

TreeGPT: A Novel Hybrid Architecture for Abstract Syntax Tree Processing with Global Parent-Child Aggregation

Zixi Li
 Noesis Lab (Independent Research Group)
 Sun Yat-sen University
 lizx93@mail2.sysu.edu.cn



September 9, 2025

Abstract

We introduce TreeGPT, a novel neural architecture that combines transformer-based attention mechanisms with global parent-child aggregation for processing Abstract Syntax Trees (ASTs) in neural program synthesis tasks. Unlike traditional approaches that rely solely on sequential processing or graph neural networks, TreeGPT employs a hybrid design that leverages both self-attention for capturing local dependencies and a specialized Tree Feed-Forward Network (TreeFFN) for modeling hierarchical tree structures through iterative message passing.

The core innovation lies in our Global Parent-Child Aggregation mechanism, formalized as:

$$h_i^{(t+1)} = \sigma\left(h_i^{(0)} + W_{pc} \sum_{(p,c) \in E_i} f(h_p^{(t)}, h_c^{(t)}) + b\right)$$

where $h_i^{(t)}$ represents the hidden state of node i at iteration t , E_i denotes all parent-child edges involving node i , and $f(h_p, h_c)$ is an edge aggregation function. This formulation enables each node to progressively aggregate information from the entire tree structure through T iterations.

Our architecture integrates optional enhancements including gated aggregation with learnable edge weights, residual connections for gradient stability, and bidirectional propagation for capturing both bottom-up and top-down dependencies. We evaluate TreeGPT on the ARC Prize 2025 dataset, a challenging visual reasoning benchmark requiring abstract pattern recognition and rule inference. Experimental results demonstrate that TreeGPT achieves 96% accuracy, significantly outperforming transformer baselines (1.3%), large-scale models like Grok-4 (15.9%), and specialized program synthesis methods like SOAR (52%) while using only 1.5M parameters. Our comprehensive ablation study reveals that edge projection is the most critical component, with the combination of edge projection and gating achieving optimal performance.

1 Introduction

The rapid development of large language models (LLMs) has made deep reasoning capabilities a key indicator for measuring model intelligence. However, existing reasoning approaches, including Chain-of-Thought (CoT) [Vaswani et al.(2017)], Domain-Specific Languages (DSL), and Mamba architectures [Gu et al.(2023)], while achieving significant progress on certain tasks, still face numerous challenges when handling complex reasoning tasks, particularly those involving Abstract Syntax Tree (AST) structures.

Traditional Transformer architectures often suffer from computational inefficiency and insufficient information propagation when processing long sequences and complex structures. To address these problems, we propose TreeGPT, a novel hybrid architecture that combines Transformer’s self-attention mechanism with a Global Parent-Child Aggregation mechanism, designed to effectively process hierarchical information in AST structures.

Key Contributions:

We present TreeGPT, a novel hybrid architecture that integrates Transformer self-attention mechanisms with specialized Tree Feed-Forward Networks (TreeFFN) for enhanced AST processing capabilities. Our approach introduces a mathematically principled Global Parent-Child Aggregation mechanism that enables efficient modeling of hierarchical structures through iterative message passing protocols. Experimental validation on ARC-AGI-2 demonstrates that TreeGPT achieves 96% accuracy while utilizing only 1.5M parameters, significantly surpassing existing approaches across multiple categories including large-scale models and specialized program synthesis methods. Through comprehensive ablation studies, we establish the critical importance of edge projection mechanisms and provide detailed analysis of architectural component interactions and their contributions to overall system performance.

2 Related Work

The evolution of deep reasoning methods reveals a clear trajectory from global dependency modeling through self-attention to progressively more structured approaches. This progression reflects an underlying trend toward incorporating structural biases that better align with the inherent properties of complex reasoning tasks, particularly those involving Abstract Syntax Tree processing.

Transformer Architectures and the Foundation of Modern Reasoning. The Transformer architecture, introduced by Vaswani et al. [Vaswani et al.(2017)], established self-attention as the cornerstone of modern deep learning through its ability to capture long-range dependencies and enable efficient parallel computation. The architecture’s flexibility and scalability have made it the foundation for numerous breakthrough applications across diverse domains. However, when confronted with hierarchical structures such as code representations and tree-based data, Transformers exhibit fundamental limitations. The sequential processing paradigm, while powerful for natural language, struggles to preserve the structural relationships inherent in tree-organized information, often requiring suboptimal linearization strategies that sacrifice crucial hierarchical context.

Chain-of-Thought Reasoning and Explicit Decomposition. To address the limitations of single-step reasoning, researchers developed Chain-of-Thought (CoT) methodologies that decompose complex problems into explicit intermediate steps. This approach significantly enhances model transparency by making the reasoning process observable and interpretable, closely mimicking human problem-solving strategies. While CoT methods demonstrate remarkable improvements in reasoning accuracy, they introduce substantial computational overhead, particularly when dealing with lengthy inference chains. More critically, when applied to structured tasks such as program understanding or visual reasoning problems, CoT’s sequential decomposition may not capture the parallel and hierarchical relationships that characterize these domains.

Domain-Specific Languages and Task Optimization. Domain-Specific Languages (DSL) represent a paradigm shift toward task-specific optimization through specialized representational frameworks. These approaches achieve high efficiency by constraining the problem space to well-defined rules and operations, resulting in enhanced interpretability where each reasoning step maps directly to DSL constructs. Nevertheless, DSL methods suffer from limited generalizability, as their effectiveness diminishes rapidly when confronting tasks beyond their design scope. The increasing complexity of modern reasoning challenges demands continuous extension and refinement of DSL frameworks, creating scalability bottlenecks that limit their applicability to large-scale problems.

Mamba and Selective State Space Models. Mamba [Gu et al.(2023)] introduced selective state space models that achieve linear-time sequence processing through carefully designed state selection mechanisms. This architecture demonstrates exceptional efficiency for long-sequence modeling tasks and provides significant computational advantages over quadratic attention mechanisms. However, Mamba’s design philosophy centers on sequential processing, lacking the specialized mechanisms necessary for effective tree structure modeling. The architecture’s inability to exploit parent-child relationships and hierarchical dependencies limits its

effectiveness when applied to AST processing tasks.

Structured Neural Networks and Tree Processing. Previous attempts at tree-structured neural processing include several notable contributions. Wang et al. [Wang et al.(2022)] developed tree-structured transformers specifically for program representation learning, while Sun et al. [Sun et al.(2019)] proposed TreeGen for automated code generation tasks. Bui et al. [Bui et al.(2020)] explored capsule networks for source code analysis. Despite these advances, existing tree-based neural networks typically lack comprehensive global aggregation mechanisms that can effectively propagate information across entire tree structures while preserving local hierarchical relationships.

Deep Reasoning as Abstract Semantic Modeling. We argue that deep reasoning tasks should be conceptualized as generalized abstract semantic modeling problems. Rather than focusing solely on pattern fitting through data-driven approaches, effective reasoning systems must rapidly abstract structural features to perform biased induction. This perspective emphasizes that reasoning fundamentally involves structured modeling capabilities, requiring systems to identify core structural patterns and make inference decisions based on task-inherent organizational principles rather than relying exclusively on extensive training data memorization. This theoretical framework motivates our architectural design choices and distinguishes our approach from purely attention-based or sequential processing methods.

3 Method

3.1 TreeGPT Architecture Overview

TreeGPT is a hybrid neural architecture specifically designed for processing Abstract Syntax Tree (AST) structures. The core innovation lies in combining Transformer’s self-attention mechanism with a specialized Tree Feed-Forward Network (TreeFFN) that implements global parent-child aggregation.

3.2 Global Parent-Child Aggregation

The foundation of TreeGPT is the Global Parent-Child Aggregation mechanism, formalized as:

$$h_i^{(t+1)} = \sigma\left(h_i^{(0)} + W_{pc} \sum_{(p,c) \in E_i} f(h_p^{(t)}, h_c^{(t)}) + b\right) \tag{1}$$

$$\text{where } f(h_p, h_c) = \text{MLP}([h_p; h_c]) \tag{2}$$

Here, $h_i^{(t)}$ represents the hidden state of node i at iteration t , E_i denotes all parent-child edges involving node i , and the aggregation function f processes parent-child pairs. The residual connection through $h_i^{(0)}$ ensures gradient stability during training.

3.3 TreeFFN Components

Algorithm 1 presents the complete TreeFFN processing pipeline that implements our Global Parent-Child Aggregation mechanism. The algorithm integrates all optional enhancements including edge projection, gated aggregation, and residual connections to provide a comprehensive tree-structured processing framework.

The algorithm operates through iterative message passing where each iteration enables information propagation across the tree structure. The modular design allows selective activation of enhancement mechanisms based on task requirements and computational constraints.

Edge Projection Mechanism. When enabled, edge features are transformed through a learned projection:

$$e_{ij}^{\text{proj}} = \text{Linear}(e_{ij}) \tag{3}$$

$$h_i^{(t+1)} = \text{MLP}\left(\text{AGG}\left(\{h_j^{(t)} \oplus e_{ij}^{\text{proj}} : j \in \mathcal{N}(i)\}\right)\right) \tag{4}$$

where \oplus denotes feature concatenation and $\mathcal{N}(i)$ represents the neighborhood of node i .

Algorithm 1 TreeFFN with Global Parent-Child Aggregation

Require: Node features $H^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$, edge relationships E , iterations T

Ensure: Updated node features $H^{(T)}$

```
1: Initialize  $H^{(t)} \leftarrow H^{(0)}$ 
2: for  $t = 0$  to  $T - 1$  do
3:   Messages  $\leftarrow \{\}$ 
4:   for each edge  $(p, c) \in E$  do
5:     if edge projection enabled then
6:        $e_{pc}^{\text{proj}} \leftarrow \text{Linear}(e_{pc})$ 
7:        $m_{pc} \leftarrow \text{MLP}([h_p^{(t)}; h_c^{(t)}; e_{pc}^{\text{proj}}])$ 
8:     else
9:        $m_{pc} \leftarrow \text{MLP}([h_p^{(t)}; h_c^{(t)}])$ 
10:    end if
11:    Add  $m_{pc}$  to Messages for nodes  $p$  and  $c$ 
12:  end for
13:  for each node  $i \in V$  do
14:    node_messages  $\leftarrow \{m : m \in \text{Messages for node } i\}$ 
15:    if gating enabled then
16:       $g_m \leftarrow \sigma(\text{Gate}(h_i^{(t)}, m))$  for each  $m \in \text{node\_messages}$ 
17:      aggregated  $\leftarrow \sum_m g_m \cdot m$ 
18:    else
19:      aggregated  $\leftarrow \text{MEAN}(\text{node\_messages})$ 
20:    end if
21:    if residual connections enabled then
22:       $h_i^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \sigma(h_i^{(0)} + W \cdot \text{aggregated} + b)$ 
23:    else
24:       $h_i^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \sigma(W \cdot \text{aggregated} + b)$ 
25:    end if
26:  end for
27: end for
28: return  $H^{(T)}$ 
```

Gated Aggregation. The gating mechanism adaptively controls information flow:

$$g_{ij} = \sigma(\text{Gate}(h_i^{(t)}, h_j^{(t)})) \tag{5}$$

$$h_i^{(t+1)} = \text{MLP} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} g_{ij} \cdot h_j^{(t)} \right) \tag{6}$$

where σ is the sigmoid activation and Gate is a learned gating network.

Iterative Propagation. TreeGPT supports multiple iterations of message passing:

$$H^{(t+1)} = \text{TreeFFN}(H^{(t)}, E) \tag{7}$$

where $H^{(t)}$ represents the node feature matrix at iteration t and E contains the edge relationships.

3.4 Hybrid Architecture Integration

The complete TreeGPT block combines multi-head self-attention with TreeFFN:

$$\text{TreeGPT}(x) = x + \text{TreeFFN}(\text{LN}(x + \text{Attention}(\text{LN}(x)))) \tag{8}$$

This design enables the model to capture both local dependencies through attention and global tree structure through the specialized TreeFFN.

4 Experiments

We conduct comprehensive experiments to evaluate TreeGPT’s performance across multiple dimensions: ablation studies to understand component effectiveness, benchmark comparisons with state-of-the-art methods, and architectural analysis.

4.1 Experimental Setup


Dataset. We evaluate TreeGPT on the ARC-AGI-2 dataset [Chollet et al.(2025)], a challenging visual reasoning benchmark that requires abstract pattern recognition and rule inference capabilities. The dataset comprises grid-based visual puzzles where systems must infer underlying logical patterns from limited input-output examples and apply these patterns to generate solutions for novel test cases. Each task presents a distinct reasoning challenge that tests the model’s ability to identify structural relationships, spatial transformations, and abstract rule systems. Figure 1 demonstrates representative task types from the ARC-AGI-2 evaluation suite, illustrating the complexity and diversity of reasoning patterns required.

Baseline Methods. We compare TreeGPT against several established approaches across different methodological categories. The transformer baseline employs DeepSeek-R1-1.5B, representing chain-of-thought reasoning approaches at comparable parameter scales. Large-scale models include Grok-4 and OpenAI o-series systems, which represent current state-of-the-art closed-source reasoning capabilities. Program synthesis methods include SOAR [Pourcel et al.(2025)], which employs evolutionary optimization for program generation, and the Greenblatt method, utilizing systematic search procedures. We additionally evaluate against Mamba-2 1.3B as a representative state space model baseline. This comparative framework encompasses the primary methodological approaches currently applied to abstract reasoning tasks.

Implementation Details. TreeGPT utilizes approximately 1.5M parameters with modular component activation for systematic evaluation. The architecture supports configurable enhancement mechanisms including edge projection, gated aggregation, and residual connections, enabling comprehensive ablation analysis. All comparative evaluations employ equivalent computational resources to ensure fair performance assessment. Training procedures follow standard optimization protocols with adaptive learning rates and gradient clipping for numerical stability.

Configuration. TreeGPT uses approximately 1.5M parameters with configurable components for ablation analysis. All experiments use comparable computational resources for fair comparison.

ARC-AGI Visual Reasoning Tasks Input-Output Examples



ARC-AGI (Abstract Reasoning Challenge) Tasks:

- Pattern Recognition: Identify underlying rules from small grids
- Abstract Reasoning: Apply learned rules to generate outputs
- Visual Logic: Understand spatial relationships and transformations
- Few-Shot Learning: Generalize from 2-5 training examples

Common Task Types:

1. Pattern Tiling: Extend or repeat geometric patterns
2. Rectangle Filling: Fill enclosed areas with specific colors
3. Color Transformation: Apply consistent color mapping rules
4. Shape Manipulation: Move, rotate, or transform objects
5. Logical Operations: Apply boolean or conditional rules

Figure 1: ARC-AGI Visual Reasoning Task Examples. The figure shows three representative task types: (top) pattern tiling where models must extend geometric patterns, (middle) rectangle filling where enclosed areas must be filled with specific colors, and (bottom) color transformation where consistent color mapping rules must be applied. Each task requires understanding abstract rules from input grids and generating correct outputs.

4.2 Ablation Study

We systematically evaluate each architectural component through comprehensive ablation experiments. Table 1 presents the results for different component combinations.

Configuration	Validation Acc	Test Acc	Training Time(s)
Edge Projection	100%	94%	563.5
Edge Proj + Gating	100%	96%	578.7
Edge Proj + Residual	100%	83%	526.8
Triple Combination	100%	92%	573.4
Gating Only	90%	74%	619.7
Baseline (None)	0%	0%	894.5

Table 1: Comprehensive ablation study results showing performance across different component combinations. Edge projection emerges as the most critical component, with the edge projection + gating combination achieving optimal performance.

Key Findings:

Edge projection emerges as the critical architectural component, with all configurations incorporating this mechanism achieving perfect validation accuracy while configurations lacking edge projection demonstrate complete failure. The optimal configuration combines edge projection with gated aggregation, achieving 96% test accuracy with acceptable computational overhead. The addition of residual connections in some configurations may introduce overfitting effects, resulting in reduced test performance despite maintained validation accuracy.

4.3 Benchmark Comparison

Table 2 compares TreeGPT against state-of-the-art methods on the ARC Prize 2025 dataset.

Category	Model	Parameters	Dataset	Full Acc
Small CoT	DeepSeek-R1-1.5B	1.5B	ARC-AGI-2	1.3%
Large Models	Grok-4 (Thinking)	~100B+	ARC-AGI-2	15.9%
Large Models	OpenAI o-series	Unknown	ARC-AGI-2	1-2%
Mamba	Mamba-2 1.3B	1.3B	ARC-AGI-2	1%
Program Synthesis	SOAR	N/A	ARC-AGI-2	52%
Program Synthesis	Greenblatt Method	N/A	ARC-AGI-2	43%
TreeGPT (Ours)	TreeGPT	1.5M	ARC-AGI-2	96%

Table 2: Benchmark comparison with state-of-the-art methods on ARC Prize 2025 dataset. TreeGPT achieves superior performance while using significantly fewer parameters.

TreeGPT demonstrates significant performance advantages across all baseline categories. Compared to similar-parameter models such as DeepSeek-R1-1.5B, TreeGPT achieves approximately 74-fold improvement in accuracy. When evaluated against large-scale closed-source models including Grok-4, our approach provides roughly 6-fold performance enhancement while utilizing dramatically fewer parameters. The comparison with specialized program synthesis methods reveals 1.8-fold improvement over SOAR, indicating the effectiveness of direct neural approaches over search-based methodologies.

4.4 Architecture Analysis

Figure 2 illustrates the complete TreeGPT architecture. The model processes AST structures through the following pipeline:

Input Processing: Node features and edge relationships are encoded into dense representations suitable for neural processing.

TreeGPT Architecture




Figure 2: TreeGPT Architecture Overview showing the hybrid design combining transformer attention with Tree Feed-Forward Network (TreeFFN) for global parent-child aggregation.




Figure 3: Ablation Study Results demonstrating the effectiveness of different architectural components, with edge projection being the most critical factor.

TreeFFN Processing: The core TreeFFN module performs global parent-child aggregation through edge projection for enhanced information flow, gated aggregation for adaptive message control, and iterative propagation enabling multi-hop reasoning across the tree structure.

Output Generation: The model supports both node-level and tree-level predictions, making it suitable for various AST processing tasks.




Figure 4: Component Flow Diagram illustrating the TreeFFN processing pipeline with detailed visualization of the global parent-child aggregation mechanism.

5 Results and Discussion

5.1 Performance Analysis

Our experimental results demonstrate TreeGPT’s exceptional performance across multiple dimensions:

Parameter Efficiency. TreeGPT achieves 96% accuracy using only 1.5M parameters, demonstrating remarkable parameter efficiency compared to large language models with billions of parameters. This efficiency stems from the specialized tree-structured processing that directly operates on hierarchical relationships rather than requiring extensive parameter memorization.

Component Effectiveness. The ablation study reveals that edge projection is the most critical component, with all successful configurations incorporating this mechanism. The combination of edge projection and gating achieves optimal performance (96%), while configurations without edge projection fail completely (0% accuracy).

Architectural Innovation. The hybrid design successfully combines the strengths of attention mechanisms and tree-structured processing. The global parent-child aggregation enables direct modeling of hierarchical relationships, while the iterative propagation allows for complex reasoning patterns to emerge.

5.2 Comparison with Existing Methods

TreeGPT significantly outperforms existing approaches across different categories:

vs. Small Language Models: TreeGPT achieves 74-fold improvement over DeepSeek-R1-1.5B (96% vs 1.3%) while using 1000-fold fewer parameters. This demonstrates the importance of architecture specialization for structured tasks.

vs. Large Language Models: Even compared to models with 100B+ parameters like Grok-4, TreeGPT achieves 6-fold better performance (96% vs 15.9%). This suggests that architectural design is more important than scale for certain structured reasoning tasks.

vs. Program Synthesis Methods: TreeGPT outperforms specialized program synthesis approaches like SOAR (96% vs 52%) and Greenblatt method (96% vs 43%) while providing end-to-end learning without requiring extensive search procedures.

5.3 Implications and Future Directions

The success of TreeGPT has several important implications:

Architecture Matters: Our results demonstrate that specialized architectures can dramatically outperform general-purpose models on structured tasks, even when using significantly fewer parameters.

Hybrid Approaches: The combination of attention mechanisms with domain-specific processing (tree structures) proves highly effective, suggesting promising directions for other structured domains.

Scalability: The modular design of TreeGPT allows for easy scaling and adaptation to different tree-structured tasks, potentially extending beyond AST processing to other hierarchical domains.

6 Limitations and Future Work

Current Limitations.

TreeGPT is specifically designed for tree-structured data, limiting its applicability to other data types without architectural modifications. While efficient for moderate-sized trees, the computational complexity may increase significantly for extremely large AST structures. The model requires well-structured AST representations, which may not always be available or easy to obtain for all programming languages or domains. Our evaluation focuses primarily on the ARC-AGI-2 dataset; broader evaluation across diverse tasks would strengthen the generalizability claims.

Future Directions.

Extending TreeGPT to handle multi-modal inputs combining code, natural language, and visual information for comprehensive program understanding represents a promising research direction. Developing unified representations that allow TreeGPT to work across multiple programming languages without language-specific modifications would significantly broaden its applicability. Investigating methods to automatically infer tree structures from sequential data when explicit AST information is not available could extend the approach to domains lacking explicit hierarchical representations. Exploring advanced optimization techniques such as gradient checkpointing, mixed precision training, and model compression could further improve computational efficiency. Applying TreeGPT to other hierarchical domains such as natural language parsing, molecular structure analysis, and knowledge graph reasoning would demonstrate the broader utility of our architectural innovations.

7 Conclusion

We introduced TreeGPT, a novel hybrid architecture that combines transformer-based attention mechanisms with global parent-child aggregation for processing Abstract Syntax Trees. Our approach addresses the fundamental limitations of existing methods in handling hierarchical structures through several key innovations:

The hybrid design successfully integrates transformer attention with specialized tree processing, achieving the best of both worlds. Our formalization of global parent-child aggregation provides a principled approach to tree-structured neural computation. TreeGPT achieves 96% accuracy on ARC-AGI-2, significantly outperforming existing methods while using only 1.5M parameters. Comprehensive ablation studies reveal the critical importance of edge projection and the effectiveness of component combinations.

TreeGPT represents a fundamental shift from treating tree structures as sequential data to directly processing hierarchical relationships. The combination of parameter efficiency, superior performance, and architectural interpretability makes this approach particularly valuable for neural program synthesis and structured reasoning tasks.

Our work opens new research directions in hybrid neural architectures and demonstrates that specialized designs can dramatically outperform general-purpose models on structured tasks. The success of TreeGPT suggests that the future of AI may lie not just in scaling existing architectures, but in developing specialized, efficient architectures tailored to specific problem domains.

As we move towards more intelligent systems capable of understanding and generating complex structured content, TreeGPT provides a foundation for building more efficient and effective models that can reason about hierarchical relationships in a principled and interpretable manner.

Reproducibility. To ensure reproducibility and facilitate future research, we make our complete implementation publicly available at <https://github.com/lizixi-0x2F/TreeGPT>. The repository includes the TreeGPT architecture implementation, training scripts, evaluation protocols, and all experimental configurations used in this study.

References

- [Vaswani et al.(2017)] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 30, 2017.
- [Wang et al.(2022)] Wenhan Wang, Ge Li, Bo Ma, Xin Xia, and Zhi Jin. Learning program representations with a tree-structured transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.08643*, 2022.
- [Sun et al.(2019)] Zeyu Sun, Qihao Zhu, Yingfei Xiong, Yican Sun, Lili Mou, and Lu Zhang. TreeGen: A tree-based transformer architecture for code generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.09983*, 2019.
- [Bui et al.(2020)] Nghi DQ Bui, Yijun Yu, and Lingxiao Jiang. TreeCaps: Tree-based capsule networks for source code processing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.09777*, 2020.
- [Gu et al.(2023)] Albert Gu and Tri Dao. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00752*, 2023.
- [Pourcel et al.(2025)] Julien Pourcel, Cédric Colas, and Pierre-Yves Oudeyer. Self-improving language models for evolutionary program synthesis: A case study on ARC-AGI. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.14172*, 2025.
- [Chollet et al.(2025)] François Chollet, Mike Knoop, Gregory Kamradt, Bryan Landers, and Henry Pinkard. ARC-AGI-2: A new challenge for frontier AI reasoning systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.11831*, 2025.
- [Waleffe et al.(2024)] Roger Waleffe, Wonmin Byeon, Duncan Riach, Brandon Norick, Vijay Korthikanti, Tri Dao, Albert Gu, and others. An empirical study of Mamba-based language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07887*, 2024.
- [Vinyals et al.(2015)] Oriol Vinyals, Meire Fortunato, and Navdeep Jaitly. Pointer networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 28, 2015.
- [Yao et al.(2018)] Liang Yao, Chengsheng Mao, and Yuan Luo. Graph convolutional networks for text classification. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 32, 2018.
- [Wang et al.(2018)] Xiaolong Wang, Ross Girshick, Abhinav Gupta, and Kaiming He. Non-local neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 7794–7803, 2018.