

# Machine Unlearning for Responsible and Adaptive AI in Education

Betty Mayeku<sup>1</sup>[0000–1111–2222–3333], Sandra Hummel<sup>2</sup>[1111–2222–3333–4444], and Parisa Memarmoshrefi<sup>3</sup>[2222–3333–4444–5555]

<sup>1</sup> Leipzig University, Germany [betty.mayeku@uni-leipzig.de](mailto:betty.mayeku@uni-leipzig.de)

<sup>2</sup> TU Dresden, Germany

[sandra.hummel@tu-dresden.de](mailto:sandra.hummel@tu-dresden.de)

<sup>3</sup> University of Göttingen, Germany

[memarmoshrefi@informatik.uni-goettingen.de](mailto:memarmoshrefi@informatik.uni-goettingen.de)

**Abstract.** The concept of Machine Unlearning (MU) has gained popularity in various domains due to its ability to address several issues in Machine Learning (ML) models, particularly those related to privacy, security, bias mitigation, and adaptability. With these abilities, MU is evolving into a promising technology in upholding Responsible AI principles and optimizing ML models' performance. However, despite its promising potential, the concept has not received much attention in the education sector. In an attempt to encourage further uptake of this promising technology in the educational landscape, this paper demonstrates that MU indeed has great potential to serve as a practical mechanism for operationalizing Responsible AI principles as well as an essential tool for Adaptive AI within the educational application domain hence fostering trust in AI-driven educational systems. Through a structured review of 42 peer-reviewed sources, we identify four domains where MU holds particular promise namely privacy protection, resilience against adversarial inputs, mitigation of systemic bias, and adaptability in evolving learning contexts. We systematically explore these potentials and their interventions to core challenges in ML-based education systems. As a conceptual contribution, we present a reference Machine Unlearning application architecture for Responsible and Adaptive AI (MU-RAAI) in education context.

**Keywords:** Machine Learning in Education · Machine Unlearning · Responsible AI · Trustworthy AI · Adaptive AI · Educational Application Domain.

## 1 Introduction

Machine learning (ML) models process large volumes of data, often including sensitive and personally identifiable information. While ML techniques have achieved remarkable performance gains across domains, there are increasingly compelling reasons to remove specific data from trained models [2]. These range

from protecting the privacy of individuals whose data contributed to model training, correcting inaccuracies or outdated records, to mitigating bias amplification or performance drift over time [2]. However, simply removing data and their lineage from ML models is more complex than in databases since ML models can potentially memorize training data [16][2][6]. Therefore, it is important to unlearn what they have learned from data that is to be deleted [16].

Machine unlearning (MU) offers a solution to this problem since it can selectively remove sensitive data or specific training data points from trained ML models without compromising their performance [16][2][6]. It addresses the requirement to selectively forget or remove certain information from the model’s memory [2] and its lineage. Thus, it is essential whenever data point removal from training datasets is needed [15][2][11][7]. MU concept has gained popularity for its ability to address several issues in ML models, particularly privacy, security, bias mitigation and model adaptability [15][7][18][3][11][13]. Its promising potential to translate ethical principles into practice as well as support model adaptability has been widely acknowledged in the literature [7] [15]. For these reasons, the concept has attracted a lot of attention and has evolved into a key technology for successful applications such as intelligent computer vision, speech recognition, medical diagnostics [11], social media platforms, recommendation systems, in the finance sector among others. However, despite MU’s promising potential, the concept has not received much attention in the education sector despite being one of the most sensitive sectors in terms of data vulnerability. ML-based educational platforms rely on continuous data streams to personalize content, generate formative assessments, recommend courses, or adaptively respond to learner behavior [1][2]. These systems frequently process academic performance records, behavioral analytics, and even psychological indicators. Over time, such data may become outdated, disproven, contested, or legally problematic [1][8][4]. Crucially, current systems lack reliable mechanisms to ensure that revoked or erroneous data no longer influence model outputs. This work therefore attempted to explore possible applications of MU in addressing some of these challenges. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. First, an overview of the applications of ML in education is presented, followed by a review of the challenges of ML-driven application in the education context, followed by a review of application areas of MU. Based on the reviews, a detailed breakdown of possible applications of MU in addressing the aforementioned challenges is presented with a focus on the ethical and technical in the education domain. The paper specifically explores the adaptability ability of MU and the ability to uphold responsible AI principles while using possible practical instances. Lastly, a summary is given through a framework mapping possible application of MU in fostering responsible and adaptable AI within the education context.

## 2 Machine Learning Applications in Education

The growing integration of machine learning into education is not just a technical trend. It is a shift in how learning is guided, measured, and increasingly

co-shaped by algorithms. Intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive platforms, and learning analytics tools have become central actors in digital education. These systems process vast amounts of learner data to detect patterns, identify difficulties, and offer suggestions in real time [6][17] [2]. In theory, they promise a form of personalization that is both scalable and responsive. Tailored support, timely interventions, and content that adjusts to each learner’s profile are no longer abstract ideals but operational goals in many educational platforms. Yet the reality is more complex. Educational data is not just abundant, it is sensitive, situational, and often deeply personal. It reflects more than performance metrics. It captures moments of confusion, hesitation, motivation, and doubt. When machine learning models are trained on this type of data, they do more than recognize patterns. They begin to participate in shaping how learners are seen, supported, and sometimes evaluated. Once trained, these models rarely forget. Their decisions may continue to be influenced by early signals, outdated assumptions, or even data that was collected without fully informed consent. The risks are not hypothetical. Predictive models intended to identify at-risk students can unintentionally reproduce bias, particularly if the training data reflects systemic inequalities. Recommendation systems that prioritize efficiency may push learners toward narrowly defined paths and ignore diversity in learning preferences or backgrounds. Students increasingly engage with AI-powered learning tools not only for efficiency but also to gain autonomy over their educational processes [8]. However, these technologies may unintentionally constrain learner agency when adaptive systems misinterpret temporary behaviors or biases persist in feedback loops. Adaptive platforms might misinterpret temporary setbacks as fixed traits and result in content that is either too challenging or too simplistic. In such cases, the personalization becomes a constraint rather than a support. Recent studies further highlight that AI-based systems can profoundly shape how competencies are assessed and interpreted, often relying on data-driven inferences that may become outdated or misleading over time [9]. One of the more troubling aspects of current systems is their lack of reversibility. If a learner requests deletion of their data, there is rarely a mechanism to ensure that the model no longer acts on it. Even minor entries such as a mistaken answer in a diagnostic tool can subtly steer future feedback. Without the ability to remove the influence of such inputs, educational AI risks locking learners into trajectories that no longer reflect who they are or what they need.

This situation is made more difficult by the way educational platforms are built. Data flows across learning management systems, institutional servers, and commercial analytics tools. Oversight is fragmented and technical safeguards are uneven [8][4]. From an educational theory perspective, algorithmic systems must also be seen as part of broader cultural and identity-forming dynamics, which makes the irreversibility of data effects particularly problematic [10]. In this context, the trustworthiness of ML systems depends not only on their accuracy but also on their ability to respond when data must be revised, retracted, or forgotten. Machine unlearning responds to this challenge. It offers a way to selectively remove the effect of specific data from trained models without having

to retrain them entirely. In education, this means more than compliance with data protection laws. It means giving learners and educators a way to correct the record, to undo what no longer fits, and to restore agency within systems that might otherwise resist change. Therefore, there is need to explore how such reversibility can be integrated into educational ML applications in ways that are not only technically sound but pedagogically meaningful.

### 3 A Review on ML challenges in Education and Machine Unlearning Applications

While the potential of machine learning in educational contexts is considerable, its implementation is accompanied by a range of persistent challenges. These span from technical and ethical concerns to pedagogical and infrastructural constraints. To interpret these challenges normatively, we applied a conceptual lens grounded in five Responsible AI principles commonly found in ethical AI frameworks, including the EU High-Level Expert Group on AI and the UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. These principles are: (a) privacy, (b) security, (c) fairness and inclusion, (d) accountability and transparency, and (e) reliability and safety. Rather than serving as abstract ideals, these principles are increasingly recognized as operational requirements in sensitive domains such as education, where trust, consent, and participation are foundational. This section presents a structured review that explores whether machine unlearning can offer meaningful responses to these challenges, particularly in the context of responsible, trustworthy and adaptive AI in education.

To inform this inquiry, we conducted a structured literature review grounded in predefined search criteria. Search terms included “Application of machine learning in education”, “Application of artificial intelligence in education”, “AI challenges in education”, “ML challenges in education”, “Machine unlearning applications”, and “Machine unlearning applications in education”. We searched four major academic databases - IEEE Xplore, SpringerLink, Scopus, and Google Scholar - and included peer-reviewed publications from 2015 to 2025. Only journal articles and conference papers written in English were considered. Preprints, non-peer-reviewed contributions, and papers focused exclusively on primary or secondary education were excluded. After deduplication and relevance screening based on title and abstract, 42 publications were included for full-text analysis. Of these, 32 addressed challenges of ML in education, 10 focused on general applications of MU, and none directly examined MU in education-specific systems.

The 32 papers analyzing ML challenges in education revealed three recurrent thematic clusters which included technical issues (e.g. data quality & availability, system interoperability, scalability, integration and adaptability in evolving environments); ethical challenges (e.g. privacy, security, bias & fairness, accountability & transparency and pedagogical and implementation concerns (e.g. diminished learner autonomy, misaligned feedback mechanisms, educator preparedness, resource constraints, curriculum integration, systemic inequities in access). [14][10][17][4][8][1].

There was a clear indication that indeed MU is an emerging field as evidenced by fewer publications. The 10 reviewed papers on MU covered application areas such as data removal for privacy preservation, security& reliability, bias mitigation, reconfiguration of outdated or irrelevant training data and performance enhancement with regard to adaptability.

Crucially, none of the retrieved studies investigated MU in an educational context. This absence, while not evidence of irrelevance, indicates a critical research gap. Given the growing reliance on predictive models in educational platforms and the regulatory sensitivity of student data, the lack of targeted exploration into unlearning mechanisms is both surprising and concerning. One plausible explanation is that the education sector has prioritized explainability, fairness, and privacy through design, but has not yet integrated post hoc removal strategies like MU into its technical repertoire. We therefore argue that MU deserves focused attention as an additional and complementary strategy in support of responsible AI and in addressing technical challenges within the educational landscape. In particular, unlearning could offer corrective mechanisms in cases of faulty personalization, unauthorized data inclusion, emergent systemic bias or need to adapt to dynamic environment.

## 4 Potential Applications of Machine Unlearning in Education

Based on the preceding review, MU appears to be a promising approach for addressing technical and data-related concerns in ML applications in education especially with regard to privacy protection, system security and reliability, bias mitigation, and adaptability for performance optimization. To systematically explore these potentials, this section maps the core challenges in ML-based education systems to aforementioned MU intervention points using educational scenarios and proposes a conceptual framework depicting this alignment. The focus is to explore the potential MU holds in translating responsible AI principles into practice as well as explore its ability to enhance adaptability and trust.

**Privacy Protection.** Data is a fundamental component for ML driven solutions in education[10]. The effectiveness of education platforms supporting personalised learning, learning analytics, adaptive systems, recommendation platforms, intelligent tutor among others relies on collecting and analyzing vast amounts of student data. Furthermore, ML models are frequently developed on sensitive or confidential data [2] which may typically include educational and noneducational student data [16] [15] that may be shared among different agencies. Because any model trained with said data may have memorized it, this may put users (students) at risk of privacy attacks exposing their information [15]. For this reason, users may want a system to forget certain sensitive data and its complete lineage [2][13][18].

There are also concerns about hidden surveillance [12][4] of educational data. This might be considered intrusive and a violation of autonomy and privacy,

which may result in decreased levels of trust with the institution or education systems [12]. Further more, there have also been concerns about the exploitation of student data for commercial interests by institutions and corporations, which risks turning students into products rather than beneficiaries of learning innovations [4]. This has raised significant concerns about the privacy of educational data [10][4][12]. Therefore, ensuring that student data is protected from unauthorized access and breaches is paramount. Moreover, there is need for students and educators to have control over how their data is used including being removed in the system when needed [4].

Therefore, to prevent misuse and preserve the privacy of individuals whose data contributed to the ML model’s training, educational institutions have been urged to implement ethical AI practices [4] by implementing robust data protection measures and comply with privacy regulations to safeguard student information. This has been boosted by the introduction of legislations that necessitate the removal or deletion of certain data to minimize misuse of users’ data [2][15] by several Governments. For example, the privacy-preserving regulations such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) which gives users the “Right to be Forgotten” [2][15][5][18][13]. This requirement mandates companies including education institutions to ensure erasure of personal data concerning the individual (student) [2] where users’ (students’) data is completely forgotten from multiple institutions’ various ML models [15]. To fully comply with these kind of regulations, there is need for MU. Safeguarding the privacy of individuals whose data contributed to the ML model’s training is one of the main reason, the concept of MU has gained popularity. MU techniques offer a solution to these concerns by selectively removing sensitive data from trained models. This ensures privacy of educational data and compliance with data protection regulations or laws while upholding the model performance.

**Improving Security and Reliability.** Security is another reason as to why data may need to be forgotten. Organizations including education institutions are vulnerable to incursions in this digital age, which may lead to data breaches or corruption [15]. ML algorithms depend on reliable and accurate data to generate predictions [15]. The security of these systems depends on the models’ normal behaviors extracted from the training data [5]. However, ML models may be vulnerable to external attacks which may result into models outputting incorrect predictions [15][13]. By polluting or corrupting the training data, attackers pollute the model, thus compromising security [5] which may result in serious problems.

Whenever such attacks are detected, the system should be able to completely forget the data by deleting it and its lineage from the “learning” of its ML models [15][5]. This can effectively be achieved through MU mechanism. MU helps in mitigating data poisoning attacks[13]. By mitigating the influence of potentially harmful data, unlearning can make models more resistant to various types of attacks and enhance model robustness.

**Bias Mitigation and Improving Fairness.** ML models for educational solutions are trained on massive volumes of educational data, which can capture the biases and mistakes included in the training dataset [2]. The biased nature of ML algorithms is often a consequence of underlying biases in the data [15]. ML models are only as good as the data they are trained on. If the educational training data is biased or unrepresentative, the ML models may perpetuate or amplify existing biases[12]. These biases can become strongly ingrained in the model over time, resulting in biased or incorrect outcomes [2]. If biased outcomes are used to drive decisions about learners and educational systems, for example, mislabeling learners based on incorrect algorithms or data that is biased[12], the effects could be carried forth at individual and system levels. These potential harms could outweigh, or at least challenge, the benefits of using ML in education leading to societal disparities and unfairness or reinforcing educational inequalities instead of reducing them [2][4] [12].

Therefore to increase accuracy and ensure the models' fidelity to the broader population, models must eliminate biased dataset samples [15]. However, bias elimination goes beyond training ML models on diverse representative datasets. There is also need to continuously monitor and refine the algorithms [15]as well as the need to unlearn the biased data, including the features and affected data items [13]. To achieve this and ensure that ML systems adapt to shifting circumstances and growing ethical norms, MU is critical [2]. Unlearning can be used to remove biases or correct errors introduced during the learning process [2]. This technique makes bias less apparent leading to more representative, equitable and accurate models [15][2] while not compromising the model performance. In educational scenario, this mechanism can even help clean up toxic or biased peer reviews in learning platforms.

**Enhancing Adaptability.** The digital and education landscapes are often dynamic with changing data distributions and trends leading to adaptability, integration and usability challenges. For example, in course or content recommendation platform, a learner's preference and taste may change requiring ML model to adapt to the evolving learner's preferences. In addition, governments or learning institutions are often required to review and update curricula. Updating a curriculum may require adapting and integrating it into existing educational platforms, removing the outdated information, continuously learning and probably scaling up across diverse institutions. This is often complex and resource intensive. Models trained on outdated or static datasets may become outdated as the underlying data distribution evolves.

Further more, just like other domains, data are often accompanied by noise or incorrect entries which can seriously degrade the quality of the outcomes [5][13]. For example, an educational application will produce inconvenient recommendations or predictions if it cannot completely delete the incorrect data (e.g., noise, malicious data, out-of-distribution data) related to learners [13]. Such undesired usability by not forgetting data will not only produce wrong predictions, but also result in less users' trust [13]. There is therefore need to clean up the databases

of unnecessary data to provide a better application or platform experience. By deleting unnecessary data also frees up storage space and conserves important resources [13]. This data cleansing can be made possible MU methods.

Unlearning could also help in intelligent tutoring systems that give outdated feedback. Or in adaptive learning platforms that need to forget incorrect student data after a revision. It could also support students who want to remove early mistakes from their profiles or protect sensitive histories. In teacher training, MU could allow sandbox environments where people can experiment without long-term data traces.

Therefore, to maintain model performance and keep it current, there is need to unlearn old knowledge or outdated information and dynamically adapt to new data. Hence the need for MU. MU facilitates adaptability of changing data distributions by removing outdated information that is no longer relevant and adapting to new data hence ensuring ML models remain adaptable and up-to-date[2]. This aids in maintaining model performance and allowing models to remain accurate in changing environments.

By removing irrelevant, outdated or biased data, unlearning can potentially allow models to continuous learn over time and improve the overall model performance as well as mitigate ethical concerns.


#### 4.1 Machine Unlearning Framework in Educational Context

In essence, MU holds great potential in not only translating ethical principles into practice, hence cable of fostering responsible AI in education but also it is capable of mitigating technical challenges as illustrated in Fig. 1).

Fig. 1 illustrates how ML applications in education, such as personalized learning environments, predictive analytics, and recommendation systems, give rise to both ethical and technical concerns. Ethical concerns include issues related to privacy, security, and fairness, whereas technical concerns pertain to scalability, adaptability, usability, and resource constraints. MU is proposed as an intervention that directly addresses these dual challenges. By mitigating ethical risks and enhancing technical performance, ML contributes to the realization of responsible AI and improved model functionality within dynamic educational environments.

## 5 Conclusion

This paper explored the potential of MU to address persistent challenges in ML applications within educational contexts. Drawing from prior literature, four core application areas were identified: privacy protection, security and robustness, bias mitigation for fairness, and adaptability with performance optimization. MU enables the selective removal of data influence from trained ML models, offering a mechanism to implement data minimization, post-hoc correction, and long-term model maintenance without full retraining. These capacities are particularly relevant in educational settings that rely on sensitive student data,



**Fig. 1.** Machine Unlearning application framework for Responsible and Adaptable AI (MU-RAAI) in Educational Context

often accumulated across institutional systems. Despite this relevance, no systematic applications of MU in educational contexts were found in the reviewed literature. This absence highlights a significant gap between the maturity of MU research in technical domains and its current exploration in pedagogically governed environments. Educational data systems exhibit unique ethical and operational requirements, including evolving curricular structures, longitudinal learner modeling, and governance constraints. Future research should therefore not only adapt MU techniques to these conditions but also evaluate their effectiveness through empirical studies situated in real-world educational ecosystems. MU offers more than technical utility. It contributes to the alignment of legal compliance frameworks, ethical design principles, and performance standards in AI-driven education. Investigating its application further may open new pathways toward more responsive, trustworthy, and adaptive learning technologies.

## References

1. Abdullah, M., Madain, A., Jararweh, Y.: Chatgpt: Fundamentals, applications and social impacts. In: 2022 9th International Conference on Social Networks Analysis, Management and Security, SNAMS 2022. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc. (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1109/SNAMS58071.2022.10062688>
2. Ali, Z., Muhammad, A., Adnan, R., Alkhalifah, T., Aslam, S.: Evaluating machine unlearning: Applications, approaches, and accuracy. *Engineering Reports* (1 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1002/eng2.13081>

3. Bourtole, L., Chandrasekaran, V., Choquette-Choo, C.A., Jia, H., Travers, A., Zhang, B., Lie, D., Papernot, N.: Machine unlearning. In: Proceedings - IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy. vol. 2021-May, pp. 141–159. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc. (5 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1109/SP40001.2021.00019>
4. Castro, G.P.B., Chiappe, A., Ramírez-Montoya, M.S., Nieblas, C.A.: Key barriers to personalized learning in times of artificial intelligence: A literature review (3 2025). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app15063103>
5. Chundawat, V.S., Tarun, A.K., Mandal, M., Kankanhalli, M.: Can bad teaching induce forgetting? unlearning in deep networks using an incompetent teacher. Tech. rep. (2023), <https://github.com/vikram2000b/>
6. Ersozlu, Z., Taheri, S., Koch, I.: A review of machine learning methods used for educational data. *Education and Information Technologies* (11 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12704-0>
7. Hine, E., Novelli, C., Taddeo, M., Floridi, L.: Supporting trustworthy ai through machine unlearning (10 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-024-00500-5>
8. Hummel, S., Donner, M.T.: Ki-anwendungen in der hochschulbildung aus studierendenperspektive. *FNMA Magazin* pp. 38–41 (2023). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.13967.97446>
9. Hummel, S., G, W., Donner, M.T., Abbas, S.H.: Competency assessment in higher education through the lens of artificial intelligence: A systematic review. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education* (2025)
10. Khanipoor, F., Karimian, Z.: Unleashing the power of data: the promising future of learning analytics in medical education: a commentary. *Education and Information Technologies* (6 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13273-y>
11. Li, C., Jiang, H., Chen, J., Zhao, Y., Fu, S., Jing, F., Guo, Y.: An overview of machine unlearning. *High-Confidence Computing* p. 100254 (6 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hcc.2024.100254>
12. Marcotte, K., Yang, P., Millis, M., Vercler, C., Sebok-Syer, S., Krumm, A., George, B.: Ethical considerations of using learning analytics in medical education: a critical review. *Advances in Health Sciences Education* **30**, 87–101 (02 2025). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-024-10402-7>
13. Nguyen, A., Ngo, H.N., Hong, Y., Dang, B., Nguyen, B.P.T.: Ethical principles for artificial intelligence in education. *Education and Information Technologies* **28**, 4221–4241 (4 2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11316-w>
14. Razauulla, S.M., Pasha, M., Farooq, M.U.: Integration of machine learning in education: Challenges, issues and trends. **2022-March**, 36–47 (2022). [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-5090-1\\_2](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-5090-1_2)
15. Sai, S., Mittal, U., Chamola, V., Huang, K., Spinelli, L., Scardapane, S., Tan, Z., Hussain, A.: Machine un-learning: An overview of techniques, applications, and future directions (3 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12559-023-10219-3>
16. Singh, A.K., Kiriti, M.K., Singh, H., Shrivastava, A.: Education ai: exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on education in the digital age (4 2025). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13198-025-02755-y>
17. Yahaya, A.A., Rasheed, F., Muaz, B.S., Haruna, U., Sani, A., Yahaya, I.A., Idris, A.H., Ahmad, B.M.: Application of machine learning in education: Recent trends challenges and future perspective. *British Journal of Computer, Networking and Information Technology* **7**, 118–131 (9 2024). <https://doi.org/10.52589/bjcnit-yljqocvp>
18. Zhang, H., Nakamura, T., Isohara, T., Sakurai, K.: A review on machine unlearning. *SN Computer Science* **4** (7 2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-023-01767-4>