations by examining historical travel patterns and popular routes. Gravity models rely on fixed assumptions, such as the attraction of high-density areas, while network analysis considers shortest paths and temporal constraints from specific origins. However, these models are limited by static parameters, making them less effective at handling non-linear relationships and complex user behaviours.

Traditional energy consumption prediction methods in micromobilities often use physical and engineering-based models [130], [131], which highly rely on fixed parameters, such as vehicle specifications, and route conditions, to estimate energy requirements under standard assumptions. These models employ deterministic equations to simulate behaviour in specific phases, such as acceleration and deceleration. However, they assume consistent relationships in system dynamics and highly depend on domain-specific knowledge, limiting their ability to adapt to complex dependencies and input features and reducing their effectiveness in rapidly changing urban environments.

Conventionally, route planning solutions often rely on optimisation algorithms like Dijkstra’s and A\* to calculate optimal paths and flow distribution models to manage network congestion and maintain traffic flow [132], [133]. However, these algorithms operate on static costs and struggle to adapt to real-time conditions such as unexpected traffic changes, variable weather, or individual user preferences, limiting their flexibility and responsiveness compared to ML methods.

Similarly, traditional lane detection methods used for Advanced Driver Assistance Systems (ADAS) often rely on sensors like GPS, radar, or LiDAR. These methods could be replicated for micromobilities, but there still exist certain limitations. GPS has been used to localise the position of cars, but its precision is insufficient for micromobility vehicles to determine whether they are on the edge of the sidewalk or the road. On the other hand, radar and LiDAR sensors offer high precision by detecting lane markings through high reflectance points on the road, but their high cost makes them impractical for widespread use in public transportation [134].

Predicting pedestrian trajectories in urban environments has been a central research focus, initially for ADAS in four-wheelers and now for micromobilities. Early physics-based models like the social force model introduced in

[135] modelled pedestrians as particles influenced by social forces, providing intuitive insights but limited in capturing the complexities of human movement in dense settings due to their deterministic nature. With increased data availability, researchers shifted to data-driven methods. For instance, Gaussian processes were employed in [136] to capture non-linear relationships in pedestrian movements, showing improvements over traditional models but facing computational challenges in real-time scenarios. The emergence of deep learning techniques has significantly improved collision avoidance, lane detection, and pedestrian trajectory prediction by handling large datasets and modelling complex patterns within the data.

## VIII. RESEARCH DIRECTIONS AND CHALLENGES

Our study reviewed recent micromobility datasets, ML methods used in these systems, and their specific applications. The findings demonstrate the considerable potential for their future growth and reflect the significant impact of ML methods on micromobilities. However, there are still some directions to be further explored and challenges to be addressed in this field. In this section, we summarise these issues from three perspectives, i.e., datasets, technology, and applications.

Future research on micromobility datasets could focus on expanding diverse and long-term datasets to include data features in different forms, such as real-time energy consumption, to enable broader analysis and insights. At the same time, integrating multimodal datasets from multiple vehicle types, such as bicycles, e-bikes, and e-scooters, is also important for enhancing interactions among different transportation modes, which will contribute to a more efficient and sustainable urban system. Additionally, creating high-quality, open-access, and standardised datasets is essential for encouraging future research and innovation. This allows the research community to access, compare, and build upon existing data more effectively.

Future research in techniques in micromobilities could focus on advancing ML methods to improve scalability, efficiency, and robustness. A key direction is developing models that combine the interpretability of traditional methods with the strong capacity of deep learning to handle spatiotemporal data, enhancing their effectiveness in diverse and dynamic micromobility scenarios. On the other hand, adapting these techniques to operate efficiently in low-cost or real-time environments is

also important, as micromobility applications require accuracy and efficiency even with limited resources.

Future research on ML in micromobilities should include enhancing existing applications, such as demand prediction and addressing safety concerns, and exploring innovative use cases. ML could be instrumental in environmental impact assessments, enabling cities to optimise their micromobilities for sustainability by predicting and reducing carbon footprints. Context-aware safety systems that adapt to changing conditions, such as weather or traffic, could also significantly impact rider safety. However, several challenges still exist, including ensuring data quality and availability, meeting high computational demands in resource-constrained environments, and integrating ML models into existing operational frameworks. Balancing accuracy with real-time performance and addressing ethical and privacy concerns are also critical issues.

In addition, future research could also consider other perspectives, such as explainability and data trustworthiness. As ML methods become increasingly integrated into micromobility applications, the ability to interpret model outputs clearly and accurately is essential for ensuring transparency and improving user trust. Developing explainable ML models that provide insights into decision-making processes will become more and more important, especially in safety-related applications where explainability can significantly improve the reliability of systems. Furthermore, ensuring data trustworthiness, such as accuracy, consistency, and security of data sources, will be crucial for maintaining micromobility systems.

## IX. CONCLUSION

This survey provides a comprehensive review of micromobilities, analysing existing datasets, ML techniques, and their applications, such as demand prediction, trip destination forecasting, energy consumption estimation, and safety enhancements. Key findings include a systematic analysis of diverse micromobility datasets based on spatial, temporal, and feature characteristics. We also categorised ML models, discussing their advantages, challenges, and applications. Additionally, we examined how ML techniques improve accuracy, efficiency, and personalisation in micromobility services.

In addition, our survey revealed several challenges with unique characteristics, including the lack of available datasets, the optimisation constraints, and the different deployment requirements that impact both the choice of ML technique and how it is evaluated and implemented. Specifically, the lack of comprehensive and standardised datasets discourages the ability to compare results and build upon existing work. Future efforts should focus on creating open-access datasets with broader features and geographic diversity. On the other hand, integrating ML methods into micromobilities leads to challenges related to data quality, computational demands, and model scalability, especially in resource-constrained scenarios. This demonstrates the need for more robust and efficient ML models for the specific needs of micromobilities.

This survey still has some limitations that might be addressed by future researchers. For instance, our survey mainly focuses on open-access datasets, but private datasets still contain valuable information about their unique research subjects

and may provide useful references for researchers to build similar datasets. Moreover, while our study provides discussions and comparisons on a technical level, it ignores the impact of policies, regulations, and user acceptance on the development of micromobilities. Factors such as the explainability of ML algorithms and data privacy concerns can significantly influence the development and adoption of micromobilities.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This publication has emanated from research conducted with the financial support of Taighde Éireann — Research Ireland under Grant number 21/FFP-P/10266 and SFI/12/RC/2289\_P2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Khalil, D. Yan, G. Guo, M. T. Sami, J. B. Roy, and V. P. Sisiopiku, "Traffic study of shared micromobility services by transportation simulation," in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data)*. IEEE, Dec. 2021.
- [2] S. Yan, S. Zhu, J. B. Fernandez, E. A. Sánchez, Y. Gu, N. E. O'Connor, D. O'Connor, and M. Liu, "Breathing green: Maximising health and environmental benefits for active transportation users leveraging large scale air quality data," in *2023 IEEE 26th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*. IEEE, Sep. 2023.
- [3] A. Jaber, J. Hamadneh, and B. Csonka, "The preferences of shared micro-mobility users in urban areas," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, p. 74458–74472, 2023.
- [4] S. Yan, M. Liu, and N. E. O'Connor, "Parking behaviour analysis of shared e-bike users based on a real-world dataset - a case study in dublin, ireland," in *2022 IEEE 95th Vehicular Technology Conference: (VTC2022-Spring)*. IEEE, Jun. 2022.
- [5] M. Vega-Gonzalo, J. Gomez, P. Christidis, and J. Manuel Vassallo, "The role of shared mobility in reducing perceived private car dependency," *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, vol. 126, p. 104023, Jan. 2024.
- [6] L. Liu and H. J. Miller, "Measuring the impacts of dockless micromobility services on public transit accessibility," *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, vol. 98, p. 101885, Dec. 2022.
- [7] S. Guidon, H. Becker, H. Dediu, and K. W. Axhausen, "Electric bicycle-sharing: A new competitor in the urban transportation market? an empirical analysis of transaction data," *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, vol. 2673, no. 4, p. 15–26, Mar. 2019.
- [8] X. Zhou, Y. Ji, Y. Yuan, F. Zhang, and Q. An, "Spatiotemporal characteristics analysis of commuting by shared electric bike: A case study of ningbo, china," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 362, p. 132337, Aug. 2022.
- [9] N. Saum, S. Sugiura, and M. Piantanakulchai, "Short-term demand and volatility prediction of shared micro-mobility: a case study of e-scooter in thammasat university," in *2020 Forum on Integrated and Sustainable Transportation Systems (FISTS)*. IEEE, Nov. 2020.
- [10] K. Ouf, H. Soubra, and A. Mazhr, "E-bike energy needs estimation based on route characteristics and rider behavior," in *2023 Eleventh International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Information Systems (ICICIS)*. IEEE, Nov. 2023.
- [11] H. İnaç, Y. E. Ayözen, A. Atalan, and C. u. Dönmez, "Estimation of postal service delivery time and energy cost with e-scooter by machine learning algorithms," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 23, p. 12266, Nov. 2022.
- [12] C. Gioldasis, Z. Christoforou, and A. Katsiadrami, "Usage factors influencing e-scooter energy consumption: An empirical investigation," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 452, p. 142165, May 2024.
- [13] H. Almukhalifi, A. Noor, and T. H. Noor, "Traffic management approaches using machine learning and deep learning techniques: A survey," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 133, p. 108147, Jul. 2024.
- [14] Y. Modi, R. Teli, A. Mehta, K. Shah, and M. Shah, "A comprehensive review on intelligent traffic management using machine learning algorithms," *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions*, vol. 7, no. 1, Dec. 2021.

- [15] A. Boukerche and J. Wang, "Machine learning-based traffic prediction models for intelligent transportation systems," *Computer Networks*, vol. 181, p. 107530, Nov. 2020.
- [16] A. Liberati, D. G. Altman, J. Tetzlaff, C. Mulrow, P. C. Gøtzsche, J. P. A. Ioannidis, M. Clarke, P. J. Devereaux, J. Kleijnen, and D. Moher, "The prisma statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: Explanation and elaboration," *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 6, no. 7, p. e1000100, Jul. 2009.
- [17] M. L. Rethlefsen, S. Kirtley, S. Waffenschmidt, A. P. Ayala, D. Moher, M. J. Page, J. B. Koffel, H. Blunt, T. Brigham, S. Chang, J. Clark, A. Conway, R. Couban, S. de Kock, K. Farrah, P. Fehrmann, M. Foster, S. A. Fowler, J. Glanville, E. Harris, L. Hoffecker, J. Isojarvi, D. Kaunelis, H. Ket, P. Levay, J. Lyon, J. McGowan, M. H. Murad, J. Nicholson, V. Pannabecker, R. Paynter, R. Pinotti, A. Ross-White, M. Sampson, T. Shields, A. Stevens, A. Sutton, E. Weinfurter, K. Wright, and S. Young, "Prisma-s: an extension to the prisma statement for reporting literature searches in systematic reviews," *Systematic Reviews*, vol. 10, no. 1, Jan. 2021.
- [18] D. Milakis, L. Gedhardt, D. Ehebrect, and B. Lenz, *Is micro-mobility sustainable? An overview of implications for accessibility, air pollution, safety, physical activity and subjective wellbeing*. Edward Elgar Publishing, Dec. 2020, pp. 180–189.
- [19] V. Albuquerque, M. Sales Dias, and F. Bacao, "Machine learning approaches to bike-sharing systems: A systematic literature review," *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 62, Feb. 2021.
- [20] J. Teusch, J. N. Gremmel, C. Koetsier, F. T. Johora, M. Sester, D. M. Woisetschlager, and J. P. Müller, "A systematic literature review on machine learning in shared mobility," *IEEE Open Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 4, p. 870–899, 2023.
- [21] D. B. Ahmed and E. M. Diaz, "Survey of machine learning methods applied to urban mobility," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, p. 30349–30366, 2022.
- [22] R. L. Abduljabbar, S. Liyanage, and H. Dia, "The role of micro-mobility in shaping sustainable cities: A systematic literature review," *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, vol. 92, p. 102734, Mar. 2021.
- [23] L. Mitropoulos, E. Stavropoulou, P. Tzouras, C. Karolemeas, and K. Kepaptsoglou, "E-scooter micromobility systems: Review of attributes and impacts," *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, vol. 21, p. 100888, Sep. 2023.
- [24] S. Yan, M. H. Shah, J. Li, N. O'Connor, and M. Liu, "A review on ai algorithms for energy management in e-mobility services," in *2023 7th CAA International Conference on Vehicular Control and Intelligence (CVCI)*. IEEE, Oct. 2023.
- [25] C. Kaundanya, P. Cesar, B. Cronin, A. Fleury, M. Liu, and S. Little, "Using attention mechanisms in compact cnn models for improved micromobility safety through lane recognition," in *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Vehicle Technology and Intelligent Transport Systems*. SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, 2024.
- [26] S. Yan, N. E. O'Connor, and M. Liu, "U-park: A user-centric smart parking recommendation system for electric shared micromobility services," *IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*, p. 1–15, 2024.
- [27] City of Madrid, "Bicimad e-bike daily usage record," <https://datos.madrid.es/sites/v/index.jsp?vgnextoid=6d8bd8ae2be63c410VgnVCM1000000b205a0aRCRD&vgnnextchannel=374512b9ace9f310VgnVCM100000171f5a0aRCRD>, 2022, accessed: 2024-07-24.
- [28] City of Toronto, "Bike share toronto ridership data," <https://open.toronto.ca/dataset/bike-share-toronto-ridership-data>, 2018, accessed: 2024-07-20.
- [29] Lyft Inc., "Bay wheels system data," <https://www.lyft.com/bikes/bay-wheels/system-data>, 2017, accessed: 2024-07-10.
- [30] City of Seoul, "Seoul bike sharing rental history," <https://data.seoul.go.kr/dataList/OA-15182/F/1/datasetView.do>, 2017, accessed: 2024-07-20.
- [31] City of Barcelona, "Bicing shared bike usage record," <https://opendata-ajuntament.barcelona.cat/data/en/dataset/us-del-servei-bicing>, 2018, accessed: 2024-07-24.
- [32] City of Minneapolis, "Motorized foot scooter trips," <https://opendata.minneapolis.gov/datasets/cityoflakes:motorized-foot-scooter-trips-may-2019/about>, 2019, accessed: 2024-07-23.
- [33] City of Norfolk, "Micromobility: Electric scooters and bikes," [https://data.norfolk.gov/Government/Micromobility-Electric-Scooters-and-Bikes/wqxq-hhe6/about\\_data](https://data.norfolk.gov/Government/Micromobility-Electric-Scooters-and-Bikes/wqxq-hhe6/about_data), 2024, accessed: 2024-07-10.
- [34] City of Austin, "Austin shared mobility vehicle trips," <https://data.austintexas.gov/Transportation-and-Mobility/Shared-Micromobility-Vehicle-Trips-2018-2022-/7d8e-dm7r/>, 2019, accessed: 2024-07-29.
- [35] Biketown, "Biketown trip data," <https://biketownpdx.com/system-data>, 2018, accessed: 2024-07-20.
- [36] City of Dublin, "Moby bikes bikeshare," <https://data.gov.ie/dataset/moby-bikes>, 2020, accessed: 2024-07-20.
- [37] City of Chicago, "E-scooter trip data," <https://data.cityofchicago.org/Transportation/E-Scooter-Trips/2i5w-ykuw>, 2022, accessed: 2024-07-20.
- [38] M. Erdelić, T. Erdelić, and T. Carić, "Dataset for multimodal transport analytics of smartphone users - collecty," *Data in Brief*, vol. 50, p. 109481, Oct. 2023.
- [39] Y. Ding, S. Yan, M. H. Shah, H. Fang, J. Li, and M. Liu, "Data-driven energy consumption modelling for electric micromobility using an open dataset," in *2024 IEEE Transportation Electrification Conference and Expo (ITEC)*. IEEE, Jun. 2024.
- [40] S. Nienaber, Mj Booyens, and Rs Kroon, "Detecting potholes using simple image processing techniques and real-world footage," in *34th Annual Southern African Transport Conference 2015*. SATC, 2015.
- [41] S. Nienaber, R. Kroon, and M. Booyens, "A comparison of low-cost monocular vision techniques for pothole distance estimation," in *2015 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence*. IEEE, Dec. 2015.
- [42] K. Apurv, R. Tian, and R. Sherony, "Detection of e-scooter riders in naturalistic scenes," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.14060*, 2021.
- [43] K. Su, Y. Tomioka, Q. Zhao, and Y. Liu, "Yolic: An efficient method for object localization and classification on edge devices," *Image and Vision Computing*, vol. 147, p. 105095, Jul. 2024.
- [44] D. Chen, "Object detection for e-scooters," 2024.
- [45] E. Kim, H. Ryu, H. Oh, and N. Kang, "Safety monitoring system of personal mobility driving using deep learning," *Journal of Computational Design and Engineering*, vol. 9, no. 4, p. 1397–1409, Jul. 2022.
- [46] K. Sabri, C. Djilali, G.-A. Bilodeau, N. Saunier, and W. Bouachir, "Detection of micromobility vehicles in urban traffic videos," *Proceedings of the 21st Conference on Robots and Vision*, May 2024.
- [47] A. Geiger, P. Lenz, C. Stiller, and R. Urtasun, "Vision meets robotics: The kitti dataset," *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 32, no. 11, p. 1231–1237, Aug. 2013.
- [48] W. Cheng, H. Luo, W. Yang, L. Yu, S. Chen, and W. Li, "Det: A high-resolution dvs dataset for lane extraction," in *2019 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW)*. IEEE, Jun. 2019.
- [49] M.-F. Chang, D. Ramanan, J. Hays, J. Lambert, P. Sangkloy, J. Singh, S. Bak, A. Hartnett, D. Wang, P. Carr, and S. Lucey, "Argoverse: 3d tracking and forecasting with rich maps," in *2019 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. IEEE, Jun. 2019.
- [50] P. Xiao, Z. Shao, S. Hao, Z. Zhang, X. Chai, J. Jiao, Z. Li, J. Wu, K. Sun, K. Jiang, Y. Wang, and D. Yang, "Pandaset: Advanced sensor suite dataset for autonomous driving," in *2021 IEEE International Intelligent Transportation Systems Conference (ITSC)*. IEEE, Sep. 2021.
- [51] P. Sun, H. Kretschmar, X. Dotiwalla, A. Chouard, V. Patnaik, P. Tsui, J. Guo, Y. Zhou, Y. Chai, B. Caine, V. Vasudevan, W. Han, J. Ngiam, H. Zhao, A. Timofeev, S. Ettinger, M. Krivokon, A. Gao, A. Joshi, Y. Zhang, J. Shlens, Z. Chen, and D. Anguelov, "Scalability in perception for autonomous driving: Waymo open dataset," in *2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. IEEE, Jun. 2020.
- [52] H. Caesar, V. Bankiti, A. H. Lang, S. Vora, V. E. Liong, Q. Xu, A. Krishnan, Y. Pan, G. Baldan, and O. Beijbom, "nuscenes: A multimodal dataset for autonomous driving," in *2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. IEEE, Jun. 2020.
- [53] X. He, K. Zhao, and X. Chu, "Automl: A survey of the state-of-the-art," *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 212, p. 106622, Jan. 2021.
- [54] S. Amershi, A. Begel, C. Bird, R. DeLine, H. Gall, E. Kamar, N. Nagappan, B. Nushi, and T. Zimmermann, "Software engineering for machine learning: A case study," in *2019 IEEE/ACM 41st International Conference on Software Engineering: Software Engineering in Practice (ICSE-SEIP)*. IEEE, May 2019.
- [55] D. C. Montgomery, E. A. Peck, and G. G. Vining, *Introduction to linear regression analysis*. John Wiley & Sons, 2021.
- [56] R. Jani, P. Patel, S. Joshi, and S. Vyas, "Sam based computational analysis of root mean square error for spes using lr, rf & arima machine learning models," in *2023 3rd International Conference on Emerging*

- Frontiers in Electrical and Electronic Technologies (ICEFEET)*. IEEE, Dec. 2023.
- [57] X. Li, K. Li, S. Shen, and Y. Tian, "Exploring time series models for wind speed forecasting: A comparative analysis," *Energies*, vol. 16, no. 23, p. 7785, Nov. 2023.
- [58] Z. Li, G. Ren, Y. Gu, S. Zhou, X. Liu, J. Huang, and M. Li, "Real-time e-bike route planning with battery range prediction," in *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, ser. WSDM '24. ACM, Mar. 2024.
- [59] I. Ullah, K. Liu, T. Yamamoto, R. E. Al Mamlook, and A. Jamal, "A comparative performance of machine learning algorithm to predict electric vehicles energy consumption: A path towards sustainability," *Energy & Environment*, vol. 33, no. 8, p. 1583–1612, Oct. 2021.
- [60] H. Miao, Y. Fei, S. Wang, F. Wang, and D. Wen, "Deep learning based origin-destination prediction via contextual information fusion," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 81, no. 9, p. 12029–12045, Jan. 2021.
- [61] A. Moumen, E. H. Bouchama, and Y. El Bouzekri El Idirissi, "Data mining techniques for employability: Systematic literature review," in *2020 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Electronics, Control, Optimization and Computer Science (ICECOCS)*. IEEE, Dec. 2020.
- [62] M. I. Faizi and S. M. Adnan, "Improved segmentation model for melanoma lesion detection using normalized cross-correlation-based k-means clustering," *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, p. 20753–20766, 2024.
- [63] J. Liang, J. Tang, F. Liu, and Y. Wang, "Combining individual travel preferences into destination prediction: A multi-module deep learning network," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 23, no. 8, p. 13782–13793, Aug. 2022.
- [64] M. Masoud, "A hybrid k-means and particle swarm optimization technique for solving the rechargeable e-scooters problem," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, p. 132472–132482, 2023.
- [65] Y. Liu, X. Yang, S. Niu, S. Zheng, Q. Chen, and A. Xue, "Defect rating for different production models of line protection devices based on decision trees," in *2019 IEEE 3rd Conference on Energy Internet and Energy System Integration (EI2)*. IEEE, Nov. 2019.
- [66] J. C. N. Bittencourt, D. G. Costa, P. Portugal, and F. Vasques, "Towards lightweight fire detection at the extreme edge based on decision trees," in *2024 IEEE 22nd Mediterranean Electrotechnical Conference (MELECON)*. IEEE, Jun. 2024.
- [67] I. Ullah, K. Liu, T. Yamamoto, M. Zahid, and A. Jamal, "Electric vehicle energy consumption prediction using stacked generalization: an ensemble learning approach," *International Journal of Green Energy*, vol. 18, no. 9, p. 896–909, Feb. 2021.
- [68] V. Borisov, T. Leemann, K. Seßler, J. Haug, M. Pawelczyk, and G. Kasneci, "Deep neural networks and tabular data: A survey," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, vol. 35, no. 6, p. 7499–7519, Jun. 2024.
- [69] C. Bratsas, K. Koupidis, J.-M. Salanova, K. Giannakopoulos, A. Kaloudis, and G. Aifadopolou, "A comparison of machine learning methods for the prediction of traffic speed in urban places," *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 142, Dec. 2019.
- [70] H. Abdelaty, A. Al-Obaidi, M. Mohamed, and H. E. Farag, "Machine learning prediction models for battery-electric bus energy consumption in transit," *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, vol. 96, p. 102868, Jul. 2021.
- [71] J. Lee and J. Kim, "Evaluation of spatial and temporal performance of deep learning models for travel demand forecasting: Application to bike-sharing demand forecasting," *Journal of Advanced Transportation*, vol. 2022, p. 1–13, Jun. 2022.
- [72] J. Jiang, F. Lin, J. Fan, H. Lv, and J. Wu, "A destination prediction network based on spatiotemporal data for bike-sharing," *Complexity*, vol. 2019, p. 1–14, Jan. 2019.
- [73] S. Modi, J. Bhattacharya, and P. Basak, "Estimation of energy consumption of electric vehicles using deep convolutional neural network to reduce driver's range anxiety," *ISA Transactions*, vol. 98, p. 454–470, Mar. 2020.
- [74] W.-J. Jang, D.-H. Kim, and S.-H. Lim, "An ai safety monitoring system for electric scooters based on the number of riders and road types," *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 22, p. 9181, Nov. 2023.
- [75] H. Nguyen, M. Nguyen, and Q. Sun, *Electric Scooter and Its Rider Detection Framework Based on Deep Learning for Supporting Scooter-Related Injury Emergency Services*. Springer International Publishing, 2021, p. 233–246.
- [76] N. Yamaguchi, H. Tokumaru, O. Fukuda, H. Okumura, and W. L. Yeoh, "Bicycle-based collision prevention system using pedestrian trajectory prediction," in *2022 Tenth International Symposium on Computing and Networking Workshops (CANDARW)*. IEEE, Nov. 2022.
- [77] L. Sehovac and K. Grolinger, "Deep learning for load forecasting: Sequence recurrent neural networks with attention," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, p. 36411–36426, 2020.
- [78] H. Hewamalage, C. Bergmeir, and K. Bandara, "Recurrent neural networks for time series forecasting: Current status and future directions," *International Journal of Forecasting*, vol. 37, no. 1, p. 388–427, Jan. 2021.
- [79] A. Nawaz, Z. Huang, and S. Wang, *SSMDL: Semi-supervised Multi-task Deep Learning for Transportation Mode Classification and Path Prediction with GPS Trajectories*. Springer International Publishing, 2020, p. 391–405.
- [80] Z. Chen, H. Wu, N. E. O'Connor, and M. Liu, "A comparative study of using spatial-temporal graph convolutional networks for predicting availability in bike sharing schemes," in *2021 IEEE International Intelligent Transportation Systems Conference (ITSC)*. IEEE, Sep. 2021.
- [81] H. Wu and M. Liu, "Lane-gnn: Integrating gnn for predicting drivers' lane change intention," in *2022 IEEE 25th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*. IEEE, Oct. 2022.
- [82] G. Xiao, R. Wang, C. Zhang, and A. Ni, "Demand prediction for a public bike sharing program based on spatio-temporal graph convolutional networks," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 80, no. 15, p. 22907–22925, Mar. 2020.
- [83] A. Dosovitskiy, L. Beyer, A. Kolesnikov, D. Weissenborn, X. Zhai, T. Unterthiner, M. Dehghani, M. Minderer, G. Heigold, S. Gelly, J. Uszkoreit, and N. Houlsby, "An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale," 2020.
- [84] L. Peng, Z. Chen, Z. Fu, P. Liang, and E. Cheng, "Bevsformer: Bird's eye view semantic segmentation from arbitrary camera rigs," in *2023 IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*. IEEE, Jan. 2023, p. 5924–5932.
- [85] L. Chen, C. Sima, Y. Li, Z. Zheng, J. Xu, X. Geng, H. Li, C. He, J. Shi, Y. Qiao, and J. Yan, *PersFormer: 3D Lane Detection via Perspective Transformer and the OpenLane Benchmark*. Springer Nature Switzerland, 2022, p. 550–567.
- [86] R. Liu, Z. Yuan, T. Liu, and Z. Xiong, "End-to-end lane shape prediction with transformers," in *2021 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*. IEEE, Jan. 2021.
- [87] Y. Bai, Z. Chen, Z. Fu, L. Peng, P. Liang, and E. Cheng, "Curveformer: 3d lane detection by curve propagation with curve queries and attention," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, May 2023.
- [88] H.-I. Liu, M. Galindo, H. Xie, L.-K. Wong, H.-H. Shuai, Y.-H. Li, and W.-H. Cheng, "Lightweight deep learning for resource-constrained environments: A survey," *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 56, no. 10, p. 1–42, Jun. 2024.
- [89] R. P. Subramanyam, A. Naik, and M. A. Suresh, "Accident prediction on e-bikes using computer vision," in *2023 IEEE Ninth International Conference on Big Data Computing Service and Applications (Big-DataService)*. IEEE, Jul. 2023.
- [90] M. Abouelela, C. Lyu, and C. Antoniou, "Exploring the potentials of open-source big data and machine learning in shared mobility fleet utilization prediction," *Data Science for Transportation*, vol. 5, no. 2, Apr. 2023.
- [91] S. Phithakitkunoon, K. Patanukhom, and M. G. Demissie, "Predicting spatiotemporal demand of dockless e-scooter sharing services with a masked fully convolutional network," *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, vol. 10, no. 11, p. 773, Nov. 2021.
- [92] K. Boonjubut and H. Hasegawa, "Accuracy of hourly demand forecasting of micro mobility for effective rebalancing strategies," *Management Systems in Production Engineering*, vol. 30, no. 3, p. 246–252, Jul. 2022.
- [93] J. Luo, D. Zhou, Z. Han, G. Xiao, and Y. Tan, "Predicting travel demand of a docked bikesharing system based on lsgc-lstm networks," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, p. 92189–92203, 2021.
- [94] N. Saum, S. Sugiura, and M. Piantanakulchai, "Optimizing shared e-scooter operations under demand uncertainty: A framework integrating machine learning and optimization techniques," *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, p. 26957–26977, 2024.
- [95] C. Peláez-Rodríguez, J. Pérez-Aracil, D. Fister, R. Torres-López, and S. Salcedo-Sanz, "Bike sharing and cable car demand forecasting using machine learning and deep learning multivariate time series approaches," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 238, p. 122264, Mar. 2024.
- [96] X. Ma, Y. Ji, Y. Yuan, N. Van Oort, Y. Jin, and S. Hoogendoorn, "A comparison in travel patterns and determinants of user demand between docked and dockless bike-sharing systems using multi-sourced

- data,” *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, vol. 139, p. 148–173, Sep. 2020.
- [97] M. Hua, X. Chen, S. Zheng, L. Cheng, and J. Chen, “Estimating the parking demand of free-floating bike sharing: A journey-data-based study of nanjing, china,” *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 244, p. 118764, Jan. 2020.
- [98] D. V. Cuong, V. M. Ngo, P. Cappellari, and M. Roantree, “Analyzing shared bike usage through graph-based spatio-temporal modeling,” *IEEE Open Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 5, p. 115–131, 2024.
- [99] L. Yang, F. Zhang, M.-P. Kwan, K. Wang, Z. Zuo, S. Xia, Z. Zhang, and X. Zhao, “Space-time demand cube for spatial-temporal coverage optimization model of shared bicycle system: A study using big bike gps data,” *Journal of Transport Geography*, vol. 88, p. 102861, Oct. 2020.
- [100] S. V. E, J. Park, and Y. Cho, “Using data mining techniques for bike sharing demand prediction in metropolitan city,” *Computer Communications*, vol. 153, p. 353–366, Mar. 2020.
- [101] Y. Shi, L. Zhang, S. Lu, and Q. Liu, “Short-term demand prediction of shared bikes based on lstm network,” *Electronics*, vol. 12, no. 6, p. 1381, Mar. 2023.
- [102] F. Golpayegani, M. Gueriau, P.-A. Laharotte, S. Ghanadhashi, J. Guo, J. Geraghty, and S. Wang, “Intelligent shared mobility systems: A survey on whole system design requirements, challenges and future direction,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, p. 35302–35320, 2022.
- [103] F. Zong, Y. Tian, Y. He, J. Tang, and J. Lv, “Trip destination prediction based on multi-day gps data,” *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, vol. 515, p. 258–269, Feb. 2019.
- [104] W. Wang, X. Zhao, Z. Gong, Z. Chen, N. Zhang, and W. Wei, “An attention-based deep learning framework for trip destination prediction of sharing bike,” *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 22, no. 7, p. 4601–4610, Jul. 2021.
- [105] Y. Liu, R. Jia, X. Xie, and Z. Liu, “A two-stage destination prediction framework of shared bicycles based on geographical position recommendation,” *IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 42–47, 2019.
- [106] S. Li, C. Zhuang, Z. Tan, F. Gao, Z. Lai, and Z. Wu, “Inferring the trip purposes and uncovering spatio-temporal activity patterns from dockless shared bike dataset in shenzhen, china,” *Journal of Transport Geography*, vol. 91, p. 102974, Feb. 2021.
- [107] X. Chang, J. Wu, Z. He, D. Li, H. Sun, and W. Wang, “Understanding user’s travel behavior and city region functions from station-free shared bike usage data,” *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, vol. 72, p. 81–95, Jul. 2020.
- [108] A. Tsiligkaridis, J. Zhang, I. C. Paschalidis, H. Taguchi, S. Sakajo, and D. Nikovski, “Context-aware destination and time-to-destination prediction using machine learning,” in *2022 IEEE International Smart Cities Conference (ISC2)*. IEEE, Sep. 2022.
- [109] J. Zhao, L. Zhang, J. Ye, and C. Xu, “Mdlf: A multi-view-based deep learning framework for individual trip destination prediction in public transportation systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 23, no. 8, p. 13316–13329, Aug. 2022.
- [110] Z. Zhang, F. Yang, L. Wang, Z. Wang, T. Zhou, and Y. He, “Enhancing urban vehicle destination prediction through trajectory metadata integration and machine learning,” in *2023 7th Asian Conference on Artificial Intelligence Technology (ACAIT)*. IEEE, Nov. 2023.
- [111] P. Himthani, G. P. Dubey, B. M. Sharma, and A. Taneja, “Big data privacy and challenges for machine learning,” in *2020 Fourth International Conference on I-SMAC (IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud) (I-SMAC)*. IEEE, Oct. 2020.
- [112] L. Yang, M. Tian, D. Xin, Q. Cheng, and J. Zheng, “Ai-driven anonymization: Protecting personal data privacy while leveraging machine learning,” *Applied and Computational Engineering*, vol. 67, no. 1, p. 256–262, May 2024.
- [113] S. Pokharel, P. Sah, and D. Ganta, “Improved prediction of total energy consumption and feature analysis in electric vehicles using machine learning and shapley additive explanations method,” *World Electric Vehicle Journal*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 94, Jun. 2021.
- [114] M. N. Nabi, B. Ray, F. Rashid, W. Al Hussam, and S. Mueen, “Parametric analysis and prediction of energy consumption of electric vehicles using machine learning,” *Journal of Energy Storage*, vol. 72, p. 108226, Nov. 2023.
- [115] S. Yan, H. Fang, J. Li, T. Ward, N. O’Connor, and M. Liu, “Privacy-aware energy consumption modeling of connected battery electric vehicles using federated learning,” *IEEE Transactions on Transportation Electrification*, p. 1–1, 2023.
- [116] K. Aboelenen, N. Zorba, and A. M. Massoud, “Reinforcement learning-based e-scooter energy minimization using optimized speed-route selection,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, p. 66167–66184, 2024.
- [117] M. H. Shah, Y. Ding, S. Zhu, Y. Gu, and M. Liu, “Optimal design and implementation of an open-source emulation platform for user-centric shared e-mobility services,” 2024.
- [118] D. M. Scott, W. Lu, and M. J. Brown, “Route choice of bike share users: Leveraging gps data to derive choice sets,” *Journal of Transport Geography*, vol. 90, p. 102903, Jan. 2021.
- [119] Z. Lv, Y. Chen, R. Di, H. Wang, X. Sun, C. He, and X. Li, “Dynamic programming bn structure learning algorithm integrating double constraints under small sample condition,” *Entropy*, vol. 24, no. 10, p. 1354, Sep. 2022.
- [120] B. Hou, K. Zhang, Z. Gong, Q. Li, J. Zhou, J. Zhang, and A. de La Fortelle, “Soc-vrp: A deep-reinforcement-learning-based vehicle route planning mechanism for service-oriented cooperative its,” *Electronics*, vol. 12, no. 20, p. 4191, Oct. 2023.
- [121] M. Sandler, A. Howard, M. Zhu, A. Zhmoginov, and L.-C. Chen, “Mobilenetv2: Inverted residuals and linear bottlenecks,” in *2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. IEEE, Jun. 2018.
- [122] T. Flores, M. Andrade, M. Medeiros, M. Amaral, M. Silva, and I. Silva, “Leveraging iot and tinyml for smart battery management in electric bicycles,” in *2023 Symposium on Internet of Things (SIoT)*. IEEE, Oct. 2023.
- [123] H. Zhang, L. Zhang, Y. Liu, and L. Zhang, “Understanding travel mode choice behavior: Influencing factors analysis and prediction with machine learning method,” *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 14, p. 11414, Jul. 2023.
- [124] Y. Xing, K. Wang, and J. J. Lu, “Exploring travel patterns and trip purposes of dockless bike-sharing by analyzing massive bike-sharing data in shanghai, china,” *Journal of Transport Geography*, vol. 87, p. 102787, Jul. 2020.
- [125] Z. Wang, S. Huang, J. Wang, D. Sulaj, W. Hao, and A. Kuang, “Risk factors affecting crash injury severity for different groups of e-bike riders: A classification tree-based logistic regression model,” *Journal of Safety Research*, vol. 76, p. 176–183, Feb. 2021.
- [126] T. Tamagusko, M. Gomes Correia, L. Rita, T.-C. Bostan, M. Peliteiro, R. Martins, L. Santos, and A. Ferreira, “Data-driven approach for urban micromobility enhancement through safety mapping and intelligent route planning,” *Smart Cities*, vol. 6, no. 4, p. 2035–2056, Aug. 2023.
- [127] S. Lopes, N. Brondino, and A. Rodrigues da Silva, “Gis-based analytical tools for transport planning: Spatial regression models for transportation demand forecast,” *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, vol. 3, no. 2, p. 565–583, Apr. 2014.
- [128] R. Ceha and H. Ohta, “Prediction of future origin-destination matrix of air passengers by fratar and gravity models,” *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, vol. 33, no. 3–4, p. 845–848, Dec. 1997.
- [129] B. Liu, S. S. Huang, and H. Fu, “An application of network analysis on tourist attractions: The case of xinjiang, china,” *Tourism Management*, vol. 58, p. 132–141, Feb. 2017.
- [130] X. Lü, T. Lu, C. J. Kibert, and M. Viljanen, “Modeling and forecasting energy consumption for heterogeneous buildings using a physical–statistical approach,” *Applied Energy*, vol. 144, p. 261–275, Apr. 2015.
- [131] X. Li and J. Wen, “Building energy consumption on-line forecasting using physics based system identification,” *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 82, p. 1–12, Oct. 2014.
- [132] D. Fan and P. Shi, “Improvement of dijkstra’s algorithm and its application in route planning,” in *2010 Seventh International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery*. IEEE, Aug. 2010, p. 1901–1904.
- [133] A. K. Gurujii, H. Agarwal, and D. Parsediya, “Time-efficient a\* algorithm for robot path planning,” *Procedia Technology*, vol. 23, p. 144–149, 2016.
- [134] Y. Xing, C. Lv, L. Chen, H. Wang, H. Wang, D. Cao, E. Velenis, and F.-Y. Wang, “Advances in vision-based lane detection: Algorithms, integration, assessment, and perspectives on acp-based parallel vision,” *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, vol. 5, no. 3, p. 645–661, May 2018.
- [135] D. Helbing and P. Molnár, “Social force model for pedestrian dynamics,” *Physical Review E*, vol. 51, no. 5, p. 4282–4286, May 1995.
- [136] P. Trautman and A. Krause, “Unfreezing the robot: Navigation in dense, interacting crowds,” in *2010 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*. IEEE, Oct. 2010, p. 797–803.