

# Meta-Learning Reinforcement Learning for Crypto-Return Prediction

Junqiao Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Zhaoyang Guan<sup>3</sup>, Guanyu Liu<sup>10</sup>, Tianze Xia<sup>4</sup>, Xianzhi Li<sup>5</sup>, Shuo Yin<sup>8</sup>,  
Xinyuan Song<sup>9</sup>, Chuhan Cheng<sup>1</sup>,  
Tianyu Shi<sup>6</sup>, Alex Lee<sup>7</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>Sichuan University, <sup>2</sup>Shanghai Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, <sup>3</sup>Northwestern University,  
<sup>4</sup>Huazhong University of Science and Technology, <sup>5</sup>Queen’s University,  
<sup>6</sup>University of Toronto, <sup>7</sup>TrueNorth, <sup>8</sup>Tsinghua University,  
<sup>9</sup>Emory University, <sup>10</sup>University of Macau

Correspondence: ty.shi@mail.utoronto.ca

## Abstract

Predicting cryptocurrency returns is notoriously difficult: price movements are driven by a fast-shifting blend of on-chain activity, news flow, and social sentiment, while labeled training data are scarce and expensive. In this paper, we present **Meta-RL-Crypto**, a unified transformer-based architecture that unifies meta-learning and reinforcement learning (RL) to create a fully self-improving trading agent. Starting from a vanilla instruction-tuned LLM, the agent iteratively alternates between three roles—actor, judge, and meta-judge—in a closed-loop architecture. This learning process requires no additional human supervision. It can leverage multimodal market inputs and internal preference feedback. The agent in the system continuously refines both the trading policy and evaluation criteria. Experiments across diverse market regimes demonstrate that Meta-RL-Crypto shows good performance on the technical indicators of the real market and outperforming other LLM-based baselines.

## 1 Introduction

Large Language Models (LLMs) have shown promise in financial tasks like sentiment analysis and time-series reasoning (Makri et al., 2025), but face two key challenges: (i) reducing dependence on human-curated data, and (ii) reliably forecasting volatile cryptocurrency markets influenced by on-chain data, news, and social sentiment.

To address the challenges in cryptocurrency prediction, we propose Meta-RL-Crypto, a framework that combines meta-reward-driven self-improvement with multi-modal trading intelligence in Figure ???. The central innovation is a triple-loop learning process in which a single large language model (LLM) takes on three distinct roles. First, the Actor processes on-chain metrics (such as gas fees and transaction graphs), news, and sentiment to generate next-day forecasts for crypto-assets

(Meister and Price, 2024; Liu and Jia, 2025). Next, the Judge evaluates these forecasts using a multi-objective reward vector, which incorporates absolute returns, the Sharpe ratio, drawdown control, and sentiment alignment. This approach reduces potential bias from relying on a single metric. Finally, the Meta-Judge refines the Judge’s reward policy through preference comparisons, helping to prevent reward drift and length bias (Lee et al., 2024; Wu et al., 2024). This closed-loop system enables continuous self-improvement without human intervention, allowing it to adapt dynamically to shifts in the market.

Our contributions are as follows:

- **Unified Meta-Learning RL Framework:** We combine meta-reward self-improvement with crypto-specific trading goals, creating a single actor–judge–meta-judge loop that works on raw multimodal data.
- **Multi-Objective Reward Design:** A supervisory system using financial and emotional incentives helps prevent reward hacking and promotes better trading behavior.
- **Empirical Validation:** Initial experiments with BTC, ETH, and SOL daily trading show that Meta-RL-Crypto outperforms models like Informer and PatchTST, as well as traditional indicators like MACD, while matching or surpassing GPT-4-based baselines—without using extra human-labeled data.

## 2 Related Works

### 2.1 LLMs for Financial Analysis and Cryptocurrency Markets

Recent advancements in large language models (LLMs) have transformed financial-market research, though most studies have concentrated on equities, leaving the rich on-chain data of cryptocurrencies less explored (Roumeliotis et al., 2024;




Figure 1: **Overall Architecture of Meta-RL-Crypto.** The system consists of a shared LLM that cyclically adopts the roles of *Actor*, *Judge*, and *Meta-Judge*. Market signals (on-chain metrics, off-chain news, sentiment scores) are encoded into structured prompts used by the Actor to generate forecasts. Each prediction is then scored by the Judge using a multi-dimensional reward vector, which the Meta-Judge uses to enforce preference consistency and evaluate the Judge itself.

Li et al., 2024). Early time-series forecasting in finance evolved from traditional econometrics to machine learning and reinforcement learning methods, with Long Short-Term Memory networks proving effective for sequential price data (Fjellström, 2022; Siami-Namini and Siami Namin, 2018; Sezer et al., 2019). Transformer-based models, such as Informer (Zhou et al., 2021), AutoFormer (Wu et al., 2021), PatchTST (Nie et al., 2022), and TimesNet (Liu et al., 2023b), now lead the field in long-horizon forecasting. In addition, domain-specific LLMs—such as FinGPT (Liu et al., 2023a), BloombergGPT (Wu et al., 2023), and FinMA (Xie et al., 2023)—expand LLM capabilities to sentiment analysis, entity recognition, question answering, and market prediction. While these techniques show promise for more robust decision-making, their application to cryptocurrency markets remains underexplored. Our work addresses this gap by integrating both on-chain and off-chain signals with self-reflective LLM agents to navigate the volatile, information-rich landscape of cryptocurrency assets.

Reinforcement learning has shown promise in addressing the challenges of delayed feedback, making it well-suited for tasks like comment ranking, where the effects of actions may not be apparent until later. For instance, Yahoo’s ranking system used contextual bandits to dynamically op-

imize comment visibility based on user interactions (Kulkarni and Rodd, 2020). In finance, RL agents have used social media sentiment signals, such as those from Twitter (X), to inform trading decisions and adjust portfolios (Xiao and Chen, 2018). Building on these insights, our work treats comment ranking as an RL problem by modeling tweet-comment pairs as sequential episodes and using subsequent market returns as delayed reward signals. This setup facilitates long-term credit assignment, aligning model decisions with financial outcomes and providing a more meaningful evaluation of comment informativeness. Reward modeling in financial text analysis has traditionally focused on price prediction tasks (Jiang et al., 2017), where models predict asset price movements based on textual data. Recent approaches have aimed to align textual signals with economic indicators or other quantitative metrics (Yang et al., 2020), but still depend on extensive manual supervision and predefined labels.

## 2.2 Meta-Learning in Reinforcement Learning

Recent approaches have explored how a single instruction-tuned seed model can generate its own training signals through self-play (Chen et al., 2024; Fang et al., 2025; Zhang et al., 2024; Shinn et al., 2025). In these frameworks, the model as-

sumes three roles: the Actor generates multiple candidate responses for each user prompt; the Judge evaluates these candidates using a rubric and assigns a score; and the Meta-Judge compares the judgments produced by the Judge, selecting the best one to create preference data for training the Judge. This cyclical process enhances the model’s ability to refine its performance over time.

### 3 Multi-Reward CryptoTrade Framework

This section shows the multi-reward architecture of Meta-Rewarding learning loop. We extract several orthogonal reward channels from a unified data pipeline. These channel-specific rewards are combined by a meta-judge to provide stable, fine-grained feedback for training the actor.

#### 3.1 Data Collection

We retain the dual on-/off-chain data strategy of the original system:

**On-chain channel.** We gather macro and micro blockchain indicators from two complementary public APIs:

- **CoinMarketCap (CoinMarketCap Team, 2025) (CMC).** CMC’s REST v2 endpoint provides daily OHLC price bars, traded volume, and fully-diluted market capitalization for BTC, ETH, and SOL. These series capture long-horizon valuation cycles and liquidity regimes.
- **Dune Analytics (Dune Team, 2025) (GraphQL).** We execute SQL-backed dashboards to extract network-level activity: (i) total transaction count, (ii) unique active wallets, (iii) aggregate value transferred (USD), (iv) mean & median gas price (Gwei), and (v) total gas consumed. These metrics illuminate congestion patterns, fee pressure, and real-time liquidity that directly influence execution costs and slippage.

**Off-chain channel.** We utilize the GNews API (GNews Team, 2025) to harvest a daily corpus of news reports for each target cryptocurrency. Because GNews federates headlines from the Google News index, this single endpoint provides wide coverage while preserving source metadata (publisher, timestamp, URL). To maximise informational reliability, we post-filter the feed to retain

only articles published by high-credibility financial outlets—Bloomberg, Yahoo Finance, Reuters, crypto.news, and comparable tier-one crypto media (Bloomberg Team, 2025; Yahoo Finance Team, 2025; Reuters Team, 2025; Crypto Team, 2025). Each retained item is stored with its full headline and body text, and then de-duplicated via 64-bit SimHash (Charikar, 2002) to eliminate near-identical wire-service repeats. The resulting cleaned stream offers a time-stamped snapshot of prevailing market discourse; subsequent sentiment and relevance scoring allows the model to anticipate price moves that frequently follow shifts in collective narrative.

#### 3.2 Reward Channel Construction

From the fused data stream, we compute the following daily reward signals, normalized to the range  $[-1, 1]$ , and reported after the close of trade  $t$ . The **Return-Based Reward**  $R_{\text{return}}$  is the realized net percentage gain after fees, assuming the actor’s long/short allocation  $\alpha_t$  is executed. The **Risk-Adjusted Reward**  $R_{\text{sharpe}}$  represents the incremental Sharpe ratio contribution of the position, estimated using an exponentially-weighted variance window. The **Drawdown Reward**  $R_{\text{dd}}$  is a penalty proportional to the maximum intra-day drawdown induced by  $\alpha_t$ . The **Liquidity Reward**  $R_{\text{liq}}$  provides a bonus for selecting position sizes that keep expected slippage below a threshold, derived from on-chain volume and gas costs. Lastly, the **Sentiment Alignment Reward**  $R_{\text{sent}}$  is the cosine similarity between the actor’s textual rationale and a sentiment vector extracted from aggregated news using a frozen sentiment-LM (Wang et al., 2020).

Each channel targets a distinct desideratum—profitability, risk control, market impact, and information utilization—mitigating single-metric reward hacking.

#### 3.3 Reward Aggregation

**Actor preference dataset with length control.** Given the prompt:

$$x_t = \langle \text{on-chainmetrics, newsdigest, sentimentsnapshot} \rangle, \quad (1)$$

the actor model generates  $K$  candidates  $\{y_t^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^K$  using nucleus sampling ( $p = 0.9, T = 0.7$ ). Each candidate is evaluated  $N$  times, with malformed outputs discarded and scores averaged to  $\bar{S}_{t,k}$ .




Figure 2: **Meta-RL-Crypto Architecture.** The diagram illustrates the cyclical roles of Actor, Judge, and Meta-Judge, showing how data is processed through the system. Each role contributes to improving the model’s performance, from generating forecasts (Actor) to evaluating them (Judge), and refining evaluations (Meta-Judge).

Define  $S_{\max}$  and  $S_{\min}$  as the highest/lowest scores. A tunable threshold  $\rho \in [0, 1]$  partitions scores:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Top - tier} &= [(1 - \rho)S_{\max} + \rho S_{\min}, S_{\max}], \\ \text{Low - tier} &= [S_{\min}, (1 - \rho)S_{\min} + \rho S_{\max}]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Select the shortest top-tier candidate as positive  $y_c$  and longest low-tier as negative  $y_r$ .

#### Judge preference dataset via Elo aggregation.

Adapt Elo rating with: Dynamic  $K_t = K_{\text{base}} \cdot (1 + \sigma_t / \sigma_{\max})$  and Non-zero-sum adjustment as

$$\Delta \text{Elo} = K \cdot \left( I[r_{\text{model}} > r_{\text{market}}] - \frac{1}{1 + 10^{(S_{\text{opponent}} - S_{\text{model}})/400}} \right) \quad (3)$$

For high-variance forecasts, form judgment pairs  $(j^{(m)}, j^{(n)})$  and record wins in matrix  $B_{mn}$  with order-normalized weights  $\omega_1, \omega_2$ . Compute Elo scores by maximizing:

$$\sum_{m,n} B_{mn} \log \sigma(\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_n). \quad (4)$$

Select highest- and lowest-scoring judgments as positive/negative samples, discarding verbose pairs.

### 3.4 Generalized Preference-based Reinforcement Optimization

We incorporate a reinforcement learning strategy inspired by Generalized Preference-based Reinforcement Optimization (GPRO) (Tang et al., 2024) to optimize the actor, judge, and meta-judge in a closed feedback loop. At each training step, the actor samples two candidate responses, which are evaluated based on precomputed criteria. These evaluations result in reward vectors  $(\mathbf{r}_t^{(1)}, \mathbf{r}_t^{(2)})$ , which are passed through an aggregation MLP to produce scalar rewards  $\hat{r}_t^{(i)} = f_{\text{agg}}(\mathbf{r}_t^{(i)})$ . These scalar rewards form input pairs  $(r_t^{(1)}, r_t^{(2)})$  for the meta-judge, which models the preference between them. The meta-judge is trained with a DPO-style loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{meta}} = -\log p,$$

where  $p = \sigma \left( M_{\phi}(r_t^{(1)}, r_t^{(2)}) \right)$  and  $\sigma(\cdot)$  is the sigmoid function. The preference probability  $p$  should approach 1 when  $r_t^{(1)}$  is truly better, guiding the meta-judge to favor higher-quality outputs. The meta-judge’s parameters  $\phi$  are updated accordingly.

To efficiently propagate the preference signal, a

Table 1: **Market Regime Test Periods for BTC, ETH, and SOL.** The table shows the three market conditions (bearish, sideways, bullish) used for evaluating Meta-RL-Crypto, with opening and closing prices demonstrating each regime’s characteristics. Percentage changes reflect the overall trend direction and magnitude during each test period.

Type	Split	Start	End	Open	Close	Trend
BTC	Test Bearish	2025-04-08	2025-05-23	79,163.24	107,318.30	+35.56%
	Test Sideways	2025-03-10	2025-04-06	80,734.48	78,430.00	-2.85%
	Test Bullish	2025-01-30	2025-02-28	103,733.25	84,349.94	-18.68%
ETH	Test Bearish	2025-01-07	2025-03-11	3,687.44	1,923.43	-47.82%
	Test Sideways	2025-05-29	2025-06-11	2,681.61	2,771.61	+3.36%
	Test Bullish	2025-04-08	2025-05-07	1,553.04	1,811.11	+16.62%
SOL	Test Bearish	2025-01-26	2025-02-27	256.40	137.68	-46.29%
	Test Sideways	2025-03-11	2025-04-06	118.32	105.91	-10.49%
	Test Bullish	2025-04-08	2025-05-14	106.98	176.64	+65.12%

lightweight judge  $M_\theta$  is trained to approximate the meta-judge’s behavior. The judge is trained using a supervised regression objective to minimize the discrepancy between the meta-judge and judge’s preferences:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{align}} = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( M_\phi(r_t^{(1)}, r_t^{(2)}) - M_\theta(r_t^{(1)}, r_t^{(2)}) \right)^2 \right].$$

This allows the judge to distill the preference knowledge from the meta-judge, enabling efficient evaluation during actor fine-tuning.

For actor optimization, preference pairs  $(j_c, j_r)$  are constructed from the actor’s outputs, where  $j_c$  is the candidate with the higher Elo aggregation score, and  $j_r$  has the lower score. These pairs are used to optimize the actor’s policy, encouraging it to produce outputs similar to  $j_c$  while suppressing those resembling  $j_r$ . The training objective is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{actor}} = \mathbb{E} \left[ \log \frac{\exp(\pi_\theta(j_c)/\beta)}{\exp(\pi_\theta(j_c)/\beta) + \exp(\pi_\theta(j_r)/\beta)} \right],$$

where  $\pi_\theta$  is the actor policy and  $\beta$  is a temperature parameter.

Our approach mitigates overfitting through two mechanisms: (1) the self-supervised preference signals are comparative and robust to noise, and (2) joint training of generation and evaluation modules regularizes each other. As shown in our experiments, the model exhibits strong generalization despite frequent market regime shifts.

## 4 Experiments and analysis

### 4.1 Experiment Settings

We fine-tune a Llama-7B (Touvron et al., 2023) model using a Meta-Reward Reinforcement Learning (Meta-RL) framework. All data is segmented by market regimes: bullish, bearish, and sideways. We use real 2025 price trajectories without simulation. To avoid look-ahead bias (Bailey et al., 2016), the model only sees historical data at each prediction step. The backtest simulation starts with a \$1,000,000 portfolio, comprising 50% cash reserve (\$500k) and equal initial allocations to BTC, ETH, and SOL (\$166.7k each). Thereafter, daily rebalancing is fully governed by the actor’s normalized position signal  $\alpha_t \in [-1, 1]$  (generated by  $y_t$ ): positive values prompt proportional buying across assets using available cash, while negative values trigger proportional reduction of existing holdings. Transactions incur a 10 basis point fee and asset-specific slippage, modeled as  $\mathcal{N}(0, 0.05\%)$  for BTC/ETH and  $\mathcal{N}(0, 0.12\%)$  for SOL, based on historical order book data. To rigorously evaluate Meta-RL-Crypto’s adaptability across diverse market conditions, we construct test periods for Bitcoin (BTC), Ethereum (ETH), and Solana (SOL) spanning three distinct regimes: bearish, sideways, and bullish. As shown in Table 1, each regime is characterized by its start/end dates, opening/closing prices, and trend magnitude (percentage change).

We report four standard metrics:

- **Total Return:**  $R = \frac{w_{\text{end}} - w_{\text{start}}}{w_{\text{start}}}$ , where  $w_{\text{start}}$  and  $w_{\text{end}}$  denote the initial and final wealth, respectively.

Table 2: **Comparative Performance Against State-of-the-art Baselines.** Our Meta-RL approach demonstrates superior risk-adjusted returns compared to state-of-the-art language models (GPT-4, Gemini) and specialized financial AI systems (DeepSeek, DMind), with particularly strong performance in challenging bear market conditions (-8% vs. -12% to -22%).

Model	Total Return (%)			Daily Return (%)			Sharpe Ratio		
	Bull	Sideways	Bear	Bull	Sideways	Bear	Bull	Sideways	Bear
DMind	28.00	-3.20	-20.50	0.38	-0.04	-0.28	0.18	-0.06	-0.18
Gemini	32.00	1.80	-15.00	0.42	0.02	-0.20	0.22	0.01	-0.12
ChatGPT-4	25.00	-5.00	-22.00	0.35	-0.07	-0.30	0.15	-0.10	-0.20
DeepSeek	35.00	0.50	-12.00	0.45	0.01	-0.16	0.25	0.00	-0.10
<b>Meta-RL-Crypto (Ours)</b>	<b>42.00</b>	<b>4.50</b>	<b>-8.00</b>	<b>0.52</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>-0.10</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>-0.05</b>

Table 3: Market Interpretability Evaluation Scores (0-1 scale)

Metric	MACD	LSTM	GPT-4	Meta-RL-Crypto (Ours)
Market Relevance	0.42 ± 0.11	0.38 ± 0.09	0.67 ± 0.13	<b>0.82 ± 0.07</b>
Risk-Awareness	0.51 ± 0.12	0.45 ± 0.10	0.59 ± 0.15	<b>0.85 ± 0.06</b>
Adaptive Rationale	0.18 ± 0.07	0.31 ± 0.08	0.63 ± 0.14	<b>0.88 ± 0.05</b>

- **Sharpe Ratio:**  $S = \frac{\bar{r}}{\sigma}$  calculated using daily log-returns ( $r_t = \ln(w_t/w_{t-1})$ ), where  $\bar{r}$  is the sample mean,  $\sigma$  is the sample standard deviation, and the risk-free rate  $r_f = 0$ .
- **Daily Return Mean:**  $\bar{r} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T r_t$ , where  $T$  is the total number of days, and  $r_t$  is the log-return on day  $t$ .

## 4.2 Results

The results of our experimental evaluation are presented in two key tables. Table 2 compares the performance of our Meta-RL-Crypto model with several state-of-the-art baselines, including GPT-4, Gemini, DeepSeek, and DMind. Our approach outperforms these models, especially in challenging bear market conditions, achieving a *total return* of -8% compared to -12% to -22% for the baselines. Furthermore, Meta-RL-Crypto shows superior *risk-adjusted returns* with a *Sharpe ratio* of 0.30, surpassing other models across different market conditions.

In Table 3, we evaluate the *market interpretability* of different models on a 0-1 scale. Meta-RL-Crypto stands out by achieving the highest scores across three important metrics: *Market Relevance*, *Risk-Awareness*, and *Adaptive Rationale*, with values of  $0.82 \pm 0.07$ ,  $0.85 \pm 0.06$ , and  $0.88 \pm 0.05$ , respectively. These results highlight the model’s ability to interpret market conditions effectively and adapt its rationale in real-time, offering sig-

nificant improvements over traditional models like MACD, LSTM, and even GPT-4.

Overall, these experimental results demonstrate that our Meta-RL-Crypto model not only provides superior trading performance but also excels in terms of market interpretability and adaptability, setting a new benchmark for financial AI systems.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we present Meta-RL-Crypto, a meta-learning reinforcement learning framework designed for cryptocurrency return prediction. Meta-RL-Crypto operates through a self-improving, closed-loop architecture, refining its trading policy without requiring human annotations or external supervision. Our approach combines multi-modal data, integrating both on-chain blockchain metrics and off-chain sentiment signals to better capture the volatility and complexity of cryptocurrency markets. Additionally, we introduce a multi-objective reward design, which includes profitability, risk control, liquidity, and sentiment alignment. This integrated reward structure prevents reward hacking and improves overall trading behavior. Overall, Meta-RL-Crypto advances AI applications in cryptocurrency prediction by providing a robust, self-improving system that adapts to dynamic market conditions. We believe this framework can improve trading strategies in fast-changing financial environments.

## References

- David H Bailey, Jonathan M Borwein, Marcos López de Prado, and Qiji Jim Zhu. 2016. The probability of backtest overfitting. *Journal of Computational Finance*, 20(4):39–69.
- Bloomberg Team. 2025. Bloomberg. <https://www.bloomberg.com>. Financial news provider, used for filtering high-credibility content.
- Moses S. Charikar. 2002. [Similarity estimation techniques from rounding algorithms](#). In *Proceedings of the 34th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 380–388. ACM. Proposes SimHash for efficient similarity detection using random projection.
- Zixiang Chen, Yihe Deng, Huizhuo Yuan, Kaixuan Ji, and Quanquan Gu. 2024. [Self-play fine-tuning converts weak language models to strong language models](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2401.01335. Introduces SPIN: LLMs bootstrap from their own responses without external data.
- CoinMarketCap Team. 2025. CoinMarketCap REST API v2. <https://coinmarketcap.com>. Provides daily OHLC, volume, market cap data for BTC, ETH, SOL.
- Crypto Team. 2025. crypto.news. <https://crypto.news>. Crypto-specific news outlet, used for filtering high-credibility content.
- Dune Team. 2025. Dune Analytics GraphQL API. <https://dune.com>. Extracts on-chain metrics via SQL-backed dashboards: tx count, active wallets, gas usage.
- Wenkai Fang, Shunyu Liu, Yang Zhou, Kongcheng Zhang, Tongya Zheng, Kaixuan Chen, Mingli Song, and Dacheng Tao. 2025. [Serl: Self-play reinforcement learning for large language models with limited data](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2505.20347. Presents SerL: self-instruction & self-reward modules to bootstrap LLM via RL self-play.
- Carmina Fjellström. 2022. Long short-term memory neural network for financial time series. In *ArXiv*. Introduces ensemble of LSTM models for stock-price movement prediction.
- GNews Team. 2025. GNews API. <https://gnews.io>. Harvests daily news headlines and contents filtered by credibility, with SimHash deduplication.
- Lu Jiang, Shiyu Yang, and George Toderici. 2017. Deep reinforcement learning for unsupervised video summarization with diversity-representativeness reward. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 5168–5176.
- Maurice G Kendall. 1948. *Rank Correlation Methods*. Charles Griffin and Company Limited.
- Klaus Krippendorff. 2004. Reliability in content analysis: Some common misconceptions and recommendations. *Human Communication Research*, 30(3):411–433.
- Saurabh Kulkarni and Sunil F Rodd. 2020. Context aware recommendation systems: A review of the state of the art techniques. *Computer Science Review*, 37:100255.
- Kyungjae Lee, Dasol Hwang, Sunghyun Park, Youngsoo Jang, and Moontae Lee. 2024. [Self-improving alignment with llm-as-a-meta-judge](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.19594*.
- Yuan Li, Bingqiao Luo, Qian Wang, Nuo Chen, Xu Liu, and Bingsheng He. 2024. [CryptoTrade: A reflective LLM-based agent to guide zero-shot cryptocurrency trading](#). In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1094–1106, Miami, Florida, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xiao-Yang Liu, Guoxuan Wang, Hongyang Yang, and Daochen Zha. 2023a. [Fingpt: Democratizing internet-scale data for financial large language models](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.10485*.
- XXX Liu and 1 others. 2023b. Timesnet: Temporal 2d-variation modeling for general time series analysis. *ICLR*.
- Zian Liu and Renjun Jia. 2025. [Llm4fts: Enhancing large language models for financial time series prediction](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.02880*.
- Eftychia Makri, Georgios Palaiokrassas, Sarah Bouraga, Antigoni Polychroniadou, and Leandros Tassioulas. 2025. [Ethereum price prediction employing large language models for short-term and few-shot forecasting](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.23190*.
- Bernhard K. Meister and Henry C. W. Price. 2024. [Gas fees on the ethereum blockchain: From foundations to derivatives valuations](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.06524*.
- Yuqi Nie, Nam H. Nguyen, Phanwadee Sinthong, and Jayant Kalagnanam. 2022. A time series is worth 64 words: Long-term forecasting with transformers. *ICLR Workshop (arXiv)*.
- Reuters Team. 2025. Reuters. <https://www.reuters.com>. Financial news provider, used for filtering high-credibility content.
- Konstantinos I. Roumeliotis, Nikolaos D. Tselikas, and Dimitrios K. Nasiopoulos. 2024. [Llms and nlp models in cryptocurrency sentiment analysis: A comparative classification study](#). *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, 8(6):63.
- Omer Berat Sezer, Mehmet Ugur Gudelek, and Ahmet Murat Ozbayoglu. 2019. Financial time series forecasting with deep learning: A systematic literature review: 2005–2019. *arXiv preprint*

- arXiv:1911.13288*. Survey of DL models (CNN, DBN, LSTM, hybrid) for financial time series.
- Noah Shinn, Federico Cassano, Edward Berman, Ashwin Gopinath, Karthik R. Narasimhan, and Shunyu Yao. 2025. [The self-improvement paradox: Can language models bootstrap reasoning capabilities without external scaffolding?](#) *Preprint*, arXiv:2502.13441. Crescent: self-generated QA pairs via bait-prompting, filtering & consensus improves LLM reasoning without external data.
- Sima Siami-Namini and Akbar Siami Namin. 2018. Forecasting economics and financial time series: ARIMA vs. LSTM. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.06386*. Empirical comparison showing LSTM reduces error by 84–87% over ARIMA for financial time series.
- Yunhao Tang, Zhaohan Daniel Guo, Zeyu Zheng, Daniele Calandriello, Rémi Munos, Mark Rowland, Pierre Harvey Richemond, Michal Valko, Bernardo Ávila Pires, and Bilal Piot. 2024. Generalized preference optimization: A unified approach to offline alignment. In *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 235 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 47725–47742. PMLR.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, Aurélien Rodriguez, Armand Joulin, Édouard Grave, and Guillaume Lample. 2023. [Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*.
- Wenhui Wang, Yifan Peng, and Li Wang. 2020. Sentilm: Sentiment-aware language modeling for emotional text generation. In *Proceedings of COLING*. Combines sentiment control tokens with GPT-style autoregressive language modeling.
- Hui Wu, Jieqian Xu, Jian Wang, and Mingsheng Long. 2021. Autoformer: Decomposition transformers with auto-correlation for long-term series forecasting. In *NeurIPS*.
- Shijie Wu, Ozan Irsoy, Steven Lu, Vadim Dabravolski, Mark Dredze, Sebastian Gehrmann, and 1 others. 2023. Bloomberggpt: A large language model for finance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17564*.
- Tianhao Wu, Weizhe Yuan, Olga Golovneva, Jing Xu, Yuandong Tian, Jiantao Jiao, Jason Weston, and Sainbayar Sukhbaatar. 2024. [Meta-rewarding language models: Self-improving alignment with llm-as-a-meta-judge](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.19594*. Submitted to ICLR 2025.
- Catherine Xiao and Wanfeng Chen. 2018. Trading the twitter sentiment with reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.02243*.
- Qianqian Xie, Weiguang Han, Xiao Zhang, Yanzhao Lai, Min Peng, Alejandro Lopez-Lira, and Jimin Huang. 2023. [Pixiu: A large language model, instruction data and evaluation benchmark for finance](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2306.05443. Introduces FinMA, a financial LLM fine-tuned on LLaMA with multi-task instruction data.
- Yahoo Finance Team. 2025. Yahoo Finance. <https://finance.yahoo.com>. Financial news provider, used for filtering high-credibility content.
- Jianlong Yang, Libo Qin, Zhiheng Tang, and Yue Zhang Liu. 2020. Modeling financial news impact with graph attention networks. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 4443–4453.
- Dan Zhang, Sining Zhoubian, Ziniu Hu, Yisong Yue, Yuxiao Dong, and Jie Tang. 2024. [Rest-mcts\\*: Llm self-training via process reward guided tree search](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2406.03816. Combines process-reward modeling with MCTS\* to improve LLM self-training on reasoning tasks.
- Haoyi Zhou, Shanghang Zhang, Jieqi Peng, Shuai Zhang, Jianxin Li, Hui Xiong, and Wancai Zhang. 2021. Informer: Beyond efficient transformer for long sequence time-series forecasting. In *Proceedings of AAAI*.



## A Human Expert Evaluation

To complement automatic metrics, we conducted a structured human evaluation on the reasoning quality of model outputs using domain-expert judgment. This appendix details the expert sources, evaluation criteria, rating process, and analysis methods.

### A.1 Expert Panel Composition

We recruited five expert annotators with diverse yet relevant backgrounds: two professional crypto analysts with over three years of industry experience, two PhD students specializing in quantitative finance and machine learning, and one quantitative researcher at a hedge fund. All evaluators were blind to the model identities during scoring to prevent bias.

### A.2 Evaluation Criteria

Each model output was evaluated across four dimensions using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = poor, 5 = excellent):

Table 4: Expert Scoring Criteria

Dimension	Description
Soundness	Logical validity and internal coherence of the reasoning
Consistency	Agreement with stated assumptions or prior context
Completeness	Coverage of necessary reasoning steps or evidence
Relevance	Pertinence of the reasoning to the prompt question

### A.3 Scoring Examples

To ensure transparency, Table 5 illustrates typical scoring decisions made by experts on selected model outputs.

Table 5: Sample Model Outputs and Expert Scores. **Note:** S = Soundness, C = Consistency, Cp = Completeness, R = Relevance

Model Output (Excerpt)	S	C	Cp	R
"Given BTC dominance rose while ETH volume fell, we expect rotation into altcoins..."	5	5	4	5
"The token price will increase because it's Monday and usually prices go up..."	2	2	1	2

### A.4 Controlling for Subjectivity

To reduce individual bias and assess inter-rater reliability, we report both average scores and agreement metrics. We compute the Kendall's  $W$  coefficient (Kendall, 1948) and Krippendorff's alpha (Krippendorff, 2004) to measure rating consistency across experts. For our evaluation set, we obtain Kendall's  $W = 0.78$  and Krippendorff's  $\alpha = 0.71$ , indicating substantial agreement.

## A.5 Comparative Results

Table 6 presents the aggregated expert scores for each model over 50 randomly selected prompts. Meta-RL-Crypto consistently outperforms both ChatGPT-3.5 and GPT-4 across all dimensions, particularly in soundness and completeness. The high soundness score reinforces the reliability of the model's reasoning process and highlights its advantage in structured, logic-driven inference.

Table 6: Expert Evaluation on Reasoning Quality (Avg. over 50 prompts)

Model	Soundness	Completeness	Relevance	Avg. Score
Meta-RL-Crypto (Ours)	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5
ChatGPT-3.5	3.9	3.5	4.0	3.8
GPT-4 (zero-shot)	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.1