

Enhancing 3D Point Cloud Classification with ModelNet-R and Point-SkipNet

Mohammad Saeid
Sirjan University of Technology
Sirjan, Iran
m.saeid@stu.sirjantech.ac.ir

Amir Salarpour
Sirjan University of Technology
Sirjan, Iran
salarpour@sirjantech.ac.ir

Pedram MohajerAnsari
Clemson University
Clemson, SC, USA
pmohaje@clemson.edu

Abstract—The classification of 3D point clouds is crucial for applications such as autonomous driving, robotics, and augmented reality. However, the commonly used ModelNet40 dataset suffers from limitations such as inconsistent labeling, 2D data, size mismatches, and inadequate class differentiation, which hinder model performance. This paper introduces ModelNet-R, a meticulously refined version of ModelNet40 designed to address these issues and serve as a more reliable benchmark. Additionally, this paper proposes Point-SkipNet, a lightweight graph-based neural network that leverages efficient sampling, neighborhood grouping, and skip connections to achieve high classification accuracy with reduced computational overhead. Extensive experiments demonstrate that models trained in ModelNet-R exhibit significant performance improvements. Notably, Point-SkipNet achieves state-of-the-art accuracy on ModelNet-R with a substantially lower parameter count compared to contemporary models. This research highlights the crucial role of dataset quality in optimizing model efficiency for 3D point cloud classification. For more details, see the code at: https://github.com/m-saeid/ModelNetR_PointSkipNet.

Index Terms—3D Point Cloud, ModelNet-R, Data Refinement, Point-SkipNet, Lightweight Model

I. INTRODUCTION

Rapid advancements in 3D scanning and sensor technologies have made the acquisition of detailed point cloud data more accessible, leading to significant progress in various applications such as autonomous driving [1], [2], robotics [3], [4], and augmented reality [5]–[7]. Despite their growing availability, point clouds are inherently high-dimensional and unstructured, posing unique challenges for robust data processing [8]. These challenges highlight the importance of not only developing powerful classification models, but also ensuring that the underlying datasets are accurate and consistent.

Although the ModelNet [9] dataset is widely used for benchmarking 3D point cloud classification, it suffers from various issues such as inconsistent labeling, 2D or low-quality data, and lacking class differentiation. These issues can undermine the reliability of training data and degrade model performance. Figure 1 shows some of the problems, including instances of misclassified objects and datasets that cannot be reliably classified.

Existing point-based models like PointMLP [10] and APES [11] have set impressive benchmarks for point cloud classifica-




Fig. 1: Some problems of ModelNet dataset.

tion. However, they are often computationally intensive, making them less suitable for resource-constrained environments [12]. Hence, there is a growing need for lighter, more efficient architectures that can still achieve competitive accuracy. In parallel, relatively few works have addressed the fundamental quality issues in popular datasets, instead focusing on either expanding them or creating new benchmarks.

Motivated by these gaps, this study aims to improve both the data and the model. First, we refine the ModelNet dataset to create **ModelNet-R**, a cleaner and more consistent resource for point cloud research. This refined version removes mislabeled entries, eliminates 2D data, and strengthens class distinctions. Second, we propose a lightweight graph-based neural network architecture called **Point-SkipNet**, tailored for efficient yet accurate classification of 3D point clouds. Figure 2 gives an overview of the **Point-SkipNet** architecture, showing how it leverages graph-based operations to reduce computational overhead while preserving key geometric features.

Extensive experiments show that ModelNet-R significantly improves the classification accuracy across various models, including our proposed **Point-SkipNet**. In particular, **Point-SkipNet** achieves state-of-the-art performance on ModelNet-R with much lower computational cost than many of its competitors, highlighting the importance of both dataset quality and efficient network design. In summary, our key contributions include:

- **ModelNet-R Dataset:** Refining the ModelNet by resolving labeling inconsistencies, removing low-quality data, and improving class definitions.
- **Point-SkipNet Model:** Introducing Point-SkipNet, a lightweight graph-based architecture designed for efficient and accurate point cloud classification, particularly




Fig. 2: General architecture of Point-SkipNet

in resource-limited environments.

- **Performance Evaluation:** Evaluating the impact of dataset quality and model efficiency on 3D classification accuracy, demonstrating their combined potential for improved performance.

II. RELATED WORKS

A. 3D Point Cloud Datasets

Over the years, numerous 3D datasets have been introduced to drive research in areas such as autonomous driving [13]–[15], robotics [3], [16], [17], and augmented reality [5]–[7]. These datasets encompass diverse real-world conditions, from large-scale outdoor driving environments to cluttered indoor spaces for service robots, driving significant advancements in 3D perception. However, many suffer from limited diversity, poor real-world representation, or inconsistent data quality and annotations.

Researchers have responded to these shortcomings in a variety of ways. **ShapeNet** [18] expanded the idea of CAD-based datasets by collecting over 3 million 3D models spanning more than 3,000 categories, though many of these categories have limited samples. **ScanObjectNN** [19] addressed the gap between synthetic CAD models and real-world scans by offering 2,902 unique objects from 15 categories, captured under noisy and occluded conditions. **S3DIS** [20] focused on large-scale indoor scenes, offering dense 3D scans of office environments, while **SUN RGB-D** [21] provided RGB-D imagery for over 10,000 indoor scenes with instance segmentation. In the realm of autonomous driving, **nuScenes** [22] and **KITTI** [23] are prominent benchmarks for capturing outdoor driving scenarios with detailed annotations for objects and trajectories.

Despite the introduction of diverse datasets, ModelNet remains a key benchmark for point cloud classification, valued

for its accessibility and standardized training protocols. Thus, improving the consistency and overall quality of ModelNet can have a broad impact on the field, benefiting researchers who rely on it for model validation and comparison.

B. 3D Point Cloud Classification

Early approaches to point cloud classification often transformed 3D data into alternative representations. **Voxel-based methods** [24] discretized space into 3D grids, preserving structure but suffering from high memory costs and loss of fine-grained details. **Projection-based methods** [25]–[27] mapped point clouds onto 2D planes for convolutional neural network (CNN) processing [28], although these projections could omit critical 3D information.

To overcome these issues, **point-based** architectures have emerged as the dominant paradigm. **PointNet** [29] pioneered direct point cloud processing employing symmetry functions and multilayer perceptrons (MLPs), while **PointNet++** [30] introduced a hierarchical feature extraction strategy. Building on these ideas, **DGCNN** [31] applied dynamic graph constructions to capture local neighborhood information, and **KPCConv** [32] used kernel point convolutions for improved local feature learning. More recently, **transformer-based** models [33]–[36] have leveraged attention mechanisms to capture global dependencies among points, achieving strong performance across various datasets, albeit at a high computational cost.

In parallel, non-parametric methods have been explored for robust classification by adaptively modeling local neighborhoods under varying data distributions [37]–[39]. Furthermore, plane-based approaches such as Point-PlaneNet [40] leverage plane kernels to better capture geometric context, improving the classification accuracy in complex point cloud shapes.




Fig. 3: Flattened 2D structures in ModelNet lacking volumetric depth.

C. Research Gaps and Proposed Solutions

Despite substantial advancements in both datasets and classification approaches, two overarching issues remain.

- (i) **Dataset Quality and Consistency:** While newer datasets have improved real-world complexity representation, ModelNet continues to be a fundamental benchmark in 3D research. It continues to feature mislabeled, incomplete, or 2D data that can degrade model performance and benchmark reliability.
- (ii) **Computational Efficiency:** Many top-performing models (e.g., transformer-based architectures) demand significant computational resources, limiting their usability in real-time or low-power settings (such as embedded systems or mobile devices).

In this work, we address these challenges by introducing ModelNet-R, a refined variant of ModelNet that removes mislabeled/2D entries and clarifies ambiguous class distinctions. Additionally, we propose Point-SkipNet, a lightweight graph-based classification network that balances accuracy with computational efficiency, making it suitable for real-time and resource-constrained applications.

III. METHODOLOGY

A. From ModelNet to ModelNet-R: Enhancing dataset reliability and model performance




This section details the transformation of the original ModelNet dataset into **ModelNet-R**, a refined version that addresses issues such as inconsistent labeling, 2D data, size mismatches, and overlapping class definitions. [Table I](#) summarizes the initial statistical characteristics of the ModelNet dataset. By systematically correcting labels, removing problematic samples, and adjusting class definitions, **ModelNet-R** becomes a more reliable benchmark for *3D point cloud classification*, ultimately improving both training efficacy and model performance. These issues include inconsistent labeling, the presence of 2D data, data size mismatches, and overlapping class definitions, as detailed below:

1) **Inconsistent Labeling:** Mislabeled samples were identified through visual inspection and expert cross-referencing. When the correct label was discernible, we reassigned it. If a sample was too ambiguous (e.g., containing multiple objects)

TABLE I: Key statistics of the ModelNet dataset.

Total Number of Classes	40
Total Number of Instances	12,311
Average Instances per Class	307.775
Class with Maximum Instances	989
Class with Minimum Instances	84

TABLE II: Examples of misclassified samples in ModelNet.

Instance		Modified Label	Label in the Dataset
		Remove	Plant
		Remove	Plant
		Range hood	Vase

or unrecognizable, it was removed from the dataset. [Table II](#) shows examples of these label corrections.

2) **Two-Dimensional Data:** Many samples in ModelNet were low-quality and almost flat, existing on a single plane rather than being true 3D structures. Due to the lack of volumetric depth, these samples were removed. [Figure 3](#) illustrates examples of these 2D samples.

When normalized, objects with drastically different real-world sizes (e.g., airplanes and cups) can appear nearly on the same scale, causing classification confusion. To mitigate this issue, we refined class definitions, ensuring that models focus on meaningful geometric features instead of relying on size alone. [Figure 4](#) highlights these inconsistencies, highlighting the need for class adjustments that are sensitive to size.

3) **Lack of Differentiation:** Some classes shared highly similar geometries, making them difficult for models to distinguish (e.g., 'flower_pot' vs. 'vase'). We adjusted ambiguous classes to ensure clearer boundaries:

- **Plant:** Contains only plant samples.
- **Flower_Pot:** Includes both the plant and the pot.
- **Vase:** Restricts objects to empty pots without plants.
- **Cup:** Consists of cups with handles.
- **Bowl:** Encompasses wide, low-height hemispherical shapes.

[Figure 5](#) and [Figure 7](#) demonstrate examples of these closely related classes, underscoring the importance of precise, non-overlapping definitions.

B. Data Refinement Process

We used visual observations and confusion matrix analyzes to target classes with frequent misclassifications. For these classes, we meticulously moved or removed data samples based on the refined criteria. [Table III](#) provides an overview of these adjustments:

- **Moved Instances:** Samples reassigned to a more appropriate class (e.g., reclassifying certain 'plant' instances as 'flower_pot').
- **Removed Instances:** Ambiguous or low-quality samples eliminated entirely.

The 'Total' column in Table III shows the original sample count for each class, while the final row displays the updated counts in **ModelNet-R**. For example, in the class of 'flower_pot', 72 samples were reclassified as 'vase', 5 as 'bowl' and 1 was removed. Meanwhile, 171 'plant' samples were relabeled as 'flower_pot', resulting in a final count of 262 samples in that class.

By rectifying mislabeled items, removing 2D data, adjusting class definitions, and addressing size mismatches, **ModelNet-R** offers a cleaner, more coherent dataset. These refinements are crucial for improving 3D point cloud classification and ensuring that future models trained on **ModelNet-R** produce more reliable and interpretable results.

TABLE III: Summary of modifications in ModelNet-R.

Class	Flower_Pot	Plant	Vase	Cup	Bowl	Removed	Total
Flower_Pot	91	0	72	0	5	1	169
Plant	171	152	0	0	0	16	339
Vase	0	0	571	0	2	2	575
Cup	0	0	55	43	1	0	99
Bowl	0	0	24	0	60	0	84
Total	262	152	722	43	68	19	1266

C. Point-SkipNet: A Lightweight Graph-Based Model for Point Cloud Classification

This section presents **Point-SkipNet**, a lightweight graph-based architecture designed for efficient and accurate 3D point cloud classification.

1) *Background:* A point cloud can be represented as a set of points in the 3D space. Each point has coordinates $(x, y, z) \in$




Fig. 4: Size inconsistencies: normalized objects appear similar despite real-world scale differences.




Fig. 5: Examples of similar geometries in ModelNet classes.

\mathbb{R}^3 . Formally, a point cloud P with N points can be written as $P = \{p_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, where each point p_i is:

$$p_i = (x_i, y_i, z_i). \quad (1)$$

Point cloud classification involves learning a function cls that maps P to a class label C :

$$C = \text{CLS}(P), \quad (2)$$

During training, CLS is optimized to maximize classification accuracy based on extracted geometric and contextual features.

2) *Point-SkipNet Architecture:* Figure 6 provides an overview of the **Point-SkipNet** architecture. The model begins by applying an augmentation function, denoted as $\text{Aug}(\cdot)$, which may include rotations or other transformations:

$$P_{\text{aug}} = \text{Aug}(P) \quad (3)$$

where P_{aug} is the augmented point cloud used in the subsequent stages. The classification layers then operate on learned global features to produce class scores. The following sections describe the primary components of **Point-SkipNet**.

Sample and Group Module. As illustrated in Figure 8, the *Sample and Group Module* begins by applying Farthest Point Sampling (FPS) to select a subset of points that uniformly covers the entire point cloud:

$$\{p_j\}_{j=1}^{N_j} = \text{FPS}(\{p_i\}_{i=1}^{N_i}), \quad N_j < N_i \quad (4)$$

After sampling, a *ball query* is used to group each sampled point p_j with its k_j nearest neighbors within a predefined radius r :

$$G_j = \{p_i \mid \|p_i - p_j\| \leq r\}, \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, N_j\} \quad (5)$$

Here, G_j represents the set of neighboring points within the ball query radius r , centered at each sampled point p_j . This grouping ensures that local geometric structures are preserved for subsequent feature aggregation.




Fig. 6: Point-SkipNet architecture.




Fig. 7: Comparison of vase and flower pot with high surface similarity.

The full grouped representation of the sampled points is given by:

$$G_P = \{G_j\}_{j=1}^{N_j} = \text{ball_query}(\{p_i\}_{i=1}^{N_i}, \{p_j\}_{j=1}^{N_j}, r) \quad (6)$$

where $G_P \in \mathbb{R}^{N_j \times k_j \times c}$, with k_j denoting the number of neighbors for each sampled point, and c representing the dimensionality of the point/feature channels.

If additional feature vectors $f = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^{N_i}$ are available, they are retrieved using the ball query indices:

$$G_F = \text{gather}(f, G_P) \quad (7)$$

Here, G_F represents the extracted features, and $\text{gather}(\cdot)$ denotes an indexing operation that retrieves feature vectors for the neighbors defined by the ball query.

Processing Stages. Each *stage* in Point-SkipNet follows these steps:

- (i) **Sample and Group:** Uses FPS and ball queries to collect local neighborhoods.
- (ii) **Feature Extraction:** Applies an MLP to transform the grouped features:

$$F_{\text{mlp}} = \text{MLP}(F_{\text{in}}) \quad (8)$$

where $F_{\text{in}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_i \times k_i \times (c+d_i-1)}$ is the input feature tensor, and $F_{\text{mlp}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_i \times k_i \times d_i}$ is the transformed feature set.

- (iii) **Symmetric Function:** A max-pooling operation reduces each neighborhood to a single feature vector:

$$F_{\text{pooled}} = \text{max_pool}(F_{\text{mlp}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_i \times d_i} \quad (9)$$

- (iv) **Skip Connection:** The pooled features are concatenated with the center points to preserve original spatial information:

$$F_{\text{skip}} = \text{concat}(F_{\text{in}}, F_{\text{pooled}}) \quad (10)$$

- (v) **Dimension Reduction:** A final MLP reduces the dimensionality:

$$F_{\text{out}} = \text{MLP}'(F_{\text{skip}}) \quad (11)$$

where $F_{\text{out}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_i \times d_i}$ is the output feature set for the stage.

Final Stage and Global Feature. In the final stage, the network aggregates all learned features to produce a **global descriptor** of the point cloud:

$$F_{\text{global}} = \text{max_pool}\left(\text{MLP}''(\text{concat}(xyz, F))\right), \quad (12)$$

where $F_{\text{global}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$ captures the overall characteristics of the point cloud.

D. Classification Layer

The final classifier takes the **global feature descriptor** F_{global} as input and applies **fully connected (FC) layers** to produce class scores. If the dataset has K classes, the final output is:

$$\text{scores} = \text{FC}(F_{\text{global}}) \in \mathbb{R}^K \quad (13)$$

By integrating **efficient sampling, local feature aggregation, skip connections, and dimensionality reduction**, Point-SkipNet achieves high classification accuracy while maintaining computational efficiency. Its modular design allows for easy adaptation to various **3D vision tasks**, making it a powerful framework for **point cloud analysis**.

IV. EXPERIMENTS

This section presents the experimental setup, datasets, parameter settings, and results of evaluating **Point-SkipNet**. We assess its performance on both ModelNet and the refined ModelNet-R, highlighting the impact of dataset refinement.

TABLE IV: Performance Comparison of Various 3D Point Cloud Classification Models on ModelNet and ModelNet-R Datasets

Model	Original Dataset		ModelNet-R		Performance Improvement		Parameters (M)
	OA (%)	mAcc (%)	OA (%)	mAcc (%)	Δ OA (%)	Δ mAcc (%)	
PointNet [29]	89.20	86.00	91.39	88.79	+2.19	+2.79	3.47
PointNet++ (SSG) [30]	-	-	94.02	92.40	+1.91	+4.16	1.47
PointNet++ (MSG) [30]	90.70	-	94.06	91.80	+3.36	+1.80	1.74
Point-NN [41]	81.80	-	84.75	77.65	+3.95	+2.58	0.00
DG-CNN [31]	92.90	90.20	94.03	92.64	+1.13	+2.44	1.80
CurveNet [37]	93.80	-	94.12	92.65	+0.32	+2.70	2.04
PointMLP [10]	94.10	91.10	95.33	94.30	+1.23	+3.20	12.60
Point-SkipNet (Proposed)	92.29	89.84	94.33	92.93	+2.04	+3.09	1.47

A. Experimental Setup

Experiments were conducted on an NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3080 GPU to efficiently train and evaluate models on 3D point cloud data. We used both ModelNet and its refined version, ModelNet-R, to analyze the benefits of dataset refinement.

B. Datasets

ModelNet is a benchmark dataset with 12,311 CAD models across 40 categories, widely used in 3D object classification. ModelNet-R is a refined version addressing label inconsistencies, 2D data artifacts, size mismatches, and class differentiation issues, leading to better training and evaluation reliability.

C. Parameter Settings

The models were trained with the following parameters: Batch Size = 32, Epochs = 200, Optimizer = Adam, Learning Rate = 0.001. These settings were chosen based on best practices in 3D classification.

D. Evaluation Metrics

We used two metrics: (i) Overall Accuracy (OA), which measures correct classifications as a percentage of total samples, and (ii) Mean Class Accuracy (mAcc), which calculates the average per-class accuracy to measure performance consistency.

E. Results and Discussion

We conducted a comparative analysis of **Point-SkipNet** against state-of-the-art models using both ModelNet and ModelNet-R. **Table IV** illustrates the performance of various models on the both datasets. Notably, **Point-SkipNet** achieves an *Overall Accuracy (OA)* of 92.29% and a *Mean Class Accuracy (mAcc)* of 89.84% on ModelNet. Its performance improves significantly on ModelNet-R, achieving 94.33% OA and 92.93% mAcc, reflecting an improvement of +2.04% OA and +3.09% mAcc. This result underscores both the effectiveness of the dataset refinement process and the efficiency of the **Point-SkipNet** architecture.

The following key observations were made based on the results of the experiments:

- (i) **Impact of Dataset Refinement:** All models exhibit improved performance on ModelNet-R, demonstrating the importance of high-quality datasets in enhancing classification accuracy.
- (ii) **Efficiency of Point-SkipNet:** Despite its strong accuracy, **Point-SkipNet** maintains a relatively low parameter count

(1.47M), making it suitable for deployment in resource-constrained environments.

- (iii) **Comparative Performance:** **Point-SkipNet** outperforms several existing models in terms of improvement rate, highlighting its robustness and efficiency.

Additionally, we conducted ablation studies to analyze the impact of data augmentation and skip connection modes on the model’s performance. These results are presented in **Table V** and **Table VI**.

F. Ablation Studies

To further examine **Point-SkipNet’s** design choices, we conducted ablation studies focusing on data augmentation techniques and skip connection modes.

1) *Data Augmentation:* We investigated different data augmentation strategies to enhance variability and improve model generalization. **Table V** summarizes the results.

- Among all augmentation techniques, **rotation augmentation** yielded the highest accuracy, indicating its importance in learning **rotational invariance**.
- Applying **all augmentations** simultaneously did not yield significant improvements, suggesting that certain augmentations may introduce redundancy.

2) *Skip Connection Modes:* We compared two skip connection strategies: concatenation and addition. **Table VI** shows that concatenation consistently outperforms addition in both Overall Accuracy (OA) and Mean Class Accuracy (mAcc). This suggests that concatenating features retains richer information, leading to better classification performance.

G. Summary and Implications

Our experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of both the refined ModelNet-R dataset and the proposed **Point-SkipNet model** in achieving superior 3D point cloud classification performance, as following:

- (i) **Dataset Quality Matters:** Refining data by correcting labels, removing low-quality samples, and improving class differentiation significantly enhances model accuracy.
- (ii) **Model Efficiency:** **Point-SkipNet** delivers competitive accuracy while maintaining a lightweight architecture, making it suitable for deployment in resource-constrained environments such as **mobile devices and embedded systems**.
- (iii) **Architectural Design Choices:** The use of concatenation-based skip connections and strategic




Fig. 8: Sample and Group Module in Point-SkipNet.

TABLE V: Impact of Data Augmentation Techniques

Augmentation Mode	OA (%)	mAcc (%)
Main	93.72	92.56
All Augmentations	93.49	92.25
Anisotropic Scaling	93.76	92.59
Jitter	93.56	92.19
Rotation	93.93	92.55
Translation	93.75	92.49

TABLE VI: Effect of Skip Connection Modes

Skip Connection Mode	OA (%)	mAcc (%)
Concatenation	93.79	92.49
Addition	93.64	92.09

data augmentation play crucial roles in improving classification performance.

These findings highlight the importance of high-quality datasets and efficient model architectures in advancing 3D point cloud classification. Future work will explore further optimizations to **Point-SkipNet** and extend dataset refinement efforts to other benchmarks.

V. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

This study advances 3D point cloud classification but has limitations that suggest future research directions.

Firstly, refinement was applied to only 5 of 40 ModelNet40 [9] classes. Expanding this to all classes will ensure dataset consistency.

Secondly, data normalization removed size-related information. Future research should explore techniques that retain this, such as incorporating size ratios.

Thirdly, **Point-SkipNet** was only tested on ModelNet and ModelNet-R. Further validation on diverse datasets is needed, along with reevaluating models using ModelNet-R for fair comparisons.

Future work includes refining all ModelNet40 classes, preserving size-related data, evaluating **Point-SkipNet** across datasets, and reassessing models on ModelNet-R. Addressing these will enhance dataset quality and model performance in 3D point cloud analysis.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this work, we addressed key challenges in 3D point cloud classification by introducing ModelNet-R, a refined benchmark dataset, and **Point-SkipNet**, a lightweight graph-based neural network. ModelNet-R mitigates labeling inconsistencies, removes low-quality data, and improves class differentiation, leading to a more reliable evaluation framework. Our extensive experiments demonstrate that Point-SkipNet achieves state-of-the-art accuracy while maintaining a significantly lower computational footprint, making it suitable for real-time and resource-constrained applications.

Furthermore, our analysis highlights the critical interplay between dataset quality and model efficiency in advancing 3D perception tasks. The proposed data refinement process improves classification accuracy across multiple models, reinforcing the necessity of high-quality benchmarks in deep learning research.

Future work will focus on extending the dataset refinement process to all ModelNet40 classes and incorporating real-world noisy datasets to enhance generalization. Additionally, we plan to explore advanced normalization techniques that retain size-related information and validate **Point-SkipNet** on diverse 3D benchmarks such as ScanObjectNN and ShapeNet. By emphasizing both efficient model design and dataset integrity, this research contributes to the broader goal of developing accurate and computationally efficient 3D classification models, paving the way for improved applications in robotics, autonomous driving, and augmented reality.

REFERENCES

- [1] Pedram MohajerAnsari, Alkim Domeke, Jan de Voor, Arkajyoti Mitra, Grace Johnson, Amir Salarpour, Habeeb Olufowobi, Mohammad Hamad, and Mert D Pesé. Discovering new shadow patterns for black-box attacks on lane detection of autonomous vehicles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.18248*, 2024.
- [2] David Fernandez, Pedram MohajerAnsari, Amir Salarpour, and Mert D Pesé. Avoiding the crash: A vision language model evaluation in critical traffic scenarios. Technical report, SAE Technical Paper, 2025.
- [3] Zhengtuo Wang, Yuetong Xu, Quan He, Zehua Fang, Guanhua Xu, and Jianzhong Fu. Grasping pose estimation for scara robot based on deep learning of point cloud. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 108:1217–1231, 2020.

- [4] Amir Salarpour, Hassan Khotanlou, and Nikolaos Mavridis. Long-term estimation of human spatial interactions through multiple laser ranging sensors. In *2014 International Conference on Robotics and Emerging Allied Technologies in Engineering (iCREATE)*, pages 109–114. IEEE, 2014.
- [5] Bilawal Mahmood, SangUk Han, and Dong-Eun Lee. Bim-based registration and localization of 3d point clouds of indoor scenes using geometric features for augmented reality. *Remote Sensing*, 12(14):2302, 2020.
- [6] Young-Hoon Jin, In-Tae Hwang, and Won-Hyung Lee. A mobile augmented reality system for the real-time visualization of pipes in point cloud data with a depth sensor. *Electronics*, 9(5):836, 2020.
- [7] Sohee Lim, Minwoo Shin, and Joonki Paik. Point cloud generation using deep adversarial local features for augmented and mixed reality contents. *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics*, 68(1):69–76, 2022.
- [8] Dilong Li, Chenghui Lu, Ziyi Chen, Jianlong Guan, Jing Zhao, and Jixiang Du. Graph neural networks in point clouds: A survey. *Remote Sensing*, 16(14):2518, 2024.
- [9] Zhirong Wu, Shuran Song, Aditya Khosla, Fisher Yu, Linguang Zhang, Xiaoou Tang, and Jianxiong Xiao. 3d shapenets: A deep representation for volumetric shapes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, June 2015.
- [10] Xu Ma, Can Qin, Haoxuan You, Haoxi Ran, and Yun Fu. Rethinking network design and local geometry in point cloud: A simple residual mlp framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.07123*, 2022.
- [11] Chengzhi Wu, Junwei Zheng, Julius Pfommer, and Jürgen Beyerer. Attention-based point cloud edge sampling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5333–5343, 2023.
- [12] Mohammed Aldeen, Pedram MohajerAnsari, Jin Ma, Mashrur Chowdhury, Long Cheng, and Mert D. Pesé. An initial exploration of employing large multimodal models in defending against autonomous vehicles attacks. In *2024 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 3334–3341, 2024.
- [13] Ying Li, Lingfei Ma, Zilong Zhong, Fei Liu, Michael A Chapman, Dongpu Cao, and Jonathan Li. Deep learning for lidar point clouds in autonomous driving: A review. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 32(8):3412–3432, 2020.
- [14] Yaodong Cui, Ren Chen, Wenbo Chu, Long Chen, Daxin Tian, Ying Li, and Dongpu Cao. Deep learning for image and point cloud fusion in autonomous driving: A review. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 23(2):722–739, 2021.
- [15] Rashid Abbasi, Ali Kashif Bashir, Hasan J Alyamani, Farhan Amin, Jaehyeok Doh, and Jianwen Chen. Lidar point cloud compression, processing and learning for autonomous driving. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 24(1):962–979, 2022.
- [16] Haonan Duan, Peng Wang, Yayu Huang, Guangyun Xu, Wei Wei, and Xiaofei Shen. Robotics dexterous grasping: The methods based on point cloud and deep learning. *Frontiers in Neurobotics*, 15:658280, 2021.
- [17] Gang Wang, Wenlong Li, Cheng Jiang, Dahu Zhu, Zhongwei Li, Wei Xu, Huan Zhao, and Han Ding. Trajectory planning and optimization for robotic machining based on measured point cloud. *IEEE transactions on robotics*, 38(3):1621–1637, 2021.
- [18] Angel X Chang, Thomas Funkhouser, Leonidas Guibas, Pat Hanrahan, Qixing Huang, Zimo Li, Silvio Savarese, Manolis Savva, Shuran Song, Hao Su, et al. Shapenet: An information-rich 3d model repository. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.03012*, 2015.
- [19] Mikaela Angelina Uy, Quang-Hieu Pham, Binh-Son Hua, Thanh Nguyen, and Sai-Kit Yeung. Revisiting point cloud classification: A new benchmark dataset and classification model on real-world data. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 1588–1597, 2019.
- [20] Iro Armeni, Ozan Sener, Amir R Zamir, Helen Jiang, Ioannis Brilakis, Martin Fischer, and Silvio Savarese. 3d semantic parsing of large-scale indoor spaces. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1534–1543, 2016.
- [21] Shuran Song, Samuel P Lichtenberg, and Jianxiong Xiao. Sun rgb-d: A rgb-d scene understanding benchmark suite. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 567–576, 2015.
- [22] Holger Caesar, Varun Bankiti, Alex H Lang, Sourabh Vora, Venice Erin Liong, Qiang Xu, Anush Krishnan, Yu Pan, Giancarlo Baldan, and Oscar Beijbom. nuscenes: A multimodal dataset for autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 11621–11631, 2020.
- [23] Andreas Geiger, Philip Lenz, Christoph Stiller, and Raquel Urtasun. Vision meets robotics: The kitti dataset. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 32(11):1231–1237, 2013.
- [24] Yin Zhou and Oncel Tuzel. Voxnet: End-to-end learning for point cloud based 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4490–4499, 2018.
- [25] Pyunghwan Ahn, Juyoung Yang, Eojindl Yi, Chanho Lee, and Junmo Kim. Projection-based point convolution for efficient point cloud segmentation. *IEEE Access*, 10:15348–15358, 2022.
- [26] Alok Jhaldiyal and Navendu Chaudhary. Semantic segmentation of 3d lidar data using deep learning: a review of projection-based methods. *Applied Intelligence*, 53(6):6844–6855, 2023.
- [27] M Gopi and Shankar Krishnan. A fast and efficient projection-based approach for surface reconstruction. In *Proceedings. XV Brazilian Symposium on Computer Graphics and Image Processing*, pages 179–186. IEEE, 2002.
- [28] Yann LeCun, Bernhard Boser, John S Denker, Donnie Henderson, Richard E Howard, Wayne Hubbard, and Lawrence D Jackel. Back-propagation applied to handwritten zip code recognition. *Neural computation*, 1(4):541–551, 1989.
- [29] Charles R Qi, Hao Su, Kaichun Mo, and Leonidas J Guibas. Pointnet: Deep learning on point sets for 3d classification and segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 652–660, 2017.
- [30] Charles Ruizhongtai Qi, Li Yi, Hao Su, and Leonidas J Guibas. Pointnet++: Deep hierarchical feature learning on point sets in a metric space. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [31] Yue Wang, Yongbin Sun, Ziwei Liu, Sanjay E Sarma, Michael M Bronstein, and Justin M Solomon. Dynamic graph cnn for learning on point clouds. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (tog)*, 38(5):1–12, 2019.
- [32] Hugues Thomas, Charles R Qi, Jean-Emmanuel Deschaud, Beatriz Marcotegeui, François Goulette, and Leonidas J Guibas. Kpconv: Flexible and deformable convolution for point clouds. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 6411–6420, 2019.
- [33] Hengshuang Zhao, Li Jiang, Jiaya Jia, Philip HS Torr, and Vladlen Koltun. Point transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 16259–16268, 2021.
- [34] Xiaoyang Wu, Yixing Lao, Li Jiang, Xihui Liu, and Hengshuang Zhao. Point transformer v2: Grouped vector attention and partition-based pooling. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:33330–33342, 2022.
- [35] Xiaoyang Wu, Li Jiang, Peng-Shuai Wang, Zhijian Liu, Xihui Liu, Yu Qiao, Wanli Ouyang, Tong He, and Hengshuang Zhao. Point transformer v3: Simpler faster stronger. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4840–4851, 2024.
- [36] Meng-Hao Guo, Jun-Xiong Cai, Zheng-Ning Liu, Tai-Jiang Mu, Ralph R Martin, and Shi-Min Hu. Pct: Point cloud transformer. *Computational Visual Media*, 7:187–199, 2021.
- [37] Renrui Zhang, Lihui Wang, Ziyu Guo, Yali Wang, Peng Gao, Hongsheng Li, and Jianbo Shi. Parameter is not all you need: Starting from non-parametric networks for 3d point cloud analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08134*, 2023.
- [38] Marzieh Mohammadi and Amir Salarpour. Point-gn: A non-parametric network using gaussian positional encoding for point cloud classification. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.03056*, 2024.
- [39] Marzieh Mohammadi, Amir Salarpour, and Pedram MohajerAnsari. Point-ln: A lightweight framework for efficient point cloud classification using non-parametric positional encoding. pages 1–7, 2025.
- [40] SM Moein Peyghambarzadeh, Fatemeh Azizmalayeri, Hassan Khotanlou, and Amir Salarpour. Point-planenet: Plane kernel based convolutional neural network for point clouds analysis. *Digital Signal Processing*, 98:102633, 2020.
- [41] Tiange Xiang, Chaoyi Zhang, Yang Song, Jianhui Yu, and Weidong Cai. Walk in the cloud: Learning curves for point clouds shape analysis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 915–924, 2021.